

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee

The Indianapolis Marriott Downtown
Indianapolis, Indiana

May 25, 2010
8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

1. Welcome. (Kevin Anderson)
2. NCAA media contract/NCAA tournament expansion. (Tom Jernstedt)
3. Update on NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee legislative recommendations for the 2009-10 legislative cycle. [Supplement No. 1] (Steve Mallonee)
4. NCAA Agents, Gambling and Amateurism update. [Supplement No. 2] (The Men's Basketball Issues Committee will be notified in advance of its meeting when the supplement is available.) (Rachel Newman-Baker)
5. NCAA Basketball Focus Group update. (Mallonee/Kristen Matha)
 - a. NCAA Division I Board of Directors' actions. [Supplement No. 3]
 - b. Outreach to conferences and compliance personnel.
6. Legislative issues. (Mallonee)
 - a. NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2009-100. [Supplement No. 4-a]
 - b. NCAA Bylaw 30.15 (j) - summer certified event packets/admissions. [Supplement No. 4-b]
 - c. Bylaw 30.15 (j) - criteria for coaches' approval (felony vs. nonfelony). [Supplement No. 4-c]
 - d. Bylaw 11.3.2.6 - prohibition on endorsing nonscholastic events. [Supplement No. 4-d]

- e. Bylaws 11.7.4.3 and 11.7.4.3.1 – elimination of “baton rule” during academic year.
[Supplement No. 4-e]

- 7. Access issues. (Anderson/Mallonee)

- 8. NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet recruiting models.
[Supplement No. 5] (Mallonee)

- 9. Other issues. (Mallonee)
 - a. New Men’s Basketball Issues Committee chair.
 - b. August conference call.

- 10. Men’s basketball recruiting calendar discussion. [Supplement No. 6] (Anderson/Mallonee)

- 11. Adjournment.



NCAA DI Legislative Proposals

Proposal Number: 2009-30-A

Title: RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES -- BASKETBALL

Intent: In basketball, to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes (e.g., high school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.3, as follows:

13.1.2.3 General Restrictions -- Staff Members and Governing Board. The following are additional restrictions that apply to an institution's staff members and governing board. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.1.2.3-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Basketball. In basketball, a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus basketball athletics event involving prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant in the activity. A staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, subject to the following conditions:

(i) Attendance shall not be for evaluation purposes (the staff member shall not provide information related to the performance of a prospective student-athlete back to the institution's coaching staff); and

(ii) The staff member shall not have direct contact with a prospective student-athlete or a prospective student-athlete's parent (or legal guardian) or coach (other than the immediate family member) participating in the activity.

[13.1.2.3-(b) relettered as 13.1.2.3-(c), unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, an official interpretation (October 11, 2000, Item No. 1) permits noncoaching athletics department staff members with sport-specific responsibilities to attend an off-campus athletics competition that involves prospective student-athletes if the competition is in the locale of the institution. Although the intent of the interpretation is to establish restrictions to avoid potential recruiting advantages, there is a concern in the basketball community that the mere presence of these individuals at such events is providing their institutions with such advantages. Multiple noncoaching athletics department staff members, clothed in institutional apparel, are attending basketball games featuring high profile prospective student-athletes. The issue is magnified in major metropolitan areas that include a significant number of high-profile prospective student-athletes. It is difficult to enforce the interpretation as there may be no real evidence that the staff member has been specifically directed to attend the contest. Accordingly, preventing the presence of noncoaching athletics department personnel at such competitions will alleviate concerns of recruiting



advantages and will not cause any hardship on the staff since the staff member would be permitted to attend for the legitimate reason of watching an immediate family member participate.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. Further, the cabinet noted that the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet modified the proposal to include women's basketball. The cabinet supports the proposal as modified.

History

Jun 01, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 01, 2009: Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 25, 2009: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 16, 2009: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Modified the Proposal; The cabinet modified the proposal to include women's basketball. Previously, the proposal applied only to men's basketball.

Sep 22, 2009: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Oct 20, 2009: Proposal renumbered as Proposal No. 2009-28-A. An alternative is Proposal No. 2009-28-B.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Jan 16, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors

Jan 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Mar 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Request = 4)

Mar 17, 2010: Adopted; Adopted - Final



Proposal Number: 2009-30-B

Title: RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS WITH SPORT SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES

Intent: To specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (except a staff member who only performs clerical duties) shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of one of the participants in the activity; further, to establish conditions by which a staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.3, as follows:

13.1.2.3 General Restrictions -- Staff Members and Governing Board. The following are additional restrictions that apply to an institution's staff members and governing board. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.1.2.3-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities—~~Basketball. In basketball, a~~ **A** noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (**except a staff member who only performs clerical duties**) shall not attend an on- or off-campus ~~basketball~~ athletics event **in the staff member's sport that involving involves** prospective student-athletes (e.g., high school contest, sports camp) unless the staff member is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant in the activity. A staff member who is an immediate family member or legal guardian of a participant may attend such an event, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) Attendance shall not be for evaluation purposes (the staff member shall not provide information related to the performance of a prospective student-athlete back to the institution's coaching staff); and
- (ii) The staff member shall not have direct contact with a prospective student-athlete or a prospective student-athlete's parent (or legal guardian) or coach (other than the immediate family member, if applicable) participating in the activity.

[13.1.2.3-(b) relettered as 13.1.2.3-(c), unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, an official interpretation (October 11, 2000, Item No. 1) permits noncoaching athletics department staff members with sport-specific responsibilities to attend an off-campus athletics competition that involves prospective student-athletes if the competition is in the locale of the institution. Although the intent of the interpretation is to establish restrictions to avoid potential recruiting advantages, there is a concern that the mere presence of these individuals at such events is providing their institutions with such advantages. It is difficult to enforce the interpretation, as there may be no real evidence that the staff member has been specifically directed to attend the contest. Accordingly, preventing the presence of noncoaching athletics department personnel at such competitions will alleviate concerns of recruiting advantages and will not cause any hardship on the staff since the staff member would be permitted to attend for the legitimate reason of watching an immediate family member participate.



Budget Impact: None.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 20, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 20, 2009: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal No. 2009-30-A.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review; Defeated an amendment to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an off-campus athletics event involving prospective student-athletes (e.g., high-school contest, sports camp) in the staff member's sport.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 14, 2010: Proposal updated to reflect the adoption of Proposal No. 2009-30-A.

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 5, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Amended the Proposal; Amended to exclude a staff member who only performs clerical duties.

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Amended the Proposal; Amended to specify that a noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities shall not attend an on- or off-campus athletics event in the staff member's sport that involves prospective student-athletes. Previously, the proposal restricted attendance at any athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Apr 29, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors

Apr 30, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Jun 28, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period



Proposal Number: 2009-51-B

Title: RECRUITING -- CAMPS AND CLINICS -- INSTITUTION'S SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- LOCATION RESTRICTION -- BASKETBALL

Intent: In basketball, to specify that an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus.

Bylaws: Amend 13.12.1, as follows:

13.12.1 Institution's Sports Camps and Clinics.

13.12.1.1 Definition. An institution's sports camp or instructional clinic shall be any camp or clinic that is owned or operated by a member institution or an employee of the member institution's athletics department, either on or off its campus, and in which prospective student-athletes participate.

[13.12.1.1.1 through 13.12.1.1.2 unchanged.]

13.12.1.1.3 Football. An ~~institution's football camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus, within the state in which the institution is located or, if outside the state, within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus. In addition, an~~ institution's football camp or clinic may be conducted only during two periods of 15 consecutive days in the months of June and July or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months (e.g., May 28-June 3). The dates of the two 15-day periods must be on file in the office of the athletics director. Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.12.1.1.4 unchanged.]

13.12.1.2 Location Restriction -- Basketball and Football. In basketball, an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus or within a 100-mile radius of the institution's campus. In football, an institution's camp or clinic shall be conducted on the institution's campus, within the state in which the institution is located or, if outside the state, within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus.

[13.12.1.2 through 13.12.1.6 renumbered as 13.12.1.3 through 13.12.1.7, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: Immediate; a contract signed before September 17, 2008, for men's basketball camps may be honored; a contract signed before September 16, 2009, for women's basketball camps may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation does not place restrictions on the location of institutional camps or clinics in sports other than football. Requiring institutions to conduct their camps or clinics on campus or within a reasonable distance from campus will address concerns that camps that occur significant distances from an institution's campus are being conducted for recruiting purposes. In addition, this proposal will reduce institutional expenses and burdens on institutional personnel.

Budget Impact: Potential cost savings for institutions that have conducted camps or clinics beyond the proposed location restriction. Potential for lost revenue from such camps.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.



Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 20, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 20, 2009: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal No. 2009-51-A.

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Jan 16, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors

Jan 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Mar 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Request = 82)

Mar 17, 2010: Based on the number of override requests received, the Legislative Council will review its action on the proposal during its April 12-13 meeting.

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Amended the Proposal; Amended the proposal to apply only to men's and women's basketball. Previously, the proposal applied to all sports other than football.

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Apr 29, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors

Apr 30, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Jun 28, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period



Proposal Number: 2009-56

Title: RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION

Intent: To establish additional criteria that must be satisfied in order for an institution to subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.8, as follows:

13.1.8 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

[13.1.8.1 through 13.1.8.19 unchanged.]

13.1.8.20 Off-Campus Observation of Recruiting or Scouting Service Video. Off-campus observation of a prospective student-athlete via video made available by a recruiting or scouting service is considered an evaluation activity and is subject to applicable evaluation regulations.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting **or Scouting** Services.

~~13.14.3.1 Published Recruiting Services.~~ An institution may subscribe to a ~~regularly published~~ **recruiting or** scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided ~~this~~ **the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the** service ~~is:~~

(a) Is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers;

(b) Publicly identifies all applicable rates;

(c) Disseminates information (e.g., reports, profiles) about prospective student-athletes at least four times per calendar year;

(d) Publicly identifies the geographical scope of the service (e.g., local, regional, national) and reflects broad-based coverage of the geographical area in the information it disseminates;

(e) Provides analysis in the information it disseminates beyond demographic information or rankings of prospective student-athletes;

(f) Provides access to samples or previews of the information it disseminates prior to purchase of a subscription; and

(g) Provides video that is restricted to regularly scheduled (regular season) high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests and for which the institution made no prior arrangements for recording. (Note: This provision is applicable only if the subscription includes video services.)

~~13.14.3.2 Video Services. An institution is permitted to use a video service, provided only regularly scheduled (regular season) high school or two-year college contests are involved. The institution may not contract with the service in advance to have a particular contest recorded or provided, and the service must be available to all institutions at the same cost. Off-campus observation of a prospective student-athlete via video made available by a scouting service is considered an evaluation activity and is subject to applicable evaluation regulations.~~

[13.14.3.3 renumbered as 13.14.3.1, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Basketball Issues Committee)



Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, recruiting or scouting services are only required to meet minimal requirements in order for institutions to subscribe to them. For example, a published recruiting or scouting service only needs to be regularly published and available at the same fee rate for all subscribers. There has been a proliferation of recruiting services, particularly in the sport of men's basketball, that do not provide information consistent with the original intent of the legislation. Many of the operators of the recruiting or scouting services are tied directly to teams or events involving highly skilled prospective student-athletes and concerns have been expressed that the service is being used as leverage in the recruiting process. In some instances, the service merely provides demographic information that is available from other sources or in other instances, no information that would assist in the evaluation of talent. The perception is that unless an institution subscribes particular services, it will be disadvantaged in attempts to recruit prospective student-athletes linked with the recruiting-service operators. This proposal acknowledges the overall value of recruiting services and protects the integrity of the recruiting process by reinforcing the intent of the original legislation.

Budget Impact: Potential cost savings by eliminating subscriptions to services that provide limited or insufficient information.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. In addition, the cabinet supports the modification made by the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet to limit each institution to one subscription to each service on an annual basis. The cabinet noted that this would eliminate the current practice by some institutions of paying for multiple subscriptions to the same service in the same year.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The committee noted that this legislation would assist in reinforcing the original intent of the legislation by requiring that recruiting or scouting services actually provide information that would assist in the evaluation of talent. The committee also noted that the legislation acknowledges the overall value of recruiting services and would protect the integrity of the recruiting process.

History

Jun 01, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 01, 2009: Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 25, 2009: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 21, 2009: Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 02, 2009: Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 16, 2009: Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Modified the Proposal; Modified to specify that an institution may not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular recruiting or scouting service.

Sep 22, 2009: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval



NCAA DI Legislative Proposals

Jan 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review

Jan 16, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; No Action Taken by the Board of Directors

Jan 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Mar 17, 2010: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period; (Number of Override Request = 1)

Mar 17, 2010: Adopted; Adopted - Final



Proposal Number: M-2010-4

Title: RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- INDIVIDUAL ANALYSIS REQUIRED

Intent: To clarify that individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete for whom information is disseminated must be provided by a recruiting or scouting service in order for an institution to subscribe to such a service.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services. An institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service:

[13.4.3-(a) through 13.4.3-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Provides **individual** analysis ~~in the information it disseminates~~ beyond demographic information or rankings ~~of for each~~ prospective ~~student-athletes~~ **student-athlete in the information it disseminates;**

[13.4.3-(f) through 13.4.3-(g), unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Modification of Wording

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale:

na

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Apr 08, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Approved

Apr 13, 2010: Adopted; Adopted - Final

MEMORANDUM

May 19, 2010

TO: Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee.

FROM: Rachel Newman Baker
Director of Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities.

SUBJECT: Information Regarding the 2010 National Basketball Association
Draft.

Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 12.2.4.2.1, an enrolled men's basketball student-athlete may enter the National Basketball Association (NBA) Draft one time during his collegiate career without jeopardizing his eligibility, provided the student-athlete requests that his name be removed from the draft list and declares his intent to resume intercollegiate participation no later than the end of May 8.

Based upon the information compiled by the NCAA agent, gambling and amateurism activities staff, 81 men's basketball student-athletes with remaining eligibility entered their names for the 2010 NBA Draft. Of those, 12 student-athletes were freshmen; 18 were sophomores; and 51 were juniors. Of all the underclassmen who declared for the 2010 NBA Draft, 30 withdrew their names prior to May 8, 2010.

RNB/MW:ms

Interpretation - 1 Interpretation

Title:

Men's Basketball Recruiting Issues (I)

Date Issued: October 29, 2009

Date Published: November 4, 2009

Type: Official Interpretation

Item Ref: 1

Interpretation:

The NCAA Division I Board of Directors used its authority set forth in NCAA Constitution 5.4.1.1 to issue the following interpretations related to men's basketball:

1. The definition of an "individual associated with a prospect" is any person who maintains (or directs others to maintain) contact with the prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, or coaches at any point during the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, and whose contact is directly or indirectly related to the prospective student-athlete's:

- a. Athletic skills and abilities; or
- b. Recruitment by or enrollment in an NCAA institution.

This definition includes, but is not limited to, parents, legal guardians, handlers, personal trainers and coaches. An individual who meets the definition of an individual associated with a prospect retains that status during the enrollment of that prospect at that institution.

2. It is not permissible for an institution or men's basketball staff member to employ (i.e., volunteer or paid) an individual associated with a recruited prospective student-athlete at the institution's or men's basketball staff member's camp or clinic.

3. It is not permissible for an institutional or men's basketball staff member's basketball camp to offer a different participation, registration procedure, fee structure, advertisement and/or logistical experience (e.g., lodging, meals, transportation or awards/mementos) than the other men's/boys' basketball camps operated by the institution or men's basketball staff.

4. It is not permissible for an institution or a men's basketball staff member to provide money to a nonprofit foundation that expends funds for the benefit of a nonscholastic team, prospective student-athlete(s) or an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete. A violation would occur even if the foundation provides funding to or services for both prospective student-athletes and individuals younger than prospect age.

5. It is not permissible for an institution or a men's basketball staff member to provide a consulting fee to an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete or to a consulting firm in which an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete has a proprietary or financial interest.

6. It is not permissible for a men's basketball staff member to place a telephone call to a 1-900 number connected to a prospect or an individual associated with a prospect.

7. It is not permissible for a men's basketball staff member or a representative of the institution's athletics interests to be involved in any way in the operation or planning of a men's basketball nonscholastic event on its campus. [Note: Contracts signed by boosters before October 29, 2009, may be honored.]

[References: Bylaws 13.2.1 (general regulation), 13.11.1 (prohibited activities), 13.12.1.1 (definition), 13.12.2.2 (high school, preparatory-school, two-year college coaches or other individuals involved with prospective student-athletes) and 13.15.1 (prohibited expenses); and official interpretation (8/6/87, Item No. 10), which has been archived.]

References

Legislative References			Other
Div.	Number	Title	R e f e r e n c e s
I	13.11.1	Prohibited Activities.	Interpretations: 1
I	13.12.1.1	Definition.	Interpretations: 1
I	13.15.1	Prohibited Expenses.	
I	13.2.1	General Regulation.	
I	13.12.2.2	High School, Preparatory-School, Two-Year College Coaches or Other Individuals Involved with Prospective Student-Athletes.	

Frequently Asked Questions
Tier I Interpretations
Men's Basketball

Definition of "Individual Associated With A Prospect"

Q1: How long does the status of "individual associated with a prospect" (IAWP) remain attached to a specific individual?

A1: The IAWP status is not indefinite like that of a booster in NCAA Bylaw 13.02.13. IAWP status is prospect specific and, once attached, stays with the IAWP throughout the involved prospect's recruitment and tenure at any secondary education and/or NCAA institution. Once the prospect/student-athlete has exhausted his eligibility at the institution, the involved individual is no longer considered an IAWP for that particular prospect. It is possible to be considered an IAWP for multiple prospects at any time.

Institutional Camp Operation

Q1: Are institutions allowed to operate elite men's/boys' basketball camps under the new NCAA Board of Directors' interpretations?

A1: An institution may operate any type of basketball camp (i.e., father/son camp, position camp, team camp, individual camp, etc.) as long as all camps are conducted in the same manner. Inevitably, there will be distinctions to be drawn between certain types of camps (e.g., father/son vs. team camp); but at a minimum, all camps must have the same advertising and registration procedure, fee and employment structure, lodging, meals, transportation, and awards. Based on the requirement that all camps be conducted in the same or comparable manner, it is not likely that an elite camp, as most have been operated over the past few years, will meet the new requirements. If an institution decides to run an elite camp, any deviation in logistics will be viewed as highly suspect by the enforcement staff.

Q2: Are institutions allowed to host two camps of different lengths (e.g., one-day camp vs. three-day camp with lodging provided)?

A2: Yes. An institution may operate two camps for different lengths of time as long as they are conducted in a similar manner. In addition, if an institution operates a five-day camp, the cost of that camp must be used in determining the cost of all other camps conducted. For example, if the cost of the five-day camp excluding lodging is \$500, then the minimum that should be charged for the one-day camp should be \$100.

Q3: Do the camp logistics have to be identical for all camps?

A3: No. In some instances, a violation will not result if the circumstances are not exactly the same. For example, if the campers stay in Dorm A for one camp and Dorm B for

another, there may be no violation if the amenities for both dorms are comparable. However, if there are significant qualitative differences in Dorm A and B, and the dorm with more amenities is used during the camp when the institution's top prospect is attending, the use of such a dorm will be highly scrutinized. Each situation will be analyzed based on the specific facts and circumstances.

Q4: Would it be permissible for an institution to add a camp to the schedule at the last minute?

A4: Yes. The institution may conduct any camp provided that all camps are logistically operated and advertised in the same manner. The duration of advertising will be a critical factor in the analysis of a "pop-up camp" and may be a significant hurdle in ensuring consistency among the camps. Please note that such a last-minute addition to the schedule will be scrutinized and reviewed based on the totality of the circumstances.

Q5: Is it still permissible to grant reduced entry to a camp for an institutional staff member's son if the institution is recruiting the son?

A5: Yes. It would be permissible to grant a reduced admission for an institutional staff member's son provided that institutional procedures are followed and all institutional staff members are given the opportunity for reduced entry.

Definition of "Recruited Prospect"

Q1: There is a new definition of a "recruited prospect," which includes any prospect who has initiated contact with men's basketball staff members. If a prospect calls the institution one time and requests a camp brochure, is that sufficient for the prospect to become a "recruited" prospect?

A1: A single, isolated request for a camp brochure from a prospect will likely not trigger "recruited" status, but all facts and circumstances relating to interactions between the coaching staff and the prospect or his IAWPs must be considered in the analysis. For example, if the evidence shows that there was only one contact between the coaching staff and the prospect (e.g., a request for a camp brochure), but there were a significant number of contemporaneous contacts with an IAWP, that prospect will be deemed to be a recruited prospect.

Q2: Does the mass mailing of camp brochures trigger recruited status for each prospect who receives the brochure as part of that mass mailing?

A2: No. A mass mailing of camp brochures does not automatically trigger recruited status, but all facts and circumstances relating to any contemporaneous interactions between coaching staff members and the prospect or his IAWPs must be considered.

Q3: Does the mailing of a questionnaire trigger recruited status?

A3: No. The lone act of mailing of a questionnaire does not automatically trigger recruited status. Nevertheless, any contemporaneous interactions between coaching staff members and the prospect or his IAWPs can trigger recruited status.

Q4: How long does a prospect retain recruited status?

A4: A prospect retains “recruited” status until the prospect:

1. Enrolls at another NCAA institution; or
2. If that prospect is currently enrolled at your institution, after that specific prospect has graduated or is no longer eligible under NCAA rules for competition at the institution. Thus it is not permissible to employ an individual who is associated with a recruited prospect who is now a currently enrolled student-athlete.

Q5: Does the new definition of a “recruited prospect” impact financial aid legislation?

A5: No. The definition relates only to the application of NCAA institutional camp and clinic legislation. As such, recruited status under Bylaw 13.02.12.1 remains applicable for purposes of applying other NCAA legislation (e.g., outside aid awards).

Camp Employment

Q1: Is it ever permissible to hire an IAWP to work the institution’s men’s basketball camps?

A1: Yes. It is important to remember that the prohibition on camp employment applies only to an IAWP who is tied to a **recruited** prospect (**IAWRP**). Therefore, it would be permissible to hire an IAWP to work camp if that IAWP is not tied to a prospect that the institution is or has recruited as defined by the new definition of a “recruited prospect.”

Q2: Is there any exception to the prohibition against camp employment for a high school coach associated with a recruited prospect if the high school coach has worked the institution’s camps?

A2: No. There are no exceptions to the camp employment prohibition if the individual is associated with a **recruited** prospect, regardless of any prior institutional camp employment.

Q3: What is the duration of the camp employment prohibition for an IAWRP?

A3: An IAWRP could not be employed to work an institution's camp until that specific recruited prospect:

1. Enrolls at another NCAA institution; or
2. Has exhausted eligibility at your institution. Thus, it is not permissible to employ an IAWRP at the institution's camp until the recruited student-athlete is no longer eligible to represent the institution.

Q4: Can an IAWRP serve as a volunteer at a camp?

A4: No. An IAWRP cannot be employed on a volunteer basis or in any capacity at an institutional men's basketball camp.

Q5: Is it permissible for a middle school coach from the same school system of a recruited prospect to be hired to work camp?

A5: It depends on whether the middle school coach has sufficient ties to the prospect to achieve IAWRP status with regard to that prospect. The determination of the status is person-to-prospect specific.

Q6: There are some instances when coaches identify a prospect late in the recruiting process. Is it permissible to employ a high school coach to work camp in June 2010 if the institution subsequently recruits the high school coach's player after the start of camp?

A6: If the institution has not triggered the recruitment of the prospect at the time of the high school coach's employment, there will be no violation. It would be permissible to subsequently recruit the prospect, but that particular high school coach could not be employed at camp contemporaneously with recruitment until that prospect enrolled elsewhere or the prospect was no longer eligible for competition at your institution.

Q7: Is it permissible to employ a third party entity (e.g., professional service, distribution company) to handle the registration and fees process associated with camp?

A7: Yes. It would be permissible to use an outside agency, provided that an IAWRP does not have a proprietary or financial interest in such a service. The institution is responsible for ensuring that all of the logistics handled by the outside agency meet the requirements of NCAA legislation. It also is strongly recommended that the institution include a provision in any such contract that the outside agency grant access to the institution and NCAA to any and all camp-related records.

Q8: Institutional camps routinely use the services of referees going through training or a certification process during competition conducted at the camps. Would an institution have to identify whether any such referee was an IAWRP?

A8: If the referees are assigned for participation by an outside agency, the institution would only be required to determine whether an IAWRP has a proprietary or financial interest in that agency. If the institution actually makes the decision to hire or employ specific referees, then the institution is responsible for determining whether each referee is an IAWRP.

Q9: Is it permissible for a high school coach to coach his team at an institution's team camp?

A9: Yes. A high school coach who is tied to a recruited prospect may still attend team camp to coach his team. However, such a coach cannot be employed or receive any financial benefit (e.g., free lodging, transportation, etc.) from the institution.

Q10: May a currently enrolled student-athlete who has a prospect-aged sibling be employed at the institution's camp?

A10: Yes. Provided that all men's basketball student-athletes are given the opportunity to work camp, there would be no violation. If only a limited number of student-athletes are offered employment, and the institution is recruiting the younger brother, then the prospect's brother could not be employed.

Q11: Can a newly hired assistant coach who is not officially on the payroll work an institutional men's basketball camp?

A11: If the new hire is an IAWRP, it would not be permissible for that individual to work camp unless he was officially employed by the institution at the time of the camp. For example, an IAWRP could not be employed at a June camp if he is not scheduled to begin full-time duties for the institution until August. Only currently employed men's basketball staff members are permitted to work the institution's camp.

Q12: Is it permissible for the institution to employ a former student-athlete to work camp?

A12: Such employment would be precluded if the former student-athlete is an IAWRP.

Donations to Nonprofit Organizations

Q1: Is the institution or coaching staff precluded from making a donation to the United Way or Coaches vs. Cancer?

A1: The determination of whether a donation to a nonprofit organization is permissible is dependent on what individuals or entities receive financial benefits from the nonprofit organization. The fact that an IAWP is tied to a nonprofit does not necessarily preclude the donation. The key is determining who benefits from the nonprofit organization. It is not permissible to donate to a nonprofit organization that provides financial benefits to:

1. A nonscholastic team;
2. Specific prospective student-athlete(s); or
3. An IAWP.

If the nonprofit organization does not benefit the proscribed entity or individual, a donation would be permissible.

Q2: Is it permissible for a coaching staff to provide items of value to a nonprofit organization for use in an auction (e.g., autographed memorabilia)?

A2: Any type of donation would be precluded if the nonprofit organization provides financial benefits to:

1. A nonscholastic team;
2. Specific prospective student-athlete(s); or
3. An IAWP.

Q3: Is it permissible for a coach to merely attend a fund-raiser for a nonprofit organization that provides financial benefits to a nonscholastic team?

A3: No. Because the nonprofit organization derives financial benefit from the fact that NCAA Division I coaches are present, attendance at such an event will be deemed to be a donation and, thus, impermissible.

Q4: Is it permissible for a booster to make donations to a nonprofit organization that benefits any of the proscribed individuals or entities?

A4: Booster donations to such a nonprofit entity will be scrutinized based on the following factors:

1. Whether the entity or individual is located in the community in which the booster resides;

2. Whether the booster is acting independently of the institution's recruiting interests;
3. Whether the funds are distributed through established channels in place for the nonprofit organization conducting the fund-raising activity; and
4. Whether the funds were earmarked directly for any specific prospective student-athlete.

Any donation by a booster that benefits a proscribed individual or entity outside of the booster's local community will be presumed to be a violation.

Provision of Tickets to an Institution's Contests

Q1: Is it permissible to provide hard tickets to an IAWP for an institution's contests?

A1: No. An IAWP should only be receiving tickets pursuant to the complimentary admission legislation set forth in Bylaw 13.8.1 or as part of the official or unofficial visit entertainment rules. An IAWP should not have access to tickets, including from the coaches' allotment, that are not available through the institution's complimentary admissions policies.

Q2: Is there a pre-existing relationship exception for the provision of tickets to an institution's contest?

A2: No. If an individual is deemed to be an IAWP, the provision of a coach's discretionary tickets to that individual constitutes an inducement pursuant to Bylaw 13 or an extra benefit pursuant to Bylaw 16. There is no pre-existing relationship exception.



Proposal Number: 2009-100

Title: RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE, CONTEST OR EVENT -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice, contest or event in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11, as follows:

13.11 TRYOUTS

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3.

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.5, unchanged.]

13.11.1.6 Nonscholastic Practice, Contest or Event -- Men's Basketball. An institution shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice, contest or event in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

[13.11.1.6 through 13.11.1.7 renumbered as 13.11.1.7 through 13.11.1.8, unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.11 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors

Effective Date: Immediate; a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There has been a proliferation of nonscholastic events held on Division I campuses during quiet periods, specifically during the months of May and June. Generally, these events are being planned and operated in an attempt to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. Travel and lodging expenses are routinely provided free of charge for those prospective student-athletes or teams identified as important to the coaching staff's recruiting efforts, and funds and/or services provided by institutions and boosters are sometimes used to pay these expenses. Reluctant college coaches are being leveraged to help the event operator arrange for discounted operational costs (e.g., facility fees) under the threat that the event operator will take the event (and all of the prospective student-athletes) to another institution's campus. Regardless of the level of complicity or involvement of the coaching staff, these events provide a significant recruiting advantage for the institution that hosts the events.

Budget Impact: Potential for lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on S-A's Time: None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet acknowledged the potential recruiting advantages gained by institutions that host nonscholastic practices or events, but expressed concern that the proposal would



eliminate the opportunity for many institutions' auxiliary departments (e.g., recreation and athletics departments) to generate revenue by conducting such events on campus.

History

Oct 28, 2009: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 29, 2009: Board of Directors, Sponsored

Jan 14, 2010: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 17, 2010: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Feb 04, 2010: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, No Formal Position

Mar 17, 2010: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 13, Abstain = 0)

Apr 13, 2010: Leg Council Final Review, Referred (referred proposal to Men's Basketball Issues Committee); The proposal remains in the legislative process and will be considered again in the 2010-11 legislative cycle. If the proposal is adopted, as noted in the effective date, a contract signed before October 29, 2009 may be honored. If the legislation is adopted, actions contrary to the legislation that are taken pursuant to contracts signed on or after October 29, 2009 will result in violations.

30.15 SUMMER BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION —MEN 'S BASKETBALL

In men's basketball, in order for a summer basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions.

The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified: *(Adopted: 11/1/01 effective 4/1/02, Revised: 1/13/03, 4/29/04)*

(p) The price of event packets must be listed on the event certification application and the price must be made available to coaches prior to their arrival at the event; and *(Adopted: 1/8/07)*

30.15 SUMMER BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION —MEN 'S BASKETBALL

In men's basketball, in order for a summer basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions.

The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified: (*Adopted: 11/1/01 effective 4/1/02, Revised: 1/13/03, 4/29/04*)

(j) Individuals involved in coaching activities and in operating or managing the event must have been approved in accordance with guidelines established by the NCAA basketball certification staff; (*Revised: 1/13/03,10/30/08*)

11.3.2.6 Quotations and Pictures Used to Promote a Camp. An institution's coaching staff member may not promote a noninstitutional camp or clinic by permitting the use of his or her quotations and/or pictures in the camp or clinic brochure, unless that coaching staff member is employed by the camp. (*Adopted: 1/14/97; effective 8/1/97*)

11.7.4.3 Off-Campus Recruiting—At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off-campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus. (*Adopted: 1/10/93, Revised: 4/28/05 effective 8/1/05, 12/13/05*)

11.7.4.3.1 Exception—Basketball—July Evaluation Periods. During the July evaluation periods, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided no more than three coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day. (*Adopted: 4/30/09*)

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

MEMORANDUM

April ____, 2010

To: NCAA Division I Conferences, Selected Coaches Associations.

From: Petrina Long, Chair
NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

Subject: Feedback Request for Division I Recruiting Model.

The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet is seeking feedback from the Division I membership for its June 2010 meeting regarding the Division I recruiting model for all sports. The cabinet has been engaged in a thorough, lengthy review of the current recruiting model due to concerns raised by the membership, such as early offers of aid and the burdens placed on institution to monitor recruiting activities. Each legislative cycle has seen an increase in the number of recruiting related proposals, many of which are fragmented by sport and piecemeal by nature. Attached to this memorandum are three recruiting models that propose changes to the current legislation. The models are identified in three distinct categories: restrictive, moderate (opt-in), and open (opt-out). The models include the four main areas of recruiting: (1) evaluations (academic and athletics); (2) communications (telephone calls, text messages, contacts and correspondence); (3) campus visits (official, unofficial and tryouts); and (4) offers of aid (verbal and written). The cabinet recognizes that each sport has its own unique recruiting environment; however, the cabinet believes there is merit in considering the same base recruiting model for each sport, with targeted areas of differentiation (e.g., number of recruiting person days).

The cabinet believes that each model is a single viable future direction for recruiting, however, the cabinet seeks constructive feedback regarding each model, including comments related to parts of each of the models that may or may not be acceptable solutions. The cabinet recognizes that the final recruiting model may well reflect a combination using parts of all three models. Feedback is requested not later than **Friday May 28, 2010**.

The cabinet will review the feedback it has received from conferences and coaches' associations at its June 8 and 9, 2010, meeting with the goal of sponsoring legislation for the 2010-11 legislative cycle. The cabinet understands the membership's concern for timely action on several segments of the current recruiting model; however, the cabinet's priority has always been the development of a well constructed and thoroughly vetted model prior to sponsoring legislation. The cabinet hopes to be able to propose legislation stemming from feedback and discussion after

its June meetings. However, if no consensus is determined, the cabinet will narrow options and request additional feedback on a single model for the fall meeting schedule.

Questions regarding the recruiting models should be directed to Jeremiah Carter (jcarter@ncaa.org) at the national office who serves as a staff liaison to the cabinet.

Finally, on behalf of the cabinet, thank you in advance for your participation in this important initiative.

JC:kas

Model 1 - Restrictive

	Evaluations		Communication			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid	
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Unofficial	Tryouts	Verbal	Written
Freshman/ Sophomore	Five evaluations – no communication in association with evaluation.		Current rule, response permissible per NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.4.			Current rule.	No athletically pre-arranged visits (e.g., Junior Day).	Current rule.	Not permitted.	
Junior	Seven evaluations total. (Minimum of two must be academic if using three or more evaluations.)		No change from current legislation.			Current rule.		Current rule.	Not permitted.	
Senior	Five evaluations.		No change from current legislation.			Current rule.	Current Rule.	Current rule.	Permitted only after the six-semester high school academic record is on file with the institution. Written offer can only come from institution's financial aid office.	
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals			Not Permitted.	Current rule.	Current rule.	N/A	N/A

Model 2 – Middle of the Road

	Evaluations		Communication (Opt-in Model)			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid	
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Unofficial	Tryouts	Verbal	Written
Freshman/ Sophomore	Five evaluations – no communication in association with evaluation.		Current rule response permissible per NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.4.			Current rule.	Current rule.	Current rule.	Not permitted.	Not permitted.
Junior	Seven evaluations total. (Minimum of two must be academic if using three or more evaluations.)		<p>*One call per month (basketball model) expanded to all sports.</p> <p>*Starting January 1 of junior year allow: (1) the opportunity to have unlimited calls with written permission from PSA (via form on NCAA.org); and (2) Edit Bylaw 13.4.1.2 – electronically transmitted correspondence (not medium specific, non-verbal direct communication) allowed beginning during junior year. (3) 1 in person contact.</p> <p>***If unlimited permission has not been received, institution will be limited to one call per month and electronic and printed recruiting will be limited to Bylaw 13.4.1.1 (c-f).</p> <p>3 in person contacts permitted during senior year.</p>			After January 1 of Junior Year one permissible per PSA based on established minimum academic requirements (TBD). (Counts against five maximum.)	Current rule.	Permissible limit of five tryouts per prospect. Set limits similar to DII model (e.g., required physical, no more than one per institution).	Permitted only after the four-semester high school academic record is on file with institution.	
Senior	Five evaluations.					Five per SA total. (Minus one if taken during junior year.)				
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals.			Not permitted.	Current rule.	Not permitted.	N/A	N/A

Model 2 – Middle of the Road

Bylaw 13.4.1.2 - Electronic Transmissions.

All ~~electronically~~ transmitted correspondence ~~that~~ may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) ~~is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.7.2.)~~, **provided it is sent directly to and is only viewable by the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians).** All ~~other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires). In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

Model 3 – Open Recruiting Model

	Evaluations		Communication (Opt- out Model)			Campus Visits			Offers of Aid		
	Academic	Athletics	Phone Calls and Text	Contacts	Correspondence	Official	Un-Official	Tryouts	Verbal	Written	
Freshman/ Sophomore	No limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		No outgoing calls or electronic communication from coaching staffs. One letter sent from institutions to PSAs, including the recruiting rules. *No PSA initiation requirement.			Current rule.			Current rule.	Current rule.	
Junior	No Limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		One call per month (basketball model). *August 1 before junior year allow for the opportunity to have unlimited until PSA requests institution to stop (via form on NCAA.org). * Three in-person contacts permitted. * Edit NCAA Bylaw 13.4.1.2 – electronically transmitted correspondence (not medium specific, non-verbal direct communication).			Five total visits per PSA. Visits could begin during junior year if PSA meeting minimum progress standards (TBD). Allow institutions to provide expenses to parent/legal guardian to reduce outside influences.		Current rule.	Permissible, with a limit of seven tryouts per prospect with a maximum of five during the junior year. Set limits similar to DII model (e.g., required physical, no more than one per institution).	Current rule.	Current rule.
Senior	No Limit. Requires establishment of recruiting person days in each sport and a limitation on the number of times you can enter a high school (limit once per week).		*Unlimited model for senior year (beginning August 1). Allow for PSAs to opt-out of unlimited model. *Allow for unlimited electronically transmitted correspondence. *5 in-person contacts permissible.					Current rule.		Current rule.	Current rule.
Post-NLI	Current rule.		Unlimited activities and individuals.			Not permitted.		Current rule.	None.	N/A	N/A

Model 3 – Open Recruiting Model

Bylaw 13.4.1.2 - Electronic Transmissions.

All ~~electronically~~ transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.7.2.), provided it is sent directly to and is only viewable by the prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians). All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires). In addition, attachments shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence.

Division II Legislation Display - 1 Cite

Title:13.11.2.1 - Tryouts.

Previous Cite: 13.11.2 Permissible Activities.

Next Cite: 13.11.2.2 Preseason Practice and Competition.

A member institution may conduct a tryout of a prospective student-athlete only on its campus or at a site at which it normally conducts practice or competition and only under the following conditions (see Bylaw 17.02.13 for tryouts of currently enrolled students): *(Revised: 1/13/98 effective 8/1/98, 1/11/00 effective 8/1/00)*

- (a) No more than one tryout per prospective student-athlete per institution per sport shall be permitted;
- (b) The tryout may be conducted only for high school seniors who are enrolled in a term other than the term(s) in which the prospective student-athlete's high school's traditional season in the sport occurs or who have completed high school eligibility in the sport; for a two-year college student, after the conclusion of the sport season or anytime, provided the student has exhausted his or her two-year college eligibility in the sport; and for a four-year college student, after the conclusion of the sport season, provided written permission to contact the prospective student-athlete (per Bylaw 13.1.1.2) has been obtained; *(Revised: 1/11/94, 1/9/96 effective 8/1/96, 1/12/04, 1/10/05 effective 8/1/05, 4/11/06)*
- (c) Prior to participation in a tryout, a prospective student-athlete is required to undergo a medical examination or evaluation administered or supervised by a physician (e.g., family physician, team physician). The examination or evaluation must be administered within six months prior to participation in the tryout. The medical examination or evaluation may be conducted by an institution's regular team physician or other designated physician as a part of the tryout; *(Revised: 7/24/07 effective 8/1/07)*
- (d) The tryout may include tests to evaluate the prospective student-athlete's strength, speed, agility and sport skills. Except in the sports of football, ice hockey, lacrosse and wrestling, the tryout may include competition. In the sport of football, the prospective student-athletes shall not wear helmets or pads; *(Revised: 1/10/05)*
- (e) Competition against the member institution's team is permissible, provided such competition occurs during the academic year and is considered a countable athletically related activity per Bylaw 17.02.1; *(Adopted: 1/10/91, Revised: 1/11/94)*
- (f) The time of the tryout activities (other than the physical examination) shall be limited to the length of the institution's normal practice period in the sport but in no event shall it be longer than two hours; and
- (g) The institution may provide equipment and clothing on an issuance-and-retrieval basis to a prospective student-athlete during the period of the tryout.

13.1.8.8 Basketball Evaluations. *(Adopted: 1/9/96 effective 8/1/96, Revised: 4/27/00 effective 8/1/00, 4/27/00 effective 8/1/01, 11/1/01 effective 4/1/02, 4/3/02, 8/14/02, 10/31/02, 2/24/03, 3/10/04)*

(a) **Men's Basketball.** In men's basketball, each institution is limited to 130 recruiting-person days (see Bylaw 13.02.7) during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. *(Revised: 5/9/08)*

(1) **Fall Contact Period.** Evaluations at sites other than prospective student-athletes' educational institutions are prohibited during the fall contact period. Evaluations of live athletics activities shall be limited to:

(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur. *(Revised: 4/28/05 effective 8/1/05, 7/5/06, 10/23/07)*

(2) **Academic Year Evaluation Period.** Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation period shall be limited to:

(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur. *(Revised: 4/28/05 effective 8/1/05, 10/23/07)*

(3) **March and April Contact Periods.** Evaluations at nonscholastic events are prohibited during these periods. Evaluations of live athletics activities during these periods shall be limited to:

(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur. *(Adopted: 4/4/07, Revised: 10/23/07, 4/24/08 effective 8/1/08)*

(4) **Summer Evaluation Period.** During the summer evaluation period, a member of an institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 30.15. *(Revised: 10/23/07, 11/1/07)*

(5) **Predraft Camp Exception.** Evaluations conducted at National Basketball Association (NBA) official predraft camps are not included in the 130 recruiting-person days. *(Adopted: 4/28/05)*

(c) **Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events.** Violations of this bylaw shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. *(Revised: 5/9/06)*

(1) **Men's Basketball.** Institutional coaching staff members are restricted during the academic year to attending regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices and regular scholastic activities involving student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur. During the summer evaluation period, a member of an institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments, festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 30.15. It is permissible for

coaches to attend organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to National Basketball Association (NBA) official predraft camps or noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests). *(Revised: 5/9/06, 11/1/07, 10/23/07, 11/24/08)*