

AGENDA

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Hyatt Regency DFW
Dallas, Texas

February 7-8, 2012

1. Welcome.
2. Review NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet roster. [Supplement No. 1]
3. Report from the September 13-14, 2011, cabinet meeting. [Supplement No. 2]
4. Report from the NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 3]
5. Report from the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee. [Supplement No. 4]
6. Review cabinet's guiding principles. [Supplement No. 5]
7. Report from the NCAA Division I Board of Directors (including the Reports of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council and the NCAA Division I Legislative Council). [Supplement No. 6]

Background: The cabinet will review legislative actions related to recruiting and athletics personnel proposals and other items of interest from the meetings at the 2012 NCAA Convention.

Anticipated Action: None.

8. Review 2010-11 legislation in the comment and amendment-to-amendment period. [Supplement No.7]

Background: The cabinet will review legislation in the comment period and make additional comments, if necessary.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet may make additional comments on the legislation and may sponsor amendments, if deemed appropriate.

9. Update from the NCAA enforcement staff. [Supplement No. 8]

Background: The staff will provide an update and summary of recent infractions cases involving athletics personnel and recruiting issues, specifically in regard to topics that the cabinet will discuss during its meeting.

Anticipated Action: None.

10. Review of men's basketball recruiting model and summer access legislation. [Supplement No. 9]

Background: The staff will provide an update and summary of the recently adopted men's basketball recruiting model and summer access legislation.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will discuss and provide feedback regarding the model and its potential application to other sports.

11. Football recruiting issues. [Supplement No. 10]

Background: During the cabinet's June 2011 meeting, issues related to football recruiting presented by the enforcement staff was mentioned in a letter from the Southeastern Conference (SEC). During the September 2011 meeting, the cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. Regarding the issue of hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps, the cabinet noted the possibility of considering legislation similar to the current legislation applicable to men's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from the membership and other involved entities (e.g., coaches association, high school associations) and to continue to discuss ways to specifically address the concerns.

Anticipated Action: The cabinet will begin an examination of the trends and issues related to football recruiting and determine the next steps to take in order to address specific issues.

12. Review of concepts and recommendations developed by the Presidential Retreat Working Groups. [Supplement No. 11]

Background: The cabinet is being asked to provide feedback to the Presidential Retreat Working Groups regarding concepts and recommendations related to NCAA Bylaws 11 and 13. The cabinet will also receive a brief review of the Enforcement Working Group's efforts and recommendations.

Anticipated Action: None. The cabinet will discuss the information presented and provide feedback.

13. Future agenda items.

14. Other business.

15. Key takeaways.

16. Future meetings.

- a. June 13-14, 2012 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
- June 12 – Travel (if necessary).
 - June 13 – Travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
 - June 14 – Meeting 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.
- b. September 19-20, 2012 – Indianapolis, Indiana.
- September 18 – Travel (if necessary).
 - September 19 – Travel. Meeting 3 to 8 p.m. (working dinner)
 - September 20 – Meeting 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. Return travel after 3 p.m.

17. Adjournment.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

**NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Member Roster**

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
<p>Anderson, Mr. Kenneth FAR, Associate Dean and Professor of Management Gonzaga University East 502 Boone Avenue Spokane, WA 99258-0009 Phone: 509-313-3418 Fax: 509-313-5811 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>anderson@jepson.gonzaga.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: greenamyer@jepson.gonzaga.edu</p>	<p>West Coast Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-15</p>
<p>Barber, Mr. Jeff Director of Athletics Liberty University 1971 University Boulevard Lynchburg, VA 24502 Phone: 434-582-2100 Fax: 434-582-2205 Assistant: Karen Goodwin Phone: 434-582-2100</p>	<p>jbarber2@liberty.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: krgoodwin@liberty.edu</p>	<p>Big South</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>
<p>Brown, Mr. Scott Faculty Athletics Representative University of Connecticut Gentry 124 249 Glenbrook Road, Unit 2064 Storrs, Connecticut 06269-2064 Phone: 860-486-0181 Fax: 860-486-8325 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>scott.brown@uconn.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email:</p>	<p>Big East</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>
<p>Carew, Ms. Kaitlyn (Katie) Siena College SPOB 2040, 515 Loudon Road Loudonville, New York Phone: 914-417-1237</p>	<p>Katie.carew6@gmail.com</p>	<p>NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>
<p>Doggett, Ms. Jana Exec Assoc AD for External Affairs/SWA Utah State University 7400 Old Main Hill Logan, Utah 84322-7400 Phone: 435-757-9183 Fax: 435-797-1800 Assistant: Ronda Christoffersen Phone: 437-797-2060</p>	<p>jana.doggett@usu.edu</p>	<p>Western Athletic Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
<p>Durban, Ms. Michelle SWA, Associate Dir of Athletics for Comp Samford University 800 Lakeshore Drive Birmingham, AL 352029 Phone: 205-726-4562 Fax: 205-726-2132 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>mldurban@samford.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: nnichol1@samford.edu</p>	<p>Southern Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-15</p>
<p>Grimes, Mr. Gil Assistant Commissioner Southeastern Conference 2201 Richard Arrington Blvd. North Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Phone: 205-458-3000 Fax: 205-458-3031 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>ggrimes@sec.org</p> <p>Assistant's Email:</p>	<p>Southeastern</p>	<p>1-Jul-14 (substitute for Pete Boone)</p>
<p>Gurney, Ms. Ursula Associate AD for Student-Athlete Service University of Missouri-Kansas City 5100 Rockhill Road, SRC 201 Kansas City, Missouri 64110 Phone: 816-235-5093 Fax: 816-235-1035 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>gurneyu@umkc.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email:</p>	<p>The Summit League</p>	<p>1-Jul-15</p>
<p>Horne, Mr. Derek Director of Athletics Florida A&M University 1835 Wahnish Way Tallahassee, FL 32307 Phone: 850-599-3868 Fax: 850-599-3810 Assistant: Robin Wallace Phone:</p>	<p>derek.horne@famuc.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: Robin.wallace@famuc.edu</p>	<p>Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-15</p>
<p>Kido, Ms. Erin Associate Director of Athletics/SWA Xavier University 3800 Victory Parkway Cincinnati, Ohio 45207-6114 Phone: 513-745-2855 Fax: 513-745-3733 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>kidoe@xavier.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email:</p>	<p>Atlantic 10</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
<p>Langford, Mr. David Director of Athletics Fairleigh Dickinson University Metropolitan 1000 River Road Teaneck, NJ 07666 Phone: 201-692-2206 Fax: 201-692-9361 Assistant: Lorraine Fecanin Phone: 201-692-2208</p>	<p>langford@fd.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: lfecanin@fd.edu</p>	<p>Northeast Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-15</p>
<p>Martin, Ms. Bridget Associate Director of Athletics McNeese State University P.O. Box 90375 Lake Charles, Louisiana 70609 Phone: 337-475-5296 Fax: 337-475-5297 Assistant: Penny Brown Phone: 337-562-4165</p>	<p>bmartin@mcneese.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: pbrown@mcneese.edu</p>	<p>Southland</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>
<p>Matthews, Mr. Mike Associate Commissioner, Compliance Pac-12 Conference 1350 Treat Boulevard, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, California 94597 Phone: 925-932-4411 Fax: 925-932-4601 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>mmatthews@pac-12.org</p> <p>Assistant's Email:</p>	<p>PAC-12</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>
<p>McCaw, Mr. Ian Director of Athletics Baylor University 1500 S. University Parks Drive Waco, Texas 76707 Phone: 254-710-1222 Fax: 254-710-2823 Assistant: Callie Schrank Phone: 254-710-1222</p>	<p>Ian_McCaw@Baylor.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: Callie_Schrank@baylor.edu</p>	<p>Big 12</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>
<p>Radakovich, Mr. Dan Director of Athletics Georgia Institute of Technology 150 Bobby Dodd Way, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0455 Phone: 404-894-5411 Fax: 404-894-8864 Assistant: Nicole Jameson Phone: 404-894-5411</p>	<p>drad@gatech.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: njameson@athletics.gatech.edu</p>	<p>Atlantic Coast</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>

Member	Email	Conference	Term Expiration
<p>Reed, Mr. Shondell Senior Associate Director of Athletics Colgate University 13 Oak Drive Hamilton, New York 13346 Phone: 315-228-7605 Fax: 315-228-7008 Assistant: Cindy Chamberlain Phone: 315-228-7611</p>	<p>sreed@colgate.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: cchamberlain@colgate.edu</p>	<p>Patriot League</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>
<p>Sexton, Ms. Alison Senior Associate Director of Athletics Fairfield University 1073 North Benson Road Fairfield, CT 06824 Phone: 203-254-4000 Fax: 203-254-4270 Assistant: Phone:</p>	<p>asexton@fairfield.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: asexton@mail.fairfield.edu</p>	<p>Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference</p>	<p>1-Jul-12</p>
<p>Stull, Mr. Robert Director of Athletics University of Texas at El Paso Athletics Department El Paso, Texas 79968-0579 Phone: 915-747-5891 Fax: 915-747-5247 Assistant: Rita Lerma Phone: 915- 747-6822</p>	<p>rstull@utep.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: rilerma@utep.edu</p>	<p>Conference USA</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>
<p>Toliver, Mr. Eric Senior Associate Director of Athletics University of Nevada, Las Vegas 4505 Maryland Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89154 Phone: 702-895-1314 Fax: 702-895-4468 Assistant: Susie Smith Phone: 702-895-1620</p>	<p>Eric.toliver@unlv.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: Susie.smith@unlv.edu</p>	<p>Mountain West</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>
<p>Weston, Ms. Marcy Senior Woman Administrator Central Michigan University Rose Center 100C Mt. Pleasant, Michigan 48859-0001 Phone: 989-774-6689 Fax: 989-774-5391 Office Manager: Sara Whitman Phone: 989-774-3043</p>	<p>marcy.weston@cmich.edu</p> <p>Assistant's Email: whitm1si@cmich.edu</p>	<p>Mid-American</p>	<p>1-Jul-14</p>

Member	E-mail	Conference	Term Expiration
Wiggins, Ms. Nonie Faculty Athletics Representative Arkansas State University-Jonesboro 505 Melton Circle Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401 Phone: 870-972-3385 Fax: 870-972-2954 Assistant: Phone:	nwiggins@astate.edu Assistant's Email:	Sun Belt	1-Jul-14
Willis, Ms. Miechelle The Ohio State University 1001 Fawcett Center 2400 Olentangy River Road Columbus, Ohio 43210 Phone: 614-688-3280 Fax: 614-292-0506 Assistant: Phone:	Willis.102@osu.edu Assistant's Email:	Big Ten	1-Jul-14

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
SEPTEMBER 13-14, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Review of Proposals Sponsored by and Forwarded to the Cabinet for the 2011-12 Cycle.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet reviewed 34 legislative proposals related to recruiting and athletics personnel that will be considered by the Division I membership in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. As a result of its review of the proposals it sponsored in June, the cabinet also modified three proposals and withdrew one from the legislative cycle.

2. **Priority Item – Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members.** The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. The cabinet believes that NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative.**
 - **NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.6.2.2 – Number of Official Visits – Prospective Student-Athlete Limitation.**
 - (1) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to specify that the limitation of five expense paid visits per prospective student-athlete shall apply only to official visits to Division I institutions.

- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. In January 2011, Division II adopted legislation that eliminated the limitation on the number of official visits that a prospective student-athlete may make to Division I and Division II institutions. The current difference in the legislation between the two divisions is likely to cause confusion for prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process and is an unnecessary burden for Division I institutions to track the number of official visits a prospective student-athlete makes to Division II institutions.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

- None

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Modifications to Cabinet Sponsored Proposals in the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.**

- a. Proposal No. 2011-31 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – No Limits After First Permissible Date. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-31 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.
- b. Proposal No. 2011-37 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Electronic Correspondence – All Forms of Direct Correspondence Permitted. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011- 37 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may provide recruiting materials, including electronic correspondence, to an

individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

- c. Proposal No. 2011-57 – Recruiting – Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar – Field Hockey. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-57 to specify that the limit of 75 recruiting-person days shall apply to all contact and evaluation periods during the calendar year (as opposed to the academic year), measured from August 1 through July 31. This modification was initiated and requested by the National Field Hockey Coaches Association.
- d. Proposal No. 2011-59 – Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Men’s Ice Hockey. The cabinet agreed to withdraw Proposal No. 2011-59 based on a request from the American Hockey Coaches Association.

2. Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be initially considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council in January 2012. The cabinet’s positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle are included in the Attachment to this report.

3. Nonlegislative.

- a. Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. Specifically, the cabinet discussed whether the pending proposals appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues and concerns related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that restricting the activities of noncoaching staff members (e.g., attending practice) has the potential for unintended consequences, such as eliminating a noncoaching staff member’s access to the coaching staff for administrative or student-athlete well-being purposes. In addition, such restrictions may limit a

noncoaching staff member's ability to gain professional development. The cabinet noted that previous feedback received from the membership indicated support for the limits identified in the current proposals. The cabinet believes that Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

- b. **Priority Item – Football Recruiting Issues.** The cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. The cabinet noted the ongoing concerns regarding (1) the involvement of scholastic and nonscholastic third-party individuals in the recruiting process; (2) 7-on-7 events being hosted at institutional facilities; (3) permitting unofficial visits in conjunction with “tours” made by 7-on-7 programs; and (4) hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps. Regarding 7-on-7 events at institutional facilities, and concerns related to 7-on-7 club programs, the cabinet supported two proposals submitted by the Southeastern Conference (SEC) (Proposal Nos. 2011-46 and 2011-47) which begin to address issues surrounding 7-on-7 events and the inclusion of third-party individuals in the recruiting process. Regarding the issue of hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps, the cabinet noted the possibility of considering legislation similar to the current legislation applicable to men's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from the membership and other involved entities (e.g., coaches association, high school associations) and to continue to discuss ways to specifically address the concerns. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.

- c. **Revisions of Terms Associated with Recruiting Periods.** The cabinet continued its review of a request by the SEC to sponsor legislation related to the revision of terms associated with recruiting periods. The cabinet is supportive of the concept to redefine the current recruiting periods (i.e., contact, evaluation, quiet and dead), as off-campus, on-campus and dead, in an effort to clarify the types of activities

- d. that are permissible during each period. The cabinet noted that additional information and feedback is needed prior to sponsoring legislation to determine support or opposition as well as potential unintended consequences. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from coaches associations of sports that are most likely to be affected by the concept. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.

- e. **Men's Basketball Recruiting Model.** At the request of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council, the cabinet reviewed the council's preliminary draft of the men's basketball recruiting model. The cabinet noted that many of the recommendations in the areas of communication with prospective student-athletes, off-campus contacts, official visits and tryouts are similar to the concepts the cabinet reviewed in its examination of the recruiting model for all sports. In the area of communication with prospective student-athletes, the cabinet prefers to establish July 1 at the beginning of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school as the permissible start date for communication. The cabinet noted that the July 1 date would only eliminate one phone call in the month of June as currently proposed in the council's model and still provides an opportunity for coaches to communicate with prospective student-athletes prior to their participation in summer certified events. Further, in the area of off-campus contacts, the cabinet is generally supportive of permitting contacts during a prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school. However, it recommends that the council consider establishing a separate number of permissible contacts that may occur in the junior year in addition to the three contacts that may occur in the senior year, as opposed to a maximum of three contacts combined during the junior and senior years. The cabinet believes that in order to effectively use the additional time permitted for contacts during the junior year, institutions should also be afforded additional contact opportunities.

Cabinet Chair: Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Big-12 Conference
Staff Liaisons: Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jennifer Roe, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

**NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS AND COMMENTS ON PROPOSALS IN THE 2011-12 LEGISLATIVE CYCLE**

The following chart sets forth positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. Please note that a position of support with no additional comment reflects that the cabinet supported the rationale provided by the sponsor of the proposal.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-12	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH – BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted that given the current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)], are sufficient. • Expressed concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel. • Expressed concern with additional costs for institutions.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-13	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL—NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.
2011-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING – NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-15	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Support
2011-16	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – EXCEPTION—NONPARTICIPANT--FOOTBALL	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.	Oppose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential unintended consequences of outside individuals being involved with the program. • Isolated incidents may be addressed through the waiver process. • May decrease opportunities for student-athletes to participate based on limited number of opportunities.
2011-17	PERSONNEL – CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS – ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME – PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Oppose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not an issue of national significance. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying outside income regulations.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING – RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS – TELEPHONE CALLS— RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduces monitoring. • Appropriate deregulation. • Many consider the current rule to be unenforceable.
2011-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL – SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	Support
2011-20	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES-- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING— WOMEN’S BASKETBALL— NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-21	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME— EXCEPTION—FOOTBALL— CONTACT PERIOD	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Support
2011-22	PERSONNEL – BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION – MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).	Oppose as written. Recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to match the bench limitations for the NCAA Men's Basketball Championship [i.e., 22 individuals in bench area (including student-athletes), 17 on bench (or 17 chairs) during competition]. In the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet supports the concept of limiting the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition but believes that an institution should use its discretion to determine who may occupy the bench area.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-26	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES – WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Support
2011-28	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—GENERAL EXCEPTIONS—PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete’s parents (or legal guardians) and the parents (or legal guardians) of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.	Support
2011-29	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—STUDENT-ATHLETE—OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-30	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – NON LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-31. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw proposal and support Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37.
2011-35	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN’S BASKETBALL AND MEN’S ICE HOCKEY – JUNE 15 AT CONCLUSION OF SOPHOMORE YEAR	In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, to specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.
2011-36	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – ALL FORMS PERMITTED ON OR AFTER SEPTEMBER 1 OF JUNIOR YEAR	To specify that an institution shall not send electronic correspondence (e.g., email, chat, instant messages, text messages) to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. • Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-38	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION – SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS— AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting a "friend" request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate deregulation.
2011-39	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS – CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS – CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	Support
2011-40	RECRUITING – OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT— ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT— STUDENT HOST – ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	To increase, from \$30 to \$40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from \$15 to \$20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-41	RECRUITING – UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT—FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Believes such a restriction to be inconsequential and unenforceable. • Would add additional monitoring requirements. • Would likely result in inadvertent violations.
2011-42	RECRUITING – ENTERTAINMENT, REIMBURSEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE-PREPARATORY SCHOOL/TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- EXCEPTION – NONATHLETICS PERSONNEL	To permit an institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) to host nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals food, refreshments, room expenses and a nominal gift, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event.	<p>No position.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The concept should be reviewed to determine whether the underlying restriction on benefits and entertainment provided to nonathletics personnel is appropriate based on the review of legislation through the lenses of significance and enforceability.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-43	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID – LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – LETTER-OF-INTENT RESTRICTIONS—LIMIT ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS—BOWL SUBDIVISIONS FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Support
2011-44	RECRUITING – LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country or a home-schooled prospective student-athlete) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. Recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS--FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	Support
2011-47	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – LOCAL SPORTS CLUB -- FOOTBALL	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-48	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMP AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION’S CAMPS AND CLINICS – EXCEPTION— SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN’S BASKETBALL	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.	Support
2011-49	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS – EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC – ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS – NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL— HEAD COACH EXCEPTION— CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Oppose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isolated incidents may be addressed through waivers. • Institutions have control over their designated two periods of 15 consecutive days.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-50	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	Support
2011-51	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Oppose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prefers Proposal No. 2011-50.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-52	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—NCAA APPROVAL—BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	Support
2011-53	RECRUITING—PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES—DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT—ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate deregulation. • Noted that institutions not located within a metropolitan area may not have access to youth organizations within 30-mile radius that have need for athletics equipment.
2011-54	RECRUITING—RECRUITING CALENDARS—WOMEN’S BASKETBALL—JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	No position. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee intends to discuss the concept in the next several months in relation to its development of the women's basketball recruiting model.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-61	RECRUITING—BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION—WOMEN’S BASKETBALL—NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHELTES	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	<p>Oppose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping). • This proposal would eliminate a viable option. Some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

**REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE (CCC)
NOVEMBER 30, 2011, CONFERENCE CALL**

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Report of the Committee's August 16, 2011, Conference Call.** The committee approved the report of its August 16, 2011, conference call.

2. **Key Items from the September 2011, Division I Cabinet and October Legislative Council Meetings.** The committee received a report of the key items from the September 2011, cabinet and October Legislative Council meetings.
 - a. **Administration Cabinet** – During its September meeting, the cabinet reviewed and approved the new online override voting process, which is modeled after the voting session process that occurred during the Division I Business Session at the annual NCAA Convention. The process will allow for a discussion period consistent with the one that occurred prior to the vote on the Convention floor. All active Division I and affiliate members of the Association (e.g., coaches associations) will have the opportunity to participate in the discussion period, as they did when the vote was conducted in person. The discussion period will be followed by the voting period, in which only active Division I members may participate. The online voting process is being used for the first time this fall as Division I conducts an override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A.

 - b. **Legislative Council.** During its October meeting, the Legislative Council reviewed proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle through three key lenses developed by the Rules Working Group: (1) Is the rule meaningful and of consequence...is it an issue that merits a national regulation or can it simply be addressed by institutions/conferences/coaches associations? Is it really necessary? (2) Is the rule enforceable.. does it present significant challenges either in monitoring compliance as well as proving violations?, and (3) Does the rule support the success of the student-athlete, academically and/or athletically? When developing its initial position on the proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle, the Legislative Council indicated an intent to table a number of the proposals that did not appear to meet the test of the three lenses.

3. **Guiding Principles.** Mark Hollis, chair of the Amateurism Cabinet, reported that during discussions at its September meeting, the Amateurism Cabinet noted that a number of the guiding principles established by that cabinet could, and probably should, apply to all Division I cabinets. It was noted that the work of several of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups involve developing principles that align with the Association's enduring values. It was the sense of the group that once the working groups complete their work, the cabinets can reevaluate their guiding principles to ensure they are consistent and align with the enduring values of the Association.

4. **Update on NCAA Presidential Retreat Initiatives.** The committee received an update on actions taken by the Board of Directors during its October meeting, as well as a report regarding plans for the working groups going forward and the involvement of the Division I cabinets and councils.
 - a. **Actions Taken by the Board of Directors.**
 - (1) **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.**
 - (a) **Initial-Eligibility Standards.** The Board adopted legislation that would increase initial-eligibility requirements, resulting in more rigorous academic standards for competition than for practice and receipt of athletically related financial aid. The Board approved an increase to the standard for immediate access to competition to a 2.300 grade-point average and an increased sliding scale. Incoming student-athletes will need to earn a test score/grade-point average that is set at approximately one-half standard deviation below the national student body mean. Student-athletes who achieve the current minimum initial-eligibility standard on the test score/grade-point average sliding scale, with at least a minimum 2.000 core course grade-point average, will continue to be eligible for athletically related financial aid during the first year of enrollment and practice during the first regular academic term of enrollment. Student-athletes can earn the opportunity to practice in the second term by passing nine-semester or eight-quarter hours. The Board approved a requirement that prospects successfully complete 10 of the 16 total required core courses before the start of their senior year in high school. Seven of the 10 successfully completed courses must be in English, math and science. These new requirements are effective for students first entering college full time August 1, 2015, or after.

- (b) **Two-Year College Transfer Requirements.** The Board adopted legislation to increase the transferable grade-point average from 2.000 to 2.500; limit the number of physical education activity courses to a total of two; and for nonqualifiers, requiring the completion of a core curriculum (six credits of English, three credits of math and three credits of science). The enhanced two-year college transfer requirements will be effective for student-athletes initially enrolling at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012; no student-athlete currently enrolled in a two-year collegiate institution will be impacted. The Board took no action on the proposal related to the Year of Academic Readiness and agreed to leave it in the 2011-12 legislative cycle to be voted on at the January 2012 meeting of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council.
 - (c) **Access to Championships and APP Penalty Structure.** The Board approved the implementation schedule for the postseason eligibility standard, as well as a new APR three-level penalty structure. The Board established a 930 APR as a minimum academic standard to participate in Division I postseason competition. This includes a mission filter only in the first year a team fails to meet the benchmark and an improvement filter in the second year and beyond. There will be a transition time period of three years for access to the postseason. The Board also specified that all conferences must adopt a policy regarding teams that do not meet championship academic access standards with respect to automatic qualification for postseason and revenue distribution. The new postseason eligibility structure will take effect in the 2012-13 academic year, with a two-year implementation window before the benchmark moves from 900 to 930.
- (2) **Student-Athlete Well Being Working Group.**
- (a) **Cost of Attendance.** The Board adopted legislation that would permit a Division I student-athlete who has received institutional financial aid equal to the value of a full grant-in-aid (i.e., tuition and fees, room and board and required course-related books) to receive additional athletically related financial aid up to the value of the institution's "cost of attendance," or up to \$2,000, whichever is less. The Board decided not to revisit this amount for three years.
 - (b) **Multiyear Grant-in-Aid.** The Board adopted legislation that would permit athletics aid, up to the permissible limits, to be awarded for a period beyond the minimum of one academic year, up to the date the student-athlete exhausts eligibility to compete in all sports.

- (c) **Team Financial Aid Limits.** The Board adopted legislation to provide that only athletically-related aid will be counted toward team limits in equivalency sports.
- (d) **Eligibility for Aid: Former Student-Athletes.** The Board adopted legislation, effective immediately, to eliminate financial aid eligibility restrictions (five years of aid within a six-year period) to permit institutions to provide athletics aid to former student-athletes who remain at, or return to, the institution to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements.
- (3) **Rules Working Group.** The Board endorsed a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction outlined by the working group as they move forward with their evaluation of the rules.
- b. **Plans Moving Forward.** The committee was informed that the Rules Working Group has developed a communication plan that includes conducting feedback gathering sessions (e.g., teleconferences) with various constituent groups. Following the initial outreach, the working group will focus on gathering feedback on specific principles and or proposals, particularly any that are generated from the group's December meeting. The working group plans to use the February cabinet meetings as an opportunity to gather additional comments on principles/proposals developed specific to bylaws for which the cabinets have oversight responsibility.
5. **Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update.** Katie Willett, staff liaison to the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC), reported on the committee's November 18-20, 2011, meeting in Indianapolis. It was noted that along with the regular review of legislative proposals, the Division I SAAC discussed the following:
- Text messaging and other forms of communication used in the recruiting process;
 - A division-wide community service project; and
 - An initiative aimed at creating an increased awareness of SAAC and how to better insert the voice of student-athletes at all levels of governance activity (i.e., campus, conference, national).

Committee Chair: Michael Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaison: Jacqueline Campbell, Division I Governance

Division I Communication and Coordination Committee November 30, 2011, Teleconference	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Leadership Council	William Chaves, Eastern Washington University, Administration Cabinet
Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, Legislative Council	
Herman Holt, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Academic Cabinet	
Mark Hollis, Michigan State University, Amateurism Cabinet	
Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, Championships/Sports Management Cabinet	
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary	
Other NCAA staff members who participated on the teleconference: David Berst, Diane Dickman, Angie Cretors, Michelle Hosick, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Jarrett Newby, Binh Nguyen, Jobrina Perez, Carol Reep, Kris Richardson, Jennifer Roe, Alex Smith, Sharon Tufano, Katie Willett, Marcus Wilson and Leeland Zeller.	

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its November 18-20, 2011, meeting.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Proposals.** The committee reviewed and discussed select proposals in the current legislative cycle related to student-athlete well-being, including proposals dealing with recruiting, amateurism, eligibility and financial aid. The position of the Division I SAAC on the selected proposals is included as an attachment to this report (attachment).

2. **SAAC Identity Initiative.** The committee discussed general awareness and recognition of the SAAC throughout the membership, including perception of the committee's role and responsibilities. The committee considered initiatives designed to education the membership, student-athletes and the general public regarding its identity and work.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

See attachment.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **New members.** The committee welcomed three new members: William Brown, men's golf, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference; Maddie Salamone, women's lacrosse, Duke University, Atlantic Coast Conference; and Jacob Yowell, men's track and field, University of California, Irvine, Big West Conference.

2. **Guest speakers.** The committee welcomed several guest speakers from the NCAA staff to discuss issues involving student-athlete well-being:

- a. Scott Bearby, associate general counsel and managing director of legal affairs, provided an update on litigation involving the association and discussed building awareness about the role of the SAAC.
- b. Jackie Campbell, director of Division I, updated the committee on Division I governance issues, including recently adopted legislation regarding cost of attendance, financial aid and men's basketball.
- c. Mark Emmert, NCAA President, provided an update on the Presidential Working Groups and emphasized the role of the student-athletes' voice in the process.
- d. Tiandra Finch, NCAA intern, provided an overview of the NCAA student-athlete affairs department and discussed postgraduate scholarship and employment opportunities for student-athletes.
- e. David Knopp, managing director of corporate and broadcast alliances, discussed the advertisement of impermissible nutritional supplements during NCAA events.
- f. Kathleen McNeely, vice president of administration and chief financial officer, discussed the resource allocation working group and indicated that the group will reconsider its initial recommendations related to budget and resources, including reduction in regular season competitions, elimination of the non-championship segment and foreign travel as well as limits on non-coaching staff members.
- g. Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances, spoke to the committee about the championships structure, efforts to improve aspects of all NCAA championships and ways to enhance the voice of the student-athlete in the process.
- h. Jenn Strawley and Stephanie Castera, director and assistant director of academic and membership affairs, gave a presentation about new legislation adopted by the Board of Directors regarding initial-eligibility standards, two-year transfer requirements and the academic-progress rate.
- i. Dana Thomas, assistant director of social media strategies, discussed how to manage social media and strategies for student-athletes to protect their online profiles.
- j. Mary Wilfert, associate director of health and safety, updated the committee on the transgender student-athlete policy adopted by the association.

3. **Community Service.** The committee visited a local community center and worked with Samaritan's Feet to provide shoes to disadvantaged Indianapolis residents. The committee discussed the possibility of forming a partnership between Samaritan's Feet and the Division I SAAC, and will continue to engage in conversation with representatives from Samaritan's Feet about this opportunity.
4. **Cabinet and committee reports.** SAAC members who serve on Division I councils, cabinets and committees reviewed key items from reports of the most recent meetings they attended.
5. **Faculty Athletics Representative Symposium.** SAAC member Eugene Daniels updated the committee on the FAR Symposium, which included discussions related to recruiting issues, drug education and financial aid.
6. **2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum.** SAAC members Cassandra Lloyd, Alex Mendez and Logan Roberts provided the committee an overview of their experience at the 2011 Student-Athlete Leadership Forum, which took place November 3-6, 2011, in Chicago, Illinois.
7. **Joint SAAC.** The committee met jointly with the Division II and Division III SAACs for a professional development session and also received an update from John Williams, director of championships, regarding the communications structure developed to provide the SAACs with a voice in the championships and alliances department.
8. **Project Team updates.** The committee received updates from SAAC project teams, including the media team, the service team and the student-athlete voice team regarding their respective initiatives.
9. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee discussed the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence and determined that the honor will be awarded once a year rather than each semester.
10. **Attendance.** Attendance for the November meeting:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	University of Maryland, Baltimore County	Curtis Schickner	Baseball	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of Rhode Island	Tristany Leikem	Women's tennis	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	Duke University	Maddie Salamone	Women's lacrosse	Yes
Atlantic Sun Conference	Stetson University	Carolyn Boyd	Softball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	Baylor University	Logan Roberts	Men's track and field	Yes
Big East Conference	University of South Florida	Alex Mendez	Baseball	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Matthew Horn	Men's soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	University of Northern Colorado	Natasha Law	Women's volleyball	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Lauren Cochlin	Women's soccer	Yes
Big West Conference	University of California, Irvine	Jacob Yowell	Men's track and field	Yes
Colonial Athletic Conference	Virginia Commonwealth University	Jessica Williams	Women's track and field	Yes
Conference USA	Southern Methodist University	Kelvin Beachum	Football	No
Horizon League	Wright State University	Cassandra Lloyd	Indoor track and field	Yes
Ivy Group	Cornell University	Ben Swinford	Baseball	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Siena College	Kaitlyn Carew	Women's swimming	Yes

Mid-American Conference	Eastern Michigan University	Kaitlyn Vincek	Women's volleyball	Yes
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	North Carolina A&T State University	Carvell Copeland	Baseball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Men's track and field	Yes
Mountain West Conference	Colorado State University	Eugene Daniels	Football	Yes
Northeast Conference	Long Island University-Brooklyn	Nicholas Conklin	Men's soccer	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Jacksonville State University	Chelsea Pelletier	Women's soccer	Yes
Pacific -12 Conference	Oregon State University	Kevin McShane	Men's basketball	No
Patriot League	Lafayette College	Doug Prusoff	Lacrosse	No
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	No
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Chris Everett	Football	No
Southland Conference	Northwestern State University	Yaser Elqutub	Football	No
Southwestern Athletic Conference	Prairie View A&M University	William Brown	Men's golf	Yes
The Summit League	Western Illinois University	Brooke Stittleburg	Women's volleyball	No
Sun Belt Conference	University of Arkansas, Little Rock	Rachael Maina	Women's soccer	Yes
West Coast Conference	St. Mary's College of California	Missy White	Women's volleyball	No
Western Athletic Conference	University of Hawaii	Jessica Stacy	Women's swimming	Yes

Others:				
Legislative Council	Stanford University	Beth Goode, Senior Woman Administrator/ Senior Associate Athletics Director	Pacific 12 Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	University of Miami (Ohio)	Susan Lipnickey, Faculty Athletic Representative	Mid-American Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	Southern Illinois University at Carbondale	Harold Bardo, Faculty Athletic Representative	Missouri Valley Conference	Yes

11. Future meeting schedule.

- a. January 10-14, 2012, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.
- b. Spring 2012, teleconference.
- c. July 19-22, 2012, Indianapolis.
- d. Fall 2012, Legislative webinar.

Committee Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Staff Liaisons: Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Brandy Hataway, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement

**NCAA Division I (National) Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC)
2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form**

PERSONNEL					
NCAA Division I Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
2011-12 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH – BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	X			
2011-13 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL – NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	X			
2011-14 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL – NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	X			

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

Page No. 2

<p>2011-16 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL</p>	<p>To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-18 PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING – RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS – TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES</p>	<p>To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.</p>	<p>X</p>			

AMATEURISM					
Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
<p>2011-24 AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT</p>	<p>In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, a prospective student-athlete may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletic event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.</p>	<p>X</p>			

2011-25 AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- \$10,000 PER YEAR	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed \$10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	X			
--	---	---	--	--	--

AWARD, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES					
Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
2011-79 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	X			

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

<p>2011-80-A AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS</p>	<p>To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-80-B AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS—IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE</p>	<p>To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.</p>	<p>X</p>			<p>The committee favors 2011-80-B because of its immediate effective date and would possibly allow additional student-athletes an opportunity to receive expenses from an institution to participate in a national team tryout.</p>
<p>2011-81 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM</p>	<p>To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).</p>	<p>X</p>			

2011-82 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	X			
---	---	---	--	--	--

RECRUITING					
Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
2011-28-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete of his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.	X			
2011-28-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	X			The committee favors 2011-28-B because it eliminates requirement that the contact occur in conjunction with a home contest.

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

Page No. 6

<p>2011-29-A RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT</p>	<p>To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-29-B RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS</p>	<p>To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member.</p>	<p>X</p>			<p>The committee favors 2011-29-B over 2011-29-A because it provides more flexibility for when a prospective student-athlete is visiting an institution's campus and minimizes the institution's monitoring requirements.</p>
<p>2011-30 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE</p>	<p>To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.</p>		<p>X</p>		<p>The committee members opposed to this proposal expressed concerns related to the timing of and intrusiveness unlimited telephone calls would place on a prospective student-athlete. Specifically, the committee noted that early unlimited telephone calls would place undue pressure on prospective student-athletes.</p>

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

Page No. 7

<p>2011-31 RECRUITING – TELEPHONE CALLS – NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE</p>	<p>To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.</p>		<p>X</p>		<p>The committee members opposed to this proposal expressed concerns related to the timing of and intrusiveness unlimited telephone calls would place on a prospective student-athlete. Specifically, the committee noted that early unlimited telephone calls would place undue pressure on prospective student-athletes.</p>
<p>2011-34 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL – EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS – LIVE EVALUATIONS</p>	<p>In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-37 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED</p>	<p>To specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").</p>	<p>X</p>			<p>The committee noted advances in technology and the common use of text messaging as a form of communication.</p>

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

Page No. 7

<p>2011-38 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION – SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS – AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS</p>	<p>To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result an institutional staff member's action (e.g., accepting friend request or becoming a "follower" of a prospective student-athlete) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-40 RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT – STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE</p>	<p>To increase, from \$30 to \$40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from \$15 to \$20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-41 RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT</p>	<p>To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school.</p>	<p>X</p>			

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

<p>2011-45</p> <p>RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL</p>	<p>In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-46</p> <p>RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL</p>	<p>In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/ intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-47</p> <p>RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL</p>	<p>In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.</p>	<p>X</p>			

ATTACHMENT

2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form

Page No. 9

<p>2011-48 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL</p>	<p>In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-53 RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS</p>	<p>To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.</p>	<p>X</p>			
<p>2011-61 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT- ATHLETES</p>	<p>In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.</p>		<p>X</p>		<p>The committee noted the limitations that this proposals placed limitations on a student-athlete's opportunity for employment.</p>

ELIGIBILITY					
Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
2011-63 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/ POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY	To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).	X			
2011-64 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE – FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.		X		
2011-65 ELIGIBILITY – TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS – YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.	X			

FINANCIAL AID					
Proposal No. and Title	Intent	Support	Oppose	Split	Comments
2011-73 FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.	X			
2011-74 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	X			
2011-75 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.	X			

ATTACHMENT
 2011-12 Conference SAAC Voting Form
 Page No. 12

2011-76 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS	To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.	X			
2011-77 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT – BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE – EXCEPTION – FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	X			

**NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues
Guiding Principles**

NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet's guiding principles identified, in order of significance, for consideration when reviewing legislative proposals or other recruiting issues:

1. Adherence to the principle governing recruiting set forth in NCAA Constitution 2.11. Specifically, that the recruiting process involves a balancing of the interests of prospective student-athletes, their educational institutions and the Association's member institutions and that recruiting regulations be designed to promote equity among member institutions in recruiting of prospective student-athletes and to shield them from undue pressures that may interfere with the scholastic or athletics interests of the prospective student-athletes or their educational institutions;
2. Commitment to student-athlete well-being and coaches' quality of life (e.g., time commitment) issues;
3. Ensure legislation is written in a simple, clear and direct manner;
4. Consideration of cost implications to institutions;
5. Consideration of whether or not sport-specific legislative proposals can be expanded to include other sports;
6. Consideration of the amount of time legislation has been in effect in order to assess the full impact before supporting a legislative change to the same bylaws;
7. Whenever possible, ensure feedback has been solicited from appropriate external groups (e.g., coaches associations, national governing bodies) on issues, particularly in reviewing legislative proposals that are sport specific.
8. Examine potential unintended consequences when reviewing legislation, particularly proposals designated as noncontroversial or emergency; and
9. Consideration of legislative proposals that focus recruiting practices on scholastic activities.

**REPORT OF THE JANUARY 14, 2012, MEETING OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

1. **Report of the October 27, 2011, Board of Directors Meeting.** The Board approved the report of its October 27, 2011, meeting. (Unanimous voice vote)

2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert reported the following:
 - a. **Men's College Basketball Officiating, LLC (MCBO) Sportsmanship Initiative.** The Board was informed that the Competition Committee of the MCBO has developed a plan to address on-court sportsmanship and behavior of coaches, players and officials in an effort to elevate the image of the sport and positively impact the game environment.

 - b. **Institutional Integrity and Shared Responsibility.** President Emmert noted the need to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility, particularly in determining the appropriate role for decentralizing rules and the nature of the rules for which institutions should be held accountable. William Powers, president of the University of Texas, joined the meeting and suggested that in order to address institutional integrity issues, risk management programs should be applied across university campuses and include the athletics department. He noted that a modern risk enterprise and sentencing guidelines approach could assist institutions in addressing many of the compliance and enforcement issues facing athletics departments today. President Emmert noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model – Rules and Enforcement Working Groups, he plans to create a new working group to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility.

3. **Representation on the Division I Board Directors.** Greg Dell'Omo, vice-chair of the Northeast Conference President's Council and member of the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group, raised with the Division I Board of Directors the issue of full representation on the Board. Several of the nonFootball Bowl Subdivision (FBS) members of the Board expressed concern that without full representation, there are a significant number of conferences that do not feel they have a voice in the current governance structure. President Emmert noted that he will bring back to the Board in August a proposal that will suggest how presidents can engage in a conversation about governance models.

4. Presidential Retreat Initiatives.

- a. NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.** Walt Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance, presented the following recommendations:

- (1) That the Board of Directors reinstate Proposal No. 2011-65 – Eligibility – Two-Year College Transfers – Year of Academic Readiness at Two-Year College to the 2011-12 legislative cycle and table it.

BOARD ACTION: The Board agreed to reinstate the proposal and then moved to tabled it. (Unanimous Voice Vote)

- (2) That the Board approve the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Waiver Directive. [See Attachment A for details of the APP Penalty Waiver Directive.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the APP Penalty Waiver Directive. (Unanimous Voice Vote)

- (3) That the Board approve an amendment to the APP policies and procedures providing an additional waiver appeal opportunity for teams that do not meet the postseason competition academic requirements.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the amendment to the APP policies and procedures as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote)

- b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group.** Sidney McPhee, chair of the Student-Athlete Well-Being Group, presented the following recommendations based upon reconsideration of the legislation by the working group and comments and discussion by membership groups during the 2012 NCAA Convention:

- (1) That in its reconsideration of Proposal No. 2011-97 – “Multi-Year Grants in Aid,” the Board of Directors should reaffirm its original action to adopt the proposal.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to reaffirm its original action to adopt Proposal No. 2011-97. [For 13 (Adams, Albrecht, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Millner, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 2 (Bailey, Meehan).] As a result of this action, the proposal will proceed to an on-line one vote per active member institution and multi-

sport conference override vote. It is anticipated that the vote will take place in February.

- (2) That in its reconsideration of Proposal No. 2011-96 “ Miscellaneous Expense Allowance,” the Board should take the following actions:
 - (a) Rescind the portion of the proposal that provided that all nonathletics financial aid will no longer count toward team limits.
 - (b) Charge the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group with developing an alternative proposal that includes the \$2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance and considers the options below, as well as a need-based component, for review by the Board at its April meeting. It was noted that the proposal would apply to grants-in-aid, effective for the 2013-14 academic year.
 - i. Increase the “denominator” of a full and equivalency (value) “grants-in-aid” in effect by \$2,000 (not to exceed the institution’s cost of attendance).
 - ii. Maintain a value of a full grant-in-aid at its current level, and establish an “exempt” category of miscellaneous expense funds that are designated on team squad lists for use at the institution’s discretion to award up to \$2,000 (not to exceed cost of attendance) for full grant recipients, as well as to provide up to the proportionate amount of applicable funds to any or all equivalency grant recipients.

BOARD ACTION: The Board reaffirmed its support for the \$2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance, but directed the Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group to come back to the Board in April with an alternative proposal that considers the issues above as well as recommendations for implementation. [For 11 (Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 4 (Adams, Albrecht, Millner, Peters).] This action renders Proposal No. 2011-96 moot and a new legislative proposal as described above will be considered by the Board during its April meeting, which then will subject such new legislation to a 60-day request for override vote period

- c. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group.** Mike Adams, chair of the Resource Allocation Working Group, presented the following recommendations:

- (1) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, for the elimination of institutional foreign tours that occur at any time. Signed contracts dated previous to January 14, 2012, for scheduled institutional foreign tours will be honored.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat the legislation as recommended. [For 2 (Adams, Millner), Against 13 (Albrecht, Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White).]

- (2) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2012, to reduce the maximum number of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) scholarships (counters) from 85 to 80, with a proportional decrease in the maximum number of scholarships (equivalencies) in Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) from 63 to 60, with 80 overall counters.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat legislation to reduce scholarships in FBS football. [For 4 (Adams, Albrecht, Peters, Schmidly), Against 6 (Bailey, Genshaft, Hatch, McPhee, Ray, Simon).]

[Note: The recommendation for a reduction in FCS scholarships will be reviewed by the FCS presidents of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group in April, inasmuch as that group has final authority to act on legislation specific to FCS football.]

- (3) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to defeat legislation to reduce scholarships in women's basketball. [For 7 (Adams, Albrecht, Beauchamp, Millner, Peters, Schmidly, White), Against 8 (Bailey, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Ray, Simon).]

- (4) That the Board adopt a resolution, which specifies:

- That an immediate moratorium be instituted to cap the number of contests/dates of competition at the levels that currently exist in all sports;
- That the NCAA Division I Board of Directors commission a study to determine the maximum number of contests/dates of competition of the playing season (championship and nonchampionship segments) that is essential to the success of each NCAA sport. In addition, the Working

Group recommends that the scope of this study specifically examine how basketball contests are counted, and

- That once the study is completed and the appropriate contest/dates of competition limits are in place for each sport, that those limits remain in place for 10 years.

BOARD ACTION: The Board adopted the resolution. (Unanimous voice vote)

- (5) That the Board adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2013, that limits the number of noncoaching staff members in the sports of football and men's basketball as follows:
 - (a) In football, a limit of 12 noncoaching staff members, whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by an institution. The 12 noncoaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.
 - (b) In men's basketball, a limit of six noncoaching staff members, whose duties include support of the men's basketball program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by the institution. The six noncoaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.

BOARD ACTION: The Board expressed interest in taking action to address this matter, but voted to table these recommendations for reconsideration at its April meeting, and asked that additional information and feedback be gathered from the membership regarding appropriate number limitations. [For 13 (Adams, Bailey, Beauchamp, Genshaft, Hatch, Hopkins, McPhee, Meehan, Peters, Ray, Schmidly, Simon, White), Against 2 (Albrecht, Millner).]

- d. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group.** Kevin Lennon, NCAA vice president of academic and membership affairs, presented the following Rules Working Group recommendations:

- (1) That the Board support the general approach outlined for a new regulatory structure, which includes developing principle-based outcomes to ensure that NCAA rules are value-based, meaningful, enforceable and supportive of the

collegiate model of sport. The Board noted its support for the new regulatory structure.

- (2) That the Board endorse a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of presidential reform agenda).

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to apply a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle, unless it is part of the presidential agenda. (Unanimous voice vote)

- e. **Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group.** Ed Ray, chair of the Enforcement Working Group, and Julie Roe Lach, NCAA vice president of enforcement, updated the Board on the working group's progress with creating a multi-level NCAA rules violation structure, an enhanced penalty structure for NCAA rules infractions and re-establishing a sense of shared responsibility among the interested individuals and entities in intercollegiate athletics.

5. Division I Governance Structure Update.

- a. **Report of the January 12, 2012, Meeting of the Leadership Council.** Mike Alden, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, reported on the January 12 meeting of the Council and presented the following recommendations:

- (1) Summer Access to Men's Basketball Student-Athletes. That the Board take action to adopt a summer access model that permits institutional staff members to conduct or supervise summer athletics activities in accordance with specified requirements (i.e., enrollment in summer school, meeting opt-out academic benchmarks). [Note: See Attachment B for details of the summer access model.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to adopt the summer access model as recommended, effective immediately. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (2) Men's Basketball Recruiting Model – On Campus Evaluations. That the Board of Directors take final action to adopt provisions for on-campus evaluations (OCE) of prospective student-athletes. [Note: See Attachment C for provisions of OCEs.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to adopt the provisions for on-campus evaluations as recommended, effective immediately. (Unanimous voice vote.)

b. Report of the January 11-12, 2012, Meeting of the Division I Legislative Council. Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group, the Legislative Council tabled 49 proposals. In addition, the Council took the following actions:

- (1) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-23 -- Amateurism -- Definitions And Applications -- Agent, which specifies that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.
- (2) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-45 -- Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice Or Competition And Noninstitutional Camps And Clinics -- Women's Basketball, which specifies that in women's basketball, an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, specifies that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.
- (3) Voted to adopt Proposal No. 2011-46 -- Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice Or Competition And Noninstitutional Camps Or Clinics -- Football, which specifies that in the sport of football, an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.

- (4) Voted to defeat Proposal No. 2011-64 -- Eligibility -- Seasons Of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons Of Eligibility – Football, which specifies that a student-athlete in the sport of football shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.
6. **Supplemental Distribution.** Ed Ray, chair of the Executive Committee, informed the Board that the Finance Committee recommended that the Executive Committee approve a supplemental distribution of approximately \$36,000,000 to be dispensed to the Division I membership.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to approve the recommendation of the Finance Committee and authorized distribution of a Division I supplemental distribution of \$36,000,000 to mutli-sport conferences. (Unanimous voice vote) [Note: Ann Millner was not present.]

7. **Status Report on Review of NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Program.** Troy Arthur, NCAA director of academic and membership affairs, updated the Board on the work of the NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Committee in its review of the Division I Athletics Certification program. The Board received information regarding proposed name changes to the certification program and the committee, system development, organizational changes and the accountability spectrum.
8. **NCAA Membership – Accreditation Policy.** The Board reviewed a proposed Association-wide membership accreditation policy that shall be used to assist in determining whether an active or provisional NCAA member institution meets the applicable membership requirements regarding accreditation as further set forth in Article 3 of the NCAA Constitution.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to endorse the policy. (Unanimous voice vote) [Note: Ann Millner was not present.]

9. **Future Meeting Dates.**
- a. April 25-26, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

[Note: There will be a joint meeting of the Division I Presidential Advisory Group and the Board of Directors on Wednesday, April, 25, 2012, from 1-5 p.m. to review and discuss reports of several of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups. The Board of Directors will convene its regular meeting on Thursday, April 26, 2012.]

- b. August, 2, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- c. Tuesday, October 30, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- d. January 19, 2013, Grapevine, Texas.

Board of Directors chair: Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance

Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

Division I Board of Directors January 14, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Michael Adams, University of Georgia, Southeastern Conference (alternate)	William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference	Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference	David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference	Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference, chair	
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference	
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Horizon League	
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference	
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference	

Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference	
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American University	
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-12 Conference	
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference	
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference	
Timothy White, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell	
Other Guests: William Powers, University of Texas	
Other NCAA staff members in attendance for portions of the meeting: Troy Arthur, Erik Christianson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Amy Dunham, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Kathleen McNeely, Jarrett Newby, Delise O'Meally, Stacey Osburn, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Denny Poppe, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Julie Roe Lach, Ronnie Ramos, Crissy Schlupe, Dave Schnase, Greg Shaheen, Jennifer Strawley, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters, Bob Williams and Niu Xiaomu.	

NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance
Academic Performance Program Access to Postseason and
Penalty Waiver Directive

Background.

The central purpose of the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) is to ensure that the NCAA Division I membership is dedicated to providing student-athletes with an exemplary education and intercollegiate athletics experience in an environment that recognizes and supports the primacy of the academic mission of its member institutions while enhancing the ability of student-athletes to earn a degree.

When a team's academic performance, measured by the multiyear NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR), falls below 930, that team becomes ineligible for postseason competition and is subject to penalties. The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance has established a transition period in which the APP penalty benchmark will be 900 in 2011-12 and 2012-13. In addition, filters are applied to teams' data to account for improvement and resources in the penalty calculation. NCAA Division I Bylaws 18.4.2.3.1, 23.2.2.3 and 23.3 provide for waivers of APP penalties or access to postseason competition. The committee has approved this directive to provide guidance to the NCAA staff and the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Subcommittee on Appeals in reviewing APP penalty waiver requests.

Guiding Principles.

1. Requests to waive ineligibility for postseason and APP Level One, Two and Three penalties will be considered independently. The requests are filed simultaneously, but the access to postseason competition and APP penalties have different standards for relief.
2. APP penalty and postseason waiver requests involve a review of the entire athletics team's overall academic performance. The APR is a team rate and not based on the academic performance of a single student-athlete. Therefore, the review of waiver requests shall consider all student-athletes included in the team's multiyear APR. This approach considers the loss of all APR points, not just those of select students. This approach could be referred to as the "top-down approach" (e.g., start at an APR of 1000 and explain the loss of all points).
3. APR Improvement Plans are reviewed with the waiver request. Plans should be designed to assist teams in achieving APRs above the penalty benchmarks in a reasonable time by identifying and addressing issues impacting a team's APR with measurable goals, steps to achieve the stated goals and a timetable for implementation.

4. The identification of academically under-performing teams that are subject to an APP penalty includes consideration of resource level and squad size. Therefore, the staff/subcommittee/committee will generally not consider these elements in its review of APP penalty waiver or loss of access to postseason waiver requests.

Waivers of Ineligibility for Postseason Competition.

1. First Occasion Team is Ineligible for Postseason Competition. Institutions are permitted to submit a waiver request the first occasion a team is subject to postseason competition ineligibility. The committee has established a high threshold for relief in these cases and generally relief will not be provided.
 - Factors to be Considered. The staff/subcommittee will consider the following factors in reviewing such a request:
 - (1) Extraordinary Mitigating Circumstances. The institution's cited mitigation must be clearly out of the control of the institution, the athletics department and the team's student-athletes. It must pertain to matters not previously addressed in the APP (e.g., small squad size, institutional mission). Finally it must have impacted the team over the multiple years that make up the four-year APR. An example of mitigation that would be considered extraordinary is a natural disaster that impact a team's APR over multiple years. An example of mitigation that would not be considered extraordinary would be head coaching change, significant leadership change at the institution, or institutional reclassification.
 - (2) APR Improvement Plan. An institution's commitment to improving the penalized team's academic performance as outlined in the APR Improvement Plan must be considered in reviewing a waiver requesting relief from postseason competition ineligibility. Plans will be reviewed for focus on critical areas impacting academic success as well as other components demonstrating the institution's accountability toward meeting the stated goals of the APP (e.g., graduating student-athletes).

- (3) **Academic Factors.** A waiver request must include a comprehensive review of the team's historical academic trends to determine if the team's placement in the APP penalty structure is the result of habitual underperformance or the result of some anomaly event (see the list on Page No. 4). Trending will also be evaluated to determine if the team is making progress with respect to the penalty benchmark.
 - (4) **Alternative Penalty Options.** An institution may request that an alternative penalty be imposed in lieu of postseason ineligibility. The institution must include an explanation of how the alternate penalty equates to a loss of postseason access.
2. **Second Occasion Team is subject to Loss of Postseason Competition.** Institutions are permitted to request a waiver of a team's loss of access to postseason competition.
 - **Factors to be Considered.** The second or subsequent time a team loses access to postseason competition, the staff/subcommittee/committee will review the request using the same factors used in considering an APP penalty waiver (see Page Nos. 4 – 7 for more information). If a team has demonstrated meaningful improvement and some of the factors in item 3-b below are present, the level of mitigation required to receive relief may be less stringent than what is required the first occasion a team was ineligible for postseason competition.
3. **Notes on Outcomes.** A loss of access to postseason competition waiver request will be approved, conditionally approved or denied.
 - a. First occasion loss of access to postseason waiver requests should be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate extraordinary mitigating circumstances as described on Page No. 2. Other factors listed in item number one above will be examined, but absent extraordinary mitigation are not likely to result in an approval.
 - b. Second and subsequent waivers of loss of access to postseason competition should be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate:
 - (1) Significant academic improvement that is sustainable;

- (2) Mitigating circumstances as defined on Page No. 7;
- (3) An APR Improvement Plan that demonstrates the institution's commitment to improving the team's academic performance by identifying critical issues that have impacted the team's APR and addressing those issues with clear steps and measurable goals; and
- (4) An expectation that the team will achieve an APR of 930 or higher in a reasonable period of time.

APP Penalty Waivers.

Institutions are permitted to request a waiver of a team's APP penalties. The staff/subcommittee/committee will consider the following factors in reviewing such a request:

1. Academic Factors. Evaluating a team's academic performance is an important part of the APP penalty waiver process. The staff/subcommittee/committee's review of a team's academic performance may include consideration of the following elements:
 - a. A comprehensive review of the team's historical APP performance, including any penalty history and academic trends to determine if the team's placement in the APP penalty structure is the result of habitual underperformance or the result of some anomaly event. Trending will also be evaluated to determine if the team is making sustainable progress toward the penalty benchmark.
 - b. The team's Graduation Success Rate and Federal Graduation Rate, if available.
 - c. Eligibility and Retention. The team's eligibility and retention will be compared against the following:
 - (1) All other Division I teams in the same sport.
 - (2) The institution's teams.
 - d. The team's academic profile including hours earned, grade-point average, eligibility and retention points.

- e. The academic profile of the team when admitted to the member institution, including admissions status as compared to the general student-body and/or other student-athletes at the institution.
 - f. The number of graduates the team has generated over the four years that make up the multiyear rate.
 - g. The number of student-athletes who were not academically eligible and not retained included in the multiyear APR.
 - h. Other academic data elements that may be relevant to the case.
2. Other Factors. The staff/subcommittee/committee's review of a team's academic performance may also include consideration of the following elements:
- a. Size of variance between the team's APR and the applicable APP penalty benchmark (930).
 - b. The team's single-year APRs that comprise the multiyear rate. Specifically, the number of single-year APRs above or below the penalty benchmarks (930).
 - c. The institution's history of implementation of its APR Improvement Plan as well as the current plan's ability to address critical issues.
 - d. Mitigating circumstances that have affected the team's APR (see below).
 - e. An institution may request that an alternative penalty be imposed in lieu of the assigned APP penalty or a penalty option from the menu offered at Level Three. Should an institution offer an alternative penalty, the staff/committee/subcommittee would consider the various factors as well as the alternate penalty in the decision. The institution must demonstrate how the alternate penalty equates to the penalty it would replace.
 - f. Teams asserting that they have advanced in the penalty structure due to corrections to APP data identified in an APP data review must demonstrate that the current penalty is due to a lost opportunity for the institution to identify academic issues impacting the teams academic performance; to develop an appropriate APR Improvement Plan and to have an opportunity to rectify academic issues. If the institution can demonstrate this lost opportunity to identify and correct academic issues

affecting the team's academic performance the staff and/or subcommittee/committee may consider this a mitigating circumstance warranting relief from a penalty, however all such requests will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to allow for other factors to be reviewed as well.

3. Mitigating Circumstances. Circumstances will be considered as compelling mitigating factors if the institution can demonstrate that it had a direct correlation to the team's ability to earn eligibility/graduation and/or retention points supported by objective documentation. The institution may reference mitigation that was considered in a previous waiver request if the impact of the mitigation is evidenced in the current APR. Waiver decisions will analyze those circumstances that may be unique events resulting in academically low performing year(s) versus habitually underperforming teams. A team's APR that is negatively affected by a unique one-time circumstance, but otherwise is not historically an underperforming team, should be treated differently than a team that has a history and pattern of academic underachievement.

Circumstances not considered compelling mitigation may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Unreasonable reliance by a student-athlete on misinformation from an institutional staff member (e.g., misadvisement);
- b. Institutional lack of understanding regarding the APP;
- c. Failure to develop and implement an APR Improvement Plan;
- d. Conferences and/or institutions with more stringent academic standards than NCAA Division I progress-toward-degree requirements; or
- e. Circumstances submitted in a request to receive an adjustment of an individual student-athlete's APR retention and/or eligibility or graduation point if the institution received relief for those circumstances by way of an APR adjustment.

Institutions are limited to submitting information concerning mitigating circumstances only for the academic years used to calculate that team's current multiyear APR. However, the staff, subcommittee and committee reserve the right to consider any relevant information that would explain the team's historical performance.

4. Level Three Penalty Options. An institution with a team subject to Level Three penalties must self-impose penalties from a list of menu options. These penalties are in addition to the prescribed penalties. The institution may also elect to request an alternative penalty be imposed. The staff/committee will consider the institution's self-imposed penalty elements in the waiver decision. The staff/committee can also prescribe additional penalties for the team.
5. Notes on Outcomes. An APP penalty waiver request will be partially or fully approved or conditionally approved, or denied. Requests will likely be denied if the institution cannot demonstrate:
 - a. Significant academic improvement that is sustainable; OR
 - b. The team is performing well academically but for one or more years of APP data impacted by compelling, documented mitigating circumstances;
 - c. An APR Improvement Plan that demonstrates the institution's commitment to improving the team's academic performance by identifying critical issues that have impacted the team's APR and addressing those issues with clear steps and measurable goals;
 - d. An expectation that the team will achieve an APR of 930 or higher in a reasonable period of time; and
 - e. Appropriate self-imposed penalties at Level Three.

Use of Conditional Approvals.

Waivers of APP penalties and ineligibility for postseason competition may receive conditional approval. A waiver that is conditionally approved does not waive the team's penalty unless the team satisfies the stated condition(s). These conditions may include, but are not limited to:

1. Acceptable implementation of the institution's written APR Improvement Plan;
2. Attendance at mandatory educational sessions;
3. Meeting or maintaining single-year eligibility and retention goals and/or, meeting or maintaining a specified single-year APR without the inclusion of delayed-graduation points;

4. Requiring the institution to implement certain elements of its APR Improvement Plan determined to be “critical” to improved academic performance;
5. Requiring the institution to demonstrate it has satisfied its commitment of resources to enhance academic support initiatives that are part of the institution’s APR Improvement Plan or cited in its APP penalty waiver/hearing rationale;
6. Requiring the institution to impose limits, restrictions or penalties that are part of its APR Improvement Plan or cited in its waiver/hearing rationale (e.g., withhold a head coach from contests);
7. Requiring an institution to use the NCAA Facilitating Learning and Achieving Graduation/Graduation Risk Overview program; and
8. Requiring an institution comply with identified minimal academic profiles for entering student-athletes that are part of its APR Improvement Plan or its waiver/hearing rationale. (*Revised: 10/2008;10/2010*)

An institution/team that fails to meet the stated condition(s) by the given timeframe shall have the waiver decision converted to a denial and the APP penalty must be applied to the team. The institution must impose the applicable penalty within the prescribed period of time.

Review of Conditionally Approved Penalty Waivers.

At some point established by the committee, such as the start of the academic year following the year in which the waiver was conditionally approved, the staff verifies that all established conditions were met (e.g., single-year APR, acceptable implementation of APR Improvement Plan). If the staff concludes that the team has not satisfied the condition(s) of the penalty waiver, the subcommittee and staff may consider mitigating circumstances presented by the institution. Such reviews shall occur on a case-by-case basis, and shall include consideration of any mitigation for the team’s failure to reach the target APR, as well as the totality of the team’s circumstances with regard to the imposed conditions. In such cases, the staff will review factors including improvement in the single-year and multiyear APR, how close the team came to meeting the target and mitigating circumstances. This action does not change an institution’s opportunity to explain why it failed to meet the condition(s) or to appeal a decision by the staff to the subcommittee. The subcommittee will hear such appeals via teleconference. The subcommittee’s decision is final.

Finally, if it is determined that a conditional waiver is not satisfied and the waiver is denied, the institution must impose the resulting penalties in the time period prescribed by the committee, but generally the academic year following denial of the appeal or, if there was no appeal, following determination that the conditions were not met (e.g., determined condition was not met in 2011-12 results in the imposition of the penalties in 2012-13).

If the team is subject to a penalty in the next academic year, the team is potentially subject to the penalty level that was conditionally waived and the next penalty level if it fails to meet the conditions of the waived penalty.

APR Improvement Plans.

APR Improvement Plans are meant to encourage institutions that have a team with an APR below 930 to implement strategies to improve the academic performance, retention and graduation of the student-athletes on the team. Institutions seeking relief from an APP penalty are expected to demonstrate that the team's academic performance will improve and will achieve an APR of 930 in a reasonable period of time. Therefore, APR Improvement Plans submitted with a waiver will be reviewed as follows:

1. Institutions/teams will be accountable for identifying issues impacting the penalized team's ability to move its APR above the established penalty benchmark. The following shall be addressed:
 - a. Identify any issues impacting the academic performance, retention and graduation of the team's student-athletes and develop specific and measurable goals to address the issues, steps to meet the goals, timetable for implementation and persons responsible for each step outlined in the plan.
 - b. Identify specific target APR goals for the team(s) for the current academic year that will assist the team in meeting the 930 benchmark in a reasonable period of time.
 - c. Identify all steps the institution has taken toward implementation of any previous APR Improvement Plan and progress toward the measurable goals.

2. If an institution fails to create and submit an acceptable APR Improvement Plan, as defined by the committee, there is a presumption that any penalty waiver request will be denied.

Summer Access to Men’s Basketball Student-Athletes

	Incoming Freshman, and Two-Year and Four-Year College Transfers	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the First Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Second Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Third Year of Collegiate Enrollment
Requirements for Summer Access to Student-Athletes	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school.</p> <p>*For incoming freshmen at national service academies participating in basic training programs, enrollment in summer school would not be necessary.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 grade point average (GPA) and have successfully completed 30 semester/45 quarter credit hours.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 50 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 75 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program</p>
<p>Summer Access = Eight hours per week of weight training, conditioning and skill instruction (two-hour limit on skill instruction) for a maximum of eight weeks. Summer access may occur only when student-athlete is enrolled in summer school (e.g., six-week summer school session would permit participation in athletics activities for only six weeks), unless student-athlete meets the specified opt-out academic benchmarks.</p>				
<p>Effective Date: Immediate.</p>				

On-Campus Evaluations (OCE) -- Men's Basketball Prospective Student-Athletes
Effective Date: Immediate

Who may Participate	The OCE shall involve only high-school seniors and two-year college prospects who have exhausted eligibility or four-year transfer student-athletes.
When	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE shall not be conducted prior to the conclusion of the prospect's season and may be conducted no later than the opening day of the institution's fall term. • The OCE shall be conducted during a prospect's official or unofficial visit.
Details of OCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE may be no longer than two hours in duration and may involve the institution's enrolled student-athletes. The OCE must be included in the institution's 20-hours of countable athletically related activities if it occurs during the institution's playing season or during the institution's two hour of skill instruction (as part of the eight hours per week) if conducted outside the playing season. • Current prohibitions regarding activities for enrolled student-athletes one week prior to final exams would remain applicable.
How Many	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An institution may provide only one OCE per prospect. • The rules governing OCEs apply separately to the time period in which a prospect completes high school eligibility and to the time period after the prospect enrolls in a collegiate institution.
Health and Safety Issues	Additional regulations related to the health and safety of the OCE participants (e.g., medical examinations) that currently exist in the Division II tryout model also will apply.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL MEETING
JANUARY 11-12, 2012**

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Report of the October 27, 2011, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council received a report of the October 27, 2011, Board of Directors meeting and took no action.
2. **Report of the November 30, 2011, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference.** The council received a report of the November 30, 2011, Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference and took no action.
3. **Presidential Retreat Working Group updates.** The council received information and engaged in discussions related to the latest meetings and actions taken by the various Presidential Retreat Working Groups. The council offered feedback regarding various concepts and the feedback will be provided to the appropriate working groups. No action was taken.
4. **Litigation Update.** Scott Bearby, NCAA managing director of legal affairs and deputy general counsel, provided the update to the council.
5. **Legislative Actions.** A list of the council's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

[Note: Per NCAA Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.1, legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Board of Directors may restore a proposal defeated on initial review by the Legislative Council. The Board of Directors may forward the proposal to the membership for review and comment in its original form or amend the proposal and forward it for review and comment. The Board of Directors also may adopt the proposal in its original form or amend and adopt it.]

6. **Report of the December 1-3, 2011, NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.
7. **Report of the November 18-20, 2011, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.
8. **Report of the January 10, 2012, NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee meeting.** The council received the report of the legislative actions taken by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee during its January 10, 2012, meeting. A list of the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee's legislative actions may be found in Attachment A and detailed voting results may be found in Attachment B.

[Note: Legislation adopted by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee shall be subject to possible review by the NCAA Division I Presidential Advisory Group. At its discretion, the Presidential Advisory Group may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee. Further, per Constitution 5.3.2.2.4.2, the Presidential Advisory Group may restore a proposal defeated on initial review by the Football Championship Subdivision Governance Committee. The Presidential Advisory Group may forward the proposal to the membership for review and comment in its original form or amend the proposal and forward it for review and comment. The Presidential Advisory Group also may adopt the proposal in its original form or amend and adopt it.]
9. **Report of the November 3-4, 2011, NCAA Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct meeting.** The council received the report and took no action.
10. **Election of chair and vice chair at April meeting.** The council received information regarding the expectations and process for electing a new chair and vice chair during its April meeting.
11. **Feedback on online override voting system.** The council received information regarding the recently completed online voting process for the override vote on Proposal No. 2009-100-A. No action was taken.

- 12. Update on NCAA Division I Manual Publications.** The council received information regarding upcoming electronic publications of the NCAA Division I Manual. No action was taken.

Council Chair: Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League

*Council Liaisons: Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs*

January 11-12, 2012	
Attendees	Absentees
Alicia Alford, Sacred Heart University, Northeast Conference	
Lindsey Babcock, Atlantic Coast Conference	
Matt Banker, Ohio Valley Conference	
Jeff Bolin, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Paul Bowden, George Mason University, Colonial Athletic Conference	
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League	
Kim Capriotti, Jacksonville University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Eugene Daniels, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Joseph D'Antonio Jr., Big East Conference	
Meredith Eaker, Liberty University, Big South Conference	
Shaney Fink, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
David Flores, Big 12 Conference	
Jean Gee, University of Montana, Big South Conference	
Beth Goode, Stanford University, Pac-12 Conference	
Derrick Gragg, Eastern Michigan University, Mid-American Conference	
Kathy Heylens, South Dakota State University, Summit League	
Elaine Jacobs, Youngstown State University, Horizon League	

Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council

January 11-12, 2012, Meeting

Page No. 4

Richard Johnson, Wofford College, Southern Conference	
Kathy Keene, Sun Belt Conference	
Bill Maher, Canisius College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Cindy Masner, Long Beach State University, Big West Conference	
Stephanie McDonald, Southland Conference	
Kaitlyn McKittrick, Lafayette College, Patriot League	
Mary Mulvenna, America East Conference	
Edward Pasque, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Robert Philippi, Conference USA	
Ashley Robinson, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Janice Ruggiero, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference	
Greg Sankey, Southeastern Conference	
Maddie Salamone, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Rob Spear, University of Idaho, Western Athletic Conference	
Greg Walter, Missouri Valley Conference	
Quintin Wright, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
Other Participants Scott Bearby, David Berst, Kelly Brooks, Jackie Campbell, Erik Christianson, Mark Emmert, Jennifer Henderson, Michelle Hosick, Marta Lawrence, Kevin Lennon, Jarrett Newby, Wally Renfro, Dave Schnase	

NCAA Division I Legislative Council January 2012 Legislative Actions

1. Proposals Recommended as Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2012-1	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- LEGAL RESIDENCE AND ADJOINING STATES -- EXCEPTIONS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	In basketball, to establish exceptions to the requirement that participants on a nonscholastic team that participates in a certified event must be legal residents of the state in which the team is located or a geographically adjoining state and not more than a total of three prospective student-athletes from adjoining states may participate on any one nonscholastic team.	Supported as noncontroversial legislation. Adopted.
	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR CHAMPIONSHIPS -- INELIGIBILITY FOR USE OF BANNED DRUGS -- MANIPULATION OF DRUG TEST SAMPLE	NCAA Division I Championship/ Sports Management Cabinet (Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports)	August 1, 2012, for tests occurring on or after August 1, 2012.	To increase the penalty for a student-athlete who is involved in a case of clearly observed manipulation of an NCAA drug test sample to the loss of a minimum of two seasons of competition in all sports, as specified.	Not supported as noncontroversial or emergency legislation.

2. Actions Related to 2011-12 Legislative Cycle Proposals.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-11	ORGANIZATION -- GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE -- REMOVAL OF BYLAW 21	NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that the Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; further, to remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.	Not moved.
2011-12	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BASKETBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-13	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	Big East Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a football bowl subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	Pac-12 Conference, Big Ten Conference and Mid-American Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-15	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-16	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL	Southern Conference	Immediate	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified; further, to specify that an individual who serves as a undergraduate assistant coach pursuant to the exception shall forfeit any remaining eligibility in football at the certifying institution.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-17	PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS -- ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME -- PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	Big 12 Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME - - FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-20	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-21	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME - - EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD	Big East Conference and Big 12 Conference	August 1, 2012	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-22	PERSONNEL -- BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, there shall be a limit of 17 individuals who may occupy the team bench, not including student-athletes who are actively engaged in the competition.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-23	AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- AGENT	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-24	AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-25	AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- \$10,000 PER YEAR	NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet	Immediate	In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed \$10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-26	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	Immediate	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Adopted.
2011-27	AMATEURISM AND EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS AND ADVERTISING AND SPONSORSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE EVENTS -- PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, including regular season and postseason events, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such; and that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such; further, to eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-28-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled home athletics event shall be permissible.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-28-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- ON-CAMPUS CONTACT WITH RELATIVES OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	Big East Conference	Immediate	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians accompanying the prospective student-athlete and the relatives (traditional or nontraditional) or legal guardians of an enrolled student-athlete shall be permissible.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-29-A	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-29-B	RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS	NCAA Division I Legislative Council	Immediate	To specify that off-campus, in-person contact between an enrolled student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete is permissible, provided such contact does not occur at the direction of an institutional staff member.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-30	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-31	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-32	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS - EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	Immediate	To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-33	RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING PERSON DAYS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-34	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS -- LIVE EVALUATIONS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-37	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; further, to specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-38	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION -- SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS -- AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's (or the prospective student-athlete's parents' or legal guardians') request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-39	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS -- CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	Southern Conference	Immediate	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-40	RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To increase, from \$30 to \$40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from \$15 to \$20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-41	RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	Big South Conference	June 15, 2012	To specify that an individual may not make an athletically related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15 at the conclusion of his or her freshman year in high school.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-43	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION -- LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Adopted.
2011-44	RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Forwarded to the membership for review and comment.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-45	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	Immediate; contracts signed before June 28, 2011, may be honored.	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate; a contract signed before August 15, 2011, may be honored.	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted.
2011-47	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-48	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL	Big South Conference	Immediate	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coach to engage in recruiting conversations with a prospective student-athlete during the institution's camps or clinics.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-49	RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS -- NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH EXCEPTION -- CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	Mid-American Conference	Immediate	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-50	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	Big East Conference, Conference USA and Mountain West Conference	Immediate	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted. Division I: Adopted.
2011-51	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	Pac-12 Conference	August 1, 2012	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Proposal rendered moot by the adoption of Proposal No. 2011-50.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-52	RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- NCAA APPROVAL -- BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	Southeastern Conference	Immediate for implementation of the approval process; June 1, 2012, for application of legislation.	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted. Division I: Adopted.
2011-53	RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-54	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	Atlantic Coast Conference	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-55	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- EARLY JANUARY DEAD PERIOD	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to revise the recruiting calendar to specify that January 4 through the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association shall be a dead period.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-56	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FENCING	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In fencing, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-57	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FIELD HOCKEY	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 12, 2012	In field hockey, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-58	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S GYMNASTICS	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In women's gymnastics, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-60	RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WRESTLING	NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet	August 1, 2012	In wrestling, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-61	RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES	Atlantic Coast Conference	Immediate	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-62	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FORM -- INTERNATIONAL STUDENT-ATHLETE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form.	Adopted.
2011-63	ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY	Pac-12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-64	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE -- FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL	Colonial Athletic Association	August 1, 2012; applies to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.	FCS: Defeated. FBS: Defeated.
2011-65	ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE	NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet	August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.	To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.	Defeated.
2011-66	ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- MINIMUM AMOUNT OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT COMPETITION -- SOFTBALL	Big 12 Conference	Immediate; applies retroactively to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.	In softball, to permit a student-athlete to compete in an institution's non-championship segment without using a season of competition, as specified.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-67	ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ADVANCED PLACEMENT -- INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to certify the eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement requirements.	Adopted.
2011-68	ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- FULFILLMENT OF CREDIT-HOUR REQUIREMENTS -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- TEAM ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.	FCS: Defeated. FBS: Defeated.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-71	ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY	Big Ten Conference	August 1, 2012; applicable to student-athletes who enroll full time at the certifying institution in a regular academic term as transfer students on or after August 1, 2012.	To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement shall not be applicable to student-athletes in women's ice hockey.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-72	ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- USA FENCING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS	The Ivy League	Immediate	In fencing, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-73	FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-74	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-75	FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR	Southeastern Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.	FCS: Adopted. FBS: Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-76	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	August 1, 2012	To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-77	FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED	Big South Conference and Southern Conference	August 1, 2012	In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-78	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS -- BAGEL SPREADS	Big East Conference	August 1, 2012	To permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-79	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-80-A	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)	August 1, 2012	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-80-B	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS -- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS -- IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-81	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM	Big 12 Conference	Immediate	To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-82	AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet	Immediate	To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-83	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52	West Coast Conference	August 1, 2012	In baseball, to reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition.	Tabled until the council's April 2012 meeting, pending the work of the Presidential Retreat Working Groups.
2011-84	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE	NCAA Division I Championships/ Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)	August 1, 2012	In basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.	Section A: Forwarded to the membership for review and comment. Section B: Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-85	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL - - PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST	Big South Conference	August 1, 2012	In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.	Forwarded to the membership for review and comment.
2011-86	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST DATE -- TUESDAY BEFORE THE SECOND FRIDAY OF NOVEMBER	Southeastern Conference	August 1, 2012	In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November.	Defeated.
2011-87	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- COLLEGE FOOTBALL INVITATIONAL	Sun Belt Conference	Immediate	In football, to specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified.	FCS: Not moved. FBS: Not moved.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-88	PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST DATE OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- TOPY CUP	Big West Conference	August 1, 2012	In golf, to specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.	Adopted.
2011-89	COMMITTEES -- ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES -- SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND WRESTLING	NCAA Division I Championships and Sports Management Cabinet (Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, and Wrestling Committee)	August 1, 2012	To establish a separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified; further, to establish a Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, a Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee and a Division I Wrestling Committee, as specified.	Adopted.

Proposal Number	Title	Source	Effective Date	Intent	Legislative Council Action
2011-90	COMMITTEES -- DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES -- APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES - - CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM -- SPORTS COMMITTEES	Southland Conference	August 1, 2012	To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.	Not moved.
2011-91	EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- DAY OF COMPETITION -- NOON START TIME -- EXCEPTION -- MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS	NCAA Division I Championship/S ports Management Cabinet (Men's Golf Committee)	Immediate	In men's golf, to specify that in instances in which the final day of the men's golf championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.	Adopted.

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
January 11-12, 2012

ATTACHMENT B

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2012-1 as noncontroversial	2012-1	Manipulation of Drug Test as noncontroversial	2011-11	Table 2011-12	Table 2011-13	Table 2011-14	Table 2011-15	Table 2011-16 FBS	Table 2011-16 FCS	Table 2011-17	Table 2011-18	Table 2011-19 FBS	Table 2011-19 FCS	Table 2011-20	Table 2011-21 FBS	Table 2011-21 FCS	Table 2011-22	2011-23	Table 2011-24	Table 2011-25	2011-26	
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	N	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	D	Y	Y	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	N	Y
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N			N	N			N			N	Y	N	N	N	Y
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y		N	N	N	N		Y	N	N		Y	N		Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	N	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1										Y				Y			Y						
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1										Y				Y			Y						
Adopt/Yes (Y)			49.8	51	27		46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	27	12	46.2	48	46.2	46.2	51	
Defeat/No (N)			1.2	0	24		4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	4.8	4.8	0	
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
Abstain (A)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	0		0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Total			51	51	51		51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
January 11-12, 2012

ATTACHMENT B

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2011-27	Table 2011-28-A	Table 2011-28-B	Table 2011-29-A	Table 2011-29-B	Table 2011-30 FBS	Table 2011-30 FCS	Table 2011-30 DI	Table 2011-31 FBS	Table 2011-31 FCS	Table 2011-31 DI	Table 2011-32	Table 2011-33	2011-34	Table 2011-37	Table 2011-38	Table 2011-39	Table 2011-40	Table 2011-41	2011-43 FBS	2011-44	2011-45	2011-46 FBS	2011-46 FCS	Table 2011-47 FBS	Table 2011-47 FCS	
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D					
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N					
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		Y	Y				
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	Y				
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D				
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		A	Y		Y	Y	
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	N	Y		Y	
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N				
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		D	Y		Y	Y	
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N			N			N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N				
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y		Y	Y	
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D				
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N		N	Y	
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		N	Y	
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y		Y	
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y		Y	Y	
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y	Y		Y	
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y	N	N	N	N		Y	N		Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N		Y	Y		Y	Y	
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N		Y	Y	
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N		N	Y	
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y				
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y				
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		Y	
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1							Y			Y															A	Y	
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1							Y			Y															Y	Y	
Adopt/Yes (Y)			51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	27	12	46.2	27	12	46.2	46.2	46.2	51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	21	21.9	37.2	27	8	27	12
Defeat/No (N)			0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	3	20.7	10.2	0	3	0	0
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7.2	3.6	0	0	0	0
Abstain (A)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	0	1	0	0
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	24	1	24	1
Total			51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	13

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
January 11-12, 2012

ATTACHMENT B

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Table 2011-48	Table 2011-49	2011-50 FBS	2011-50 FCS	2011-50 DI	2011-52 FBS	2011-52 FCS	2011-52 DI	Table 2011-53	Table 2011-54	Table 2011-55	Table 2011-56	Table 2011-57	Table 2011-58	Table 2011-59	Table 2011-60	Table 2011-61	2011-62	2011-63	2011-64 FBS	2011-64 FCS	2011-65	Table 2011-66	2011-67	2011-68 FBS	2011-68 FCS	
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	Y			
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y			
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N		
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y		Y	N	Y			
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N		
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	Y		
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	Y		N	
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		N	N	Y			
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	N	N		
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y		N	Y	Y			
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N	Y	Y		Y	
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N		
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y			
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	D	Y	Y		N	
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	N	N			Y			Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		N	N	N			
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	N		
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y		N	
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	Y	D			
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N		
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	N		N	
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y		N	
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	Y	Y	N		
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	N		N	
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D		D	Y	D	N		
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	N	N		Y	Y		Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		N	N	N	Y	N	
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	N	Y	Y	N		
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	Y	N		
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y		N	Y	Y			
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D		N	Y	Y	N		
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			D	Y	Y			
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	N		N	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	Y	Y	N		
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1				Y			Y															D				N	
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1							Y															D				N	
Adopt/Yes (Y)			46.2	46.2	25.5	12	49.5	27	12	51	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	47.4	51	4.5	1	12	46.2	40.2	3	1	
Defeat/No (N)			4.8	4.8	1.5	0	1.5	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	2.4	0	18	9	33.6	4.8	6.6	24	11	
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	4.5	2	5.4	0	4.2	0	0	
Abstain (A)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	24	1	
Total			51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	13	

NCAA Division I Legislative Council
January 11-12, 2012

ATTACHMENT B

Conference -- Voting Delegate	Conference Type	Voting Weight	Table 2011-71	2011-72	Table 2011-73 FBS	Table 2011-73 FCS	Table 2011-74	2011-75 FBS	2011-75 FCS	Table 2011-76	Table 2011-77	Table 2011-78	Table 2011-79	Table 2011-80-A	Table 2011-80-B	Table 2011-81	Table 2011-82	Table 2011-83	2011-84 Section A	2011-84 Section B	2011-85	2011-86	2011-87 FBS	2011-87 FCS	2011-88	2011-89	2011-90	
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y		
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	D		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N			Y	Y		
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	Y	D	N			Y	Y		
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y			Y	Y		
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	N	N			Y	Y		
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y	Y			Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y			Y	Y		
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y			Y	Y		
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	N	Y			N			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			A	Y		
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y		
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	N			Y	Y		
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	A	N			Y	Y		
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	D	D	Y				N	Y		
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	N	A		Y	N		Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N			A	Y		
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y	A		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N			Y	Y		
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	D		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	D	Y			Y	Y		
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y	A			Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y	A	Y		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N			Y	Y		
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1					Y		A																			
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1					Y		D																			
Adopt/Yes (Y)			46.2	24.3	27	12	46.2	22.5	10	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	46.2	31.2	43.8	28.5	15.6			45.6	51		
Defeat/No (N)			4.8	0	0	0	4.8	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	14.1	1.2	10.2	35.4			3	0		
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0	0	0	0	0	4.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	11.1	0			0	0		
Abstain (A)			0	26.7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	1.2	0			2.4	0		
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0	0	24	1	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0		
Total			51	51	51	13	51	51	13	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51			51	51		

	Conference Type	Voting Weight	2011-91
Conference -- Voting Delegate			
America East -- M. Mulvenna	DI	1.2	Y
Atlantic 10 -- E. Pasque	DI	1.2	Y
Atlantic Coast -- L. Babcock	FBS	3	Y
Atlantic Sun -- K. Capriotti	DI	1.2	Y
Big 12 -- D. Flores	FBS	3	Y
Big East -- J. F. D'Antonio, Jr	FBS	3	Y
Big Sky -- J. Gee	FCS	1.2	Y
Big South -- M. Eaker	FCS	1.2	Y
Big Ten -- J. Bolin	FBS	3	Y
Big West -- C. Masner	DI	1.2	Y
Colonial Athletic -- P. Bowden	FCS	1.2	Y
Conference USA -- R. Philippi	FBS	3	Y
Horizon League -- E. Jacobs	DI	1.2	Y
Ivy Group -- C. Campbell-McGovern	FCS	1.2	Y
Metro Atlantic Athletic -- W. Maher	DI	1.2	Y
Mid-American -- D. Gragg	FBS	1.5	Y
Mid-Eastern Athletic -- Q. Wright	FCS	1.2	A
Missouri Valley -- G. Walter	DI	1.2	Y
Mountain West -- J. Ruggiero	FBS	1.5	Y
Northeast -- A. Alford	FCS	1.2	Y
Ohio Valley -- M. Banker	FCS	1.2	Y
Pac-12 -- Beth Goode	FBS	3	Y
Patriot League -- K. McKittrick	FCS	1.2	Y
Southeastern -- G. Sankey	FBS	3	Y
Southern -- R. Johnson	FCS	1.2	Y
Southland -- S. McDonald	FCS	1.2	Y
Southwestern Athletic -- A. Robinson	FCS	1.2	Y
Summit League -- K. Heylens	DI	1.2	Y
Sun Belt -- K. Keene	FBS	1.5	Y
West Coast -- S. Fink	DI	1.2	Y
Western Athletic -- R. Spear	FBS	1.5	Y
Pioneer League -- S. Fink	FCS	1	
Missouri Valley Football -- K. Heylens	FCS	1	
Adopt/Yes (Y)			49.8
Defeat/No (N)			0
Distribute to Membership for Review (D)			0
Abstain (A)			1.2
No Vote Cast (Blank)			0
Total			51

**REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL
JANUARY 12, 2012, MEETING**

ACTION ITEM.

- **Men's Basketball Recruiting.** At the request of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors, the Leadership Council reviewed remaining issues related to the Division I men's basketball recruiting model regarding: (1) Involvement of coaches with enrolled men's basketball student-athletes during the summer months for weight training, conditioning and skill-related instruction; and (2) On-campus evaluations (OCE) of men's basketball prospective student-athletes.
 - a. Summer Access to Enrolled Student-Athletes. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors approve a summer access model that permits institutional staff members to conduct or supervise summer athletics activities in accordance with specified requirements (i.e., enrollment in summer school, opt-out academic benchmarks). [Note: See Attachment A for details of the new summer access model.]
 - b. On-Campus Evaluations for Prospective Student-Athletes. The Leadership Council agreed to recommend that the Division I Board of Directors approve on-campus evaluations (OCE) for prospective student-athletes under specified conditions. [Note: See Attachment B for details of on-campus evaluations.]

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Report of October 12, 2011, Leadership Council Meeting.** The Leadership Council approved the report of its October 12, 2011, meeting.
2. **NCAA President's Report.** President Emmert noted the need to address institutional integrity and shared responsibility, particularly in determining the appropriate role for decentralizing rules and for the nature of rules institutions should be held accountable. In this regard, President Emmert noted that at the request of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model Rules and Enforcement Working Groups, he plans to create another working group to discuss institutional integrity and shared responsibility. In addition, in order to enhance the Association's communication efforts, new membership and external outreach initiatives are underway to educate and inform the Association's many audiences, establish bi-directional communications with the NCAA and to foster advocacy on the NCAA's behalf.

- 3. Update on Presidential Retreat Initiatives.** The Leadership Council received an update regarding the work of the four Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Groups.
- a. Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group. The group was reminded that Proposal Nos. 2011-96 – “Miscellaneous Expense Allowance” and 2011-97 – “Multi-year Grants in Aid” will be reconsidered by the Board of Directors at its next regularly scheduled meeting on January 14, 2012. The Leadership Council discussed the working group’s proposed modifications to Proposal No. 2011-96 – “Miscellaneous Expense Allowance,” which would modify the provisions for the \$2,000 miscellaneous expense allowance and rescind the portion of the proposal that provided that all nonathletics financial aid will no longer count toward team limits. The working group also recommended that the Board of Directors reaffirm its original action to adopt Proposal No. 2011-97 – “Multi-year Grants in Aid,” which would result in an online override vote by the Division I active member institutions and conferences. The Leadership Council noted the membership’s concern with the effective dates of these proposals and the process by which they were developed.
 - b. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group. The group discussed the Board of Directors Consent Package of Action Items from the Resource Allocation Working Group. The Leadership Council expressed concern regarding several of the recommendations and suggested that a broader agenda be developed for future consideration.
 - c. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Rules Working Group. The group was informed that guided by the October 2011 resolution endorsed by the Board of Directors, the Rules Working Group has continued its discussion regarding a new regulatory culture and review of specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws. The working group will request that the Board take the following actions at its January 14, 2012, meeting: (1) Support the general approach outlined for a new regulatory approach; (2) Endorse a moratorium on legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of the presidential reform agenda); and (3) Support the creation of a new group to address issues of institutional integrity.
 - d. Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model -- Enforcement Working Group. The group was informed that the Enforcement Working Group will update the Board on its work regarding a violation and penalty structure, as well as the enforcement process and concept of shared responsibility.
- 4. NCAA Division I Women’s Basketball Issues Committee Request.** The Leadership Council reviewed a request from the Division I Women’s Basketball Issues Committee that the Council conduct a comprehensive review of the women’s basketball recruiting model,

much like the recently completed review of the men's basketball recruiting model. The Council agreed to conduct such a review.

5. **Update from Leadership Council's Agent Subcommittee.** Rachel Newman, NCAA managing director of enforcement, updated the Council regarding:
 - a. The status of the work of the Leadership Council Agent Subcommittee and how that aligns with the work of the Bylaw 12 subgroup of the Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Collegiate Model Rules Working Group;
 - b. The Uniform Athlete Agent Act (UAAA) Summit conducted in Washington, D.C. on November 17, 2011, and
 - c. The formation of an NCAA Football Focus Group within the NCAA enforcement group.

6. **Criteria for Conference Membership in Division I Governance/Championships Structure.** The Leadership Council received a presentation from several representatives of the Great West Conference regarding a possible waiver of NCAA Bylaws 20.02.5.1 and 20.02.5.4 so that the Great West Conference would immediately be recognized as an active Division I multi-sport conference. The Leadership Council requested that staff provide more detailed information regarding the Great West Conference and the chronology of its efforts to meet the requirements to become an active Division I multi-sport conference for the Council's review at its April 12, 2012, meeting.

7. **Men's Basketball Competition Committee Sportmanship Initiative.** Byron Hatch, NCAA director of championships alliances, informed the Leadership Council of the Men's College Basketball Officiating, LLC (MCBO) Competition Committee's plan to address on-court sportmanship and behavior of coaches, players and officials in an effort to elevate the image of the sport and positively impact the game environment. The Council applauded the committee's efforts and expressed its support for the plan.

8. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC).** Scott Krapf, chair of the Division I SAAC, presented a report of the committee's priorities for the upcoming year. As this was the final meeting Scott Krapf would attend as chair of the Division I SAAC, the Leadership Council thanked him for his service and wished him well with his future endeavors.

9. Future Meetings.

- a. April 12, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- b. October 2012, TBD, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Leadership Council chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri

*Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
 Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
 Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs*

Division I Leadership Council January 12, 2012, Meeting	
ATTENDEES	ABSENTEES
Mike Alden, University of Missouri, Big 12 Conference	Clyde McCoy, University of Miami, Atlantic Coast Conference
Lindsey Babcock, Atlantic Coast Conference (alternate)	
Sandy Barbour, University of California, Pacific-12 Conference	
Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference	
Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference	
Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference	
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference	
Peg Bradley-Doppes, University of Denver, Sun Belt Conference	
Morgan J. Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference	
Janet Cone, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference	
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Southeastern Conference	
Beth Debauche, Ohio Valley Conference	

DI Leadership Council Report

January 12, 2012

Page No. 5

Peter Fields, Montana State University-Bozeman, Big Sky Conference	
Chet Gladchuk, United States Naval Academy, Patriot League	
Robin Harris, Ivy League	
Jack Hayes, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association	
Amy Huchthausen, America East Conference	
R.C. Johnson, University of Memphis, Conference USA	
Lisa Kelleher, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Mountain West Conference	
Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee	
Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League	
Jonathan LeCrone, Horizon League	
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference	
Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference	
John Marinatto, Big East Conference	
John McCutcheon, University of Massachusetts, Atlantic 10 Conference	
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference	
Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	
John Ritschdorff, Marist College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	
Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference	
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference	
Bobby Williams, Sam Houston State University, Southland Conference	
NCAA staff liaisons in attendance: David Berst, Jacqueline Campbell, Kevin Lennon	
Other Guests in attendance: Eugene Daniels, vice-chair of the Division I SAAC; Jim Haney, executive director, National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC); Matthew Holland, president, Utah Valley University; Jim Hollis, chair of the NCAA Division I Amateurism	

Cabinet; Michael Jacobson, director of athletics, Utah Valley University; Robert Nelsen, president, University of Texas, Pan American, and Alexis Schug, associate athletic director, New Jersey Institute of Technology.

Other NCAA staff members who were in attendance for portions of the meeting: Scott Bearby, Mark Emmert, Byron Hatch, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Greg Johnson, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Jarrett Newby, Rachel Newman, Chad Radford, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Stacey Osoburn, Robert Vowels, Bob Williams, Monica Woods and Chuck Wynne.
--

Summer Access to Men’s Basketball Student-Athletes

	Incoming Freshman, and Two-Year and Four-Year College Transfers	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the First Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Second Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Third Year of Collegiate Enrollment
Requirements for Summer Access to Student-Athletes	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school.</p> <p>*For incoming freshmen at national service academies participating in basic training programs, enrollment in summer school would not be necessary.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school,</p> <p>OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 grade point average (GPA) and have successfully completed 30 semester/45 quarter credit hours.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school,</p> <p>OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 50 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school,</p> <p>OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 75 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program.</p>
<p>Summer Access = Eight hours per week of weight training, conditioning and skill instruction (two-hour limit on skill instruction) for a maximum of eight weeks. Summer access may occur only when student-athlete is enrolled in summer school (e.g., six-week summer school session would permit participation in athletics activities for only six weeks), unless student-athlete meets the specified opt-out academic benchmarks.</p>				
<p>Effective Date: Immediate.</p>				

On-Campus Evaluations (OCE) -- Men's Basketball Prospective Student-Athletes
Effective Date: Immediate

Who may Participate	The OCE shall involve only high school seniors and two-year college prospects who have exhausted eligibility or four-year transfer student-athletes.
When	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE shall not be conducted prior to the conclusion of the prospect's season and may be conducted no later than the opening day of the institution's fall term. • The OCE shall be conducted during a prospect's official or unofficial visit.
Details of OCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE may be no longer than two hours in duration and may involve the institution's enrolled student-athletes. The OCE must be included in the institution's 20-hours of countable athletically related activities if it occurs during the institution's playing season or during the institution's two hour of skill instruction (as part of the eight hours per week) if conducted outside the playing season. • Current prohibitions regarding activities for enrolled student-athletes one week prior to final exams would remain applicable.
How Many	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An institution may provide only one OCE per prospect. • The rules governing OCEs apply separately to the time period in which a prospect completes high school eligibility and to the time period after the prospect enrolls in a collegiate institution.
Health and Safety Issues	Additional regulations related to the health and safety of the OCE participants (e.g., medical examinations) that currently exist in the Division II tryout model also will apply.



Proposal Number: 2011-44

Title: RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.

Bylaws: Amend 13.9, as follows:

13.9 LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS

[13.9.1 through 13.9.2 unchanged.]

13.9.3 Submission of Transcript to Eligibility Center Before Signing. An institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete to sign a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or an institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment. This provision does not apply to a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country. [D]

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislative requirements that must be met before a written offer of athletically related aid may be provided have greatly improved the academic information shared between prospective student-athletes and institutions, as well as with the NCAA Eligibility Center. This proposal is intended to continue the pattern of facilitating academic information sharing in a timely manner while minimizing overall administrative burden. Ensuring that six-semester transcripts are available for evaluation prior to a prospective student-athlete's signing of a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid will allow for identification of potential academic issues regarding initial-eligibility requirements and timely discussion of academically sound solutions. To assist with the goal of this proposal, the Eligibility Center will conduct preliminary evaluations of six-semester transcripts even if a test score is not on file for a prospective student-athlete. Additionally, prospective student-athletes who qualify for early academic certification will be identified and processed in a manner that will allow for campus and NCAA resources to be appropriately allocated in relation to final eligibility certifications.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. The cabinet recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).



History

Jun 10, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011: Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011: Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal; Modified to eliminate the exception for home-schooled prospective student-athletes.

Sep 14, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Jan 12, 2012: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 15, 2012: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 14, 2012: Comment Period; End of Comment Period

**Bylaw 11.4.2 Advisory
NCAA Enforcement Basketball Staff**

On October 29, 2009, as part of its plan to address current issues in men's basketball recruiting, the NCAA Board of Directors sponsored legislative proposal 2009-99. This proposal, adopted by the membership as NCAA Bylaw 11.4.2, and effective January 16, 2010, states:

In men's basketball, during a two-year period before a prospective student-athlete's anticipated enrollment and during a two-year period after the prospective student-athlete's actual enrollment, an institution shall not employ (or enter into a contract for future employment) an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete in any athletics department noncoaching staff position or in a strength and conditioning staff position.

This legislation was adopted due to the growing practice of hiring individuals whose primary value to an institution was the connection to specific prospective student-athletes to increase the likelihood of the involved prospective student-athletes' enrollment at the institution.

An individual associated with a prospective student-athlete (IAWP) is defined in Bylaw 13.02.17 as follows:

Any person who maintains (or directs others to maintain) contact with the prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, or coaches at any point during the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, and whose contact is directly or indirectly related to either the prospective student-athlete's athletics skills and abilities or the prospective student-athlete's recruitment by or enrollment in an NCAA institution. This definition includes but is not limited to parents, legal guardians, handlers, personal trainers and **coaches**.

It has come to the attention of the NCAA enforcement staff that there is confusion in the membership regarding the application of Bylaw 11.4.2 to college coaches. By definition, an NCAA, a two-year college or an NAIA coach could trigger IAWP status by performing coaching-related responsibilities relative to any prospective student-athlete with whom the coach has been associated as a result of the following:

- Currently enrolled student-athletes coached at a previous institution;
- Prospective student-athletes recruited by the coach to his previous institution; and
- Associations with pre- and post-secondary scholastic entities.

As a result, please be advised that the legislation set forth in Bylaw 11.4.2 has been and is applicable to the employment of such individuals. Therefore, if an institution hires a former NCAA, two-year college or NAIA coach in a noncoaching staff position or as a strength and conditioning coach within the specified two-year window, all prospective student-athletes that trigger IAWP status for the individual are permanently ineligible for competition at the institution.

In order to ensure that noncoaching staff hires are in compliance with NCAA legislation, please work closely with your men's basketball staff. Any additional questions may be addressed to the conference office or the enforcement basketball staff at the national office.

[References: NCAA Division I Bylaws 11.4.2 (individual associated with a prospective student-athlete – men's basketball) and 13.02.17 (individual associated with a prospective student-athlete – men's basketball).]

**Bylaw 13.11.1.8 Advisory
NCAA Enforcement Basketball Staff**

On October 29, 2009, as part of its plan to address current issues in men's basketball recruiting, the NCAA Board of Directors sponsored legislative proposal 2009-100A. This proposal, adopted by the membership as NCAA Bylaw 13.11.1.8, and effective April 28, 2011, states:

An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which men's basketball prospective student-athletes (see Bylaw 13.11.1.2) participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

This legislation was adopted to address the proliferation of nonscholastic events being planned and operated in an attempt to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. It has come to the attention of the NCAA enforcement staff that individuals representing Bleid Sports are contacting Division I institutions and entering into agreements to host events involving high school teams on Division I campuses. Bleid Sports is asserting that the event has been granted approval from the NCAA enforcement staff and is providing a case number from the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff certifying the event as a scholastic event. Please note that even though the events run by Bleid Sports may involve high school teams, these events will be considered to be nonscholastic events and therefore would violate Bylaw 13.11.1.8.

For purposes of Bylaw 13.11.1.8, a nonscholastic event is defined based on the entity or person conducting the event, not by the event participants. An event is not a scholastic event simply because the participating teams are high school teams but rather whether a scholastic entity is operating the event.

In reviewing whether an event is a scholastic or nonscholastic event, please consider the following:

- Who or what organization contacted the institution or contest facility to schedule the event?
- Who or what organization will be signing the facility rental agreement?
- Who or what organization will be responsible for insuring the event?
- Who or what organization will be advertising or promoting the event?

We encourage compliance staff's to work closely with their facility managers in reviewing all facility rental agreements before signing. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact a member of the NCAA enforcement basketball staff.



Proposal Number: 2011-99

Title: RECRUITING -- MEN'S BASKETBALL RECRUITING MODEL

Intent: To establish a new men's basketball recruiting model, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.02.5, as follows:

13.02.5 ~~Recruiting~~ Periods **of Recruiting Activities.**

[13.02.5.1 through 13.02.5.2 unchanged.]

13.02.5.3 Recruiting Period -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a recruiting period is a period of time when it is permissible for authorized athletics department staff members to make in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts and evaluations.

[13.02.5.3 through 13.02.5.4 renumbered as 13.02.5.4 through 13.02.5.5, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.1.1, as follows:

13.1.1.1 Time Period for Off-Campus Contacts -- General Rule. Off-campus recruiting contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (July 7 after the junior year in high school in women's ice hockey and July 15 after the junior year in high school in women's gymnastics), or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. U.S. service academy exceptions to this provision are set forth in Bylaw 13.16.1.

13.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, off-campus recruiting contacts shall not be made with an individual (or his relatives or legal guardians) before the opening day of his junior year in high school. Contacts that occur during a prospective student-athlete's junior year during recruiting periods other than the April recruiting period may occur only at the prospective student-athlete's educational institution. During the April recruiting period of a prospective student-athlete's junior year, contacts may occur at either the prospective student-athlete's educational institution or residence.

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July August 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.

[13.1.3.1.1 through 13.1.3.1.2 unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, ~~an institution is permitted to make one telephone call calls per month~~ to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) **may not be made before or after June 15 at the conclusion** of the individual's sophomore year in high school, ~~through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 before the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 prior to an individual's~~



~~senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~ **If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete at its discretion.**

~~13.1.3.1.3.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., Southern Hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month from the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school to the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(c) During a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

[13.1.3.1.3.2 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.3.1, unchanged.]

[13.1.3.1.4 through 13.1.3.1.8 unchanged.]

[13.1.3.2 through 13.1.3.5 unchanged.]

13.1.3.6 Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.

13.1.3.6.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete.**

[13.1.3.6.2 unchanged.]

[13.1.3.7 unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.4, as follows:

13.1.4 Visit to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution. **Visits to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution that will occur during that portion of the day when classes are being conducted for all students must receive the approval of the executive officer (or the executive officer's designated representative) of the prospective student-athlete's educational institution.**

13.1.4.1 Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional staff members may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on not more than one occasion during a particular week within a recruiting period that occurs during the academic year, regardless of the number of prospective student-athletes enrolled in the institution or whether any prospective student-athlete is contacted on that occasion. During



the July evaluation period, there are no limitations on the number of times an institutional staff member may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution.

13.1.4.4~~2~~ Football and **Women's** Basketball. In football and **women's** basketball, institutional staff members may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on not more than one occasion during a particular week within a contact period, regardless of the number of prospective student-athletes enrolled in the institution or whether any prospective student-athlete is contacted on that occasion.

~~13.1.4.1.1 Approval by Executive Officer. All such visits that will occur during the portion of the day when classes are being conducted for all students must receive the approval of the executive officer (or the executive officer's designated representative) of the prospective student-athlete's educational institution.~~

[13.1.4.1.2 through 13.1.4.1.3 renumbered as 13.1.4.2.2 through 13.1.4.2.3, unchanged.]

13.1.4.1.4 Visits During Evaluation Period -- **Women's** Basketball. In **women's** basketball, institutional staff members may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on not more than one occasion during a particular week within an evaluation period that occurs during the academic year. During the July evaluation period, there are no limitations on the number of times an institutional staff member may visit a prospective student-athlete's educational institution.

13.1.4.1.4.1 Tournament Exception. In **women's** basketball, visiting a prospective student-athlete's educational institution on consecutive days during a particular week to observe a tournament or tier of a tournament shall count as a single visit (see Bylaws 13.1.7.14 and 13.1.7.14.1).

[13.1.4.1.5 through 13.1.4.1.6 renumbered as 13.1.4.2.5 through 13.1.4.2.6, unchanged.]

~~13.1.4.2 Sports Other Than Football and Basketball. In sports other than football and basketball, visits to a prospective student athlete's educational institution that will occur during that portion of the day when classes are being conducted for all students must receive the approval of the executive officer (or the executive officer's designated representative) of the prospective student-athlete's educational institution.~~

E. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5.3, as follows:

13.1.5.3 Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; ~~however, during the prospective student athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off campus contacts (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5).~~ Men's basketball staff members shall not exceed 130 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

F. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.6, as follows:

13.1.6 Contact Restrictions at Specified Sites.

13.1.6.1 Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution. Any staff member desiring to contact a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's high school, preparatory school or two-year college first shall obtain permission for such contact from that institution's executive officer (or the executive officer's authorized representative). Contact may be made only when such permission is granted **and, in men's basketball, may not be made during the time of the day when classes are in session.** Institutions also are bound by this provision when recruiting international prospective student-athletes. [D]

13.1.6.2 Practice or Competition Site. Recruiting contact may not be made with a prospective student-athlete prior to any athletics competition in which the prospective student-athlete is a participant during the day or days of competition, even if the prospective student-athlete is on an official or unofficial visit. Contact includes the passing



of notes or verbally relaying information to a prospective student-athlete by a third party on behalf of an institutional staff member and telephone calls. Such contact shall be governed by the following: [D]

[13.1.6.2-(a) through 13.1.6.2-(f) unchanged.]

13.1.6.2.1 Additional Restrictions -- Men's and Women's Basketball. In men's and women's basketball, the following additional restrictions shall apply:

(a) In men's basketball, contact shall not be made with a prospective student-athlete ~~at any basketball event during the academic year that is not part of a prospective student-athlete's normal high school, preparatory school or two-year college season, or any event that is not approved, sanctioned, sponsored or conducted by the applicable state high school or two-year college association, National Federation of State High School Associations or the National Junior College Athletic Association~~ **or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians during a day of the prospective student-athlete's competition (e.g., before and after the competition).**

(b) In men's basketball, all communication with a ~~prospective student-athlete (including a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent), the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited during the time period in which the prospective student-athlete is participating in a summer certified event. However, printed materials (e.g., letters, recruiting brochures, questionnaires) may be sent via regular mail (see Bylaw 13.4.1) to a prospective student-athlete's home while the prospective student-athlete is participating in a summer certified event. An institutional coaching staff member may communicate at an event site with a prospective student-athlete who has signed a National Letter of Intent only if the prospective student-athlete is not participating in the event and is not associated with any team participating in the event (e.g., travels to the event at his own expense, not under the authority of a coach at any time, does not participate in team functions).~~

[13.1.6.2.1-(c) unchanged.]

13.1.6.2.1.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institutional coaching staff member may have telephone contact with a prospective student-athlete's high school coach (or high school administrator) while the prospective student-athlete is participating in a ~~summer~~ certified event, provided the high school coach or administrator is not in attendance at that event.

[13.1.6.2.1.2 unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.1.6 unchanged.]

G. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

[13.1.7.1 through 13.1.7.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.5 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) during the academic year per prospective student-athlete; ~~however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in person, off campus contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.3 and 13.1.5.5).~~ Men's basketball coaching staff members shall not exceed 130 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

[13.1.7.6 through 13.1.7.7 unchanged.]



13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

(a) Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, each institution is limited to 130 recruiting-person days (see Bylaw 13.02.8) during the academic year ~~contact and evaluation periods~~. [D]

~~(1) Fall Contact Period. Evaluations of practice activities at sites other than prospective student-athletes' educational institutions are prohibited during the fall contact period. Evaluations of live athletics activities shall be limited to:~~

~~(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and~~

~~(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.~~

(21) Academic Year ~~Evaluation Period~~ **Recruiting Periods**. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year ~~evaluation period~~ **recruiting periods** shall be limited to:

(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.

(32) ~~March and April Contact Periods~~ **Evaluation Periods**. ~~Evaluations at nonscholastic events are prohibited during these periods.~~ Evaluations of live athletics activities during these periods shall be limited to **nonscholastic events that are certified per Bylaw 13.18.:**

~~(i) Regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and~~

~~(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.~~

(43) Summer Evaluation ~~Period~~ **Periods**. During the summer evaluation ~~period~~ **periods**, a member of an institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.18.

(54) Predraft Camp Exception. Evaluations conducted at National Basketball Association (NBA) official predraft camps are not included in the 130 recruiting-person days.

[13.1.7.8-(b) through 13.1.7.8-(c) unchanged.]

[13.1.7.9 through 13.1.7.19 unchanged.]

13.1.7.20 Evaluation of Individuals Before They Become Prospective Student-Athletes. In sports other than men's basketball, a coaching staff member may observe an individual who has not entered the ninth grade participating in an athletically related activity, provided such observation occurs during a contact or evaluation period when it is permissible to evaluate prospective student-athletes. In men's basketball, a coaching staff member may observe an individual who has not entered the seventh grade participating in an athletically related activity, provided such observation occurs during a ~~contact or evaluation~~ period when it is permissible to evaluate prospective student-athletes. [D]



[13.1.7.21 unchanged.]

H. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.8, as follows:

13.1.8 Banquets, Meetings and NCAA Promotional Activities.

13.1.8.1 Banquets or Meetings at a Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution.

[13.1.8.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Men's Basketball.

(1) During a Recruiting Period. In men's basketball, a coach who speaks at a meeting or banquet at a prospective student-athlete's educational institution during a recruiting period, uses the institution's once-per-week visit to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution and uses an evaluation for all basketball prospective student-athletes at that educational institution. The coach does not use a contact, provided he or she does not make a recruiting presentation in conjunction with the appearance and has no direct contact with any prospective student-athlete (or a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) in attendance.

(2) Outside a Recruiting Period. In basketball, a coach may speak at a meeting or banquet at a prospective student-athlete's educational institution outside a recruiting period without such attendance being considered an evaluation or a visit to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution (except for dead periods per Bylaw 13.02.5.4), provided:

(i) The meeting or banquet is initiated and conducted by the educational institution;

(ii) The coach does not make a recruiting presentation in conjunction with the appearance;

(iii) The coach does not have direct contact with any prospective student-athlete (or a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) in attendance; and

(iv) The coach does not engage in any evaluation activities.

(b) Women's Basketball.

(1) During a Contact Period. In **women's** basketball, a coach who speaks at a meeting or banquet at a prospective student-athlete's educational institution during a contact period, uses the institution's once-per-week visit to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution and uses an evaluation for all basketball prospective student-athletes at that educational institution. The coach does not use a contact, provided he or she does not make a recruiting presentation in conjunction with the appearance and has no direct contact with any prospective student-athlete (or a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) in attendance.

(2) Outside a Contact Period. In **women's** basketball, a coach may speak at a meeting or banquet at a prospective student-athlete's educational institution outside a contact period without such attendance being considered an evaluation or a visit to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution (except for dead periods per Bylaw 13.02.5.4), provided:

[13.1.8.1-(b)-(2)-(i) through 13.1.8.1-(b)-(2)-(iv) relettered as 13.1.8.1-(c)-(2)-(i) through 13.1.8.1-(c)-(2)-(iv), unchanged.]

13.1.8.2 Banquets or Meetings at Locations Other Than a Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution. A coach may speak at a meeting or banquet at which prospective student-athletes are in attendance at a location other than a prospective student-athlete's educational institution (except during a dead period per Bylaw 13.02.5.4)



outside of a contact period (**recruiting period in men's basketball**) or may speak at such a meeting or banquet during a contact period (**recruiting period in men's basketball**) without using one of the institution's permissible contacts or evaluations, provided:

[13.1.8.2-(a) through 13.1.8.2-(d) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.1.8 unchanged.]

I. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1.2, as follows:

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]

13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls"). (See Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) Color attachments may be included with electronic correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic correspondence. [D]

[13.4.1.2.1 renumbered as 13.4.1.2.2, unchanged.]

13.4.1.2.23 Exception -- Electronic Mail and Facsimiles Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues -- **Sports Other Than Men's Basketball**. Electronic mail and facsimiles to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relate solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) are not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

13.4.1.2.4 Exception -- Electronic Correspondence Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues -- Men's Basketball. Electronic correspondence to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relates solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) is not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

J. Bylaws: Amend 13.5.2, as follows:

13.5.2 Transportation on Official Paid Visit.

[13.5.2.1 unchanged.]



13.5.2.2 Automobile Transportation. If a prospective student-athlete travels by automobile on an official paid visit, the institution may pay round-trip expenses to the individual incurring the expense (except the prospective student-athlete's coach as set forth in Bylaw 13.8.1.1) at the same mileage rate it allows its own personnel. Any automobile may be used by the prospective student-athlete, provided the automobile is not owned or operated or its use arranged by the institution or any representative of its athletics interests. [R]

13.5.2.2.1 Prospective Student-Athlete's Friends and Relatives. A prospective student-athlete's friends, relatives or legal guardians may receive cost-free transportation to visit a member institution's campus only by accompanying the prospective student-athlete at the time the prospective student-athlete travels in an automobile to visit the campus. **(See Bylaw 13.5.2.6.1.)**

[13.5.2.3 through 13.5.2.5 unchanged.]

13.5.2.6 Transportation of Prospective Student-Athlete's Relatives, Friends or Legal Guardians. An institution shall not permit its athletics department staff members or representatives of its athletics interests to pay, provide or arrange for the payment of transportation costs incurred by relatives, friends or legal guardians of a prospective student-athlete to visit the campus or elsewhere; however, an institution may: [R]

[13.5.2.6-(a) through 13.5.2.6-(c) unchanged.]

13.5.2.6.1 Exception -- Transportation Expenses for a Prospective Student-Athlete's Parents or Legal Guardians -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution may pay the actual round-trip costs for a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians (expenses for up to two people) to accompany the prospective student-athlete on his official visit.

K. Bylaws: Amend 13.6.2.2.1, as follows:

13.6.2.2.1 First Opportunity to Visit. **A In sports other than men's basketball, a prospective student-athlete may not be provided an expense-paid visit earlier than the opening day of classes of the prospective student-athlete's senior year in high school. In men's basketball, a prospective student-athlete may not be provided an expense-paid visit earlier than January 1 of his junior year in high school.** [D]

L. Bylaws: Amend 13.17.2, as follows:

13.17.2 Men's Basketball. The following recruiting periods shall apply to men's basketball:



(a) September 9 through October 5:	Contact Period (No evaluations at sites other than the prospective student-athlete's educational institution.)
(ba) October 6 September 9 through the Sunday beginning the week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:	Evaluation Recruiting Period
(eb) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:	Dead Period
(ec) The Friday of the week for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent through March 31 [except for (1) and (2) below]:	Evaluation Recruiting Period
(1) December 24 through December 26:	Dead Period
(2) March 16 through March 22:	Contact Period
(ed) April 1 through the Wednesday immediately prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game:	Quiet Period
(fe) The Thursday immediately prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game to noon on the Thursday immediately after the game:	Dead Period
(gf) Noon on the Thursday immediately after the NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Championship game through seven days following the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent [except for (1) below]:	Contact Recruiting Period
(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:	Dead Period
(hg) The eighth day after the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent through July 5 [except for (1) and (2) below]:	Quiet Period
<u>(1) Two weekends in April (Friday through Sunday) other than Easter weekend and a weekend during which the PSAT, SAT, PLAN or ACT national standardized tests are administered:</u>	<u>Evaluation Periods (for certified events only)</u>
(42) The day after the conclusion of the spring National Letter of Intent signing period to the day before the first permissible day to conduct institutional basketball camps [except for (i) below]:	Dead Period
(i) National Basketball Association Pre-Draft Camp:	Evaluation Period
(h) July 6 through July 15 31 [except for (1) and (2) below]:	Evaluation Dead Period
(j) July 16 through July 21 [except for (1) below]:	Dead Period
<u>(1) The first three Wednesday (5 p.m.) through Sunday (5 p.m.) periods in July beginning on or after July 6:</u>	<u>Evaluation Periods</u>
(42) It is permissible for an institution to have contact with a prospective student-athlete who is enrolled in the institution's summer term (summer session or summer bridge program) and has signed a National Letter of Intent or other written commitment to attend the institution.	
(k) July 22 through July 31:	Evaluation Period
(l) August 1 through September 8:	Quiet Period

M. Bylaws: Amend 13.18, as follows:

13.18 ~~SUMMER~~ BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL



In men's basketball, in order for a ~~summer~~ basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days before the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the national office not later than three months after the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.18-(a) through 13.18-(m) unchanged.]

(n) Athletically related activities are precluded prior to 8 a.m. and the last athletically related activity may not begin later than 10 p.m. **April events may not begin before 6 p.m. on Friday and must conclude no later than 4 p.m. on Sunday;**

[13.18-(o) through 13.18-(q) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors

Effective Date: Sections F, G, L and M as it relates to the April and summer evaluation periods: Immediate; 8/1/12 for other components. Sections C and I: 6/15/12. Sections A, B, D, E, H, J and K: 8/1/12.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In October 2010, the Board of Directors reviewed a request from the Collegiate Commissioner's Association to eliminate the summer evaluation period in men's basketball. The Board assigned the NCAA Division I Leadership Council, along with appropriate stakeholders, the responsibility to evaluate and create a new comprehensive recruiting model for men's basketball. Through a year-long process, the Leadership Council developed a recruiting model that provides for earlier and increased access to prospective student-athletes by Division I coaches. The model represents a logical progression in the recruiting process to empower coaches, prospective student-athletes, and parents to make the best informed recruiting decision, while minimizing the influence from third parties.

Budget Impact: Potential to increase due to additional recruiting activities in April and providing transportation to parents or legal guardians for official visits.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

New Division I Men's Basketball Recruiting Model

Feature	Current/Previous Rule	New Rule	Additional Comments
Recruiting calendar evaluations			
<i>April</i>	No evaluations permitted at nonscholastic events.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permits evaluations at certified nonscholastic events on two weekends. Neither weekend may fall on ACT/SAT testing dates or Easter. Events must be subject to the NCAA certification program. Events may not begin before 6 p.m. Friday and must conclude no later than 4 p.m. Sunday. 	Effective immediately. 2012 Weekends: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> April 20-22 April 27-29
<i>July</i>	Evaluations permitted at certified nonscholastic events during two 10-day evaluation periods.	Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during a total of 12 days in July; the 12 days consist of three four-day periods that run from Wednesday at 5 p.m. to Sunday at 5 p.m. beginning with the first Wednesday on or after July 6.	Effective immediately. Beginning on July 6 and concluding on July 31, all days or portions of days not included in the evaluation periods are considered dead periods. 2012 dates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> July 11 (5 p.m.) - July 15 (5 p.m.) July 18 (5 p.m.) - July 22 (5 p.m.) July 25 (5 p.m.) - July 29 (5 p.m.)
Communication with PSAs			
Types	Phone, e-mail, fax only.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eliminates restrictions on all modes of communication on or after June 15 at the completion of the prospects sophomore year in high school. Eliminates communication restrictions applicable to prospects (and prospects' parents/legal guardians/relatives) participating in certified basketball events (April/July) 	Effective June 15, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication restrictions during certified basketball events (April/July) remain applicable to prospects' coaches and individuals associated with prospects.
Phone call frequency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> June 15 of sophomore year through July 31 of junior year of high school: 1 per month. August 1 prior to senior year of high school: Unlimited during contact period; two per 		

Feature	Current/Previous Rule	New Rule	Additional Comments
	week otherwise. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two-year institutions and four-year PSAs: One call per week. 		
Off-campus contacts			
Off-campus contact starting point	July 1 after junior year of high school.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Off campus contacts may be made with a prospect during the junior year in high school. Recruiting periods other than April – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect’s educational institution. April recruiting period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect’s educational institution or at the prospect’s residence. 	Effective August 1, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current rule limiting visits to a prospect’s educational institution to not more than once a week remains applicable.
Off-campus contact in conjunction with an evaluation	Prohibited during evaluation period.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permits recruiting opportunities with juniors and seniors to be either contacts or evaluations. Contacts may not be made during the time period when classes are in session or during the entire day of a prospect’s competition. The current academic year recruiting calendar establishes recruiting periods, during which contact and evaluation is permissible. 	Effective August 1, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institutions are permitted to still have seven recruiting opportunities during each academic year. The two weekends in April and the three four-day periods in July in which coaches are permitted to observe prospects participating in certified nonscholastic events are evaluation periods only. In general, no contacts with any prospects are permitted during these periods.

Feature	Current/Previous Rule	New Rule	Additional Comments
Official visits			
<i>Starting point</i>	Senior year of high school.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> January 1 of the junior year through the senior year of high school. 	Effective August 1, 2012. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current requirements (standardized test score, high school transcript, register with NCAA Eligibility Center, placed on institution’s IRL) necessary to provide an official visit remain applicable. Included in the total number of permissible visits for the prospect and the institution. Permits official visits during the summer.
<i>Travel expenses</i>	Prospect	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May be provided to the prospect and two parents/legal guardians. 	Effective August 1, 2012.

On-Campus Evaluations (OCE) -- Men's Basketball Prospective Student-Athletes
Effective Date: Immediate

Who may Participate	The OCE shall involve only high-school seniors and two-year college prospects who have exhausted eligibility or four-year transfer student-athletes.
When	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE shall not be conducted prior to the conclusion of the prospect's season and may be conducted no later than the opening day of the institution's fall term. • The OCE shall be conducted during a prospect's official or unofficial visit.
Details of OCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCE may be no longer than two hours in duration and may involve the institution's enrolled student-athletes. The OCE must be included in the institution's 20-hours of countable athletically related activities if it occurs during the institution's playing season or during the institution's two hour of skill instruction (as part of the eight hours per week) if conducted outside the playing season. • Current prohibitions regarding activities for enrolled student-athletes one week prior to final exams would remain applicable.
How Many	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An institution may provide only one OCE per prospect. • The rules governing OCEs apply separately to the time period in which a prospect completes high school eligibility and to the time period after the prospect enrolls in a collegiate institution.
Health and Safety Issues	Additional regulations related to the health and safety of the OCE participants (e.g., medical examinations) that currently exist in the Division II tryout model also will apply.

Summer Access to Men’s Basketball Student-Athletes

	Incoming Freshman, and Two-Year and Four-Year College Transfers	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the First Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Second Year of Collegiate Enrollment	Student-Athletes Following Completion of the Third Year of Collegiate Enrollment
Requirements for Summer Access to Student-Athletes	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school.</p> <p>*For incoming freshmen at national service academies participating in basic training programs, enrollment in summer school would not be necessary.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p align="center">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 grade point average (GPA) and have successfully completed 30 semester/45 quarter credit hours.</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p align="center">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 50 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program</p>	<p>Must be enrolled in summer school</p> <p align="center">OR</p> <p>If not enrolled in summer school, must present a 2.2 GPA and shall have completed successfully at least 75 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program</p>
<p>Summer Access = Eight hours per week of weight training, conditioning and skill instruction (two-hour limit on skill instruction) for a maximum of eight weeks. Summer access may occur only when student-athlete is enrolled in summer school (e.g., six-week summer school session would permit participation in athletics activities for only six weeks), unless student-athlete meets the specified opt-out academic benchmarks.</p>				
<p>Effective Date: Immediate.</p>				



Proposal Number: 2012-2

Title: RECRUITING -- ON-CAMPUS EVALUATIONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, an institution may conduct an evaluation of a high school or preparatory school senior or a two-year college prospective student-athlete who has exhausted eligibility or four-year college prospective student-athlete on its campus or at a site at which it normally conducts practice or competition, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.2, as follows:

13.11.2 Permissible Activities.

13.11.2.1 On-Campus Evaluations -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution may conduct an evaluation of a prospective student-athlete on its campus or at a site at which it normally conducts practice or competition, under the following conditions:

(a) For a high school or preparatory school senior, the evaluation may be conducted only after the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's season and after he has exhausted high school or preparatory school eligibility in basketball;

(b) For a two-year college prospective student-athlete, the evaluation may be conducted only after the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's season and he has exhausted his two-year college eligibility in basketball;

(c) For a four-year college prospective student-athlete, the evaluation may be conducted only after the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's season. (See Bylaw 13.1.1.3);

(d) The on-campus evaluation may be conducted only during the prospective student-athlete's official or unofficial visit;

(e) The on-campus evaluation shall be conducted not later than the opening day of classes of the institution's fall term;

(f) Not more than one on-campus evaluation per prospective student-athlete per institution shall be permitted (applied separately to the time period in which a prospective student-athlete completes high school or preparatory school eligibility and to the time period after the prospective student-athlete enrolls full time in a collegiate institution);

(g) Before participating in an on-campus evaluation, a prospective student-athlete is required to undergo a medical examination or evaluation administered or supervised by a physician (e.g., family physician, team physician). The examination or evaluation shall include a sickle cell solubility test unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution or the prospective student-athlete declines the test and signs a written release. The examination or evaluation must be administered either within six months before participation in the on-campus evaluation or within six months before the prospective student-athlete's initial participation in practice, competition or out-of-season conditioning activities during his immediately completed season. In addition, the medical examination or evaluation may be conducted by an institution's regular team physician or other designated physician as a part of the on-campus evaluation;

(h) The institution's men's basketball student-athletes may participate in an on-campus evaluation, provided such participation is counted toward the applicable hourly and weekly limitations on countable athletically related activities (e.g., four hours per day and 20 hours per week during the playing season, two hours of skill instruction and eight hours per week outside the playing season). [See Bylaw 17.1.6.2-(a)]



and 17.1.6.2.2]:

(i) The duration of the on-campus evaluation activities (other than the medical examination or evaluation) shall be limited to two hours; and

(j) The institution may provide equipment and clothing to a prospective student-athlete on an issuance-and-retrieval basis.

[13.11.2.1 through 13.11.2.5 renumbered as 13.11.2.2 through 13.11.2.6, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal was developed as part of a comprehensive review of the Division I men's recruiting model and is designed to facilitate sound recruiting decisions by both institutions and prospective student-athletes through the establishment of an on-campus evaluation opportunity. Many prospective student-athletes do not receive financial aid offers they may initially expect. Such a prospective student-athlete must continue the recruiting process after exhausting high school or two-year college eligibility. Likewise, a four-year college transfer who is not satisfied with his original institution may need to re-open the recruiting process. An institution that was not considered initially by the prospective student-athlete may have minimal information regarding the prospective student-athlete's ability and whether such an individual will be a good fit with the team. An on-campus evaluation, which might involve several prospective student-athletes, may provide valuable information for both the prospective student-athlete and the institution to make a better informed decision. Appropriate medical safeguards have been established to ensure the health, safety and well-being of the prospective student-athlete while participating in the evaluation.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 03, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jan 14, 2012: Board of Directors, Sponsored

Jan 14, 2012: Board Review, Adopted; Adopted as Emergency Legislation

Jan 15, 2012: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Mar 14, 2012: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period



Proposal Number: 2012-3

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- SUMMER ATHLETIC ACTIVITIES -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, to permit a student-athlete to participate in eight hours per week of required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction (not to exceed two hours per week) during an eight-week period during the summer, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.2, as follows:

13.2 Offers and Inducements.

[13.2.1 through 13.2.6 unchanged.]

13.2.7 Medical Expenses -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution may finance medical expenses (including rehabilitation and physical therapy expenses) for a prospective student-athlete who sustains an injury while participating in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties (see Bylaw 13.11.3.8) or for a prospective student-athlete who sustains an injury while participating in required summer athletic activities (see Bylaw 13.11.3.9).

[13.2.7 renumbered as 13.2.8 unchanged.]

13.2.~~89~~ Medical Expenses -- Sports Other Than **Men's Basketball and** Football. In sports other than **men's basketball and** football, an institution may finance medical expenses (including rehabilitation and physical therapy expenses) for a prospective student-athlete who sustains an injury while participating in voluntary summer workouts conducted by an institution's strength and conditioning coach with department-wide duties (see Bylaws ~~13.11.3.8, 13.11.3.9 and~~ 13.11.3.10 **and 13.11.3.11**).

[13.2.9 through 13.9.10 renumbered as 13.2.10 through 13.2.11, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.11.3, as follows:

13.11.3 Tryout Exceptions.

[13.11.3.1 through 13.11.3.8 unchanged.]

13.11.3.9 Required Summer Athletic Activities -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a prospective student-athlete (freshman or transfer) who is enrolled in the institution's summer term or terms may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction for up to eight weeks (not required to be consecutive weeks). Participation in such activities is limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related instruction. Participation is limited to the period of the institution's summer term or terms (opening day of classes through last day of final exams) in which the prospective student-athlete is enrolled.

13.11.3.9.1 Exception -- National Service Academies -- Incoming Freshmen -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a national service academy may designate eight weeks (not required to be consecutive weeks) of the summer during which incoming freshmen student-athletes who are enrolled in required summer on-campus military training may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction. Participation in such activities shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related instruction.

13.11.3.9.2 Mandatory Medical Examination. Before participating in any required summer athletic activities, a prospective student-athlete shall be required to undergo a medical examination or evaluation



administered or supervised by a physician (e.g., family physician, team physician). The examination or evaluation shall include a sickle cell solubility test unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution or the prospective student-athlete declines the test and signs a written release. The examination or evaluation must have been administered within six months before participation in any athletic activity.

[13.11.3.9 through 13.11.3.10 renumbered as 13.11.3.10 through 13.11.3.11, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2.1, as follows:

17.1.6.2.1 Institutional Vacation Period and Summer.

17.1.6.2.1.1 Sports Other than Championship Subdivision Football. In sports other than championship subdivision football, a student-athlete may not participate in any countable athletically related activities outside the playing season during any institutional vacation period and/or summer. Strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis may design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete.

[17.1.6.2.1.1.1 through 17.1.6.2.1.1.3 unchanged.]

17.1.6.2.1.1.4 Summer Athletic Activities -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a student-athlete who is enrolled in summer school may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction for up to eight weeks (not required to be consecutive weeks). Participation in such activities shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related instruction. An individual who is not eligible to use the exception to summer school enrollment (Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.4.1) may participate only during the period of the institution's summer term or terms (opening day of classes through last day of final exams) in which he is enrolled.

17.1.6.2.1.1.4.1 Exception to Summer School Enrollment -- Academic Requirements -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a student-athlete may participate in required summer athletic activities for up to eight weeks without being enrolled in summer school, provided the student-athlete has achieved a cumulative minimum grade-point average of 2.200 (based on a maximum 4.000 and as computed pursuant to institutional policies applicable to all students) and has successfully completed the following academic requirements based on the applicable number of full-time terms of enrollment:

(a) After two semesters or three quarters: 30 semester hours or 45 quarter hours;

(b) After four quarters: 60 quarter hours;

(c) After three semesters or five quarters: 45 semester hours or 75 quarter hours;

(d) After four semesters or six quarters: 50 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(e) After seven quarters: 58.33 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(f) After five semesters: 62.5 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(g) After eight quarters: 66.67 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;



(h) After six semesters or nine quarters: 75 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(i) After 10 quarters: 83.33 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(j) After seven semesters: 87.5 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program;

(k) After 11 quarters: 91.67 percent of the course requirements in the student-athlete's specific degree program; or

(l) After eight semesters or 12 quarters: completion of the student-athlete's specific baccalaureate degree requirements.

17.1.6.2.1.1.4.2.1 Application to Transfer Student-Athletes -- Men's Basketball. The exception to summer school enrollment does not apply to a transfer student-athlete until he has completed one academic year (two semesters or three quarters) of full time enrollment at the certifying institution.

[Remainder of 17.1.6.2.1 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Student-athletes who enroll in summer school, particularly early in their academic careers, tend to experience enhanced academic success during their collegiate enrollment. This proposal recognizes the importance of the accrued academic benefits of summer school attendance and that retention problems drive a low Academic Progress Rate. The development of an institutional connection is a critically important factor in retaining students. Summer bridge programs have been shown to be particularly effective in this regard. Given the critical importance of the relationship between coach and student-athlete, it is fair to consider that enhancing that relationship will also enhance the connection that a student-athlete feels toward the institution. This proposal will provide opportunities for both academic and athletic improvement, which will, in turn, contribute to greater retention of student-athletes. Allowing limited athletically related activities in a structured environment will not significantly impact competitive equity, but it will prove beneficial in establishing stronger relationships and enhancing the student-athlete's bond to the institution.

Budget Impact: Potential increase for summer school financial aid for men's basketball student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Up to eight hours per week of required athletics activities for up to eight weeks during the summer.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 03, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Jan 14, 2012: Board of Directors, Sponsored

Jan 14, 2012: Board Review, Adopted; Adopted as Emergency Legislation



Division I Men's Basketball Proposals

Jan 15, 2012: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Mar 14, 2012: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period

Football Recruiting Issues

Background

At the June 2011 cabinet meeting, the enforcement staff provided an update regarding its recent focus on collecting information related to emerging recruiting trends in football. Several trends identified include: (1) involvement of street agents; (2) involvement of scouting/recruiting services and their relationships with college coaches and agents; (3) employment of individuals associated with prospective student-athletes at institutional camps; (4) marginalization of high school coaches during the recruiting process; and (5) intent of nonprofit mentoring programs to insert themselves into the lives of prospective student-athletes and develop relationships with them and their families.

During the September 2011 meeting, the cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. Regarding the issue of hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps, the cabinet noted the possibility of considering legislation similar to the current legislation applicable to men's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from the membership and other involved entities (e.g., coaches association, high school associations) and to continue to discuss ways to specifically address the concerns.

Proposal No. 2011-46 was adopted in January. It begins to address the issues surrounding hosting 7-on-7 events at campus facilities. Therefore, the remaining two issues are the items on which the cabinet will continue to focus. Included in this document is some of the current legislation in men's basketball and legislation surrounding official and unofficial visits that may assist in the discussion of what are the next steps that need to be taken, if any.

This supplement also includes a report from the enforcement staff regarding its recent focus on trends in football that may provide additional insight. Although some of these issues may be addressed by the Presidential Retreat Working Groups, it is important to provide thoughtful feedback that may be used in the ongoing process.

Current Legislation in Men's Basketball

Bylaw 13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

Summer Evaluation Period.

During the summer evaluation period, a member of an institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.18. (Revised 10/23/07, 11/1/07)

The following are interpretations issued by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors on October 29, 2009, related to men's basketball.

1. The definition of an "individual associated with a prospect" is any person who maintains (or directs others to maintain) contact with the prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, or coaches at any point during the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, and whose contact is directly or indirectly related to the prospective student-athlete's :
 - (a) Athletics skill and abilities; or
 - (b) Recruitment by or enrollment in an NCAA institution.

This definition includes, but is not limited to, parents, legal guardians, handlers, personal trainers and coaches. An individual who meets the definition of an individual associated with a prospect retains that status during the enrollment of that prospect at that institution.

2. It is not permissible for an institution or men's basketball staff member to employ (i.e., volunteer or paid) an individual associated with a recruiting prospective student-athlete at the institution's or men's basketball staff member's camp or clinic.
3. It is not permissible for an institution or men's basketball staff member to provide money to a nonprofit foundation that expends funds for the benefit of a nonscholastic team, prospective student-athlete(s) or an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete. A violation would occur even if the foundation provides funding to or services for both prospective student-athletes and individuals younger than prospect age.
4. It is not permissible for an institution or men's basketball staff member to provide a consulting fee to an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete or to a consulting firm in which an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete has a proprietary or financial interest.

Current Legislation Related to Official and Unofficial Visits

Bylaw 13.1.6.2. Practice or Competition Site.

Recruiting contact may not be made with a prospective student-athlete prior to any athletics competition in which the prospective student-athlete is on an official or unofficial visit. Contact includes the passing of noted or verbally relaying information to a prospective student-athlete by a third party on behalf of an institution staff member and telephone calls. Such contact shall be governed by the following: (Revised 1/11/8, 1/10/91, 1/11/94, effective 7/1/96, 9/18/07)

- (a) Contact shall not be made with the prospective student-athlete any site prior to the contest on the day or days of competition; (Revised: 1/11/89)
- (b) Contact shall not be made with the prospective student athlete from the time he or she reports on call (at the direction of his or her coach or comparable authority) and become involved in competition-related activity (e.g., traveling to an away-from-home game) to the end of the competition even if such competition-related activities are initiated prior to the day or days of competition; (Revised 1/11/94)
- (c) Contact shall not be made with the prospective student-athlete after the competition until the prospective student-athlete is released by the appropriate institutional authority and departs the dressing and meeting facility;
- (d) Contact shall not be made with the prospective student-athlete involved in competition that requires participation on consecutive days (e.g., tournament) until after his or her final contest is completed and he or she is released by the appropriate institutional authority and leaves the dressing and meeting facility. Contact shall not be made with a prospective student-athlete involved in a tournament that is not conducted on consecutive days until after his or her final contest is completed on a day before a break in the days of the tournament and he or she is released by the appropriate institutional authority and leaves the dressing and meeting facility; (Revised: 1/11/94, 9/18/07)
- (e) Contact with a prospective student-athlete who is on an extended road trip (e.g., traveling with a team from one contest or event to another), is permitted at the conclusion of a competition and prior to the commencement of travel to the next competition, provided he or she has been released by the appropriate institutional authority and departs the dressing and meeting facility; and (Adopted: 9/18/07)
- (f) Coaching staff members may not send electronic correspondence to a prospective student-athlete while he or she is on call for competition at the competition site (e.g., arena, stadium). Coaching staff members may send general correspondence (including electronic correspondence) to a prospective student-athlete while he or she is on call and not at the competition site or while the prospective student-athlete is at any location once he or she has been released by the appropriate authority, provided the general correspondence is sent directly to a prospective student-athlete (e.g., the front desk of the hotel, the prospective student-athlete's personal fax machine) and there is no additional party (e.g., camp employee, coach) involved in disseminating the correspondence (see Bylaw 13.4). For additional restrictions in basketball, see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2. (Revised: 4/3/02, 4/23/03, 3/23/06, 12/12/06)

MEMORANDUM

August 9, 2011

TO: Julie Roe Lach.

FROM: Rachel Newman Baker
Brynna Barnhart
James Garland
Renee Gomila
Chance Miller
Jason Montgomery
Marcus Wilson.

SUBJECT: Football Issues Group Report.

Introduction

The football issues group was formed to facilitate a concentrated effort by the enforcement staff in the sport of football in order to gather information, identify issues relative to potential violations of NCAA legislation and obtain a better understanding of the current football recruiting culture. The group engaged in three phases of targeted outreach efforts that encompassed nonscholastic events, high school and college coaches.

Over the past six months, from February through July 2011, members of the group attended over 20 nonscholastic football events and met with over 30 high school football coaches, along with other individuals associated with the sport of football in California (Los Angeles), the District of Columbia, Florida (South Florida, Tallahassee and Tampa), Maryland, Nevada, Oregon (Portland), and Texas (Dallas and Houston). These efforts resulted in the identification of issues affecting the sport, a better understanding of the football recruiting environment, and also the development of sources and information regarding potential violations of NCAA legislation.

Nonscholastic events

The football issues group attended camps, clinics, combines and seven-on-seven events where elite prospective student-athletes (PSAs) participated and where enforcement representatives could obtain information about the involvement of outside third parties, nonscholastic coaches and others in the recruiting process. Often times these events partner with a national recruiting service that perpetuates the perceptions that attendance at these events is necessary in order to obtain a ranking from a national recruiting service and thereby receive athletics scholarship offers from NCAA Division I BCS institutions. A list of events attended is attached as Supplement No. 1. These efforts were successful in identifying and establishing a baseline understanding of the structure of seven-on-seven tournaments, along with other camps, clinics,

MEMORANDUM

August 9, 2011

Page No. 2

combines and showcases. As a result of enforcement representatives' attendance at these events, the staff was able to interact with individuals who had knowledge of the recruitment of PSAs in that region and also obtain detailed information about elite "traveling" seven-on-seven teams. Moreover, the group obtained a greater understanding of the nonscholastic environment and its impact on the recruiting culture.

High school outreach

In addition to attendance at nonscholastic events, the football issues group also engaged in outreach efforts with high school football coaches that coach elite PSAs. An overview of high schools visited is attached as Supplement No. 2. These efforts not only provided an opportunity for enforcement representatives to develop relationships with high school coaches who consistently interact with elite PSAs, but also the opportunity to identify issues affecting the football recruiting culture including the increased involvement of outside third parties in the recruiting process, the marginalization of high school football coaches in that process and the increased emphasis being placed on attendance by elite PSAs at various nonscholastic events.

Summary of key issues identified

Based upon the football issues group's activities over the past six months, the following issues were identified:

1. Outside "third-party involvement" in the football environment has threatened the core of the collegiate/student-athlete model. Third-party individuals consist of scholastic coaches, nonscholastic coaches, event operators, mentors, trainers, apparel companies, scouting services and agent/runners. Over the past two years, seven-on-seven nonscholastic events held throughout the country, have been the biggest facilitator of third-party involvement in the recruiting process for football PSAs. This poses a major threat to the collegiate/student-athlete model because the seven-on-seven events have increased and allowed for additional access for outside third parties. In addition, there is no governing body to ensure amateurism status.
2. The marginalization of high school football coaches in the collegiate recruiting process. Some college coaches are dealing with third parties and nonscholastic coaches during the recruiting process instead of dealing with high school coaches. In addition, as PSAs parents put more value in the nonscholastic events, rankings and scores, parents are dealing directly with nonscholastic coaches regarding recruiting issues. High school coaches who understand the PSAs athletic ability, academic ability and character are becoming less notable in the recruiting process.

MEMORANDUM

August 9, 2011

Page No. 3

3. The employment of individuals associated with elite PSAs at institutional football camps or the purchase of scouting services in an effort to get PSAs to campus for an institutional camp, clinic or potentially multiple unofficial visits.
4. The emergence of nonprofit programs operated by individuals that affiliate themselves with elite PSAs. Through outreach and attendance at events, the football issues group has discovered that individuals create these nonprofit programs to serve as an umbrella to their seven-on-seven teams and that some of the individuals associated with the nonprofit programs could potentially be considered boosters of an institution. Additionally, the individuals associated with the nonprofit programs cause eligibility issues by funneling benefits to elite PSAs through the nonprofit programs. Further, the individuals associated with the nonprofits are compensated by institutions for arranging unofficial visits during "caravan tours" where the individuals drive several elite PSAs around the country to visit with multiple institutions.
5. Collegiate football coaches engagement in impermissible recruiting activities that include, but are not limited to, impermissible contact during PSAs sophomore or junior years in high school, impermissible contacts during noninstitutional camps or seven-on-seven events, the use of impermissible recruiters such as alumni or scouting services and impermissible contacts during National Underclassman Signing Day.

Conclusion

The football issues group was successful in providing the staff with an initial and basic understanding of the current football recruiting culture and the role of nonscholastic events in the recruiting process. As a result of enforcement representatives' outreach efforts, the staff was able to develop contacts and sources that provided information about potential violations of NCAA legislation. A list of some of the contacts made during by the football issues group is attached as Supplement No. 3 and Supplement No. 4. The information gathered during this initial period of analysis will serve as a baseline of understanding for the enforcement staff regarding the current issues affecting the sport of football. The enforcement staff can utilize this information to become better informed investigators and continue to develop contacts and sources in order to more effectively monitor the football recruiting environment for potential violations of NCAA regulations and increase the efficiency of processing football cases.

RNB:ms

Football Recruiting Issues

Background.

At the September meeting, the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. The cabinet noted the ongoing concerns regarding (1) the involvement of scholastic and nonscholastic third-party individuals in the recruiting process; (2) 7-on-7 events being hosted at institutional facilities; (3) permitting unofficial visits in conjunction with “tours” made by 7-on-7 programs; and (4) hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps. The cabinet asked the NCAA staff to seek feedback from the NCAA membership, and other involved entities, in order to facilitate the continued discussion regarding these issues and in determining whether to sponsor potential legislation.

Feedback from American Football Coaches Association.

- 1. Does your institution hire nonscholastic coaches to work your institutional football camps?**
 - 90% of 78 responders said No.

- 2. How many nonscholastic coaches do you hire each summer for the camp?**
 - 87% of 55 responders said 0.
 - 13% ranged from 1 to 15.

- 3. Is there pressure to hire nonscholastic coaches?**
 - 84% of 77 responders said No.

- 4. How many 7-on-7 club programs have made unofficial visits to your campus in the past two years?**
 - 72% of 72 responders said 0.
 - 28% of 72 ranged from 1 to 60.

- 5. What time of the year do these unofficial visits typically occur?**
 - 38% total responses.
 - 32% responded with June.
 - 39% responded with summer.
 - 16% responded with spring.
 - 13% responded with other (e.g., fall, February, all year).

6. Are unofficial visits beneficial to your program?

- 93% of 73 responders said Yes.

7. Do members of your staff assist in these unofficial visits?

- 88% of 72 responders said Yes.

8. Do you feel the role of the scholastic coach is being marginalized in the recruiting process?

- 71% of 73 responders said Yes.

9. Do you feel that scholastic coaches play an important role in the recruiting process?

- 97% of 76 responders said Yes.

10. Are there other issues surrounding 7-on-7 events that concern you?

- Only an issue if nonscholastic coaches are involved in the recruiting process.
- Eliminating 7-on-7 programs.
- Decreasing football scholarship limits.
- The increase in third-party involvement and exploiting prospective student-athletes. Need more legislation.
- "All Star" teams.
- Nike and Underarmor games and the involvement of nonscholastic coaches in those games.
- Nonscholastic coaches and noninstitutional camps.
- Only high school coaches should be able to coach 7-on-7.
- Keep 7-on-7 off-campus.
- Street agents and their use of high school coaches and encouraging high school transfers.
- Some states do not support All-Star 7-on-7 teams.
- Developing more into the men's basketball culture.
- Third-party involvement.
- High school coaches have less influence.
- Paying high school coaches to bring team/individual to institution's camp.
- Alumni influence.
- Some institutions need the 7-on-7 programs to generate revenue.
- Institutions reimbursing nonscholastic coaches.
- The increase in institution's hiring nonscholastic coaches to work institutional camps and the disadvantage some institutions face is they avoid nonscholastic coaches.
- Unofficial visits in conjunction with a 7-on-7 program.

Feedback from High School Coaches.

The NCAA staff was not as successful in receiving feedback from high school coaches. Based on the limited responses we received it appears that high school coaches agree that they are being marginalized in the recruiting process and have concerns regarding 7-on-7 programs.



Post-Presidential Retreat Updates December 19, 2011

Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group



Presentation to Board: April 2012

Chair: James Barker, President
Clemson University

Vice Chair: Steadman Upham, President
University of Tulsa



Group Met
DEC 13



Next Meeting
TBD

Direct questions and feedback to staff contact:

Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org

DEVELOPMENTS

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group met on December 13 to continue its discussion regarding a new regulatory culture and review specific principle-based outcomes and operational bylaws. At the meeting, the group identified some over-arching principles, such as fairness, accountability and integrity, which will guide work within the individual bylaws.

The group identified a framework for a new approach to NCAA rules. Under this construct, all NCAA legislation must tie back to the NCAA enduring values of student-athlete success, the collegiate model, amateurism or equity and must support or advance a constitutional principle. The model will define specific principle-based outcomes in key bylaws, with accompanying operating bylaws that provide further guidance to assist with compliance. The operating bylaws are to be meaningful, enforceable and supportive of student success. Additional guidance will be provided by the national office, conferences and professional organizations. The group also continued its work on a redefined principle of competitive equity to better focus on issues of fairness.

In addition, the group decided to ask the Division I Board of Directors to place a moratorium on new legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle (unless part of the presidentially led reform agenda), as well as to ask the Legislative Council to table proposals in the 2011-12 cycle that could be impacted by the Working Group's efforts. In order to make the principles-based approach to the rule book successful, the group believes that suspending legislation in 2012-13 and tabling a large majority of the 78 proposals in the current cycle that could be related to the group's charge is necessary to allow the membership time to think more broadly about the rules and the rules-making process.

Going forward, the Working Group plans to solicit feedback from the membership – including the various committees, councils and cabinets in the Division I governance structure – in early 2012. While the group plans to work as efficiently and move as quickly as possible, the members believe that rewriting the rule book and creating a new regulatory approach requires a thoughtful process to produce quality work.

In addition to gathering feedback, members of the working group will work with identified thought leaders in each bylaw area to prepare completed drafts of principle-based outcomes and operating bylaws for the Working Group's review prior to wide circulation in early 2012.

The Working Group agreed there are broader issues related to institutional integrity and the NCAA regulatory culture that require the attention of each of our campuses, conferences and the NCAA.

The Working Group believes it is important for the NCAA to determine the need to regulate behaviors historically not considered within the scope of NCAA rules, and to discuss appropriate NCAA involvement even when behaviors may not be covered by NCAA rules. The working group encouraged NCAA leadership to consider how best to address issues of institutional integrity and the regulatory culture.

EXISTING INFORMATION

The Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group is charged with streamlining the Division I manual to place appropriate emphasis on rules that are significant, enforceable and contribute to student-athlete success. The group supports a new approach to the regulatory aspect of intercollegiate athletics that will ensure current and future legislation aligns with and addresses our enduring values.

The Working Group requested and received endorsement from the Division I Board of Directors at its October 27 meeting for a resolution that summarizes the concepts and direction for the working group as they do their part to transform intercollegiate athletics, driven by principle-based outcomes.

In addition, the group will meet jointly with the Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group in early 2012. Both working groups have voiced acknowledgment of the need for strong collaboration between their efforts.

**Board of Directors Consent Package of Action Items from the
Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Resource Allocation Working Group
January 14, 2012**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Elimination of foreign tours.

- a. **Adopt legislation, effective January 14, 2012, for the elimination of institutional foreign tours that occur at any time. Signed contracts dated previous to January 14, 2012 for scheduled institutional foreign tours will be honored.**

[Note: Institutions feel a growing pressure to provide a foreign tour opportunity to each student-athlete. As a result, providing a foreign tour has become tied to the recruiting process. Student-athletes are encouraged to use institutional study-abroad programs that are available during these time periods.]

2. Reduction in scholarships – Football and Women’s Basketball.

- a. **Adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football scholarships from 85 to 80, with proportional decrease in scholarships for the Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) from 63 to 60 equivalencies, with 80 overall counters.**
- b. **Adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2014, to reduce women’s basketball scholarships from 15 to 13.**

[Note: The proposed scholarship numbers allow for continued success of football and women’s basketball programs while providing institutions with the opportunity to reallocate dollars to other initiatives that benefit student-athletes. The proposed scholarship reductions also will allow for athletics talent to be dispersed across more intercollegiate athletics programs.]

3. Appropriate Number of Competitions.

- a. **That a resolution [Attachment A] be adopted, which specifies:**

- **That an immediate moratorium be instituted to cap the number of contests/dates of competition at the levels that currently exist in all sports.**

- **That the NCAA Division I Board commission a study to determine the maximum number of contests/dates of competition of the playing season (championship and nonchampionship segment) that is essential to the success of each NCAA sport. In addition, the Working Group recommends that the scope of this study specifically examine how basketball contests are counted.**
- **That once the study is completed and the appropriate contest/dates of competition limits are in place for each sport, that those limits remain in place for 10 years.**

[Note: It is important for the student-athlete to have the appropriate number of competitions in place that allow them to be successful as athletes, while maximizing the time available for academic success and campus life pursuits.]

4. Maximum Limits on Non-coaching Personnel – Football and Men’s Basketball.

- a. **Adopt legislation, effective August 1, 2013, that limits the number of non-coaching staff members in football and men’s basketball.**
- **In football, a limit of 12 non-coaching staff members, whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by an institution. The 12 non-coaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members**
 - **In men’s basketball, a limit of six non-coaching staff members, whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity, including third-party contractors that may be employed by the institution. The six non-coaching staff members shall not include athletics trainers, academic support and compliance staff members.**

[NOTE: The non-coaching personnel limitations do include videographers, strength and conditioning coaches, and operations and administrative personnel. The Collegiate Model—Rules Working Group is asked to work with staff to refine the list of what would be included in the non-coaching staff limits.]

[NOTE: The membership and the Division I Board of Directors have expressed significant concern with the proliferation of non-coaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities. Dollars spent on these personnel can be allocated to areas that will more directly benefit the student-athlete and better align with enduring values.]

**Presidential Working Group – Rules – Discussion Document
(February 2012)**

The NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules has been charged with reviewing and recommending amendments to the NCAA Division I Manual that would reduce the volume of rules that are difficult to enforce and that arguably fail to support the NCAA's enduring values, specifically the collegiate model, student-athlete success, amateurism and competitive equity. It is clear from the working group's numerous discussions, and from the feedback obtained from the membership that there is support to change the regulatory structure in meaningful ways that, in conjunction with an enhanced enforcement structure, will better support the collegiate model by placing appropriate emphasis on the most significant regulations.

In addition to the challenges related to the sheer volume of rules and bureaucracy in the current regulatory environment, the working group notes a historical desire to govern the conduct of intercollegiate athletics in a way that has resulted in a set of rules designed to diminish advantages that may arise for some members as a result of differing financial or other resources. The working group continues to examine whether to regulate through a "one size fits all" approach or otherwise legislate to the lowest common denominator based on resource availability. As a result and in support of a new regulatory approach, the working group is considering the development of guiding statements/principles that will serve as a foundation for a revised set of operating bylaws. The statements/principles will provide high-level guidance and justification for the operating bylaws which will serve to govern day-to-day compliance behavior.

The working group, along with leaders from the membership and the NCAA staff developed a package of concepts related to various operating bylaws on which the group would appreciate your feedback. The package includes a set of guiding statements/principles for each of the bylaws included in phase I of the working group's review. Proposed legislative concepts related to these guiding statements call for the immediate elimination of some rules and the modification and potential elimination of additional rules after discussion and development based on membership feedback. It is important to note that the working group considers these statements/principles and concepts very much in draft and will carefully consider all feedback before sending an initial set of recommendations to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors in April 2012. With that in mind, please consider the following questions during your discussion:

1. Are the guiding statements/principles appropriate for the relevant operating bylaws? Do the statements/principles adequately support the need for operating bylaws in this area? Should any be revised or added?
2. Do you agree with the actions contemplated by the legislative concepts?
3. Is there an appropriate nexus between the proposed guiding statements/principles and the actions contemplated by the legislative concepts?

**NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules
Discussion Document - NCAA Bylaw 11**

NCAA Bylaw 11 Guiding Statements/Principles.

1. Coaching staff members employed by an institution, rather than ancillary institutional athletics personnel or outside individuals not associated with the institution, shall engage in coaching student-athletes in the intercollegiate athletics setting.
2. Each member institution shall establish policies and procedures, consistent with NCAA bylaws, related to the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, including the roles and responsibilities of all athletics department staff members. Only countable coaches shall engage in off-campus recruiting activities.
3. Member institutions, as opposed to any outside persons or entities, shall be responsible for determining who they employ and the amount of salary each employee receives, subject to institutional policies and procedures.
4. Each member institution shall establish policies, including accountability measures, to ensure appropriate institutional control of athletics department staff members, to promote an environment of integrity and to prohibit relationships with outside individuals/entities that are in conflict with Association and or institutional values.

NCAA Division I Bylaw 11 (Personnel) Concepts.

1. Elimination of coaching categories. The bylaws would continue to place sport-specific numerical limits on countable coaches and would exempt undergraduate/graduate student coaches and strength and conditioning coaches (in sports other than Football Bowl Subdivision football) from such limitations. It is proposed that each sport that currently includes a volunteer coach would be able to employ one an additional coach to offset the elimination of the volunteer coach category. For those sports with multiple volunteer coaches, it may be necessary to seek input from their respective coaches associations to determine the appropriate number of additional coaches.

Rationale.

- The elimination of coaching categories is designed to simplify/deregulate the coaching limitations without compromising the principle of fair competition.

Comments.

- a. The NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules subgroup continues to support elimination of the graduate student category (i.e., unlimited graduate assistants in all sports), but recommends that an additional criterion prohibiting previous collegiate or professional coaching experience be included in addition to the previously recommended full-time enrollment and good academic standing requirements. In addition, it may be necessary to consider whether unlimited graduate assistant coaches with the additional requirements to serve in such a position equitably offsets the four current graduate assistants permitted in FBS football, or whether additional countable coaches should be permitted.
- b. The subgroup was split on maintaining the recently adopted limit of five strength and conditioning coaches in the sport of Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) football, but acknowledged that since FBS recently adopted the rule, there may be merit in maintaining and evaluating its effectiveness for a period of time.
- c. The subgroup noted that institutions should identify and keep on file a list of their countable coaches in each sport, but such a requirement should not be a national regulation. A conference, at its discretion, may require the list to be provided to the conference office.
- d. The subgroup acknowledged that one option is to eliminate the volunteer coach category, while making appropriate increases to the numerical limits to offset the elimination of the category; however, there was support for maintaining the volunteer coach category, but redefining the position to be more consistent with its original intent.
- e. The subgroup did not support the establishment of numerical limitations on noncoaching staff members in the sports of football and men's basketball. The group noted that if there are legitimate concerns regarding the impact of such individuals on the fairness of the competition, there are more effective ways (e.g., limiting bench personnel during competition) to address the problem. The subgroup agreed that as long as there are sport-specific coaching limitations, there will remain a need to assist institutions in distinguishing between those individuals who should be included in the numerical limitations.

2. Elimination of regulations governing contractual agreements and compensation from sources outside the institution.

Rationale.

- The regulations governing contractual agreements between an institution and its athletics department staff members and the receipt of compensation from sources outside the institution are not national in significance. It should be each institution's responsibility to address these matters in accordance with their own policies and procedures.
3. Elimination of the annual certification requirement applicable to coaches involved in off-campus recruiting activities.

Rationale.

- The annual certification requirement is not national in significance. Institutions and/or conferences, at their discretion, should be responsible for developing rules-education materials/tests designed to ensure that coaches engaged in recruiting activities have a sufficient working knowledge of the recruiting rules.
4. Elimination of the regulations governing scouting of opponents.

Rationale.

- The regulations governing scouting of opponents are not national in significance. Widespread availability of video would suggest minimal competitive advantage would be gained from in-person scouting and would be offset by the coach's diversion from other coaching responsibilities.

Comments.

- The subgroup noted that the NCAA Division I Legislative Council (LGC) did not support eliminating the scouting prohibition in its entirety, but instead preferred prohibiting live scouting in all sports, with exceptions for same site competition activities.

5. Additional regulations prohibiting the employment of coaches who remained employed in coaching prospects who participate on nonscholastic teams/clubs.

Rationale.

- This proposal is designed to limit opportunities for third party involvement in institutional athletics programs as well as eliminate unenforceable rules that require institutions to attempt to monitor recruiting conversations and conduct that occurs in the course of secondary employment.

Comments.

- The subgroup continues to support the additional regulations, but agreed with comments offered by the LGC regarding the potential resistance by coaches from being employed at local nonscholastic sports clubs (under current restrictions) while employed at a Division I institution. The group noted that part-time coaches in many non-revenue sports have used the local sports club exception to supplement institutional income.

6. Additional regulations prohibiting the employment of Individuals Associated with Prospects (IAWP) in noncoaching categories in all sports.

Rationale.

- The proposal is designed to limit opportunities for third party involvement in the recruiting process. The current rule is applicable only in men's basketball, but it is likely that this trend has extended to other sports or will do so in the near future.

7. Elimination of the regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed currently only by head or assistant coaches.

Rationale.

- The regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed only by a head or assistant coach are not national in significance and, in many instances, present enforcement challenges. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, including the roles and responsibilities of all athletics department staff members. The current rules requiring off-campus recruitment to be performed only by countable coaches would remain applicable.
8. Elimination of the restrictions governing the number of off-campus recruiters at any one time (the baton rule).

Rationale.

- The restriction on the number of coaches who may be engaged in off-campus recruiting activities at any one time (the baton rule) is not national in significance and presents enforcement challenges. The rule was initially adopted as a cost containment measure, but there is no data, anecdotally or otherwise, that would suggest the rule has furthered its objective. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the off-campus recruitment of prospective student-athletes by authorized countable coaching staff members.

**NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model -- Rules
Discussion Document -- NCAA Bylaw 13**

NCAA Bylaw 13 Guiding Statements/Principles.

1. The recruitment process shall balance the interests of prospective student-athletes, their educational institutions and the Association's member institutions. Regulations governing the recruiting process shall shield the prospective student-athlete from undue pressure that may interfere with his or her academic and athletics pursuits. Prospective student-athletes shall be in command of the recruiting process and institutions shall not infringe on that management.
2. Visits to an institution's campus by a prospective student-athlete shall be conducted according to policies and procedures established by the institution and approved annually by the institution's president or chancellor. Campus visits shall be designed to provide the prospective student-athlete an experience that exposes him or her to the typical experiences of a student and student-athlete on that campus.
3. The recruiting process shall include adequate academic and athletics assessment opportunities that provide for an informed decision by institutional admissions officials, college coaches and prospective student-athletes. The prospective student-athlete shall be free from unwanted interactions with institutional staff members.
4. A prospective student-athlete shall make his or her college choice free from impermissible offers and inducements provided to the prospective student-athlete or his or her relatives, coaches and friends. Further, an institution may not benefit (e.g., through the enrollment of a prospective student-athlete) from undue influences exerted by any party in the recruiting process.
5. An institution shall not involve a third party or representative of athletics interest in recruiting a prospective student-athlete.

Bylaw 13 (Recruiting) Concepts.

1. Elimination of the regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed currently only by head or assistant coaches. Only countable coaches would be permitted to engage in off-campus recruiting activities.

Rationale.

- The regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed only by a head or assistant coach are not national in significance and, in many instances, present enforcement challenges. Each institution should be responsible for establishing its own policies and procedures related to the recruitment of prospective student-athletes, including the roles and responsibilities of all athletics department staff members. The current rules requiring off-campus recruitment to be performed only by countable coaches would remain applicable.

Comments.

- a. The NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model subgroup supports the elimination of the regulations defining recruiting coordination functions that must be performed currently only by head or assistant coaches and suggests that such legislation be adopted in April.
 - b. The subgroup did note that there have been exceptions provided in recent years that permit individuals other than countable coaches to communicate with prospective student-athletes on non-recruiting matters (e.g., camp logistics).
2. Establishment of a specific earlier date by which prospective student-athletes who have demonstrated a commitment to attend an institution are no longer considered prospective student-athletes for purposes of applying recruiting rules (offers and inducements).

Rationale.

- The proposed change is designed to further student-athlete success and well-being by allowing an individual who has demonstrated a commitment to attend a particular institution to be treated similarly to the enrolled student-athletes attending that institution.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the establishment of a specific earlier date by which prospective student-athletes who have demonstrated a commitment to attend an institution are no longer considered prospective student-athletes for purposes of applying all recruiting rules.

- b. The subgroup suggests that the date would be the date on which the prospect student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI), or for institutions not subscribing to the NLI, the date on which the prospective student-athlete signs a written offer of admission and/or financial aid.
 - c. The subgroup also suggests that the legislation be adopted in April taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.
3. The establishment of regulations that provide for earlier access (specific initial dates for communication and contact) with prospective student-athletes.

Rationale.

- The establishment of regulations that provide for earlier access with prospective student-athletes is designed to support student-athlete success and well-being by allowing both the prospective student-athlete (and his or her family) and the institution greater opportunities to make more informed, and thus more sound recruiting decisions.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the establishment of regulations that provide for earlier access with prospective student-athletes.
 - b. The subgroup suggests that June 15 at the completion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year is the appropriate date and should be uniform for all sports.
 - c. The subgroup also suggests that the legislation be adopted in April taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.
4. Elimination of restrictions governing modes and restrictions (numerical limitations) on recruiting communication.

Rationale.

- The current regulations governing modes and restrictions on recruiting communication are cumbersome and present numerous enforcement challenges. Institutions and/or conferences, at their discretion, should be responsible for establishing policies and procedures governing the recruitment of prospective student-athletes by athletics department staff members.

Comments.

- The subgroup supports elimination of restrictions governing modes and restrictions (numerical limitations) on recruiting communication and suggests that legislation be adopted in April taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.
5. Development of more flexible recruiting calendars based on a specified number of recruiting days, with specified dead periods (e.g., days surrounding the initial National Letter of Intent signing date and the NCAA championship in the particular sport).

Rationale.

- The development of more flexible recruiting calendars based on an annual specified number of recruiting days will allow each institution to better assess its recruiting needs, while furthering the principle to shield prospective student-athletes from undue pressure in the recruiting process.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the development of more flexible recruiting calendars based on a specified number of recruiting days, with specified dead periods (e.g., days surrounding the initial National Letter of Intent signing date and the NCAA championship in the particular sport).
- b. The subgroup supports no limitations on the number of recruiting opportunities per prospect, but requests additional feedback from the membership on this issue.
- c. The subgroup notes that it is advisable to seek input from the respective coaches associations regarding the appropriate annual number of recruiting days per sport, but

also support an additional filtering process before reaching a definitive conclusion. [Note: The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Personnel Issues Cabinet previously has compiled data from coaches associations on this topic.]

- d. The subgroup suggests that the concept be supported in April, but that additional time is necessary to develop the details of the proposal.
6. Elimination of legislation related to publishing/providing Admissions and Graduation Data, Banned Drug List and Initial-Eligibility Standards [Note: The activities would continue as national office/NCAA Eligibility Center policies].

Rationale.

- The current legislation is not consequential as it codifies policies that will continue to be implemented, regardless of their inclusion in the manual.

Comments.

- The subgroup supports the elimination of legislation related to publishing/providing Admissions and Graduation Data, Banned Drug List and Initial-Eligibility Standards and suggests that such legislation be adopted in April.
7. Deregulation of printed recruiting materials either by eliminating the rule entirely or, in the alternative, prohibit sending or providing prospective student-athletes any recruiting materials other than general correspondence. In the latter instance, institutions could post materials on their Web site to be accessed by the prospective student-athlete.

Rationale.

- The current legislation presents enforcement challenges and does not further the principle of fair competition.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the deregulation of printed recruiting materials and suggests that both alternatives be presented to the membership for feedback.

- b. The subgroup suggests that a proposal consistent with the feedback provided by the membership be adopted in April taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.
8. Elimination of restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials designed to solicit the enrollment of prospective student-athletes, while maintaining prohibitions against personalized promotions.

Rationale.

- The current restrictions related to general (as opposed to personalized) advertisements/promotions present enforcement challenges and does not further the principle of fair competition.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the elimination of restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials designed to solicit the enrollment of prospective student-athletes, while maintaining prohibitions against personalized promotions, but requests additional feedback from the membership regarding the scope of deregulation.
 - b. The subgroup suggests that the elimination of restrictions related to general advertising or promotional materials be adopted in April consistent with the feedback provided by the membership and taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.
9. Reduction in restrictions governing the official visit (e.g., entertainment radius, support groups, activities, number of hosts), while requiring institutions to address such issues through written policies.

Rationale.

- Many of the restrictions governing official visits are not national in significance, have been adopted primarily to address isolated instances of conduct that resulted in a perceived recruiting advantage and often present monitoring difficulties for institutional compliance personnel. It is more appropriate that many of these issues be

addressed through the institution's written policies as required under current legislation.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports eliminating many of the restrictions governing official visits and notes it is more appropriate that many of these issues be addressed through the institution's written policies as required under current legislation.
 - b. The subgroup notes that it is advisable to develop a list of the activities that should be addressed by the institution in its policies and procedures.
 - c. The subgroup requests additional feedback from the membership regarding the activities that should be eliminated and suggests additional time may be necessary to reach consensus on the list of activities to be addressed in the institution's policies and procedures.
10. Expansion of regulations of governing employment of Individuals Associated with Prospects (IAWPs) in noncoaching positions to all sports.

Rationale.

- The proposal is designed to limit opportunities for third party involvement in the recruiting process. The current rule is applicable only in men's basketball, but it is likely that this trend has extended to other sports or will do so in the near future.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports the expansion of regulations of governing employment of Individuals Associated with Prospects (IAWPs) in noncoaching positions to all sports.
- b. The subgroup suggests requesting feedback from the membership about the practical application of such a rule in all sports and notes that additional time may be necessary prior to adoption and implementation.

11. Elimination of all recruiting publicity regulations entirely or, in the alternative, after a prospective student-athlete's commitment to the institution.

Rationale.

- Many of the regulations prohibiting institutions from publicizing interest in a particular prospective student-athlete appear to be motivated by a desire to minimize a "keep up with the Jones" mentality as well as to address the perception that comments made by an institutional staff member about a prospective student-athlete have provided the institution with a recruiting advantage. Given the advances in technology and the increased use of social networks, such comments are often difficult to monitor as well as enforce, and it is arguable that such publicity is of significant consequence to the prospective student-athlete when making his or her recruiting decision. At a minimum, the publicity regulations have no consequence once a prospective student-athlete has committed to an institution.

Comments.

- a. The subgroup supports deregulation of publicity rules and requests feedback from the membership on both alternatives.
- b. The subgroup notes that it may be necessary to maintain the prohibition against media presence during recruiting contacts/visits.
- c. The subgroup suggests that legislation be adopted in April consistent with feedback provided by the membership taking into consideration an appropriate effective date for implementation.

Executive Summary - Preliminary Report to the NCAA Membership

NCAA Working Group on Collegiate Model - Enforcement
February 2012

[Note: This document is an executive summary of the Preliminary Report to the Membership working draft. The working group's efforts are continuing, and the group expects it will make changes to these proposals based on feedback from the membership and affiliated groups. The group intends to submit proposals to the Division I Board of Directors in April and August 2012 after gaining significant feedback, revising the proposals as warranted and circulating revisions to the extent possible.]

A. Background.

In August 2011, NCAA President Mark Emmert and more than 50 presidents and chancellors gathered to examine in broad terms how to sustain the collegiate model and restore public trust in college sports and the NCAA. The presidents identified five significant areas of concern: (1) standards and metrics for the academic success of Division I student-athletes; (2) the allocation of financial resources within intercollegiate rules; (3) the financial well-being of student-athletes; (4) a realigning of how rules governing intercollegiate athletics are determined and an enhanced expectation of shared responsibility at the campus, conference and national levels; and (5) the strong and swift enforcement of those rules that places the greatest emphasis on those violations that if left unattended most significantly denigrate the collegiate model.

The presidents emphasized that there are four acknowledged enduring values that are guiding the entirety of their efforts and will be the measures against which all policies and judgments will be tested:

- Student-athlete success academically and athletically is paramount.
- The collegiate model, in which athletics is embedded in the values of higher education, including shared responsibility and accountability, should be protected and sustained.
- Amateurism as a student-participation model guides the relationship between students and institutions in the collegiate model of athletics.
- Fair opportunity to compete among institutions of similar commitment to inter-collegiate athletics should guide the administration of the collegiate model.

The Working Group on Collegiate Model - Enforcement was formed to primarily focus on the fifth concern, along with contributing to a better definition and clearer expectation of shared responsibility (part of the fourth concern). As one of five committees or working groups addressing a broad spectrum of change, this is the preliminary report to the membership.

B. Brief Overview of Proposed Changes.

This working group was tasked with creating a multi-level NCAA rules violation structure and an enhanced penalty structure for NCAA rules infractions; and re-establishing a sense of shared responsibility, among the interested individuals and entities in intercollegiate athletics, for NCAA rules compliance and enforcement.

Based on the guiding principles of fairness, accountability and process integrity, the working group has (1) reached a number of conclusions regarding the NCAA's current violation, process and penalty structures, as well as the means by which responsibilities for enforcement efforts are currently shared among interested individuals and entities; (2) developed a series of recommended actions to address noted concerns with the current structures and definition of shared responsibility for rules enforcement; and (3) identified the anticipated outcomes for each of the proposed actions. .

1. Violation structure.

The working group is recommending a move from the current model (secondary/major) to a four-level violation structure. The proposed violation structure would be composed of the following levels:

- Level I – The most egregious violations. A Level I violation is a violation that seriously undermines or threatens the integrity of any of the NCAA enduring values (student-athlete success, the collegiate model, amateurism as a student model, competitive equity), including any violation that provides or is intended to provide a significant or extensive recruiting, competitive or other advantage, or significant or extensive impermissible benefit. Multiple Level II, III and/or IV violations collectively may be considered a Level I violation. Individual conduct that is unethical may be classified as a Level I violation, even if the underlying institutional violations are not considered Level I.
- Level II – Serious violations that currently fall between major and secondary violations. A Level II violation is a violation that provides or is intended to provide a minimal to significant recruiting, competitive or other advantage; or includes a minimal to significant impermissible benefit; or involves a pattern of systemic violations in a particular area. Multiple Level III and/or IV violations collectively may be considered a Level II violation. Some limited individual conduct that is unethical or dishonest may be classified as a Level II violation, even if the underlying institutional violations are not considered Level II.
- Level III – Violations that provide some advantage or impermissible benefit that warrant NCAA enforcement staff review. A Level III violation is a

violation that is isolated or limited in nature; provides no more than a minimal recruiting, competitive or other advantage; and does not include more than a minimal impermissible benefit. Multiple Level IV violations collectively may be considered a Level III violation.

- Level IV – Minor or technical issues that do not rise to the level of a serious violation. (The working group notes that this level may not be necessary, or may include only limited violations, depending on the adopted work of the rules working group.) A Level IV issue is an action/inaction that is inadvertent and isolated; limited or technical in nature; and results in a negligible, if any, recruiting, competitive or other advantage or negligible, if any, impermissible benefit. Level IV issues will not impact eligibility.

2. Process structure.

The working group recommends that the NCAA Committee on Infractions be retained to hear and decide the most significant allegations of rules violations. However, the working group recommends that the committee be composed of a more diverse group of persons (e.g., former university presidents, vice presidents, athletics administrators with compliance experience).

The working group recommends that the committee be composed of a larger pool of individuals (a minimum of 18 but ideally at least 24 voting members) from which panels will be composed. In cases involving the most serious allegations of rules violations (Level I), the group recommends that either six or seven committee members will be randomly selected from each representative group to hear the cases. In cases involving allegations of Level II violations, the group proposes that three-member panels will be selected to hear the cases.

The working group recommends a number of other process modifications, applicable to cases categorized as Level I or Level II, including the following:

- Increase the availability of written case submissions to the Committee on Infractions, at the option of the institution and/or affected individuals, with agreement by the enforcement staff, even when there is disagreement on the facts, so that certain matters may be decided without the need for a full hearing.
- Redesign the notice of allegations to allow the member institution and/or involved individuals immediate access to the information on which the allegations are based and eliminate the need for a staff-prepared case summary, yet allowing for staff rebuttal when necessary.

- Introduce the availability of informally resolved infractions cases in situations in which the involved institution and/or affected individuals do not dispute the allegations or penalties in Level I and Level II cases (as reflected in the Penalty Guidelines), thereby significantly reducing the cost and anticipated timeline in serious infractions cases.
- Introduce the option of decreasing the amount of time between the notice of allegations and the institution's response from 90 to 60 days.
- Introduce the concept of a prehearing conference, with the Committee on Infractions panel chair presiding, in which preliminary issues are resolved prior to the full hearing of the infractions case including, when applicable, any disputes regarding the level at which the allegations have been charged.
- Use of an online case materials submission system and videoconferencing.
- Introduce the concept of NCAA staff-recommended penalties, pursuant to the Penalty Guidelines.
- Increase the availability of an expedited hearing before a Committee on Infractions representative at the request of the involved institution and/or involved individuals.
- Introduce time limitations for the preparation of hearing transcripts (two weeks), as well as the issuance of infractions reports and appeals reports (four weeks).
- Add additional staff to support the office of the Committees on Infractions.

With respect to matters categorized as Level III or Level IV, the working group recommends the following:

- In situations involving Level III violations, NCAA staff will continue to work with institutions, much the same as under the current secondary violations process, to determine whether infractions have occurred and, if so, the appropriate penalties to be imposed.
- In Level III matters, member institutions would continue to have access to a case precedent database, thereby allowing confirmation that staff-imposed penalties are consistent with those imposed in previously decided similar situations.
- In situations involving Level IV issues, the conference with which the involved institution is associated will work with the institution to determine

whether issues need to be addressed and, if so, the appropriate penalties to be imposed, if any. The group anticipates that the conferences will report these actions annually to the NCAA and/or will share information of issues/responses with the other conferences.

3. Penalty structure.

For cases involving allegations of Level I and Level II violations, the working group recommends a range of penalties set out in Penalty Guidelines. The working group recommends that a number of penalties constitute core penalties and form the basis for the Penalty Guidelines. Each of these penalties includes varying degrees of severity. The working group believes that the severity of the penalty imposed must correspond with the significance of the rule violation(s). The recommended core penalties:

- (a) Competition limitations [e.g., limitations imposed on participation in postseason play for varying lengths of time (depending upon the severity of the infractions) in given sport(s)].
- (b) Financial penalties [e.g., return revenue received from a given (fact-specific) event or series of events (e.g., revenues received for participation in tournament, bowl game or televised broadcasts)].
- (c) Scholarship limitations [e.g., limitations of athletics scholarships in head count sports [by number or percentage, depending upon the sport(s) involved] for varying lengths of time in given sport(s)].
- (d) Recruiting limitations [e.g., limitations of the number of allowable official paid visits at the institution for varying lengths of time in given sport(s)].
- (e) Probation.
- (f) Show cause orders (if applicable in a given case).

The working group recommends that the best means by which the committee may impose a penalty that falls within a more/less severe range of penalties is if it determines that certain aggravating and/or mitigating circumstances exist in Level I or II cases. The group continues to refine the lists of aggravating and mitigating factors. The Committee on Infractions will determine the presence and weight of the individual factors in a given case and may balance these factors in the decision-making process.

The committee may determine whether the presence of one or more of the aggravating and/or mitigating factors exist and, if so, how those factors affect the classification of the case. As a result, the presence of some aggravating factors and no mitigating factors may elevate the case classification to "aggravation" or "significant aggravation." Conversely, if there are mitigating factors in a case and no aggravating factors, the case classification would be "mitigation" or "significant mitigation."

4. Shared responsibility specific to compliance efforts and investigations.

The working group recommends that the concept of shared responsibility be better defined and specific expectations be identified for given roles within the compliance and enforcement processes. The working group will provide a final report on this issue to the Board in August 2012.

5. Head coach responsibility.

The working group proposes that the rationale for Proposal No. 2004-102, which is currently Bylaw 11.1.2.1, be amended to presume that the head coach is responsible (instead of knowledgeable and, therefore responsible) for not promoting an atmosphere of compliance and/or monitoring his/her staff. The working group recommends that at the April 2012 meeting, the Board of Directors support a recommitment to the original intent of Bylaw 11.1.2.1 and the addition of clarifying language to the rationale.

The working group is recommending that the penalty guidelines emphasize that Level I and II violations of Bylaw 11.1.2.1 should result in a show cause order with suspension from contests as one of the show cause requirements. The working group recognizes that employment decisions related to coaches or any athletics personnel rest solely with our member institutions. The recommended specific suspension requirements are:

- For the head coach who commits a Standard Level II violation of this bylaw, his/her employing institution will be required to withhold the coach from 10 to 30 percent of the institution's next games. The suspensions may include postseason contests and/or carry over to the next season.
- For the head coach who commits a Standard Level I violation of this bylaw, his/her employing institution will be required to withhold the coach from 20 to 50 percent of the institution's next games. The suspensions may include postseason contests and/or carry over to the next season.

The working group proposes that for Level III violations, a list of identified violations warranting head coach suspension for football, men's basketball and possibly other sports be examined and expanded as appropriate to address what are presumed to be intentional secondary recruiting violations.

D. Desired Outcomes.

The specific outcomes the working group expects from the changes to the violation, process and penalty structures are noted below:

1. Violation structure.

A new violation structure that appropriately categorizes the severity of infractions and allows for different levels of accountability for institutions and individuals.

2. Process structure.

- a. A much faster mode for processing violations that delivers expediency without compromising process integrity or fairness.
 - o Clear metrics for every stage of processing a case.
- b. Clear understanding of what aspects of enforcement and student-athlete reinstatement cases can be more transparent and corresponding transparency where appropriate.

3. Penalty structure.

- a. Strong penalties that are predictable, deter the risk-reward analysis and address any unfair advantage.
- b. Clear definition of institutional control.
 - o Rewards/incentives for effective compliance programs.
 - o Rewards/incentives for strong institutional action to address wrongdoing.
- c. Rewards/incentives for individuals acknowledging violations.
- d. Increased accountability for head coaches.

4. Shared responsibility.

- Strengthened support for institutional leadership.

Clear definition of shared responsibility and resulting expectations of individuals, institutions, conferences and the national office staff.