

A G E N D A

National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Legislative Council

The Westin Indianapolis Hotel
Indianapolis

October 17-18, 2011

[Note: Items in bold are anticipated action items.]

1. Welcome and opening remarks.
2. Remarks from President Emmert and update from Presidential Retreat. [Supplement No. 1]
3. Report of the August 2, 2011, NCAA Division I Leadership Council meeting. [Supplement No. 2]
4. Report of the August 11, 2011, NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting. [Supplement No. 3]
5. Report of the August 11, 2011, NCAA Executive Committee meeting. [Supplement No. 4]
6. Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee. [Supplement No. 5]
7. Report of the August 16, 2011, NCAA Division I Communications and Coordination Committee teleconference. [Supplement No. 6]
8. NCAA Division I Cabinet and Committee/Subcommittee reports.

[Note: The NCAA Division I Legislative Review/Interpretations Committee, NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief, NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification and NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement report directly and only to the NCAA Division I Legislative Council. Therefore, reports from those entities will be fully reviewed. For the other entities listed below, the Legislative Council will focus its review only on legislative action and legislative information items included in the reports.]

- a. Reports of the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (June and September meetings). [Supplement Nos. 7-a and 7-b]
- b. Report of the NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet (June meeting and September teleconference). [Supplement Nos. 8-a and 8-b]
- c. Reports of the NCAA Division I Academics Cabinet (June and September meetings). [Supplement Nos. 9-a and 9-b]
- d. Reports of the NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet (June and September meetings). [Supplement Nos. 10-a and 10-b]
- e. Reports of the NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet (June and September meetings). [Supplement Nos. 11-a and 11-b]
- f. **Reports of the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (June and September meetings).** [Supplement Nos. 12-a and 12-b]
- g. **Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee.**
 - **Review of official interpretations. [Supplement No. 13]**
- h. Report of the NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief. [Supplement No. 14]
- i. Report of the NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification. [Supplement No. 15]
- j. Report of the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) (May teleconference and July meeting). [Supplement Nos. 16-a and 16-b]
- k. Report of the NCAA Division I Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement. [Supplement No. 17]
- l. Report of the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance (July and September meetings). [Supplement Nos. 18-a and 18-b]
- m. Report of the NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision Governance meeting.

9. NCAA association-wide committee reports.

- a. Report on the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics. (June meeting) [Supplement Nos. 19]
- b. Report on the NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (May meeting). [Supplement Nos. 20]
- c. Report on the joint October 12-13, 2011, meeting of the Committee on Women's Athletics and Minority Opportunities and Interest Committee.

10. Legislative issues.

- a. Status of override requests following August Board of Directors meeting.
- b. **Legislation recommended as emergency or noncontroversial and modification of wording. [Supplement No. 21]**
- c. Tabled proposals from April meeting.
 - (1) **NCAA Proposal No. 2010-26-3 – Amateurism – promotional activities – use of a student-athlete's name or likeness – cosponsors of promotional activities – consolidations of media activities. [Supplement No. 22-a]**
 - (2) **Proposal No. 2010-58-C – Eligibility, financial aid and playing and practice seasons – summer academic preparation and college acclimatization – men's basketball – national service academy exception. [Supplement No. 22-b]**
 - (3) **Consideration of proposals related to limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. [Supplement No. 22-c]**
- d. Discussion regarding legislation scheduled for initial consideration in January. [See Supplement No. 23-a for NCAA Division I Publication of Proposed Legislation.]
 - (1) Points to consider related to 2011-12 proposals. [Supplement No. 23-b]
 - (2) 2011-12 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals Question and Answer Document. [Supplement No. 23-c]

- (3) Comments received from various constituent groups, if available.

[Note: This time is being reserved for all the Legislative Council members to thoroughly review, learn more and to ask questions about pending proposals. The Legislative Council can offer suggestions and modifications to proposals during this discussion. Accordingly, Legislative Council members should come to the meeting prepared to discuss the legislation by reviewing Supplement Nos. 23-a through 23-c.]

- e. Board of Directors Resolution relating to legislative process update and recommendations. (Supplement No. 24)
-
- 11. Update relating to NCAA Division I Override Online Voting Process.
 - 12. Manual Consistency Project Update.
 - Bylaw 21 concept.
 - 13. What is expected at the 2012 NCAA Convention and Legislative Council's January meeting?
 - Schedule of events. [Supplement No. 25]
 - 14. Other business.
 - 15. Future meeting dates.
 - a. January 11-12, 2012, in conjunction with the NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.
 - b. April 16-17, 2012, Indianapolis.
 - 16. Adjournment.

Home > Resources > Latest News > 2011 > August

Resources

NCAA Media Center

Latest News

- Emmert: 'Cheating will not be tolerated'
- Eight Miami football student-athletes must sit out games and repay benefits
- Lynchburg coach uses soccer to make a difference in Uganda
- Indiana distance runner Andrew Poore is a team guy in a solitary sport
- Notre Dame launches educational campaign for aerial lift operation
- NCAA statement regarding the Longhorn Network
- NCAA assists schools threatened by Hurricane Irene
- NCAA statement on Danny Sheridan comments
- Division II increases cross country and track fields for 2012-13
- New conduct rule ready for 2011 football season
- NCAA statement regarding Tennessee's Pat Summitt
- Applications due for sports-art competition
- NCAA announces Top 30 for Woman of the Year
- NCAA hosts discussion about youth-sport telecasts
- Pathway to the top
- New conference formed in Division III
- NCAA statement regarding UConn's Jeff Hathaway
- More than a cool drink of water
- Nothing but networking for NCAA enforcement staff
- NCAA committee honors five with sportsmanship awards
- Charleston's Stevens elected DII Management Council vice chair
- Bense, Scales elected to DII Presidents Council
- Ladd, Prewitt join DII Management Council
- NCAA President Mark Emmert responds to Miami allegations
- Former Texas teammates to lead DII St. Edward's
- NCAA committee asks for collaboration in new sport
- Kick-start to life: Catching up with the 1996 women's soccer national players of the year
- A leg up on a new life
- Champion magazine recognized for excellence
- North Dakota plans to retire nickname

News Releases

Latest News

Publish date: Aug 10, 2011

Division I leaders call for sweeping changes to college athletics

By Ronnie Ramos
NCAA.org

NCAA Division I presidents concluded their two-day summit by calling for bold, sweeping changes to improve intercollegiate athletics.

Saying they were "fed up" with cheating and a lack of accountability, the presidents were adamant about advancing several major initiatives they want addressed – and decided quickly:

- Rewrite the NCAA rule book to reduce the number of rules and focus on the most significant issues.
- Improve academic standards for student-athletes and tie a team's academic performance to participation in all NCAA championships.
- Revamp the NCAA penalty structure and increase the levels of violations.
- Refocus the NCAA enforcement staff to concentrate on major infractions.
- Strengthen the academic requirements for incoming freshman and student-athletes who transfer from two-year institutions.

"What stands out, above everything else, is the unanimity of thinking among university presidents who were assembled," said Penn State President Graham Spanier.

"There is an unwavering determination to change a number of things about intercollegiate athletics today. Presidents are fed up with the rule breaking that is out there, we are determined to elevate the academic standards. We are concerned about the rapidly escalating costs of running intercollegiate athletics programs."

During the two days of discussions, it became clear the presidents also wanted the changes fast-tracked.

"The presidents all came together with a very clear, strong consensus that status quo and continued order of the day is insufficient and that we need to have change in a number of key areas and we need to have it quickly," said NCAA President Mark Emmert, who convened the summit with more than 50 Division I presidents.

Not all of the changes will be popular with everyone, Spanier said. "Some of these things our coaches and our boosters might not like, but we need to do what I think you are going to see happen in the next year."

Tim White, president of the University of California-Riverside and a member of the NCAA Executive Committee, echoed Spanier's resolve. "Quite frankly, it's time for tough love in intercollegiate athletics. It's as simple as that. It has to be timely, but it has to be tough."

After the summit, Emmert and several of the presidents provided an overview of the areas where the most significant changes will occur:

Revising the NCAA rule book

"We would love to throw out the rule book and start all over again, but that's actually impractical," Emmert said.

"We all agree the NCAA rule book needs some serious editing. The rules are in some cases too complex, unenforceable, in some ways convoluted and in some ways even irrelevant. We agreed we will, in very short order – meaning months, not years – bring back to the Division I Board of



RETREAT NEWS

- » 8/11/11 - DI Board approves increased academic performance concept
- » 8/10/11 - Division I leaders call for sweeping changes to college athletics
- » 8/9/11 - Emmert, university presidents discuss reform
- » 8/9/11 - 'It's time for creative solutions'
- » 7/19/11 - Presidential retreat Q and A with NCAA President Mark Emmert

Research

Postseason Football

Basketball Resources

Corporate Relationships



twitter facebook

Directors a set of revisions to our rule book that will do two things: clear up the ancillary issues that are in the rule book and focus our attention on those things that are serious threats to the integrity of intercollegiate athletics.

"We want to focus on those things that actually make a difference in our institutions and not necessarily those things that deal with communication devices or whether or not a bagel has peanut butter on it... We can't legislate integrity. But we can define it and we can insist on it and that is what we intend to do."

Added Ed Ray, president of Oregon State and chair of the NCAA's Executive Committee: "The issue of integrity in the game is so important that we need to make certain the rules we operate with don't inadvertently get in our way ... This is an important area where we think we need to take a new and creative look at the way we are managing rules and regulations so that we don't get distracted by small matters that keep us from the issues that need to be addressed."

Enforcement and penalty structure

A significant part of Wednesday's conversations focused on how the NCAA should focus its enforcement efforts and how to best create an effective penalty structure. "We have to make sure that we have a set of penalties associated with those serious rules violations that provide strong disincentives," Emmert said. "Those penalties need to create a healthy fear of being caught or the implications of being caught."

The presidents want more options than the current two-tiered approach of minor secondary violations and major violations. "Those violations today are too crude in their definition between just secondary and majors, and we need a multi-tiered model for defining inappropriate behavior," Emmert said.

The intended outcome is to more clearly define the major violations and then devote more of the NCAA's enforcement efforts in those areas.

That would serve as an effective deterrent, Penn State's Spanier said. Violators "should be afraid now, if they are going to go out and break any rules – because people have had enough of that."

"We are going to de-emphasize the nuisance rules no one cares about and focus significantly greater resources on the enforcement of major infractions... The folks that are trying to disrupt the integrity of intercollegiate athletics in this country are going to have to be held more accountable than has been the case in the past."

Improving academic requirements

The presidents endorsed plans to raise the academic standards of student-athletes. "We had a clear, strong consensus that we want to make sure that our students are just that: that they are students as well as athletes; that they are serious students, they are committed to being successful in the classroom and we are going to hold institutions more accountable than in the past," Emmert said.

The Division I Board of Directors will discuss such a proposal at its meeting Thursday.

The presidents also want to raise the academic requirements for incoming freshmen and those students who transfer from a two-year institution to a DI school. "We agreed to bring to our board a set of proposals around increasing initial eligibility expectations," Emmert said. "We agreed we would increase the expectations around GPA standards, increase the expectations around the core curriculum the students must take."

There also was consensus that appropriate academic performance should be required of all participants in NCAA championships. "We came to strong agreement that we want to make a decision to set clear academic expectations for participation in any of our tournaments," Emmert said. "If you don't meet those expectations, you will not be allowed to participate in our tournaments – including the men's basketball tournament."

What happens next

The Division I Board of Directors is scheduled to meet Thursday and then again during the upcoming academic year in October, January 2012 and April 2012. It is expected that the board will be asked to consider these presidential initiatives during each of those meetings. "I wouldn't describe this as an emergency but there is clearly a strong sense of urgency," Emmert said.

Penn State's Spanier was asked about that sense of urgency and what was different now. "What is different now is that a lot of things have reached the boiling point," he said. "Under the NCAA's current governance structure, the Board of Directors has the authority to make some changes that historically it has been reluctant to make because we're a membership organization. But the presidents have reached a point where they've said there's too many things that are not working well and so the board needs to be prepared to take stronger actions directly."

Judy Genshaft, president of the University of South Florida and chair of the Division I Board of Directors, said the board is ready to act. "We want to take some action in a more rapid fashion and we do have the prerogative of doing so," she said. "We plan to have this year as a very, very impactful year for NCAA and for all the student-athletes. We are prepared to move forward as rapidly as we receive the recommendations – and they will start tomorrow."

Share / Save

Post-Presidential Retreat Updates
September 29, 2011

Collegiate Model – Committee on Academic Performance (CAP).

Presentation to Board: October 2011

Chair: Walter Harrison, President, University of Hartford

Staff contacts: Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org and Bernard Franklin, bfranklin@ncaa.org

At the direction of the NCAA Division I Board of Directors at its August meeting, the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance is creating a package of academic proposals aimed at improving academic success. The package of proposals includes increased initial-eligibility standards, increased two-year college transfer standards, an increase of the penalty benchmark to 930, and amending the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program penalty structure to include a postseason ban in the first year a team falls below the 930 benchmark.

- Initial-Eligibility Standards: The committee supports a more rigorous academic standard for competition than for practice and receipt of athletically related financial aid. Setting a higher standard for competition during the first year of enrollment allows for identification of student-athletes who are likely to be academically successful to compete during their first year of enrollment. Student-athletes who need more time to acclimate to college life in order to ensure academic success may be provided athletically related financial aid and practice (assuming they meet standard for practice and financial aid).
 - o Athletically Related Financial Aid and Practice: Discussions to date have centered on eligibility standards for practice and receipt of athletically related financial aid at the current nonqualifier level (e.g., 2.0 and sliding scale), or raising the GPA floor to 2.3 with the current sliding scale. Practice would be permitted if this standard is met during a student's first regular term of full-time enrollment. However, in order to be eligible for the second term of enrollment, student-athletes would be required to successfully complete nine semester or eight quarter hours.
 - o Competition During First Year of Enrollment: CAP is examining two potential models for increasing the minimum combination of high school core GPA and ACT/SAT score needed for competition in a student's first year of collegiate enrollment. One model establishes a higher threshold for eligibility than the other, but both represent substantial increases in the number of student-athletes who would be ineligible for competition during their first year of enrollment.
 - o Core Course Requirements: The committee supports the concept of requiring students to complete 10 core courses prior to the start of the seventh semester of high school. Discussions will continue regarding whether such a requirement should include a specified number of courses in English, math and science (e.g., six or seven of the 10 required).
 - o Effective Date: For students first entering college full time August 1, 2015, or after.

- Two-Year College Transfer Student-Athletes: The committee supports the two-year college transfer proposals put forward by the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet. Specifically, based on data related to the lack of academic success of two-year college transfers, the committee supports increased transfer standards for two-year college transfers.
 - Increased Standards: Increase the transferrable grade-point average from 2.0 to 2.5, limit the number of physical education activity courses to two and for nonqualifiers require the completion of a core curriculum (six credits of English, three credits of math and three credits of science).
 - Year of Academic Readiness: Provides an opportunity for academically underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic preparation needed to be successful. Allows student-athletes enrolled at a two-year institution to not compete during initial year of enrollment and not start the five-year period of eligibility for purposes of the five-year clock and progress toward degree.
 - Effective Date: For student-athletes initially enrolling at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012 (no student-athlete currently enrolled in a two-year collegiate institution will be impacted).
- Access to Championships and Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Structure: The committee continued its review of the APP penalty structure in light of the Board's directive to include a postseason competition ban in the first level of penalties for teams with a multi-year APR below 930. The 930 APR correlates to an approximate 50 percent Graduation Success Rate (GSR). The committee's discussions to date have centered on the following concepts:
 - Penalty Structure: CAP is exploring a three-level penalty structure. First-level penalties would include a ban on postseason competition and financial aid penalties; the second level adds penalties that would include playing season restrictions (e.g. practice, regular season competitions). The third level would be a menu of penalties that could include restricted membership, coaching suspensions for a designated number of contests and fines, among others. CAP is also considering whether a TV ban should be part of this list of possible penalties.
 - Filters / Waivers: Consideration is being given to including a low-resource filter (e.g. schools in the bottom 15 percent of resources and with a 50 percent GSR receive relief from the penalty). All other teams below 930 would be subject to the postseason ban. The waiver threshold in level one would likely be very rigorous, with approval for only those teams with truly extraordinary circumstances. For levels two and three, the committee is discussing providing a filter based on improvement.
 - Effective Date: For penalties imposed during 2012-13. The committee suggests a two-year timeline for implementation of the new 930 penalty benchmark. For the next two years, an APR benchmark of 900 would be used for the new penalty structure. For the postseason ban penalty, championships conducted in 2012-13 and 2013-14 would require a minimum 900 APR and championships conducted in or after 2014-15 would require a minimum 930 APR.

Student-Athlete Well-Being Working Group.

Presentation to Board: October 2011

Chair: Graham Spanier, President, Pennsylvania State University

Vice Chair: Sidney McPhee, President, Middle Tennessee State University

Staff contact: David Berst, dberst@ncaa.org

The working group had a six-hour meeting September 14 and discussed a number of concepts related to the value of athletics grants-in-aid, terms of grants-in-aid and other ways to enhance the well-being of student-athletes. The group agreed in principle to a number of recommendations that were considered during a September 27 teleconference. The staff was requested to draft the following recommendations for final review by the group on or before October 4:

- Permit a student-athlete who has received a full athletics grant in aid to receive additional athletic aid (or other institutional aid, including use of Student Athlete Opportunity Fund) up to the full cost of attendance or \$2,000, whichever is less. The additional amount to be indexed annually to consumer price index and Pell grants will be exempt from the count as they are now. Further, an equivalency grant will be computed for team limits by counting athletics aid only to allow student-athletes to receive additional sources of institutional aid without affecting team financial aid limits. As a best practice, all student-athletes should submit a Free Application for Federal Student Aid.
- Permit multi-year grants up to the full term of eligibility (one year minimum) and agreed that a 10 percent minimum award value should apply. Institutions would have discretion to increase athletics aid during the period of the award.
- Eliminate financial aid eligibility restrictions (five years of aid within a six-year period) to permit former student-athletes to return to the institution and receive unearned athletics aid to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements.
-
- Early graduation from high school and enrollment in college should receive increased scrutiny to ensure that only qualified student-athletes are permitted to engage in practice. The timing and sequencing of core courses and disproportionate levels of credits earned should disqualify such students from practice and competition until after their normal high school class graduation date.
- Support the Committee on Academic Performance's preliminary views regarding an increase in the GPA and test score requirements to attain full eligibility as a freshman. The group also supports consideration of a category of student-athletes who may qualify for financial aid and practice, but no competition in their initial year in residence.

Resource Allocation Working Group.

Presentation to Board: January 2012

Chair: Michael Adams, President, University of Georgia

Vice Chair: Ann Millner, President, Weber State University

Staff contact: Kathleen McNeely, kmcneely@ncaa.org

The Resource Allocation Workgroup had a six-hour meeting September 19, reviewing the charge of the workgroup, data relevant to discussions and possible outcomes. Additional research will be performed on a handful of possible outcomes to be revisited by the working group via conference calls. The working group:

- Voted in favor of elimination of non-traditional competition and committed to an examination of reducing mandatory out-of-season practice.
- Expressed interest in exploring what a reduction in competition looks like for all sports, including ramifications. Staff will develop data and more detailed recommendations to support such a change.
- Voted unanimously to recommend eliminating all foreign travel.
- Agreed to consider a reduction of:
 - o FBS football scholarships from 85 to 80.
 - o FCS football scholarships from 63 to 60.
 - o Men's basketball scholarships from 13 to 12.
 - o Women's basketball scholarships from 15 to 13. These scholarships will be reapportioned to other women's sports.
- Agreed in concept to a reduction in non-coaching staff within programs. Staff is working with appropriate Athletics Director groups to gather recommendations on a model for maximum non-coaching staffing size.

Collegiate Model – Rules Working Group.

Presentation to Board: April 2012

Chair: James Barker, President, Clemson University

Vice Chair: Steadman Upham, President, University of Tulsa

Staff contact: Kevin Lennon, klennon@ncaa.org

The working group met by conference calls the week of September 19-23 in preparation for its upcoming October 11 in-person meeting. The working group has begun:

- Defining success and identifying “phases” of the timeline for action on its review of NCAA bylaws.
- Reviewing themes emerging from the membership's responses to identify essential and nonessential rules and areas of regulation.
- Developing outcome-based principles that better define what is important in supporting the collegiate model of athletics and our enduring values.
- Reviewing current bylaws and proposals in the governance structure to determine if they are meaningful, support student-athlete success, and are enforceable.

The working group will continue to discuss models that will change the regulatory culture in meaningful ways that, in conjunction with an enhanced enforcement structure, can better support the collegiate model of sport by placing appropriate emphasis on the most significant regulations.

In the immediate term, the staff is developing models for consideration in the restructuring of Bylaws 11 through 17 (Conduct and Employment of Athletics Personnel; Amateurism; Recruiting; Eligibility; Financial Aid; Awards, Benefits and Expenses for Enrolled Student-Athletes; and Playing and Practice Seasons) including an overview of previous deregulation and re-regulation efforts.

Collegiate Model – Enforcement Working Group.

Presentation to Board: April 2012

Chair: Edward Ray, President, Oregon State University

Vice Chair: Nancy Zimpher, Chancellor, State University of New York

Staff contact: Julie Roe Lach, jroe@ncaa.org

The working group conducted its first conference call September 13 and its second on September 28. During these initial calls, the group discussed its charge, the guiding principles for action, milestones and timeline, prior reviews of the enforcement program and penalty structure, and violation and penalty structure background.

The timeline contemplates the following:

October

- Consider a proposed multi-level violation structure on the group's October 10 and 24 calls.

November

- Consider a proposed penalty structure during the group's November calls.

December

- In-person meeting to finalize violation/penalty structures.
- Work on shared responsibility - defining roles of all parties.
- Work on enforcement process - staff approach to investigations (inclusive of procedural review); Committee on Infractions process for handling cases (written review, hearing, other options); Committee on Infractions/Infractions Appeals Committee composition.

January 2012

- Present concepts to the Division I Board of Directors.

April 2012

- Present final concepts to the Division I Directors of Athletics.

August 2012

- Propose necessary legislative changes to the Board.

The September 28 call emphasized understanding recommendations from six prior reviews of the enforcement program and discussing concepts related to a multi-level violation structure. The group also discussed the following proposed principles to guide their work in the coming months:

- Fairness. Any new violation and penalty structure must be fair to all parties involved in the process and consider the interests of all member institutions that uphold integrity through rules compliance. Appropriate weight should be given to fair process considerations for those culpable for violations or otherwise involved, and potential legal implications. The severity of penalties must have a direct correlation with the significance of the violations, and both need to coincide with the significance of violations as identified by the membership and staff, as well as the NCAA enduring values.
- Accountability. The new violation and penalty structures should be designed to hold those institutions, coaches, administrators and student-athletes who violate the rules accountable for their conduct, both at the individual and institutional levels. In addition, both the NCAA staff and membership (coaches, administrators, institutions and conferences) must be held accountable for the fairness of the process and must understand the shared responsibility of accountability to the intercollegiate model, regardless of the direct impact on those involved in violations.
- Process Integrity. Any new structures must be designed to ensure effectiveness and efficiency in the process and its results. The new structures must be easily understood, legitimate, timely, respectful of confidentiality while transparent with process, consistently applied and sufficiently workable to establish clear and strict guidelines and boundaries.

###

Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Group on Collegiate Model - Rules

As the Legislative Council conducts its review of and develops preliminary positions on the legislative proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle during its October 17-18 meeting, it is important to be mindful of the newly formed “Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules”, a product of the recent presidential retreat. The working group has been charged to review and amend the NCAA Division I Manual to reduce the volume of enforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support our enduring values and place emphasis on legislation that is the most strategically important. The working group also has been charged with developing processes and procedures that ensure new legislation continues to align with and address our enduring values.

The working group plans to complete its task in two phases, the first of which is to be completed no later than April 2012, and the second no later than April 2013. Phase one will focus on recruiting and personnel, academic eligibility regulations, financial aid and post-enrollment amateurism/benefits regulations. Phase two will focus on pre-enrollment amateurism and playing season/time demand regulations.

The working group will be looking at the rules in these areas through three key lenses:

1. Is the rule meaningful and of consequence? Is it an issue that merits a national regulation or can it and should it best be addressed by institutions/conferences/coaches associations? In other words, is it really necessary?
2. Is the rule enforceable? Does it present significant challenges either in monitoring compliance as well as proving violations?; and
3. Does the rule support the success of the student-athlete, academically and/or athletically?

The working group’s objective is for Division I rules to be able to survive all three lenses.

With that in mind, the Legislative Council is being asked to use these three lenses as it reviews and develops preliminary positions on the proposals in this year’s cycle. Please note that all of the cabinets that were assigned proposals for review in this year’s cycle also were asked to use these lenses. Their feedback should be included in their respective reports in the information section where the cabinet lists its position on the proposals assigned for its review.

As the working group referenced above conducts its review and develops recommendations, it is anticipated the group will seek feedback from the Legislative Council regarding their recommendations, including the review process to be established moving forward to ensure the future viability of the group’s objectives.

**Transforming Intercollegiate Athletics
Working Group on Collegiate Model – Rules
Roster**

MAILING ADDRESS	PHONE/EMAIL	CONFERENCE & SUBDIVISION
Jim Barker, chair President Clemson University 201 Sikes Hall Clemson, South Carolina 29634	Phone: 864/656-3413 Email: jbarker@clermson.edu Assistant: Sandy McKinney Phone: 864/656-5616 Email: stm@clermson.edu	Atlantic Coast FBS
Bob Bowlsby Director of Athletics Stanford University Arrillaga Family Sports Center, Suite 210 Stanford, California 94305	Phone: 650/723-4596 Email: bowsby@stanford.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Pac-12 FBS
Joe Castiglione Director of Athletics University of Oklahoma Room 345, 180 West Brooks Norman, Oklahoma 73019	Phone: 405/325-8208 Email: jcastiglione@ou.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Big 12 FBS
Beth DeBauche Commissioner Ohio Valley Conference 215 Centerview Drive, Suite 115 Brentwood, Tennessee 37027	Phone: 615/371-1698 ext. 6680 Email: bdebauche@ovc.org Assistant: Phone: Email:	Ohio Valley FCS
John DeGioia President Georgetown University 3700 O Street NW, 207 Healey Building Washington, DC 20057	Phone: 202/687-4134 Email: president@georgetown.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Big East FCS
Dydia DeLyser Faculty Athletics Representative Department of Geography and Anthropology 227 Howe/Russell/Knifen Geoscience Complex Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803	Phone: 225/578-6172 Email: dydia@lsu.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Southeastern FBS
Jon Duncan Consultant Spencer Fane Britt & Brown LLP 1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400 Kansas City, Missouri 64106	Phone: 816/292-8260 Email: jduncan@spencerfane.com Assistant: Phone: Email:	-
Amy Folan Associate Athletics Director for Compliance University of Texas at Austin 2100 San Jacinto, Bellmont 220-A Austin, Texas 78613	Phone: 512/471-7285 Email: amy.folan@athletics.utexas.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Big 12 FBS
Jim Haney Executive Director NABC 1111 Main Street, Suite 1000 Kansas City, Missouri 64105	Phone: 816/878-6222 Mobile: 816/595-6150 Email: jim@nabc.com Assistant: Phone: Email:	-

MAILING ADDRESS	PHONE/EMAIL	CONFERENCE & SUBDIVISION
Warde Manuel Director of Athletics University at Buffalo, the State University of New York 106 Alumni Arena Buffalo, New York 14260	Phone: 716/645-3454 Email: wmanuel@buffalo.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Mid-American FBS
Charles Nelms Chancellor North Carolina Central University 1801 Fayetteville Street Durham, North Carolina 27707	Phone: 919/530-6104 Email: cnelms@nccu.edu Assistant: Betty Willingham Phone: 919/530-6104 Email: bwillingham@nccu.edu	Mid-Eastern Athletic FCS
Harvey Perlman Chancellor Administration Building 201 Lincoln, Nebraska 68588	Phone: 402/472-2116 Email: hperlman1@unl.edu Assistant: Phone: Email:	Big Ten FBS
Greg Sankey Associate Commissioner Southeastern Conference 2201 Richard Arrington Boulevard, North Birmingham, Alabama 35203	Phone: 205/458-3000 ext. 3005 Email: gsankey@sec.org Assistant: Phone: Email:	Southeastern FBS
Curtis Schickner Baseball Student-Athlete University of Maryland, Baltimore County 1000 Hilltop Circle Baltimore, Maryland 21250	Phone: 609/529-1805 Email: curtschickner@gmail.com	American East -
Steadman Upham, vice chair President University of Tulsa 800 South Tucker Drive Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104	Phone: 918/631-2305 Email: steadman-upham@utulsa.edu Assistant: Tia Creamer Phone: 918/631-3244 Email: tia-creamier@utulsa.edu	Conference USA FBS

NCAA STAFF LIAISONS

David Berst Vice President of Governance - Division I NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6937 Email: dberst@ncaa.org Assistant: Phone: Email:
Jackie Campbell Governance NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6930 Email: jcampbell@ncaa.org Assistant: Phone: Email:

NCAA STAFF LIAISONS	
Diane Dickman Managing Director of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6621 Email: ddickman@ncaa.org Assistant: Bridget Rigney Phone: 317/917-6671 Email: brigney@ncaa.org
Lynn Holzman Director of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6536 Email: lholtzman@ncaa.org Assistant: Jean Harwell Phone: 317/917-6644 Email: jharwell@ncaa.org
Brad Hostetter Director of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6681 Email: bhostetter@ncaa.org Assistant: Jill Waddell Phone: 317/917-6607 Email: jwaddell@ncaa.org
LuAnn Humphrey Enforcement NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6023 Email: lhumphrey@ncaa.org Assistant: Phone: Email:
Kevin Lennon, lead Vice President of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6614 Email: klennon@ncaa.org Assistant: Lynn Dickey Phone: 317/917-6616 Email: ldickey@ncaa.org
Steve Mallonee Managing Director of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6681 Email: smallonee@ncaa.org Assistant: Linda Boyd Phone: 317/917-6670 Email: lboyd@ncaa.org
Donald Remy General Counsel NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6914 Email: dremy@ncaa.org Assistant: Tommie Walls Phone: 317/917-6197 Email: twalls@ncaa.org
Dave Schnase Managing Director of Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6561 Email: dschnase@ncaa.org Assistant: Judy Baker Phone: 317/917-6690 Email: jbaker@ncaa.org
Emily Wollet Academic and Membership Affairs NCAA	Phone: 317/917-6747 Email: ewollet@ncaa.org Assistant: Kim Hubert Phone: 317/917-6615 Email: khubert@ncaa.org

**REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I LEADERSHIP COUNCIL
AUGUST 2, 2011, MEETING**

- **ACTION ITEMS.**

- None.

- **INFORMATION ITEMS.**

1. **Men's Basketball Recruiting Discussion.** The Leadership Council received reports from its two men's basketball recruiting subcommittees regarding concepts recommended for consideration by the full Council. The Council noted that there should be a logical progression within the recruiting model and conducted its discussions with that in mind. The Council agreed on concepts in several areas within the recruiting model, but noted that other areas required further discussion and feedback. The Council's recommendations will be distributed to various constituent groups [e.g., Collegiate Commissioners Association (CCA), National Association for Athletics Compliance (NAAC), DIA Faculty Athletics Representatives, Collegiate Commissioners Association Compliance Administrators (CCACA), Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet] for feedback prior to final review in October, at which time the Council will finalize its recommendations for consideration by the Board of Directors at its October meeting. The details regarding the Council's recommendations can be found in the attachment to this report.
2. **Discussion of Agent Activities.** Rachel Newman, NCAA director of agent, gambling and amateurism activities, informed the Council of the following strategies being developed to address the agent-related issues:
 - a. **Definition of an Agent.** The Amateurism Cabinet has agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to expand the definition of an agent to limit the use of outside third parties to recruit and solicit prospective and enrolled student-athletes for financial gain.
 - b. **Agent Registration Program.** The Amateurism Cabinet has reviewed a proposed program for agent registration and has asked that staff seek feedback regarding the program from the National Association of Athletics Compliance (NAAC), the Division I Leadership Council and various professional sports players' associations.
 - c. **Potential Legislation Regarding the Use of Agents and Advisors Prior to Enrollment.** The Amateurism Cabinet has reviewed recommendations to consider legislation to allow the use of agents and advisors prior to enrollment.

for nonopt-in drafts that allow players to be drafted out of high school. The Amateurism Cabinet did not support the position to permit the use of agents in these sports at this time. Discussion regarding sports-specific legislation regarding agents will continue.

- d. **Collaboration with the National Association of Attorney Generals (NAAG) and State Agencies.** The NCAA staff has contacted NAAG in an effort to determine if the current definition of an “athlete agent” under the Uniform Athlete Agent Act (UAAA) subjects third party actors to penalties for violating the UAAA. The staff will continue its efforts to collaborate with NAAG and other interested state and federal agencies.
- e. **National Football League (NFL)/National Football League Players Association (NFLPA)/National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA)/Collegiate Commissioners Association (CCA)/American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) Task Force.** The staff plans to continue its discussions with the NFL/NFLPA/NCAA/CCA/AFCA Task Force in an effort to address improper agent activity with football student-athletes in order to better collaborate on educational and enforcement initiatives.
- f. **National Basketball Association (NBA)/National Basketball Players Association (NBPA)/NCAA Dialogue.** Similar to football, the staff plans to continue its discussions with the NBA and NBPA in an effort to address improper agent activity in the sport of men’s basketball.
- g. **Women’s Basketball Group on Agent Issues.** The staff plans to develop a task force to address agent issues in the sport of women’s basketball.
- h. **Educational Initiatives.** The staff continues to work on creating comprehensive agent education for all key stakeholders.

The Leadership Council took no formal action but encouraged its Agent Subcommittee to continue discussion and monitoring of these issues.

- 3. **Multidivisional Reclassification – Opposite Gender – NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2.** The Leadership Council reviewed a request from the Division I Administration Cabinet that it consider sponsoring legislation to permit current non-Division I institutions with only one sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I to seek reclassification of one sport of the opposite gender. The Council asked that staff provide the following information for its review in October:

- a. Draft of a legislative proposal that would permit the institutions that currently have only one sport in one gender classified in Division I to seek reclassification in one sport of the opposite gender.
 - b. Information regarding the reclassification process.
 - c. A proposed reclassification fee and how it was calculated.
 - d. Possible time limitation for the opportunity to reclassify a second sport.
4. **Transgender Student-Athlete Presentation.** The Council received a presentation regarding a proposed Association-wide policy to address transgender student-athlete participation in intercollegiate athletics. The policy will go before the Executive Committee for review and approval during its August 11, 2011, meeting.

[Note: For additional information and resources related to transgender student-athletes, go to www.ncaa.org/gender_equity and select LGBTQ Resources under the Current News section.]
5. **Report from the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.** Division I SAAC Chair Scott Krapf presented a report of the committee's recent meeting and priorities for the upcoming year.
6. **Future Meetings.**
 - a. October 13, 2011, Indianapolis, IN.
 - b. January 12, 2012, Indianapolis, IN

Leadership Council chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance
Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Kevin Lennon, academic and membership affairs

Preliminary Division I Men's Basketball Recruiting Model

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments/Outstanding Issues
Recruiting calendar evaluations			
<i>April</i>	No evaluations permitted at nonscholastic events.	- Permit evaluations at certified nonscholastic events on two weekends. - Neither weekend can fall on ACT/SAT testing dates or Easter. - Events must be subject to NCAA certification program.	- Further define time frame of the weekend as part of the basketball event certification criteria to minimize missed class time. Suggested time frame from Friday 6 p.m. until Sunday 4 p.m. - Continue to study a model that provides for the establishment of non-exclusive evaluation camps as either a pilot or full-blown program. Issues to be determined: - Site(s). - Numbers and ages of PSAs. - Format. - Management (NCAA, USA Basketball, iHoops). - Coaches ability to work camps. - Cost.
<i>July</i>	Evaluations permitted at certified nonscholastic events during two 10-day evaluation periods.	- Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during a total of 12 days in July; the 12 days would consist of three four-day periods (with intervening dead periods) that run from Thursday at 5 pm to Sunday at 5 pm. or - Permit evaluations at nonscholastic certified events during two seven-day periods with an intervening dead period; eliminate the last week in July.	- Event certification criteria needs to be modified to include time frame for attending events. - Continue to study a model that provides for the establishment of non-exclusive evaluation camps as either a pilot or full-blown program. Issues to be determined: - Site(s). - Numbers and ages of PSAs. - Format. - Management (NCAA, USA Basketball, iHoops). - Coaches ability to work camps. - Cost.
Communication with PSAs			
Types	Phone, e-mail, fax only.	Eliminate restrictions on all modes of communication beginning August 1 prior to the junior year in high school.	

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation	Additional Comments/Outstanding Issues
Phone call frequency	- June 15 of sophomore year through July 31 of junior year of high school: One per month. - August 1 prior to senior year of high school: Unlimited during contact period; two per week otherwise. - Two-year institutions and four-year PSAs: One call per week.	- Permit phone and text communication starting June 15 prior to junior year in high school – one call in June/ one call in July. - Keep July communication restrictions. - Unlimited communication beginning August 1 prior to junior year in high school.	Should text messages in addition to phone calls be allowed before August 1 prior to the junior year?
Off-campus contacts			
Off-campus contact starting point	July 1 after junior year of high school.	- Off campus contacts may be made with a prospect during the junior year in high school. - September contact period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution. - April contact period – contacts during the junior year only at the prospect's educational institution or at the prospect's residence.	- All contacts would be included in the limitations on the permissible number of contacts and recruiting opportunities. - Requested feedback on whether the April contact should be allowed only at the prospect's educational institution. - Current rule limiting visits to a prospect's educational institution to not more than once a week would remain applicable.
Off-campus contact in conjunction with an evaluation	Prohibited during evaluation period.	Permit contact with juniors and seniors at the prospect's educational institution in conjunction with an evaluation (except during the time period when classes are in session and during the day of competition), provided scholastic authorities or parents and /or legal guardians are present.	- Bring back for additional discussion in October. - Should the starting date coincide with the September contact period? - All contacts/evaluations at the prospect's educational institution would count as a single recruiting opportunity. - May be necessary to change recruiting calendar terminology since contacts/ evaluations would be permitted [with some restrictions] during both a contact and an evaluation period.

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation		Additional Comments/Outstanding Issues
Official visits				
Starting point	Senior year of high school.	The weekend after the Men’s Basketball Championship of the junior year thru the senior year of high school.		- Current requirements (standardized test score, high school transcript, register with Eligibility Center, placed on institution’s IRL) necessary to provide an official visit would remain applicable. - Included in the total number of permissible visits for the prospect and the institution.
Travel expenses	Prospect.	May be provided to the prospect and two parents/legal guardians.		
On-campus staged evaluations (OCE) (formerly known as tryouts)	Prohibited.	- OCE permitted beginning the weekend after the Men’s Basketball Championship of the junior year high school. - Limitations to be determined.		Bring back for additional discussion at October meeting.
		Division II Model - On-campus or normal practice/competition site. - One OCE per PSA per institution. - Must be high school senior or older. - Typically after	NABC (Based on recommendations made in 2004) - On-campus. 6 OCEs per PSA. 18 OCEs per institution. - Between first permissible date for official visit and beginning of early NLI period, OCE may only occur during an official visit. - Following the senior season, OCE may occur during official or unofficial visit.	Bring back for additional discussion at October meeting.

Feature	Current	Leadership Council Recommendation		Additional Comments/Outstanding Issues
		completion of season. • Pre-OCE physical. • Up to 2 hours. • May include testing and competition with team.	• No missed class time for OCE. • Up to 2 hours. • OCEs must be closed and unpublicized. • Only PSAs and S-As may participate.	
Summer Relationship Building/Athletics Development	Prohibited	Permit entering and continuing student-athletes to engage in summer athletics development [eight hours of conditioning per week, of which no more than two hours can be devoted to skill instruction], regardless of enrollment of summer school.		• Participation at the discretion of the student-athlete. • Student-athletes not receiving financial aid to attend summer school would be responsible for expenses.

Leadership Council Attendance
August 2, 2011, Meeting

Leadership Council members in attendance:

Michael Alden, University of Missouri, Columbia, Big Twelve Conference, chair
McKinley Boston, Jr., New Mexico State University, Western Athletic Conference
Shonna Brown, America East Conference (alternate)
Morgan Burke, Purdue University, Big Ten Conference (joined via telephone)
Peg Bradley-Doppes, University of Denver, Sun Belt Conference
Barry Collier, Butler University, Horizon League (alternate)
Janet Cone, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference
Joan Cronan, University of Tennessee, Southeastern Conference
Beth DeBauche, Ohio Valley Conference
Peter Fields, Montana State University-Bozeman, Big Sky Conference
Dave Gavitt, Big East Conference (alternate)
Chet Gladchuk, U.S. Naval Academy, Patriot League
Ted Gumbart, Atlantic Sun Conference (alternate)
Robin Harris, Ivy League
Alan Hauser, Appalachian State University, Southern Conference
Jack Hayes, Hofstra University, Colonial Athletic Association
R.C. Johnson, University of Memphis, Conference USA
Cynthia K. Jones, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference
Paul Kowalczyk, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Scott Krapf, Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
Myndee Kay Larsen, Summit League
Susan Cross Lipnickey, Miami University, Mid-American Conference
Janet Lucas, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference
Charles McClelland, Texas Southern University, Southwestern Athletic Conference
Clyde McCoy, University of Miami, Atlantic Coast Conference
John McCutcheon, University of Massachusetts, Atlantic 10 Conference
Noreen Morris, Northeast Conference
John Ritschdorff, Marist College, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Duer Sharp, Southwestern Athletic Conference
Ky Snyder, University of San Diego, West Coast Conference
Kevin Weiberg, Pacific-12 Conference (alternate)
Bobby Williams, Sam Houston State University, Southland Conference
Mark Wilson, Tennessee Technological University, Ohio Valley Conference

NCAA primary staff liaisons in attendance:

S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

ADDENDUM

DI Leadership Council Report 8/11

Page No. 2

Leadership Council members not in attendance: Sandy Barbour, University of California, Berkeley, Pacific-12 Conference; Harold Bardo, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Missouri Valley Conference; Mike Bitter, Stetson University, Atlantic Sun Conference; Dave Blank, Elon University, Southern Conference; Jonathan (Jon) LeCrone, Horizon League; John Marinatto, Big East Conference; Derrick Ramsey, Coppin State University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, and Dennis Thomas, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference.

Guests

Jim Haney, executive director, National Association of Basketball Coaches (NABC), and Shane Lyons, Division I Legislative Council (former chair).

NCAA staff members in attendance: Libby Harmon, Michelle Hosick, LuAnn Humphrey, Steve Mallonee, Karen Morrison, Jarrett Newby, Bill Nesidal, Rachel Newman, Donald Remy, Julie Roe Lach, and Naima Stevenson also were present during portions of the meeting.

**REPORT OF THE AUGUST 11, 2011, MEETING OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

1. **Report of the April 28, 2011, Board of Directors Meeting.** The Board approved the report of its April 28, 2011, meeting, noting that the approval of the recommendations of the Task Force to Clarify the Roles of the Committee on Infractions and the Infractions Appeals Committee should include the following clarification:
 - When the Committee on Infractions requests that the academic and membership affairs staff provide an interpretation of applicable legislation based on facts submitted, written notice of the request and the response shall be provided to all involved parties (e.g., institution, enforcement staff, Committee on Infractions). (Unanimous voice vote) [Reference Supplement No. 2.]
2. **President's Report.** NCAA President Mark Emmert reported on the following items:
 - a. **Presidential Retreat.** President Emmert thanked the Board members for their lively discussion during the retreat the previous two days and noted that participants in the retreat have asked that he appoint working groups and establish an agenda with aggressive timelines for the Board to act on their recommendations that address the following areas:
 - (1) **Financial Sustainability.**
 - (a) Institutional and NCAA resource efficiencies that can be achieved through the review of NCAA rules and athletics practices (e.g., personnel, travel, recruitment).
 - (b) Identification of areas where greater flexibility can be employed on a conference-by-conference basis to use resources in support of the student-athlete well-being, particularly the value of grants-in-aid and multi-year scholarship commitments.
 - (2) **Integrity of the Collegiate Model.**
 - (a) Review and amend the NCAA Division I Manual to reduce the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support our core principles and place an emphasis on the most strategically important matters. The group is also charged with developing filters that ensure new legislation aligns and addresses our core principles and values.

- (b) Charge the NCAA Enforcement Staff, Division I Committee on Infractions and Division I Infractions Appeals Committee to propose a multi-level enforcement penalty structure.

BOARD ACTION: The Board directed President Emmert to bring to the Board in October an action plan (including the formation of several working groups and possible action items) that would expeditiously address the issues in the areas of financial sustainability and integrity of the collegiate model. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- b. **Status Report on Work of Bowl Game Licensing Task Force.** Harvey Perlman, chair of the Task Force, provided the Board with an interim report on the work of the Task Force, which included recommendations in the area of governance, advertising and sponsorship, and student-athlete welfare. In its review of bowl game licensing, the Task Force noted that the purpose of NCAA regulation of postseason football bowls should be to assure that the bowls reflect the collegiate model of intercollegiate athletics. In that context, the NCAA has a strong interest in assuring that bowls are governed with integrity, that they are managed in a way that is consistent with student-athlete welfare, and that their commercial, promotional and sponsorship activities be consistent with the values associated with collegiate sports. The Task Force will distribute its preliminary recommendations to constituent groups [e.g., Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) commissioners, DIA Athletics Directors, DIA Faculty Athletics Representatives, bowl operators) for review and comment with plans to present its final report to the Board in October.
- c. **NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Report.** Walt Harrison, chair of the Committee on Academic Performance (CAP), presented the following committee recommendations to the Board:
 - (1) Academic Performance Rate (APR) Penalty Benchmark.
 - (a) That the Board amend the Academic Performance Rate (APR) penalty benchmark from the current multi-year rate of 900 to a multiyear rate of 930 in order to avoid penalties within the new penalty structure.
 - (b) Replace the current filter system used for determining historical penalties with a single filter system that is based on improvement and is available to all teams/institutions.
 - (c) Approve a longer transition timeline for lower resourced institutions.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the revised APR penalty benchmark and the filter systems. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (2) Academic Performance Program (APP) Penalty Structure. That the Board approve a revised single APP penalty structure that will be cumulative and progressive and consist of five levels.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the revised penalty structure in principle and directed CAP to bring to the Board in October a final recommendation for a multi-year penalty structure that incorporates the APR benchmark (930) as the four-year academic performance requirement for a team's eligibility to participate in any postseason event. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (3) Occasion-Three and –Four Historical Penalty Waiver Hearing Procedures. That the Board approve a revision to CAP's current policies and procedures for Occasion-Three and –Four Historical Penalty waiver hearings that requires the institutional staff member responsible for the implementation of the institution's APR improvement plan be in attendance at the institution's in-person penalty waiver hearing.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the revision to the waiver hearing policies and procedures as recommended above. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (4) Division I Academic Performance Program Supplemental Support Fund. That the Board approve and fund the APP Supplemental Support Fund (SSF) for two additional years (i.e., 2011-12 and 2012-13).

BOARD ACTION: The Board agreed to approve the APP Supplemental Support Fund for two additional years. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (5) Appointment of Vice Chair. That the Board appoint President Roderick McDavis of Ohio University as vice chair of the committee.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to appoint President Roderick McDavis as vice chair of the committee. (Unanimous voice vote.)

It was noted that legislation to address the 2-4 transfer academic requirements are included in the 2011-12 legislative cycle and the Board expressed its intent to consider the legislation by the end of the academic year. In addition, the Board expects the Academic Cabinet to present recommendations regarding enhanced initial

eligibility standards, which could include a partial qualifier group that would qualify for financial assistance but not competition during the initial year in residence.

- d. **Institutional and Conference Networks.** Board members previously received a letter from the chair of the Big 12 Board of Directors noting their recent action to set a moratorium of at least a year to prohibit high school content from being broadcast on Big 12 institutional media platforms. In that regard, the staff recommended that the Board endorse a staff interpretation of current recruiting rules to preclude the broadcast of any youth programming on an institutional- or conference-branded network.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to endorse the staff interpretation, noting that discussions will continue throughout the upcoming year to determine the best course of action for the Association relative to this issue. (Unanimous voice vote.)

3. **NCAA Executive Committee Report.** During its April 28, 2011, meeting, the Executive Committee took action to recommend restructuring of the inclusion advocacy committees within the Association's governance structure, which included the dissolution of the Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The Executive Committee noted the need for greater involvement of presidents within the substructure to provide guidance and insight at the policy development level. To achieve this goal, the Executive Committee recommended that the divisional presidential bodies consider sponsoring legislation to modify the composition of the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) and the NCAA Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (MOIC) by increasing the size of each committee from 15 to 18 members and to specify that the three additional positions be filled by a president from each division. Further, that there be an equal distribution of committee members from each division. [Reference Supplement Nos. 3A and 3B.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board used its authority to adopt Proposal No. 2011-09 as noncontroversial legislation to modify the composition of the CWA and MOIC as recommended. (Unanimous voice vote.)

4. **Division I Governance Structure Update.**

- a. **Report of the August 2, 2011, Meeting of the Leadership Council.** Mike Alden, chair of the Division I Leadership Council, highlighted the following issues from its August 2, 2011, Leadership Council meeting. [Reference Supplement No. 5.]

- (1) Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. The Leadership Council received reports from its two men's basketball recruiting subcommittees and developed recommendations for concepts to be considered in a new men's basketball recruiting model. The Council's recommendations will be distributed to various constituent groups for feedback prior to final review in October. The Council will present its final recommendations to the Board during its October meeting, at which time the Board will be asked to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle.
- (2) Agents. The Leadership Council received a report on several strategies being developed to address agent-related issues. The Council took no formal action, but encouraged its Agent Subcommittee to continue discussion and monitoring of agent-related issues.

- b. Report of the July 14, 2011, Teleconference of the Division I Legislative Council.** Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, chair of the Division I Legislative Council, reported on actions taken during the Legislative Council's July 14, 2011, teleconference.

[Note: In accordance with the Division I legislative process, the Board has the authority to consider any action taken by the Legislative Council, but is not required to do so. Where the Board decided to take action on proposals below, you will see the action indicated in bold type. For those proposals on which it did not act, the Legislative Council's actions stand.]

- (1) Legislative Actions. Due to the receipt of the requisite number of requests for override votes, the Legislative Council reconsidered its previous actions to adopt Proposal Nos. 2010-30 and 2009-100-A and took the following actions:
 - (a) Proposal No. 2009-100-A – Recruiting – Nonscholastic Practice and Competition and Institutional Camps or Clinics – Men's Basketball. This proposal would prohibit an institution from hosting a nonscholastic practice/competition on its campus that involves men's basketball prospects, with limited exceptions. The Legislative Council voted to maintain its previous action to adopt the proposal. The Board took no action.
 - (b) Proposal No. 2010-30 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Time Period For Telephone Calls – Sports Other Than Football. This proposal would apply the current telephone rule in men's basketball to all sports other than football. The Legislative Council reversed its previous action and defeated the proposal. The Board took no action.

- (2) Board of Directors Resolution. The Legislative Council continued its discussion of the Board's resolution to review the legislative process and developed two concepts for which it requests Board endorsement:

- (a) Development of a legislative process that consists of two overlapping two-year cycles to allow the membership to focus attention on particular bylaws during each legislative cycle.

BOARD ACTION: The Board endorsed the concept of two overlapping two-year cycles and asked that draft legislation be brought to the Board for review and sponsorship in October. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference Supplement No. 7.]

- (b) Increase the requisite number of requests required to initiate an override of the adoption or defeat of a legislative proposal. The recommendation would be to increase the number required for a call for override vote from 30 to 75 and the number to suspend a proposal from 100 to 125. In addition, for Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) specific legislation, the number would increase from 15 to 25 and 40 to 50. Further, it is recommended that the same minimum threshold numbers that are applicable to FCS specific legislation be established for Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) specific legislation.

BOARD ACTION: The Board used its authority to adopt Proposal No. 2011-10 as emergency legislation, effective immediately, to increase the requisite number of override requests as recommended above. (Unanimous voice vote.) [Reference Supplement No. 7.]

5. Division I Committee on Infractions. The NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet requested the Board reappointment Britton Binowsky, commissioner, Conference USA; Melissa Conboy, deputy director of athletics, University of Notre Dame, and John Black, attorney (public member) to the Committee on Infractions. [Reference Supplement No. 9.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the reappointments as recommended. (Unanimous Voice Vote.)

6. Division I Infractions Appeals Committee. The committee requested the Board approve several bylaw revisions and the Division I Administration Cabinet recommended one committee appointment and several reappointments. [Reference Supplement No. 10A.]

- a. **Bylaw 32.10 Revision.** The committee recommended that the Board approve a revision to Bylaw 32.10 that would establish one action to meet the submission deadlines for written documents and would simplify the determination of whether those deadlines are met.
- b. **Bylaw 32.10.6 Revision.** The committee recommended that the Board approve a revision to Bylaw 32.10.6 that would grant the committee the authority to review requests to waive the procedures established for the written appeal process.
- c. **Bylaw 32.11.3 Revision.** The committee recommended that the Board approve a revision to Bylaw 32.11.3 that would grant the committee the authority to review requests to waive the policies and procedures for conducting hearings.

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the three legislative revisions as recommended by the committee. (Unanimous voice vote.)

d. **Committee Appointment and Reappointments.**

- (1) Appointment. The Division I Administration Cabinet recommended the Board appoint W. Anthony Jenkins (Dickinson Wright PLLC, Detroit, Michigan) as a public member of the committee. [Reference Supplement No. 10B.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the appointment of W. Anthony Jenkins (Dickinson Wright PLLC, Detroit, Michigan) as a public member of the committee. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- (2) Reappointments. The Division I Administration Cabinet recommended the Board reappoint David Williams II, vice chancellor/general counsel, Vanderbilt University, Southeastern Conference, and Jack Friedenthal, professor of law, George Washington University, Atlantic 10 Conference, to the committee. [Reference Supplement No. 10C.]

BOARD ACTION: The Board approved the reappointments as recommended. (Unanimous voice vote.)

- 7. **Division I Administration Cabinet Recommendation.** The Administration Cabinet recommended that the Board elect Florida Gulf Coast University, Houston Baptist University, University of North Carolina Central and University of South Carolina Upstate to active Division I membership status. The cabinet also recommended that the Board approve the conference applications of the Great West Conference, a multi-sport conference, and the National Lacrosse Conference, a single-sport conference.

BOARD ACTION: The Board voted to elect the four recommended institutions to active Division I membership status and approved the two conference applications. (Unanimous voice vote.)

8. Future Meeting Dates.

- a. October 27, 2011, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- b. January 14, 2012, in conjunction with the NCAA Convention, Indianapolis, Indiana
- c. April 26, 2012, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Board of Directors chair: Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida

Staff Liaisons: S. David Berst, Division I governance

Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS
August 11, 2011, MEETING ATTENDANCE

Board members in attendance:

Stanley Albrecht, Utah State University, Western Athletic Conference
Guy Bailey, Texas Tech University, Big 12 Conference
William Beauchamp, University of Portland, West Coast Conference
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida, Big East Conference, chair
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University, Atlantic Coast Conference
David Hopkins, Wright State University, Hhorizon League
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University, Sun Belt Conference
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University, Ohio Valley Conference
Ann Millner, Weber State University, Big Sky Conference
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Southeastern Conference
John Peters, Northern Illinois University, Mid-American Conference
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, Pacific-12 Conference
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference
Steadman Upham, University of Tulsa, Conference USA
Timothy Wright, University of California, Riverside, Big West Conference

Board members not in attendance:

William R. Harvey, Hampton University, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
David Skorton, Cornell University, Ivy League

NCAA staff liaisons in attendance:

S. David Berst, NCAA
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA, recording secretary

Guests from other Division I governance bodies:

Michael Alden, University of Missouri, chair of the Division I Leadership Council
Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League, chair of the Division I Legislative Council
Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, chair of the Division I Committee on Academic Performance
Harvey Perlman, University of Nebraska, chair of the Division I Bowl Licensing Task Force

Other NCAA staff members in attendance: Scott Bearby, Erik Christanson, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Mark Emmert, Bernard Franklin, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Jim Isch, Amy Kudwa, Kevin Lennon, Steve Mallonee, Keith Martin, Kathleen McNeely, Delise O'Meally, Tom Paskus, Todd Petr, Dennie Poppe, Ronnie Ramos, Bill Regan, Donald Remy, Wallace Renfro, Julie Roe Lach, Greg Shaheen, Jennifer Strawley, Robert Vowels, Wendy Walters and Bob Williams.

MINUTES OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Westin Indianapolis
Indianapolis, Indiana

August 11, 2011

Participants:

Michael Alden, University of Missouri, Columbia
William Beauchamp, University of Portland
Drew Bogner, Molloy College
James Bultman, Hope College
Rick Cole Jr., Dowling College
Judy Genshaft, University of South Florida
Nathan Hatch, Wake Forest University
Chris Martin, College Conference of Illinois and Wisconsin
Sidney McPhee, Middle Tennessee State University
William Meehan, Jacksonville State University
Ann Millner, Weber State University
J. Patrick O'Brien, West Texas A&M University
Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina, Columbia
John Peters, Northern Illinois University
Edward Ray, Oregon State University, chair
David Schmidly, University of New Mexico
James Schmotter, Western Connecticut State University
Lou Anna Simon, Michigan State University
Mark Emmert, NCAA
Bernard Franklin, NCAA
Delise O'Meally, NCAA, recording secretary

William Harvey, Hampton University, was unable to attend.

Also in attendance were: Gary Brown, director of NCAA News; Joni Comstock, senior vice president of championships/senior woman administrator; Jim Isch, chief operating officer; Julie Lach, vice president of enforcement services; Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs; Kathleen McNeely, vice president of administration and chief financial officer; Karen Morrison, director of gender inclusion; Todd Petr, managing director of research; Donald Remy, vice president of legal affairs/general counsel; Wallace Renfro, vice president and chief policy advisor; Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances; Robert Vowels, vice president of student-athlete affairs and leadership development programs and resources; Bob Williams, vice president of communications; David Berst,

Daniel Dutcher and Mike Racy, NCAA governance vice presidents; and Jackie Campbell, Leah Kareti and Terri Steeb, NCAA governance directors.

[Note: These minutes contain only actions taken (formal votes or stated "sense of the meeting") in accordance with NCAA policy regarding minutes of all Association entities. While certain items on the Committee's agenda were acted on at various times throughout the meeting, all final actions within a given topic are combined in these minutes for convenience of reference.]

The meeting was called to order at 1:15 p.m. by the chair, President Ray. All members were present as noted above.

1. Welcome and announcements. Ray welcomed William Beauchamp, president of the University of Portland, and William Meehan, president of Jacksonville State University.

2. Approval of April 28, 2011, meeting minutes.

It was VOTED

"To approve the Executive Committee minutes of the April 28, 2011, meeting as distributed."

3. Update from national student-athlete advisory committee (SAAC). Representatives from each of the divisional national SAACs shared key issues with the presidents. Scott Krapf, the Division I national SAAC chair, discussed the creation of a student-athlete voice project team that will focus on ensuring that student-athletes are included in significant matters that impact well-being. Krapf also discussed the division's interest in a division-wide community service initiative. Lastly, he covered other key topics areas on the Division I SAAC agenda including text messaging, men's basketball recruiting and opportunities for transgender student-athletes. Division II initiatives were presented by Sarah Hebbard, the Division II national SAAC vice chair, and she discussed the Make-a-Wish Foundation fundraiser, efforts to educate on student athlete mental health, as well as multimedia communication initiatives designed to increase the Division II student-athlete voice. Finally, Division III topics were presented by Azure Davey, NCAA staff liaison, and focused on issues around text messaging, as well as the Division III partnership with Special Olympics.

4. NCAA President's report. President Emmert called on Jim Isch to provide an overview of the national office "One Team, One Future" initiative. The initiative, which was launched in May of this year, focuses directly on the mission-critical priorities of embedding academics; protecting and sustaining the collegiate model; and delivering membership-defined value. Isch noted that the national office also would focus on accountability and the implementation of various performance metrics. At its next meeting, the Committee will receive additional updates on the One Team, One Future initiative and will be asked to review and approve a presidential scorecard.

Emmert also provided an update on the Native American Mascot Policy and called on Bernard Franklin to alert the Committee to a meeting that is to be held with representatives from the University of North Dakota, the North Dakota state legislature, and the North Dakota governor's office. Franklin noted that the parties would discuss the recent North Dakota state law that requires the institution to maintain its logo and nickname despite the settlement agreement between the institution and the Association. The Committee expressed continued support for the implementation of the policy, as well as the terms of the settlement agreement.

5. NCAA Executive Committee Finance Committee report.

- a. Audit Charter proposed changes. The Finance Committee recommended a change to the Audit Charter that would add an additional member to serve as a financial expert. The addition of a financial expert is a best practice for not-for-profit organizations and will assist the Finance Committee in fulfilling its financial oversight responsibilities.

The Finance Committee noted the following:

- (1) In order to obtain the financial expertise, the financial expert could be an individual who is outside the NCAA committee structure.
- (2) The financial expert committee member would have a term of four years, with the option for renewal by the Executive Committee for one additional four-year term.
- (3) Other minor changes to the charter include the addition of the chief operating officer as part of management that would meet separately with the committee.

The Finance Committee recommended the appointment of David E. Lechner, vice president for business and finance, University of Nebraska System, as the financial expert for the Finance Committee for the next four years. Lechner is a

certified public accountant and has been the chief financial officer of the Nebraska system for 11 years and, prior to joining Nebraska, was a director with Deloitte in its audit practice.

It was VOTED

“To approve the changes to the Audit Charter and to appoint David Lechner as the financial expert.”

- b. Third Quarter FY 2010-11 budget-to-actual. The Finance Committee reviewed the third quarter budget-to-actual report and noted that the Association is on track to meet its budget projections
 - (1) Revenue. The Association has received 99 percent of budgeted revenues, which is in line with the prior year.
 - (2) Expenses. Total Association-wide expenses through the first nine months were 69 percent of budget, which also aligns with the prior year. The remaining amounts will be distributed in the fourth quarter of the year.
 - (3) Audit firm. As reported in April, the Finance Committee interviewed three audit and tax firms (KPMG, Deloitte and Crowe Horwath). Unanimously, the committee voted to offer the engagement to Deloitte for the next five years. The agreement with Deloitte is complete, and work on the 2010-11 audit has started.
6. Transgender student-athlete participation policy. The Committee reviewed a request from the NCAA Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport Committee (CSMAS) and the NCAA Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) to consider adopting a policy that would assist the membership with questions about fair and equitable participation by student-athletes who identify as transgender. A person who was born female and transitions to male is termed trans-male. A person who was born male and transitions to female is termed trans-female.

In consultation with medical and research experts who have advised both the Olympic committee and others working with transgender athletes, CSMAS and CWA support the following to provide direction regarding the participation of a transgender student-athlete:

- (1) A [trans-male] student-athlete who has received a medical exception for treatment with testosterone for diagnosed Gender Identity Disorder (GID), for the purposes of NCAA competition may compete on a men's team, but is no longer eligible to compete on a women's team without changing that team status to a mixed team.

- (2) A [trans-female] student-athlete being treated with testosterone suppression medication for GID, for the purposes of NCAA competition may continue to compete on a men's team but may not compete on a women's team without changing it to a mixed team status until completing one calendar year of testosterone suppression treatment.
- (3) It is the responsibility of the NCAA institution to submit the request for a medical exception for testosterone treatment prior to the student-athlete competing while undergoing treatment. In the case of testosterone suppression, the institution must submit written documentation of the year of treatment and ongoing monitoring of testosterone suppression.
- (4) For the purpose of NCAA competition, cases of GID treatment being interrupted will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

In addition to addressing policy, the above committees and NCAA staff have developed a resource handbook and a video to help the membership with full understanding of the issues.

It was VOTED

“To approve the policy for transgender student-athlete participation as noted above.”

7. NCAA Division I Board of Directors and Divisions II and III Presidents Councils reports.
 - a. Division I Board of Directors. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division I Board of Directors that included the following:
 - (1) Presidential Retreat. Received a report on the Presidential Retreat and directed President Emmert to bring to the Board in October an action plan to address the various issues discussed at the retreat, which may include legislation for immediate adoption.
 - (2) Committee reports. Received several updates and expects final reports in October related to men's basketball recruiting, NCAA postseason bowl licensing and NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Progress penalty structure, including an academic performance requirement for championships eligibility.
 - (3) Committee restructuring. Adopted noncontroversial legislation to modify the composition of the CWA and the NCAA Minority Opportunities and

Interests Committee by increasing the size of each committee from 15 to 18 members and to specify that the three additional positions be filled by a president from each division. Further, that there be an equal distribution of committee members from each division.

- (4) Membership. Elected Florida Gulf Coast University, Houston Baptist University, University of North Carolina Central and the University of South Carolina Upstate to active Division I membership status. The Board also approved conference applications from the Great West Conference, a multisport conference, and the single-sport National Lacrosse Conference.

- b. Division II Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division II Presidents Council that included the following:

- (1) Presidents Council-sponsored legislation.

- a. Membership. Sponsored a set of proposals that support the strategic growth of the Division II membership. The proposals deal with the required number of institutions to be considered an active Division II conference; the benefits provided to conferences; and the process related to conference membership.
- b. Recruitment. Sponsored three legislative proposals that align recruitment of student-athletes with overall institutional recruitment efforts and provide an ease of burden on Division II administrators and coaches. The concepts will allow for an unlimited number of in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts made with a prospective student-athlete each year; permit unlimited electronic communication (e.g., text messaging, instant messaging) with prospects; and permit an unlimited number of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, all starting June 15 prior to the prospect's junior year in high school.
- c. Sickle Cell Testing. Agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2012 NCAA Convention to require a sickle cell solubility test as part of the mandatory medical examination, unless documented results of a prior test are provided to the institution or the student-athlete declines the test and signs a written release.

- (2) Model strategic communications document for Division II. Endorsed a model communications document, which was developed by members of the College Sports Information Directors of America, Division II Sports Information Directors of America, Division II Athletics Directors Associa-

tion, Division II Conference Commissioners Association and NCAA staff, and builds on the work of the Division II Model Strategic Communications Toolkit, reflecting the need to develop strategic and purposeful communications.

- (3) Transgender student-athlete participation. Endorsed the proposed policy regarding participation of transgender student-athletes.
- c. Division III Presidents Council. The Committee received an update on the actions of the Division III Presidents Council. These included:
- (1) Identity activation. Received an update on the Division III Identity initiative activation.
 - (a) Special Olympics partnership. Student-athletes will work events, coach teams or fund-raise on behalf of Special Olympics. All Division III championships will include Special Olympics events. A website has been launched to support and track on this initiative.
 - (b) Division III week. During a seven-day period beginning April 6, National Student-Athlete Day, every member institution and conference will be asked to conduct an outreach activity related to academic accomplishment, athletics experience or community outreach. The division will communicate nationally the number of student-athletes and projects, and institutions can locally describe their contributions.
 - (2) Amendments to Division III Philosophy Statement. Formally sponsored a series of amendments to the Division III philosophy statement. As a final action related to the division's 2008 white paper series on membership growth, the Presidents Council will sponsor a proposal for the 2012 NCAA Convention that will update the philosophy statement to:
 - (a) Emphasize that Division III intercollegiate athletics is primarily focused on a four-year, undergraduate experience.
 - (b) Clarify that initial- and continuing-eligibility standards are best left to institutional and conference autonomy.
 - (c) Express a commitment to supporting a student-athlete's right to meaningful participation in nonathletics pursuits as a method of enriching the overall educational experience.

(3) Other legislative actions.

- (a) Sponsored 2012 Convention legislation relaxing current restrictions on how professional sports organizations may serve as financial sponsors of competitions and ancillary events, consistent with the other divisions.
- (b) Also sponsored legislation to mirror the Division I requirements around the sickle cell solubility test.
- (c) Received an update that the Management Council sponsored a proposal to allow coaches to use text messaging in the recruiting process.
- (d) In response to a related Executive Committee action to dissolve its subcommittee on gender and diversity, ratified the Management Council vote to increase MOIC's and CWA's size from 15 to 18 members, with the three additional positions to be filled by a president from each division.

(4) Strategic initiatives. The Presidential Advisory Group advised the Presidents Council on a series of the division's strategic initiatives. Specifically, the Advisory Group advised the Council on:

- (a) Future drug-education models, endorsing the pursuit of a partnership with the student-affairs community through the National Association of Student Personnel Administrators and advising that the division should not allocate any funding towards year round drug testing.
- (b) Financial aid education for nonathletics staff.
- (c) How to best leverage the positive results of the division's ongoing academic reporting pilot.
- (d) Enhancing presidential leadership in Division III.

(5) The student-athlete experience – findings from the Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP) studies. Heard a presentation on research conducted by the CIRP through the Higher Education Research Institute at University of California, Los Angeles. The surveys are similar to the

NCAA's GOALS and SCORE studies, but they contain much more Division III-specific data. Overall, the studies strongly reinforced the positive experience of Division III student-athletes. In particular, the findings indicated Division III student-athletes:

- (a) Are highly engaged in campus activities;
- (b) Report active academic engagement and participation in academic "extras" that are offered by their institution (e.g., research with faculty, study abroad, capstone/senior thesis);
- (c) Report significant gains in personal skills and attributes, including problems solving, ability to get along with other races and leadership; and
- (d) Report significantly greater gains in time management and leadership when compared with nonathletes.

8. Future meetings. The Committee reviewed its future meetings schedule.

9. Adjournment. Ray adjourned the meeting at 2:32 p.m.

#

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
JUNE 17, 2011, TELECONFERENCE

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Review and Approval of NCAA Compliance Forms.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council Administrative Committee reviewed and approved the 2011-12 compliance forms. The forms will be posted to the NCAA website by July 1.
2. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-3 Personnel And Recruiting -- Employment of High School, Preparatory School or Two-Year College Coaches or Other Individuals Associated with Prospective Student-Athletes -- Men's Basketball -- Strength and Conditioning Staff.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-3, that in men's basketball, clarifies that during a two-year period before a prospective student-athlete's anticipated enrollment and a two-year period after the prospective student-athlete's actual enrollment, an institution shall not employ (or enter into a contract for future employment with) an individual associated with the prospective student-athlete in any athletics department noncoaching staff position or as a member of the strength and conditioning staff, effective immediately.
3. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-4 Recruiting -- Time Period for Telephone Calls -- Sports Other Than Football -- Nontraditional Academic Calendars.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-4, that in sports other than football, clarifies the application of the time period for telephone calls legislation to an individual who attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar, as specified, effective immediately.
4. **NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-5 Recruiting -- Video/Audio Materials -- Pre-Enrollment Information -- Method of Delivery.** The Administrative Committee reviewed and approved NCAA Proposal No. M-2011-5, that clarifies that pre-enrollment information in a video format may be provided to a prospective student-athlete via a digital media storage device, provided he or she has signed a National Letter of Intent or institutional financial aid agreement, or has been officially accepted for enrollment, effective immediately.

5. **NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee on Legislative Relief.** The Administrative Committee ratified Shane Lyons as chair of the Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee on Legislative Relief, effective July 1, 2011.

6. **NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification Proposal Related to NCAA Bylaws 22 and 33.** The Administrative Committee reviewed a concept to consolidate athletics certification regulations into one bylaw in the Division I Manual and agreed not to sponsor this proposal for the 2011-12 legislative cycle due to the current suspension of the athletics certification program.

Committee Chair: Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, The Ivy Group
Staff Liaisons: Lynn Holzman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Steve Mallonee, Academic and Membership Affairs
Binh Nguyen, Academic and Membership Affairs
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE (CCC)
AUGUST 16, 2011, CONFERENCE CALL**

- 1. Report of the Committee's April 19, 2011, Conference Call.** The committee approved the report of its April 19, 2011, conference call.
- 2. Presidential Retreat.** The committee was informed of the following working groups that were established to advance the goals identified during the Presidential Retreat:
 - a. A group that will review NCAA rules and athletics practices (e.g., personnel, travel, recruitment) to determine what institutional and NCAA resource efficiencies that can be achieved.
 - b. A group that will work to identify areas where greater flexibility can be employed on a conference-by-conference basis to use resources in support of student-athlete well-being, particularly the value of grants-in-aid and multi-year scholarship commitments.
 - c. A group that will review the NCAA Division I Manual to reduce the volume of unenforceable and inconsequential rules that fail to support the Association's core principles and place an emphasis on the most strategically important matters. This group also is charged with developing filters that ensure new legislation aligns and addresses the Association's core principles and values.
 - d. A group that will develop a multi-level violation reporting and penalty structure that will focus on primarily those infractions that clearly violate NCAA enduring values. The group also will consider the level and severity of penalties associated with the most egregious violations.

It was also noted that the Division I Committee on Academic Performance (CAP) and the Division I Academic Cabinet continue to move forward with their recommendations related to initial-eligibility and two-year college transfer regulations, as well as a new Academic Progress Rate (APR) benchmark and penalty structure.

- 3. Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Update.** Scott Krapf, chair of the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC), reported on SAAC's recent meeting and noted that the Division I SAAC has had ongoing discussions regarding the following:
 - Creation of a Student-Athlete Voice Team to better ensure that the student-athlete voice is heard;
 - A division-wide community service project;

- Continued communication regarding a men's basketball recruiting model, and
- The Capitol One Cup and how it may be better structured.

Communications and Coordination Committee chair: Mike Alden, University of Missouri
Staff Liaison: Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance

ADDENDUM
DI Communications and Coordination Committee 08/11

DIVISION I COMMUNICATIONS AND COORDINATION COMMITTEE
AUGUST 16, 2011, TELECONFERENCE ATTENDANCE

Those Participating:

Mike Alden, University of Missouri – Leadership Council
Sarah Bobert, Marquette University – Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet
William Chaves, Eastern Washington University – Administration Cabinet
Mark Hollis, Michigan State University – Amateurism Cabinet
Herman Holt, University of North Carolina, Asheville – Academic Cabinet
Scott Krapf – Division I Student-Advisory Committee
Ian McCaw, Baylor University – Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte – Championships/Sports Management Cabinet
Jacqueline Campbell, NCAA – recording secretary

Not able to participate:

Carolyn Campbell-McGovern, Ivy League – Legislative Council

NCAA staff: David Berst, Jennifer Daniels, Diane Dickman, Lynn Holzman, Michelle Hosick, Steve Mallonee, Jarrett Newby, Binh Nguyen, Jobrina Perez, Carol Reep, Kris Richardson and Sharon Tufano also participated on the call.

**REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET**

Teleconference
June 24, 2011

**REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET**

Teleconference

June 24, 2011

Participants:

Shelley Appelbaum, Michigan State University
David Blank, Elon University
Sherri Booker, Atlantic Sun Conference
Greg Burke, Northwestern State University
Kenneth Casavant, Washington State University
Carrie Coll, Mountain West Conference
Shelley Davis, Southwestern Athletic Conference
Raynoid Dedeaux, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Connie Dillon, University of Oklahoma
Rich Ensor, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Jim Fallis, Northern Arizona University
Jonathan Hackett, student-athlete from the University
of Southern California
Tom Hickman, Winthrop University
Robert S. Krimmel, Saint Francis University
(Pennsylvania)
Myndee Larsen, The Summit League
Warde Manuel, University at Buffalo, the State
University of New York
Erin McDermott, Princeton University

Jane Miller, University of Virginia
Marilyn Moniz-Kaho'ohanohano, University of
Hawaii, Manoa
Bruce Rasmussen, Creighton University
Nance Reed, Towson University
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Jim Schmidt, University of Illinois, Chicago
Paula Smith, University of California, Irvine
Mike Thomas, University of Cincinnati
Keith Tribble, University of Central Florida
Rick Villarreal, University of North Texas
Bradley K. Walker, Ohio Valley Conference
Larry Williams, University of Portland
Jackie Campbell, NCAA
Joni Comstock, NCAA
Brad Hostetter, NCAA
Greg Johnson, NCAA
Carol Reep, NCAA
Kris Richardson, NCAA
Dave Schnase, NCAA

Rosemary A. Shea, College of Holy Cross, was not able to participate in the teleconference. Darlene Gordon, Patriot League, participated as her alternate.

Karen Morrison, NCAA and Greg Shaheen, NCAA participated in a portion of the teleconference to make presentations.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET
JUNE 24, 2011, TELECONFERENCE**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Track and Field.** The cabinet reviewed and preliminarily discussed a letter from the Big 12 Council of Faculty Athletics Representatives requesting that the cabinet at its September meeting vote on whether to eliminate track and field regionals. The cabinet also reviewed information from the NCAA GOALS study and a letter from the United States Track and Field and Cross Country Coaches Association and agreed to continue discussion on the topic during the September 2011 meeting.
2. **NCAA Division I Women's Soccer Committee.**
 - a. Date Standardization. The cabinet approved a recommendation that, effective with the 2012 championship, the date formula for the Women's College Cup be standardized so that the Women's College Cup will occur the first weekend in December, and if December 1 is a Saturday that will count as the first weekend with competition taking place on Friday and Sunday. The NCAA Division I Women's Soccer Committee believes that the championship should occur at the same time each year and a week prior to the Men's College Cup.
 - b. Championship Format. The cabinet approved a recommendation that the 1-1-2-2 championship format approved in June, 2010 be changed to a 1-2-1-2 format, effective with the 2011 championship.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - **None.**
2. **Nonlegislative Items.**
 - **None.**

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- **The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle on the following items:**

- a. NCAA Bylaw 17.3.2.2 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Basketball – Preseason Practice – On Court Practice – Elimination of 5 p.m. Start Time on First Permissible Practice Date. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to eliminate, in the sport of women's basketball, the requirement that on-court preseason basketball practice sessions may not start before 5 p.m. on the first permissible practice date. This would be effective August 1, 2012.
- b. Bylaw 16.8.1.3-(c) – Expenses Provided by the Institution for Practice and Competition – Other Competition. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to allow institutions to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend two national team tryouts, effective August 1, 2012.
- c. Inclusion of World University Championships in Select Bylaws. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to modify Bylaws 12.1.2.4.13 (commemorative items for student-athlete participating on Olympic Games, World University Games, Pan American Games, World Championships and World Cup Events), 12.5.1.9 (Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup and World University Games), 13.1.7.18 (coaches' attendance at elite international events), 14.1.8.3.3 (Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games or World Youth Championships – Competition), 14.2.3.2.1.1 (exception – national or international competition), 14.2.3.2.1.1 (exception – Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup and World University Games Participation), 14.2.3.2.2 (exception – Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup and World University Games participation), 14.4.3.6 (waivers of progress-toward-degree rule), 14.7.3 (exceptions – all sports) and 16.8.1.3 (other competition) to also reference the World University Championships. This would be effective August 1, 2012.
- d. Bylaw 21.3 – Association-Wide Committees – Rules Committees without Championships Administration Responsibilities. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to include stand-alone rules committees for the sports of swimming and diving, track and field and cross country, and wrestling, consisting of nine members each (four Division I representatives, two Division II representatives, two Division III representatives and a secretary-rules editor). This proposal requires corresponding changes in Bylaws 21.4 (common committees – committees with playing rules and championships administration responsibilities) and 21.7.5.5.5 (sports committees) and would be effective August 1, 2012.

- e. Bylaw 12.6.1 – Financial Donations from Outside Organizations – Professional Sports Organizations. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event (e.g., regular season, conference championship, NCAA championship, other postseason competition), provided the organization is not publically identified as such; and may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publically identified as such. This would be effective August 1, 2012.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Transgender Student-Athletes.** NCAA staff provided an educational presentation to the cabinet regarding transgender student-athletes. It was noted that staff anticipates that the NCAA Executive Committee will be requested to adopt a policy regarding transgender student-athletes during its August 2011 meeting.
2. **Live Microphones on Coaches.** The cabinet received an update from Greg Shaheen, NCAA interim executive vice president for championships and alliances, regarding the recent discussions on the use of live microphones on coaches. It was noted that as a result of sensitivity to the cabinet's previous comments on this topic and on-going media contract negotiations that no further action will be requested on this topic at this time.
3. **Women's Softball National Invitational Tournament.** The cabinet discussed a request from the National Fastpitch Coaches Association to sponsor legislation that would exempt a women's national invitational tournament in softball. Based on a recommendation from the NCAA Division I Women's Softball Committee, the cabinet agreed not to support a legislative exemption at this time. The cabinet agreed to allow time for further membership discussion and revisit the issue at its September meeting if appropriate.
4. **Reports of Sports and Sport Issues Committees.**
 - a. NCAA Division I Baseball Committee. The cabinet received an informational report that noted the committee's continued study of the possible use of instant replay during the NCAA Division I Baseball Championship.

- b. Division I Football Championship Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation, effective for the 2011 NCAA Division I Football Championship, that the following 10 conferences receive automatic qualification: Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Football Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, The Patriot League, Southern Conference and Southland Conference.

It was noted by the committee that they had received a request for automatic qualification from the Pioneer Football League. Although the Pioneer Football League satisfies the eligibility requirements for automatic qualification, the committee determined that the Pioneer Football League did not merit one of the 10 automatic qualification positions in the bracket based on its review of automatic qualification criteria: (1) nonconference records; (2) strength of nonconference opponents; (3) recent postseason history; and (4) competition against Football Bowl Subdivision opponents.

- c. NCAA Division I Women's Lacrosse Committee. The cabinet received an informational report from the Division I Women's Lacrosse Committee as it continues to monitor the current play-in game format considering the continued growth of the sport. It was noted that a total of 11 eligible conferences have applied for automatic qualification to the 2012 championship; thus, an additional play-in game will be necessary. As a result of this informational update, the cabinet has invited the Women's Lacrosse Committee to provide a recommendation to the cabinet for possible consideration at its September 2011 meeting for bracket expansion in addition to the recommendation for an additional play-in game.
- d. NCAA Division I Rowing Committee. The cabinet was updated on the NCAA Division I Rowing Committee's proposal for a play-in opportunity with the addition of automatic qualification in 2013. It was noted that with 11 conferences projected to be eligible for automatic qualification for the 2013 championship, and with the field at 16 teams, the maximum number of automatic qualifications with direct entry into the bracket will be limited to eight. In September, the committee will submit a budget request to pay for the play-in, or at least provide stipends to offset the costs incurred by the teams that participate in the play-in, but do not advance to the championship field. As a result of this informational update, the cabinet has invited the Rowing Committee to provide a recommendation to the cabinet for possible consideration at its September 2011 meeting for bracket expansion in addition to the recommendation of the play-in opportunity.

- e. NCAA Division I Men's Soccer Committee. The cabinet opposed the recommendation by the NCAA Division I Men's Soccer Committee that, effective with the 2011 championship, the criteria for selecting officials would change for all NCAA postseason games to requiring an official to have experience working NCAA soccer matches and that consideration may be given to experience officiating other soccer competition (e.g., Major League Soccer, the US Soccer Federation and Fédération Internationale de Football Association). Currently, an official is required to work a minimum of six regular-season Division I men's soccer games. The cabinet expressed concern that the recommendation did not contain an overall requirement for officiating Division I games (men or women) and that, absent such a requirement, consideration of professional soccer events worked could adversely impact the growth and improvement of men's collegiate soccer officials.
- f. NCAA Men's Volleyball Committee. Based on the April 2011 adoption of the noncontroversial legislative exception to NCAA Bylaw 31.3.4.7 for men's volleyball, the cabinet approved a recommendation that three of the four postseason bids be filled via automatic qualifiers from the three existing automatic qualification-eligible conferences, and that the remaining bid be granted to an at-large institution. Currently, the NCAA Men's Volleyball Committee grants three automatic qualifications for the four-team bracket – one to each of the three eligible Divisions I and II conferences that sponsor men's volleyball (Eastern Intercollegiate Volleyball Association, Midwest Intercollegiate Volleyball Association and the Mountain Pacific Sports Federation). It was further noted, effective with the 2011-12 season, that the committee would need to develop play-in criteria should the number of automatic qualifying conferences grow past the current level of three. The cabinet confirmed that if the number of eligible conferences continues to grow, it would invite the committee to submit a play-in plan along with a recommendation for potential bracket expansion.
- g. NCAA Division I Women's Volleyball. The cabinet opposed a recommendation by the committee that would have increased the squad size for women's volleyball from 15 to 16 while keeping the official travel party at 22, effective with the 2011 championship. The cabinet noted a concern that roster size would stay at 15 student-athletes.
- h. NCAA Men's Water Polo. The cabinet received an informational report from the committee that confirmed that effective with the April 2011 Division I Board of Directors adoption of the noncontroversial legislative exception to Bylaw 31.3.4.7 for men's water polo, the NCAA Men's Water Polo Committee will maintain the

current championship format for the 2012 championship. Further, that the qualifying format will remain with three automatic qualifiers being awarded to the eligible conferences and one at-large qualifier. Additionally, on receipt of this informational report the cabinet invited the Men's Water Polo Committee to provide a recommendation to the cabinet for possible consideration for bracket expansion at its September 2011 meeting.

- i. NCAA Women's Water Polo. The cabinet took no action on the request by the NCAA Women's Water Polo Committee for approval of a new format for team selection to the 2012 championship that would have resulted in approval of all six automatic qualification teams based on the previous year's highest ranked Rating Percentage Index (RPI) conferences and two at-large berths. Even though the committee's recommendation maintained the eight-team tournament field and would have removed an institution's costs of participation in the play-in game, the cabinet expressed concern that there would only be two at-large berths allocated. Therefore, in addition to the format proposed, the cabinet requested that the committee continue to develop a format that would maintain the three at-large berths and submit the recommended formats to the cabinet for review during its September 2011 meeting. It was also noted by the cabinet that the committee give strong consideration to eliminating the deep-water requirement for the play-in pool site so all regular-season host sites could be considered as hosts for the play-in game, potentially reducing expenses for the play-in competition. Additionally, the cabinet extended an invitation to the committee to submit a recommendation for potential bracket expansion during its September 2011 meeting.
- j. Division I Wrestling.
 - (1) Selection Criteria – Minimum Number of Matches at a Weight Class. The cabinet approved a recommendation that, effective with the 2012 Division I Wrestling Championships, each wrestler shall be required to have wrestled a minimum of eight matches at the weight class in which the wrestler intends to compete.
 - (2) Selection Criteria – Bronze Star Consideration. The cabinet approved a recommendation that, effective with the 2012 Wrestling Championships, wrestlers must meet or exceed two or more of the criteria to earn bronze status. The increase from the former requirement of one selection criterion results from the proposed fixed allocation approach by the committee. In fixing 29 allocation positions of the 33 available, the committee now will only consider four remaining positions for at-large

spots for bronze wrestlers. As such, the number of eligible student-athletes for bronze status should be reduced to condense the pool of eligible student-athletes.

- (3) Selection Criteria – Pre-allocation Number. The cabinet approved the recommendation that, effective with the 2012 Wrestling Championships, the number of pre-allocated positions per weight class is 29.

- k. Informational Reports. In addition to the sport committee reports noted above, the cabinet received informational reports from the following committees: men's basketball issues, football issues, field hockey and softball.

5. Committee Chair Appointments. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet took the following action related to committees (all effective September 1, 2011):

- a. Men's Soccer Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Al Albert of the College of William and Mary as chair of the Men's Soccer Committee.
- b. Women's Soccer Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Meredith Jenkins of Auburn University as chair of the Women's Soccer Committee.
- c. Women's Volleyball Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Diane Turnham of Middle Tennessee State University as chair of the Women's Volleyball Committee.
- d. Wrestling Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed David Martin at Oklahoma State University as the chair of the Wrestling Committee.
- e. Women's Basketball Issues Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Muffet McGraw of the University of Notre Dame as the chair of the Women's Basketball Issues Committee.
- f. Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Dr. Jeffery Anderson of the University of Connecticut as chair of the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports.

- g. NCAA Olympic Sports Liaison Committee. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet appointed Peg Bradley-Doppes of the University of Denver as the chair of the Olympic Sports Liaison Committee.

6. Automatic Qualification. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet awarded automatic qualification as follows:

- a. Field Hockey Committee. The 2011 Field Hockey Championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Big East Conference, Big Ten Conference and the Colonial Athletic Association. The play-in games will be the Atlantic 10 Conference at the Northern Pacific Field Hockey Conference, the Northeast Conference at the Ivy League and the Patriot League at the Mid-American Conference.
- b. Men's Soccer Committee. The 2011 Men's Soccer Championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, The Ivy League, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain Pacific Sports Federation, Northeast Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southern Conference, The Summit League and the West Coast Conference.
- c. Women's Soccer Committee. The 2011 Women's Soccer Championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, The Summit League, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.
- d. Women's Volleyball Committee. The 2011 Women's Volleyball Championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, The Ivy

League, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

7. Future meeting dates and sites.

- a. September 13-14, 2011; Hyatt Regency Indianapolis; Indianapolis.
- b. February 15, 2012; University Place; Indianapolis.
- c. June 22, 2012; teleconference.
- d. September 11-12, 2012; TBD, Indianapolis.

Committee Chair: Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte

Staff Liaisons: Joni Comstock, Championships

David W. Schnase, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET

Hyatt Regency Indianapolis
Indianapolis, Indiana
September 13-14, 2011

**REPORT OF THE
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET**

Hyatt Regency Indianapolis
Indianapolis, Indiana

September 13-14, 2011

Participants:

Shelley Appelbaum, Michigan State University
Barbara Burke, Eastern Illinois University
Greg Burke, Northwestern State University
Doze Y. Butler, Southern University, Baton Rouge
Kenneth Casavant, Washington State University
Carrie Coll, Mountain West Conference
Raynoid Dedeaux, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference
Connie Dillon, University of Oklahoma
Tom Douple, The Summit League
Rich Ensor, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference
Jim Fallis, Northern Arizona University
James D. Fiore, Stony Brook University
Rick Hart, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Tom Hickman, Winthrop University
Ken Kavanagh, Florida Gulf Coast University
Robert S. Krimmel, Saint Francis University
(Pennsylvania)
Scott Leykam, West Coast Conference
Jeffrey Long, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville
Warde Manuel, University at Buffalo, the State
University of New York

Erin McDermott, Princeton University
Jane Miller, University of Virginia
Marilyn Moniz-Kaho'ohanohano, University of
Hawaii, Manoa
Logan Roberts, student-athlete from the University
of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte
Jim Schmidt, University of Illinois, Chicago
Camden Wood Selig, Old Dominion University
Rosemary A. Shea, College of Holy Cross
Paula Smith, University of California, Irvine
Keith Tribble, University of Central Florida
David Berst, NCAA
Jackie Campbell, NCAA
Joni Comstock, NCAA
Brad Hostetter, NCAA
Greg Johnson, NCAA
Carol Reep, NCAA
Dave Schnase, NCAA

Rick Villarreal, University of North Texas; Daryl Gross, Syracuse University; and Mario Moccia, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, were not able to attend the meeting. Herbert Carter, assistant commissioner, Sun Belt Conference, Joseph F. D'Antonio, Jr, Associate Commissioner, Big East Conference, and Mary Mulveena, Missouri Valley Conference, attended as alternates, respectively.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS/SPORTS MANAGEMENT CABINET
SEPTEMBER 13-14, 2011, MEETING**

The NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet submits this report from its September 13-14, in-person meeting in Indianapolis.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **2012-2013 and 2013-2014 Budget.** The cabinet reviewed budget recommendations from the entities that report to the cabinet. A majority of the recommendations related to student-athlete participation opportunities, officials fees/expenses, travel party/squad size, per diems and game operations. The cabinet viewed the proposals with the following three lenses: 1) “Must haves.” These initiatives are necessary due to legislative or policy changes or are otherwise necessary for the appropriate operation of the relevant championship; 2) “Important but not necessary.” These initiatives would have a significant positive impact on the student-athlete experience or would have a significant positive financial impact on the Association and or its member institutions; and 3) “Fund if possible.” Initiatives in this category should be funded but only after initiatives in the first two categories are funded. After the cabinet took a position on each recommendation, it prioritized those recommendations that received cabinet approval. Of the 27 recommendations that were approved, the cabinet agreed that eight initiatives should receive priority for funding. The results of the prioritization of these eight initiatives can be found in the attachment. The cabinet noted that the results show that, for this budget cycle, the cabinet placed significant value on student-athlete participation opportunities. In addition, the cabinet agreed that the budget exercise will provide a good foundation for future discussions related to the cabinet’s priorities and funding philosophy.

The eight initiatives that the cabinet agreed should receive funding are listed below in priority order in the “action, nonlegislative items” section. The initiatives that the cabinet approved for funding consideration but that did not achieve “priority” status, as well as the initiatives that the cabinet did not approve can be found in the attachment.

2. **Track and Field Regionals.** The cabinet continued its discussion related to track and field regionals. To assist in its discussion, the cabinet requested and received informational reports from NCAA staff on NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) trends in Division I cross country and track and field and on the initial findings from the NCAA Goals Study on the experiences of current Division I track and field student-athletes.

After much discussion, the cabinet agreed to retain the current regional model.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- **None.**

2. Nonlegislative Items.

a. Travel party increase – College World Series.

- (1) Recommendation. That funding is provided to increase the travel party during the College World Series from 35 to 40 persons, effective with the 2013 championship.
- (2) Rationale. As the College World Series has grown in both length and stature as an event, over time it has become necessary for the participating institutions to bring additional administrative staff (e.g., ticket managers, sports information directors, trainers, strength and conditioning coaches, equipment managers) above what can be included in the current 35-person limit. Annual feedback from participating teams consistently notes the increasing costs being absorbed by institutions for these essential staff members. Due to the length of the College World Series, what might be an easily absorbed expense at other championships has become a significant expenditure at this event.
- (3) Estimated Budget Impact. \$132,000.

b. Bracket expansion – women's lacrosse.

- (1) Recommendation. That the bracket for women's lacrosse be expanded from its current 16-team bracket to a 24-team bracket, effective with the 2013 championship.
- (2) Rationale. An expansion in the bracket will reduce the need for play-in games and provide additional championship participation opportunities for a growing women's sport. Without bracket expansion and as more Division I institutions and conferences begin sponsoring the sport of women's lacrosse, the number of play-in games would have to increase to remain compliant with legislation related to the number of automatic qualification berths and at-large bids. A total of 12 conferences will be

eligible for automatic qualification to the 2013 NCAA Division I Women's Lacrosse Championship.

- (3) Estimated Budget Impact. \$196,000.

c. Play-in – women's rowing.

- (1) Recommendation. That a play-in game for the rowing championship be established, effective with the 2013 championship.
- (2) Rationale. The cabinet approved automatic qualification for women's rowing effective with the 2013 championship. Currently, there are 11 conferences that sponsor rowing. NCAA Division I Bylaw 31.3.4.7.1 states that at least 50 percent of the field be available for at-large selection. To satisfy that legislation, four schools from automatic qualification conferences would need to compete to determine which one would receive the eighth and final automatic qualification spot at the championship. The NCAA Division I Women's Rowing Committee believes that the challenges of boat transportation and the nuances of the sport (e.g., course installation) dictate that the play-in should be held the Wednesday prior to the start of competition at the site of the NCAA Championship. The teams from conferences ranked eight through 11 would compete in a three-race play-in (I Eight boat, II Eight boat, Four boat) to determine which team would stay to compete at the championships which would begin Friday.
- (3) Budget Impact. \$149,000.

d. Field expansion – women's rowing.

- (1) Recommendation. That the field for the NCAA Rowing Championships be expanded from 16 to 22 teams, effective with the 2013 championship.
- (2) Rationale. The cabinet asked the Women's Rowing Committee to examine field expansion for the sport of rowing. The Women's Rowing Committee reported that it preferred field expansion over a play-in situation. The cabinet noted the Women's Rowing Committee's preference but believed the more fiscally responsible action at this time would be to fund a play-in and monitor the sport's future growth.
- (3) Budget Impact. \$322,000.

e. Increase in squad size/travel party – men’s soccer.

- (1) Recommendation. That the squad size be increased from 21 to 24 and the travel party from 27 to 30, effective with the 2012 championship.
- (2) Rationale. The squad size for men’s soccer has been at 21 student-athletes since 2000. However, the most recent research conducted by the NCAA research staff from 2009-10 indicates that the average squad size for the 197 teams that sponsor Division I men’s soccer is 28.3. According to research, the average squad size for men’s soccer has never been below 25 since its inception dating back to 1981-82 when 182 institutions sponsored the sport. The sport has seen stable growth and institutions are now forced to determine which student-athletes will not dress and warm up for the game during championship competition. This provides for a negative student-athlete experience for those student-athletes who have practiced and warmed up with the team each game during the regular season and now for the postseason must purchase a ticket and sit in the stands to watch their teammates play.
- (3) Budget Impact. \$131,000.

f. Increase in squad size/travel party – women’s soccer.

- (1) Recommendation. That the squad size be increased from 22 to 24 and the travel party from 28 to 30, effective with the 2012 championship.
- (2) Rationale. The squad size for women’s soccer has been at 22 student-athletes since 2000. However, the most recent research conducted by the NCAA Research staff from 2009-10 indicates that the average squad size for the 313 teams that sponsor Division I Women’s soccer is 26.5. According to research, the last time that the average squad size for women’s soccer was less than 22 was back in 1989-90 when the average squad size was 21.2, with only 75 institutions sponsoring the sport. The sport has seen significant growth since that time and institutions are now forced to determine which student-athletes will not dress and warm-up for the game during the championship. This provides for a negative student-athlete experience for those student-athletes that have practiced and warmed-up with the team each game during the regular season and now for the postseason must purchase a ticket and sit in the stands and watch their teammates play.
- (3) Budget Impact. \$140,000.

g. Increasing the length of super regionals in softball by one day.

- (1) Recommendation. That the format for the Division I Softball Super-Regional be extended by one day when a third game is necessary to determine which team will advance to the Women's College World Series, effective with the 2013 championship.
- (2) Rationale. Currently, the super regional format (best of three game series) is a two-day event. If a third game is necessary to determine which team will advance to the Women's College World Series, it is played as a double-header on day two, 30 minutes following game two.

The cabinet believes that to enhance the student-athlete experience and to provide the best opportunity for all teams to advance to the Women's College World Series, only one game should be played per day.

- (3) Estimated Budget Impact. Maximum of \$45,000. This represents the worst case scenario (all eight super regionals needing a third game); however, in the last three years there have been only three or four super regionals that have gone to a third game. Currently, a more realistic estimate is \$22,500.

h. Scoreboard – men's and women's skiing.

- (1) Recommendation. That funding be allocated for a scoreboard at the Men's and Women's Skiing Championship.
- (2) Rationale. A scoreboard provides student-athletes, coaches and spectators with real-time feedback on performance. Currently, championship hosts use rudimentary boards and markers to record times and place-of-finish. An electronic scoreboard would be more fitting for an NCAA Championship.
- (3) Estimated Budget Impact. \$7,000.

[Note: Due to the relatively nominal impact of this budget request compared to other priorities, the cabinet did not prioritize this request in competition with the other seven but noted that it should be a top priority for funding.]

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Comments on Proposals Currently in the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.** The cabinet reviewed proposals assigned to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2011-12 legislative cycle and offered the comments below. Proposals previously sponsored by the cabinet were provided to the cabinet for informational purposes.
 - a. NCAA Proposal No. 2011-83 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Maximum Number of Contests -- 52. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2011-83, which, in baseball, would reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition. The cabinet acknowledged the significance of the issue of missed class time, but noted that baseball has taken significant steps to enhance the academic progress of student-athletes and that individual institutions may choose to play fewer games if they deem it appropriate.
 - b. Proposal No. 2011-84 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- Elimination of 5 p.m. Start Time on First Permissible Practice Date. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2011-84, which, in women's basketball, would eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date. The cabinet asks that the sponsor modify the proposal to also eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date in men's basketball. If the sponsor refuses to modify the proposal as described above, the cabinet agreed to sponsor an alternative proposal to eliminate, in men's and women's basketball, the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date. The cabinet noted that having a consistent start time for preseason practice in the sports of men's and women's basketball eases the burden of monitoring compliance with the preseason practice on-court practice legislation. Further, if Proposal No. 2011-85 is adopted, men's basketball and women's basketball will use the same preseason practice model, further enhancing the benefit of having the sports use the same start time on the first permissible practice date.
 - c. Proposal No. 2011-85 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Men's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- 30 Days of Countable Activities Within 40 Days Prior to First Contest. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-85, which, in men's basketball, would specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale. Finally, as noted in the

comments on Proposal No. 2010-84, the cabinet prefers that the men's and women's basketball preseason practice model be consistent relative to practice windows (i.e., 30 days within 40-day window) and start times (i.e., elimination of 5 p.m. start time).

- d. Proposal No. 2011-86 Playing And Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- First Permissible Contest Date -- Tuesday Before the Second Friday of November. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2011-86, which, in women's basketball, would specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November. The cabinet noted that the proposal would lengthen student-athletes' time of exposure to competition and that differences in institutions' final examination schedules makes it impractical to change the first contest date with the goal of avoiding conflicts with final examinations.
- e. Proposal No. 2011-87 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Football -- Number of Contests -- Annual Exemptions -- College Football Invitational. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2011-87, which, in football, would specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified. The proposal was opposed by both Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) and NCAA Football Championship Subdivision (FCS) members of the cabinet. Cabinet members representing FCS institutions expressed concerns with institutions finding a reasonable date on which to participate in an additional contest.
- f. Proposal No. 2011-88 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Golf -- Preseason Practice and First Date of Competition -- Exception -- Topy Cup. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-88, which, in golf, would specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.

2. Legislation sponsored by the cabinet for the 2012-13 legislative cycle.

- a. Indoor and outdoor track and field – sport sponsorship requirement for championships eligibility. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to specify that institutions that do not meet general institutional requirements and regular season minimum contest and number of participant requirements for the sports of indoor track and field and/or outdoor track and field as outlined in Bylaws 18.4.2.1 and 20.9.4.3 be considered ineligible to participate in the

Division I indoor track and field and/or Division I outdoor track and field championships. This proposal would become effective August 1, 2013.

- b. Women's rowing – minimum participants' requirement. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to establish a requirement in women's rowing that a minimum of 23 student-athletes must participate in a minimum of six dates of competition for an institution to satisfy sport sponsorship requirements. This proposal would become effective August 1, 2013.
- c. Penalty for manipulation of drug test sample. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation that would increase the penalty by one year for any case in which a student-athlete is clearly observed manipulating a drug test sample. This proposal would become effective August 1, 2013.

3. Update on Proposal No. 2010-108 (Bylaw 31.1.3.2.5). NCAA staff provided an update on how the sports committees intend to implement Bylaw 31.1.3.2.5, which specifies that in championships that do not generate revenue and for which only 25 percent of the bracket is seeded, seeded teams shall have the opportunity to host preliminary rounds and that conference opponents shall be avoided in the first two rounds of the championship. Each committee will comply with the bylaw to the greatest extent possible and in so doing, the following policies will be implemented and included in sports committee policies:

“For non-revenue generating championships that seed a quarter of the bracket, sites will be awarded to the seeded teams who submit a bid that meets the requirements for hosting. In the event that a seeded team does not submit a bid that meets the requirements, the sports committee will maintain the current policy of geographic consideration when assigning those host sites. The committees will use the geographic considerations to help maintain the balance and integrity of the bracket. The committees will also separate first- and second-round conference opponents when assigning teams to sites.”

One additional caveat will be in place for field hockey as separating first- and second-round common opponents may not always be possible with a bracket of 16 and four first- and second-round sites. That language is as follows:

“Teams from the same conference will be separated during first- and second-round play unless five or more teams from one conference are selected to the championship, then the integrity of the bracket will be protected.

4. **Approval of the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Report from the June 24, 2011, teleconference.** The report from the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet's June 24, 2011, teleconference was approved as previously distributed.
5. **Report of the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Administrative Committee.** The Administrative Committee updated the cabinet on the outcome of an appeal related to the 2010 Men's Soccer Championship. In addition, the Administrative Committee noted that NCAA staff will review the current misconduct procedures and recommend modifications where appropriate.
6. **Presidential Retreat.**
 - The chair of the cabinet reported on her involvement in the August, 2011, Presidential Retreat and the initial working plan for the four working groups (i.e., enforcement, rules, resource allocation and student-athlete well being).
7. **Reports of Sports and Sport Issues Committees.**
 - a. NCAA Division I Baseball Committee. The cabinet approved a modification to the baseball Rating Percentage Index (RPI) such that each road victory will be valued as 1.3 instead of 1 and each home victory will be valued at .7 rather than 1.
 - b. NCAA Men's and Women's Fencing Committee. The cabinet approved a tie-breaker procedure which will read as follows: "One person is chosen for each team (per gender, per weapon) and one five-touch bout will be fenced. The order of the bouts will be decided by lot. Any further ties would be broken by the United States Fencing Association, Inc. (USFA) barrage rules for five-touch bouts, which would include total indicators of the rounds of 24 and the barrage of six bouts."
 - c. NCAA Division I Women's Golf Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation that effective with the 2012 championships, when there is a need to break a tie and a decision cannot be made by the Women's Golf Committee after evaluating all the selection criteria for teams and/or individuals that "scoring average" and/or "adjusted scoring average" be used rather than "strength of schedule" as the second criteria after "head-to-head" competition (spring versus fall).

- d. NCAA Women's Gymnastics Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation that effective with the 2012 championships teams 19-36 will be organized into three bands (19-24, 25-30 and 31-36) and placed at one of the six predetermined regional sites based on geographic proximity. The top 18 teams qualifying for regionals shall continue to be seeded in the current format.
- e. NCAA Division I Men's Ice Hockey Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation that, effective with the 2012 championship, the common opponent comparison in the RPI be adjusted so that the record versus common opponents be examined individually rather than collectively when providing the advantage for the awarding of a comparison point.
- f. NCAA Division I Women's Lacrosse Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation to adjust the committee's selection criteria by moving "significant wins and losses" from secondary criteria to primary criteria and by changing "winning percentage versus common opponents" to "results versus common opponents."
- g. Women's Rowing Committee. That cabinet approved a recommendation that the definition of late-season performance for selection purposes be changed from three to four weeks from the selection date.
- h. NCAA Men's and Women's Skiing Committee. The cabinet approved the following recommendations from the committee:
 - (1) That effective with the 2013 championship the number of student-athletes per gender, per discipline be changed to 40 Nordic and 34 Alpine.
 - (2) When determining future regional allocations, the average of the previous two years will be used in calculations rather than only the previous year's data which is what the Men's and Women's Skiing Committee currently uses.
 - (3) That a skier who qualifies for the US World Junior Championship Team shall automatically qualify for the NCAA National Championships as long as the following criteria are met: 1) in the US Nationals distance event in which both seniors and juniors participate, the athlete must finish in a "qualifying spot" on the CCSA list; and 2) at any central region qualifying race the skier must finish in a "qualifying spot" on the Central Collegiate Ski Association (CCSA) list in the technique which was not contested by both juniors and seniors at US Nationals.

- i. NCAA Division I Men's Soccer Committee. The cabinet reviewed a recommendation that effective with the 2011 championship, the criteria for selecting officials for all NCAA postseason games shall require an official to have officiated a minimum of six NCAA matches over the past two years. The cabinet expressed concern regarding the potential impact on the pool of qualified officials and agreed to table the recommendation until the men's soccer committee has an opportunity to engage in a national dialogue with conference administrators who oversee the sport. The dialogue should include discussion on how to best manage in-season and post-season officiating assignments.
- j. NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee. The cabinet approved the following recommendations from the committee, effective with the 2012 championship:
 - (1) That institutions can declare no more than 18 student-athletes to be considered for selection to the championships,
 - (2) That institutions be allowed to bring up to four uninvited relay alternates to the championships, and be required to designate them at registration, and
 - (3) That each diving zone be allowed to choose to hold their zone diving qualifying meet on any three day period during the week prior to the women's swimming and diving championships, starting no earlier than Monday and no later than Friday.

The cabinet did not approve a recommendation that institutions would prohibit an institution from using aggregate relay times to qualify a relay team to the championships. The cabinet noted that aggregate relay times have long been used in the sport as a method of qualifying for selection to the championships and did not feel there was a significant reason to support a change in policy at this time.

- k. NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee.
 - (1) The cabinet approved a recommendation that a team must have a record of .500 or above to be selected to the championships as an at-large selection, effective with the 2013 championship.
 - (2) America East Conference Waiver. The cabinet approved a request to grant the America East Conference a waiver of the six "core member" requirement which will enable the conference to be eligible for automatic qualification into the 2012 men's tennis championships. The cabinet requested the NCAA staff provide historical data related to waivers of the automatic qualification

requirements in order to engage in a broader, philosophical conversation about the merits of approving future waiver requests.

- I. NCAA Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee. The cabinet approved a recommendation that the cross country championships date formula be changed so that the national championships are held the Saturday prior to Thanksgiving and the regional qualifying meets are held eight days prior to the championships, effective with the 2012 championship.

[Note: the change is contingent on receiving approval from the regional and national hosts that were awarded the competition prior to this change.]

- m. NCAA Women's Water Polo Committee. In response to the cabinet's request to reconsider the National Collegiate Women's Water Polo Championship format, the women's water polo committee revisited the format of the five automatic qualifiers/three at-large berths with a play-in game between fifth and sixth rated conferences (based on RPI rankings). Based on a recommendation from the Women's Water Polo Committee, the cabinet approved a bracket that would consist of six automatic qualifiers and two at-large selections, effective for the 2012 championship.

8. **Report of the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport (CSMAS).** Based on a recommendation from the CSMAS, the cabinet referred to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Committee the issue of whether field hockey student-athletes should be required to wear protective eyewear approved by the American Society for Testing and Materials during competition.

9. **Update on NCAA Championships Travel Program.** The cabinet received a report from the NCAA's travel analyst. It was reported that the NCAA's ground transportation pilot program was a success. While the program experienced challenges, the Association saw significant savings as well as high customer-satisfaction ratings. All team sports will be part of the program which means any charter bus transportation the NCAA pays for will need to be arranged through the NCAA's provider, GO Ground Options.

The NCAA administrative services staff reported that Division I had an 11 percent increase in overall transportation costs for 2010-11. Transportation costs will continue to be monitored and analyzed and efforts will be made to identify ways to continue to mitigate costs.

The cabinet discussed per diems for championships participation. Specifically, the cabinet discussed per diem increases, an increase in the number of days institutions would be eligible for per diems and the possibility of providing per diems for all NCAA

models to ensure that institutions recover the cost of sending a team or individuals to a championships participation. The cabinet agreed to continue this discussion during its February, 2012 meeting.

10. **Championships Update.** Greg Shaheen, NCAA interim executive vice president for championships and alliances, provided the cabinet with an overview of current staff work being conducted to improve the performance of all aspects of the NCAA championships. Research and analysis will be done on a regular basis and provided to the cabinet and sports committees for analysis and feedback. Additionally, Mr. Shaheen addressed the topics of championship guarantees and current hosting honorariums, noting further discussions will take place to determine the future of and appropriate use of these potential incentives.
11. **Academic Progress Rate -- Access to Championships.** The cabinet received an informational report, related to access to championships based on A PR, from Walter Harrison, President of the University of Hartford and Chair of the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance.
12. **Bracket/Field Size Expansion.** Per cabinet policy, it will review sports sponsorship numbers and trends for all NCAA championship sports at its February, 2012 meeting. All sports committees have an opportunity to comment on such numbers and trends. Based on its review and future discussions related to the cabinet's strategic priorities and guiding principles, the cabinet may invite specific sports committees to submit requests for bracket or field size expansion. As a result, the cabinet did not act on several informational reports related to expansion, including reports from the following sports committees: men's lacrosse, swimming and diving, track and field, men's volleyball, and women's water polo.
13. **Informational Reports.** The cabinet received informational reports from the NCAA Playing Rules Oversight Panel without taking action.
14. **Site Selections.** The cabinet approved the following sites for:
 - a. Men's and Women's Skiing Regionals: Al Quall Recreation Area in Ishpeming, Michigan as the Central Region host with Northern Michigan University hosting

and Bridger Bowl and Bohart Ranch in Bozeman, Montana as the West Region host with Montana State University serving as host.

- b. Women's Golf: East Regional Host for 2013. The host will be Auburn University, with play being conducted on the Auburn University Club course.

15. Committee Chair Appointments. The Championships/Sports Management Cabinet took the following action related to committee chairs:

- a. Men's Baseball Committee. The cabinet appointed Kyle Kallander, commissioner of the Big South Conference, as chair, effective immediately.
- b. NCAA Women's Bowling Committee. The cabinet appointed Dean Lee, director of athletics at Arkansas State University, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- c. Men's and Women's Fencing Committee. The cabinet appointed Barb Bolich, assistant director of athletics and senior woman administrator, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- d. NCAA Division I Men's Golf Committee. The cabinet appointed Bubba Cunningham, University of Tulsa, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- e. NCAA Women's Gymnastics Committee. The cabinet appointed Mark Alnutt, senior associate athletics director at the University of Missouri, Columbia, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- f. NCAA Division I Men's Lacrosse Committee. The cabinet appointed Tony Seaman, special advisor to the athletics director, Towson University, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- g. Women's Lacrosse Committee. The cabinet appointed Candice Lee, associate athletics director at Vanderbilt University, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- h. NCAA Men's and Women's Rifle Committee. The cabinet appointed Matt Kelly, senior associate athletics director at Murray State University, as chair, effective immediately.
- i. Women's Rowing Committee. The cabinet appointed Anne McCoy, senior associate director of athletics at Washington State University, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.

- j. Men's and Women's Skiing Committee. The cabinet appointed Steve Metcalf, deputy athletic director at the University of New Hampshire, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.
- k. Men's and Women's Tennis Committee. The cabinet appointed Cathy Beene, associate athletics director/senior woman administrator, Georgia Southern University, as chair, effective September 1, 2011.

16. Automatic Qualification. The cabinet awarded automatic qualification as follows:

- a. Men's Baseball Committee. The following 30 conferences for the 2012 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, The Patriot League, West Coast Conference and the Western Athletic Conference.
- b. NCAA Division I Men's Basketball Committee. The following 31 conferences for the 2012 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.
- c. NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Committee. The following 31 conferences for the 2012 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference,

Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

- d. Men's Golf Committee. The following 29 conferences for the 2012 championship: America Sky Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, The Ivy League, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and the Western Athletic Conference.
- e. Women's Golf Committee. The following 27 conferences for the 2012 championship: Atlantic Coast Conference; Atlantic Sun Conference; Big East Conference; Big Sky Conference; Big South Conference; Big Ten Conference; Big 12 Conference; Big West Conference; Colonial Athletic Association; Conference USA; Horizon League; The Ivy League; Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference; Mid-American Conference; Missouri Valley Conference; Mountain West Conference; Northeast Conference; Ohio Valley Conference; Pac-12 Conference; Southeastern Conference; Southern Conference; Southland Conference; Southwestern Athletic Conference; The Summit League; Sun Belt Conference, Western Athletic Conference, and the West Coast Conference.
- f. Men's Ice Hockey Committee. The following five conferences for the 2012 championship: Atlantic Hockey Association, Central Collegiate Hockey Association, ECAC Hockey, Hockey East Association and Western Collegiate Hockey Association.
- g. NCAA Women's Ice Hockey Committee. The following three conferences for the 2012 championship: ECAC Hockey; Hockey East Association; and Western Collegiate Hockey Association.
- h. Men's Lacrosse Committee. The following seven conferences for the 2012 championship: American East Conference, Big East Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Eastern College Athletic Conference, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference and the Patriot League.

- i. Women's Lacrosse Committee. The following five conferences for the 2012 championship: America East Conference, American Lacrosse Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Big East Conference, and the Ivy Group.
- j. Softball Committee. The following 30 conferences for the 2012 championship: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Big East Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pacific Coast Softball Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, The Summit League, Sun Belt Conference and Western Athletic Conference.
- k. Men's and Women's Tennis Committee. The following 31 conferences sponsoring men's and women's tennis for the 2012 championships:
 - (1) Men's Conferences: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference, Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.
 - (2) Women's Conferences: America East Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, Atlantic Sun Conference, Atlantic 10 Conference, Big East Conference, Big Sky Conference, Big South Conference, Big Ten Conference, Big 12 Conference, Big West Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Conference USA, Horizon League, Ivy Group, Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference, Mid-American Conference, Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference, Missouri Valley Conference, Mountain West Conference, Northeast Conference, Ohio Valley Conference, Pac-12 Conference, Patriot League, Southeastern Conference, Southern Conference, Southland Conference, Southwestern Athletic Conference,

Summit League, Sun Belt Conference, West Coast Conference and Western Athletic Conference.

- l. NCAA Men's Volleyball Committee. The following conferences for the 2012 championship: Eastern Intercollegiate Volleyball Association, Midwestern Intercollegiate Volleyball Association and the Mountain Pacific Sports Federation Conference.
- m. NCAA Division I Wrestling Committee. The following eight conferences for the 2012 championship: Atlantic Coast Conference, Big Ten Conference, Colonial Athletic Association, Eastern Intercollegiate Wrestling Association, Eastern Wrestling League, Mid-American Conference, Pac-12 Conference and Southern Conference.

17. Future meeting dates and sites.

- a. February 15, 2012; University Place; Indianapolis.
- b. June 20, 2012; Hyatt Regency, Indianapolis.
- c. September 11-12, 2012; national office, Indianapolis.

18. Future cabinet agenda items.

- a. Review level of access for media at NCAA championships.
- b. Review legislation that specifies specific allocations for automatic qualifiers and at-large berths to determine if current 50 percent standard is appropriate for all sports.
- c. Discuss waivers of "core institution" requirement. NCAA will provide a historical summary of the rule waiver precedent, and the position of the NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet.
- d. Review current per diem amounts and officiating fee structures and determine whether adjustments should be made. In particular, the cabinet will review and discuss the championships that do and do not reimburse for preliminary-round competitions.

- e. The cabinet directed NCAA staff to provide a summary of previous budget decisions of the cabinet.
- f. The cabinet requested a demonstration of Rating Percentage Indices (RPI) Interactive and a summary of information that currently is available to the public.

Committee Chair: Judy Rose, University of North Carolina, Charlotte

Staff Liaisons: Joni Comstock, Championships

David W. Schnase, Academic and Membership Affairs

 DI Championships/Sports Management Cabinet Approved Priority Budget Items	Estimated Budgetary Increase
Travel party increase -- Men's College World Series (MCWS)	\$132,000
Bracket expansion -- women's lacrosse	\$196,000
Additional play-in game -- women's lacrosse (if bracket expansion is not approved)	\$38,000
Play-in -- rowing championships (if field expansion is not approved)	\$149,000
Field expansion -- rowing	\$322,000
Scoreboard -- skiing championships	\$7,000
Increase in squad size/travel party -- men's soccer	\$131,000
Increase in squad size/travel party -- women's soccer	\$140,000
Increase super regional by one day -- softball	\$45,000
Total:	\$1,160,000
The following budget requests were approved by the cabinet but not the top priorities:	
Officials -- bowling championship	\$2,510
Increase in officials' fees -- fencing	\$2,500
Travel party increase -- fencing	\$1,100
Increase in officials' fees -- football (game and replay officials)	\$18,900
Increase in rules officials -- men's golf	\$1,475
Increase number of championships officials -- men's gymnastics	\$1,770
Increase travel party -- men's ice hockey	\$86,000
Increase in flights for officials -- women's lacrosse	\$3,600
Increase in fees for championship officials -- men's soccer	\$9,560
Increase in fees for championship officials -- women's soccer	\$12,800
Increase in travel party -- softball	\$57,000
Increase number of umpires at WCWS (only one of three approved)	\$14,490
Add spot for ties at final selection spot -- men's and women's swimming and diving	\$5,600
Reimbursement for additional diving coach -- men's and women's	\$50,400
Increase in travel party -- men's and women's tennis	\$46,000
Increase in squad size/travel party -- women's volleyball	\$60,000
Increase in scorekeeper fees -- women's volleyball	\$1,575
Increase in officiating fees -- wrestling	\$18,000
Total:	\$393,280
The following budget requests were not approved by the cabinet:	
Per diem increase -- MCWS	\$62,000
Increase in awards -- fencing	\$5,000
Additional official at final site -- men's soccer	\$2,380
Additional official at final site -- women's soccer	\$1,950
Increase number of umpires at WCWS (only one of three approved)	\$28,980
Increase in officials' fees -- men's and women's tennis	\$19,000
Award participant medallions in prelims -- men's and women's outdoor track	\$30,500
Bracket expansion -- women's water polo	\$101,000
Total not approved:	\$250,810

**REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I ADMINISTRATION CABINET
JUNE 29, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

- **Annual Review/Advancement of Provisional/Reclassifying Members.** The cabinet reviewed the progress of all 10 institutions currently in the process of reclassifying their sports programs to Division I active status. Specifically, the group reviewed the annual reports and updated strategic plans for all reclassifying and provisional members and focused on whether such institutions were meeting all applicable legislative requirements and were responsive to feedback provided to the institution the previous year by the cabinet. The cabinet agreed to recommend to the Division I Board of Directors that Florida Gulf Coast University, Houston Baptist University, University of North Carolina Central and University of South Carolina, Upstate, be elected to active Division I membership status.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- Multidivisional Reclassification – Opposite Gender -- NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2. The cabinet engaged in a thorough discussion regarding the application of new Proposal No. 2010-100 and the elimination of multidivisional reclassification and recommended that the Division I Leadership Council consider sponsoring legislation to permit current non-Division I institutions with a sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I for only one gender to seek reclassification of one Division I sport of the opposite gender. The cabinet directed the staff to develop information outlining potential issues that merit discussion related to this topic.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- Annual Review/Advancement of Provisional/Reclassifying Members. The cabinet reviewed the progress of all 10 institutions currently in the process of reclassifying their sports programs to Division I active status. The cabinet agreed to recommend to the Division I Board of Directors that Florida Gulf Coast University, Houston Baptist University, University of North Carolina Central and University of South Carolina, Upstate, be elected to active Division I membership status.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. Governance Updates.

- a. Reports of the Administration Cabinet. The cabinet approved the report of the February 9-10, 2011, Administration Cabinet meeting and the interim actions of the Administrative Committee of the Administration Cabinet.
- b. Governance Reports. In keeping with Division I's efforts to enhance the level of governance communication and develop a more coordinated governance agenda, the cabinet received status reports from the Communications and Coordination Committee, the Board of Directors, the Leadership Council and the Legislative Council.

2. Issues pertaining to Division I membership.

- a. Annual Review/Advancement of Provisional/Reclassifying Members – Review of Updated Strategic Plans and Annual Reports. The cabinet reviewed the progress of all 10 institutions currently in the process of reclassifying their sports programs to Division I active status. Specifically, the group reviewed the annual reports and updated strategic plans for all reclassifying and provisional members and focused on whether such institutions were meeting all applicable legislative requirements and were responsive to feedback provided to the institution the previous year by the cabinet. The cabinet advanced the following institutions to the next year of the membership reclassification process:
 - (1) Reclassifying Membership [Division II to Division I].
 - Year Four – Bryant University, University of North Dakota, Seattle University, University of South Dakota and Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.
 - (2) Deferrals. The cabinet deferred any decision to advance Presbyterian College to Year Four until such time as it has the opportunity to review additional requested information.
- b. New Reclassifying Members. The cabinet accepted new applications and initial strategic plans for the following four members to begin the reclassification process:
 - (1) Division II to Division I – University of Nebraska, Omaha.

- (2) Football Championship Subdivision to Football Bowl Subdivision – University of Massachusetts, Amherst, University of South Alabama and Texas State University, San Marcos.
 - c. Request from the NCAA Committee on Women’s Athletics. The cabinet declined a request from the Committee on Women’s Athletics to sponsor legislation to require reclassifying members to send a senior level administrative official to attend the Gender Equity and Issues Forum during at least one year of the reclassification process. The cabinet noted that reclassifying institutions currently are subject to a review of its gender equity policies/issues as part of the athletics certification process, which must be successfully completed as a prerequisite to advancement to active status. Further, it was noted that compliance with gender equity is an Association-wide matter and not just directly tied to the membership reclassification process. Thus, although the cabinet noted the importance of attending the forum, it believed the attendance should be a best practice recommendation as opposed to a legislative requirement.
 - d. Waiver of Minimum Contest and Participants Requirements – Bylaw 20.9.4.3.8.1. The cabinet denied a request from Chicago State University to waive the minimum contest and participants requirements per Bylaw 20.9.4.3.8.1 for the 2010-11 academic year.
 - e. Multidivisional Membership-Compliance Review Requirement -- Bylaw 20.4.4. The cabinet directed the staff to develop for its consideration potential penalties for a multidivisional institution that fails to meet the legislative deadline (i.e., once every four years) for conducting the compliance review. The cabinet agreed to further review this issue at its September meeting with the intent of sponsoring legislation to address the matter.
3. **Administration Cabinet Policies and Procedures Manual**. The cabinet reviewed a draft of its formal policies and operating procedures. The cabinet recommended a few minor revisions and asked that staff distribute a copy for final review and approval once the revisions have been made.
 4. **Committee Appointments**.
 - Committee Nominations. The cabinet approved appointments to 11 Division I and Association-wide committees. A list of the appointments and reappointments is attached.

- 5. Review of NCAA Bylaw 21.** The cabinet reviewed a recommendation from the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff and agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle that would eliminate Bylaw 21 in its entirety from the NCAA Division I Manual and move the provisions to a committee handbook, which would be overseen and maintained by the Administration Cabinet with the assistance of the staff. The cabinet noted the high ratio of proposals sponsored related to the committee structure that have been adopted by an overwhelming margin in the past decade, many of them as noncontroversial legislation. The cabinet also noted that any legislative change will not impact the cabinet's role in the committee appointment process. Further, such a proposal would need to be approved in Divisions II and III as it would affect Association-wide and common committees.
- 6. Results of Governance Assessment Surveys.** As part of an assessment plan to determine if the new structure is achieving its stated goals and objectives, the cabinet reviewed responses to surveys administered to three groups within the Division I membership. The cabinet noted that the results may pose more questions than answers. The cabinet asked that staff compile additional information from the individual comments provided and provide a presentation to the cabinet at its September meeting.
- 7. Election of New Chair.** The cabinet elected Bill Chaves, director of athletics at Eastern Washington University representing the Big Sky Conference, to serve as chair of the cabinet.

Cabinet chair: Rob Halvaks, Big West Conference
Cabinet liaisons: Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Steve Mallonee, academic and membership affairs
Dave Schnase, academic and membership affairs
Sharon Tufano, governance

**NCAA DIVISION I ADMINISTRATION CABINET
COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
JUNE 29, 2011**

Committee appointments are effective September 1, 2011, unless otherwise noted.

Division I Committee on Athletics Certification (immediate vacancy)

- Fred Washington, director of athletics, Prairie View A&M University, Southwestern Athletic Conference.

Division I Men's Basketball Issues Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Robert Mullens, director of athletics, University of Oregon, Pacific-10 Conference.

Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Mary Taylor-Cowles, head women's basketball coach, Western Kentucky University, Sun Belt Conference.

Division I Initial Eligibility Waivers Committee (five immediate vacancies)

- Adam Creasy, academic counselor/learning specialist, University of Texas at Austin, Big 12 Conference.
- Kimya Massey, assistant director for academic services, University of Memphis, Conference USA.
- Scott Swain, assistant director/special needs program, University of Tennessee at Knoxville, Southeastern Conference.
- Susan Bradley, senior admissions assistant, Mississippi State University, Southeastern Conference.
- Brian Baptiste, assistant director of athletics for compliance, University of Delaware, Colonial Athletic Association.

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee (one immediate and one September vacancy)

- George Nelson, associate director of athletics, U.S. Air Force Academy, Mountain West Conference (September vacancy).
- Division III will fill the immediate vacancy.

Men's and Women's Rifle Committee (one immediate and one September vacancy)

- Eric Ruden, deputy director of athletics, U.S. Naval Academy, Patriot League (immediate vacancy).
- The cabinet supported the appointment of Mike Greene, head rifle coach, Columbus State University (Division II). This recommendation will be forwarded to Division II for approval.

Division I Men's Soccer Committee (three vacancies)

- John Kerr, head men's soccer coach, Duke University, Atlantic Coast Conference.
- Ray Reid, head men's soccer coach, University of Connecticut, Big East Conference.
- Thomas Buning, senior associate director of athletics, Southern Methodist University, Conference USA.

Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee (four vacancies)

- Reappoint Tim Cass, senior associate director of athletics, University of New Mexico, Mountain West Conference (men's interests).
- Appoint Tad Berkowitz, head tennis coach, University of Arizona, Pacific-10 Conference (men's interests).
- Re-advertise women's interests – Central and Southwest regions.

Division I Women's Volleyball Committee (one vacancy)

- Lynn Wheeler, associate director of athletics, University of Texas at Austin, Big 12 Conference.

Men's Water Polo Committee (two vacancies)

- Re-advertise for additional nominations.

Football Rules Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Bret Bielema, head football coach, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Big Ten Conference.

Women's Ice Hockey Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Rick Seeley, head women's ice hockey coach, Quinnipiac University, Northeast Conference.

**REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
DIVISION I ADMINISTRATION CABINET
SEPTEMBER 29, 2011, CONFERENCE CALL**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Division I Online Override Voting Process.** The cabinet approved the new online override voting process and timeline for implementation this fall.
2. **Committee Appointments.** The cabinet approved appointments to several Division I and Association-wide committees.
3. **Review of Legislation.** The cabinet took positions on legislative proposals referred from the 2011-12 Division I legislative cycle.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - None.
2. **Nonlegislative Items.**
 - None.

INFORMATION ITEMS.

1. **Division I Online Override Voting Process.** The cabinet received a demonstration of the proposed Division I online override voting process. The process will be administered through the Legislative Services Database for the Internet (LSDBi), which is the current platform the membership uses for legislative and interpretive research and submitting proposals, comments, override requests, etc. The override voting process was modeled after what occurred at previous Division I Business Sessions at the NCAA Convention. There will be a membership discussion period similar to the discussion had on the Convention floor prior to a designated voting period. In its approval of the process and the designated discussion and voting periods associated with the override vote that must occur related to Proposal No. 2009-100-A, the cabinet authorized the staff to make minor adjustments if necessary and as recommended by the Division I Legislative Council during its October meeting.
2. **Report of the Administration Cabinet.** The cabinet received the report of the June 29, 2011, Administration Cabinet meeting and approved the interim actions of the Administrative Committee of the Administration Cabinet.
3. **Governance Reports.** The cabinet received status reports from the Communications and Coordination Committee, the Board of Directors and the Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

4. **Committee Appointments.** The cabinet approved appointments to seven Division I and Association-wide committees. A list of the appointments is attached (See Attachment A).
5. **Committee Term Extension.** The cabinet approved a request from the NCAA Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct Committee to extend the term of the recently appointed chair for one additional year.
6. **Waiver of Replacement Requirement – NCAA Bylaw 21.7.1.1.3.** The cabinet denied a request from the Division I Men’s Basketball Committee to waive the “On the Staff” requirements set forth in Bylaw 21.7.1.1 to permit the recently appointed chair to remain on the committee for the final year of his term.
7. **Review of Proposals Referred to the Cabinet from the 2011-12 cycle.** The cabinet reviewed Division I legislative proposals assigned to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2011-12 legislative cycle. (See Attachment B for the cabinet’s positions).
8. **Multidivisional Membership – Compliance Review Requirement – Failure to Meet Deadline.** The cabinet reviewed the provisions of NCAA Bylaw 20.4.4, which require an active multidivisional institution, once every four years, to engage in a compliance review conducted by an authority outside the athletics department, subject to approval by the cabinet and recommends that the Division I Legislative Council sponsor noncontroversial legislation to establish a penalty for failure to meet the deadline for completion of the compliance review and submission of the report. Specifically, the cabinet recommends that the Legislative Council adopt legislation that would impose a fine of \$500 for any multidivisional institution that fails to submit the final report by the conclusion of the four year deadline. In addition, failure to submit the final report within six months of the conclusion of the institution’s deadline would result in an additional \$500 fine. Finally, failure to submit the final report within one year (an additional six months) would result in the institution being placed in restricted membership for the ensuing academic year and, thus, ineligible for NCAA championship competition for that year. Restricted status would be removed the following academic year only after the report has been received and approved by the cabinet. An institution would have the opportunity to request a waiver of any penalty due to extenuating circumstances.

Cabinet chair: William Chaves, Big Sky Conference
Cabinet liaisons: Jacqueline Campbell, Division I governance
Steve Mallonee, academic and membership affairs
Sharon Tufano, governance

**NCAA DIVISION I ADMINISTRATION CABINET
COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
SEPTEMBER 29, 2011**

Committee appointments are effective immediately unless otherwise noted.

Division I Amateurism Fact-Finding Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Doug Woolard, director of athletics, University of South Florida, Big East Conference.

Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet (immediate vacancy – financial aid officer)

- Brian Quisenberry, associate director, financial aid, Virginia Military Institute, Big South Conference.

Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee (immediate vacancy)

- Ken Bothof, director of athletics, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, Horizon League.

Division I Initial Eligibility Waivers Committee (one immediate vacancy; five January 2015 vacancies; one July 2012 vacancy)

- David Reed, associate director of athletics for compliance, University of Miami (Florida), Atlantic Coast Conference. (Transcript Change Subcommittee) – January 2012 vacancy.
- Marielle VanGelder, associate director of athletics, University of Connecticut, Big East Conference. (International Student Records Subcommittee) – January 2012 vacancy.
- Bruce Edward Ellis, assistant director of athletics, Pennsylvania State University, Big Ten Conference. (International Student Records Subcommittee) – July 2012 vacancy.
- Chad Hawley, associate commissioner, Big Ten Conference. (Core Course Subcommittee) – immediate vacancy.
- Maria Peden, associate director of athletics, University of Houston, Conference USA. (Education Impacting Disabilities Subcommittee) – January 2012.
- Holly Trexler, associate director of athletics, University of the Pacific, Big West Conference. (International Student Records Subcommittee) – January 2012 vacancy.
- Solicit additional nominees for the remaining January 2012 Education Impacting Disabilities Subcommittee vacancy.

Division I Men's and Women's Tennis Committee (two immediate vacancies)

- Jeffrey Conyers, head men's and women's tennis coach, Southern University, Baton Rouge, Southwestern Athletic Conference.
- Mary Ann Austin, senior associate director of athletics, University of Missouri, Columbia, Big 12 Conference.

Division I Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee (two immediate vacancies)

- Willie Randolph, head men's and women's track coach, Central Michigan University, Mid-American Conference.
- Cinnamon Sheffield, senior associate director of athletics, University of North Texas, Sun Belt Conference.

Men's Water Polo Committee (one immediate vacancy)

- Marc Hunt, head men's water polo coach, University of California, Irvine, Big West Conference.

2011-12 NCAA DIVISION I PROPOSALS FOR REVIEW

Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-89	COMMITTEES – ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES – RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES – SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND CROSS COUNTRY AND WRESTLING	To establish separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified.	Support
2011-90	COMMITTEES – DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES – APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES – CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM – SPORTS COMMITTEES	To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.	Oppose Noted that the current cabinet policies appropriately address situations involving the resignation or removal of a sport committee member, while maintaining necessary flexibility in the replacement process.

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I ACADEMIC CABINET
JUNE 27-28, 2011, MEETING

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Review of NCAA Division I Academic Eligibility Requirements for Two-Year College Transfers.** The NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet continued its discussion of possible changes to two-year college transfer academic requirements. Based on membership and two-year college feedback regarding the most recent draft package of concepts, the cabinet refined the concepts and sponsored legislative proposals for the 2011-12 legislative cycle. Specifically, the cabinet sponsored three separate proposals in this area; increased academic standards, a year of academic preparedness and a new waiver structure.
2. **Review of NCAA Division I Initial-Eligibility Requirements.** The cabinet discussed potential changes to initial-eligibility requirements. The cabinet considered a spectrum of potential changes. Specifically, the cabinet explored increases to the current initial-eligibility model including an increase to the minimum core grade-point average. In addition, the cabinet considered the development of alternative initial-eligibility models.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - None.
2. **Nonlegislative Items.**
 - None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Legislative: Cabinet Sponsored Proposals for the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.**
 - a. **Transfer Regulations: Two-Year College Transfer Academic Requirements.**
 - (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to modify the current two-year college transfer academic requirements as follows:
 - (a) Qualifiers.

- i. Increase minimum required transferable grade-point average under current NCAA Bylaw 14.5.4.1(b) from 2.000 to 2.500.
- ii. Expand current men's basketball physical education activity course limit of two credits under current Bylaw 14.5.4.1.2 to all sports.

(b) Nonqualifiers.

- i. Increase minimum required transferable grade-point average under current Bylaw 14.5.4.2(d) from 2.000 to 2.500 for purposes of competition only during the initial year in residence at the four-year institution. Receipt of athletics aid and practice would be permissible if all other transfer requirements are met while presenting a transferable grade-point average at or above a 2.000 but below 2.500.
- ii. Expand current men's basketball physical education activity course limit of two credits under current Bylaw 14.5.4.2.4 to all sports.
- iii. Amend transferable core-credit requirements under current Bylaw 14.5.4.2(b) to include three semester or four quarter hours of natural/physical science in addition to current English and math requirements.

(c) 4-2-4 Transfers.

- The proposal makes the increased academic standards applicable to 4-2-4 transfers. Specifically, 4-2-4 transfers who were deemed qualifiers would be subject to the 2.500 transferable grade-point average and physical education activity course limits. 4-2-4 transfers who were deemed nonqualifiers would be subject to the 2.500 transferable grade-point average, physical education activity course limits and core-credit requirements (i.e., English, math and science). Transferable credits from the four-year institution and/or two-year institution could be used to meet the core-credit requirements.

- (2) Effective Date. In order to assure appropriate notice, an effective date of August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013, is proposed. This allows for a full year of education after legislative adoption for student-athletes, the two-year college community and the NCAA membership before a student-athlete enters a two-year institution and is subject to the increased standards.
- (3) Rationale. The proposed modifications were developed based on current data which indicate transfers from two-year institutions tend to underperform academically at four-year institutions on all academic measures studied by the NCAA [e.g., graduation rates, NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR), eligibility after initial year in residence, "0/2" rates] compared to transfers from four-year institutions or nontransfers. Additionally, statistical prediction modeling of four-year college outcomes from two-year college academic variables indicated three key predictors of academic success at the four-year institution. The key predictors are: (1) two-year college grade-point average; (2) a low number of physical education activity courses; and (3) successful completion of core credits in English, math, and natural or physical science. High school academic record (e.g., qualifier versus nonqualifier) does not provide additional predictive value once a two-year college academic profile is established and thus the cabinet is recommending increased standards for both qualifiers and nonqualifiers.

The cabinet's decision to raise the minimum grade-point average to compete from 2.000 to 2.500 was based on data indicating that two-year college transfers with grade-point average's below 2.500 experience academic issues at the four-year institution at a higher rate than other students. To mirror the academic outcomes of nontransfer student-athletes or four-year transfer student-athletes in their junior year of enrollment, the minimum grade-point average would need to be raised higher than a 2.500. However, given the other increased standards recommended by the cabinet (e.g., limit on physical education activity courses and the establishment of a core curriculum for nonqualifiers) the cabinet recommends 2.500 as the appropriate grade-point average increase at this time.

The data on physical education activity courses show that student-athletes taking larger numbers of physical education activity courses underperform academically. In addition, the data show that one third of two-year college transfers are transferring nine or more physical education activity courses.

Additionally, the data demonstrate that completion of a core curriculum of English, math and science are predictive of academic success. Therefore, the cabinet is recommending that three credits of natural/physical science be added to the current English and math requirements.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletic). Establishes legislation that data predicts will assist two-year college student-athletes in achieving academic success at a four-year institution and graduation.

b. Eligibility Requirements – Year of Academic Readiness at Two-Year Collegiate Institution.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to provide an opportunity for student-athletes who are academically underprepared for four-year college work to engage in a foundational year during their initial year of full-time enrollment at a two-year institution without that year impacting either the student-athlete's five-year clock or benchmark triggers for purposes of percentage-of-degree requirements. Specifically proposed aspects of this year of academic readiness include:
 - (a) Must occur during first year of enrollment at the two-year institution and during the student-athlete's initial year of collegiate enrollment.
 - (b) No competition would be allowed during this year of academic readiness, although a student-athlete could practice and receive athletically related financial aid.
 - (c) Eligibility for use of the year of academic readiness would be based on the student-athlete being certified as a nonqualifier by the NCAA Eligibility Center.
 - (d) The student-athlete and two-year college would be required to acknowledge through the NCAA Eligibility Center that he/she has used the year of academic readiness during initial year of enrollment at the two-year institution.
 - (e) A student-athlete's five-year period of eligibility would not be triggered until after the year of academic readiness. Additionally, full-time terms completed during this year of readiness would not

be counted in relation to progress-toward-degree percentage benchmarks. A student-athlete would be considered a full-time college student for purposes of applying additional NCAA legislation.

- (f) Student-athletes must attend a two-year college(s) full time for a minimum of five full-time semesters/seven quarters prior to transfer to the Division I institution. Terms of enrollment must be consecutive, although existing exceptions would remain applicable (i.e., military service, Peace Corps, church mission).
- (g) On enrollment at an NCAA institution the student-athlete engaging in the year of academic readiness will have two seasons of competition remaining regardless of seasons used at the two-year institution.
- (h) For student-athletes engaging in the year of academic readiness, in-person on- or off-campus contact could not occur until the third year of enrollment at a two-year institution.
- (i) Student-athletes engaging in the year of academic readiness could receive six years of athletically related financial aid within a seven year period.
- (j) Failure to meet all requirements of the year of academic readiness (e.g., competition during first year, transfer prior to five semesters/seven quarters) would result in the year counting for purposes of clock, progress-toward-degree benchmarks and recruiting contacts. Additionally, the student-athlete would no longer be considered to have engaged in the year of academic readiness for purposes of allowing the extended term of athletically related financial aid.
- (k) The year of academic readiness will not be considered a denied participation opportunity for purposes of a five-year clock extension request.
- (l) This proposal does not impact any current NCAA legislation related to time between high school graduation and enrollment at a two-year institution (e.g., pre-enrollment amateurism, delayed enrollment, plus-one) nor does it impact current legislation regarding multiple two-year college attendance.

- (m) All of the conditions and requirements for the year of academic readiness must be met or the student-athlete will trigger the five-year clock and all progress-toward degree requirements on initial full-time collegiate enrollment.
- (2) Effective Date. In order to assure appropriate notice, an effective date of August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013, is proposed.
- (3) Rationale. In an effort to assist students who are graduating from high school without foundational academic skills to succeed academically at four-year collegiate institutions, and evidence of current enrollment patterns at two-year institutions on a part time, often self-funded basis by student-athletes in order to engage in remedial coursework, the cabinet puts forward this proposal as means to provide additional time for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic fundamentals necessary to succeed ultimately in a four-year college. Specifically, the year of academic readiness provides the opportunity for student-athletes who need remediation the means to obtain the academic foundation by allowing for access to aid (federal and athletics), not triggering their NCAA clock or progress-toward-degree standards and appropriately emphasizing an academic focus. The proposal notes the current educational shift toward remediation/foundational learning skill development occurring at the two-year level, since many NCAA institutions do not offer remedial courses. Further, the proposal allows for relative ease of administration and the potential for academic development on an individualized basis that could lead to more academic options upon transfer.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletics). Provides an opportunity for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic foundation needed to be academically successful at a four-year institution and graduate.

c. Transfer Regulations – Two-year Transfer – Waivers.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to expand the NCAA Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee from an eight-member body to a 14-member body and divide the group into two

subcommittees; one authorized to consider progress-toward-degree waiver requests and one addressing two-year college transfer requests.

- (2) Effective date. The effective date for the implementation of the waiver subcommittee would be August 1, 2012. This provides time for the waiver subcommittee to be in place, establish guidelines and directives prior to the new legislation taking effect.
- (3) Rationale. The focus on the academic preparedness and potential academic success of two-year transfers when transferring to NCAA four-year institutions, as evidenced by the other cabinet proposals, requires waiver appeals be heard by an academic governance body with the appropriate expertise to evaluate such waivers.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Minimal in that the current committee only meets by teleconference, not in person.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletics). Provides an avenue for assessment of the academic preparedness of two-year college transfers.

d. Six-Semester Transcript Requirement.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation to require prospective student-athletes to submit all official transcripts, representing the first six semesters of high school, to the NCAA Eligibility Center prior to a prospective student-athlete's signing of a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or an institution's written offer of financial aid. Violations would be considered de minimis and not affect a prospective student-athlete's eligibility. Further, prospective student-athletes from foreign countries and home schooled prospective student-athletes would be excluded from the requirement.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012. This will ensure consistent application of the legislation to all prospective student-athletes and provide appropriate notice.
- (3) Rationale. Previous proposals, including NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2006-46-A, have been adopted with the intent of improving the academic information shared between prospective student-athletes and institutions, as well as with the NCAA Eligibility Center. This proposal is intended to continue this pattern of facilitating academic information sharing in a timely manner while minimizing overall administrative burden. Ensuring

six semesters of transcripts are available for evaluation prior to signing of an NLI or an institution's written offer of financial aid will allow for identification of potential academic issues regarding NCAA initial-eligibility requirements and timely discussion of academically sound potential solutions. Additionally, prospective student-athletes who are able to take advantage of early academic certification will be identified and processed in a manner that will allow for campus and NCAA resources to be appropriately allocated in relation to final eligibility certifications.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletics). Allows for early identification of potential academic issues to assist prospective student-athletes.

2. **Nonlegislative.**

- a. **Review of Division I Initial-Eligibility Requirements.** The cabinet discussed potential changes to initial-eligibility requirements. The most current data were presented to inform the cabinet's discussion. Discussion centered on the full spectrum of potential changes, including a review of previously discussed options.

In reviewing the spectrum of potential changes, the cabinet noted interest in having presidential/NCAA Division I Board of Directors input prior to the cabinet formalizing any specific proposal. Specifically, the cabinet noted the option to pursue specific changes to the current initial-eligibility model (e.g., core course taking patterns, increased grade-point average) as juxtaposed to the development of alternative initial-eligibility models which could represent fundamental and significant change from the current initial-eligibility model.

The cabinet expressed initial preference for an alternative, multi-category model for initial eligibility. This model could continue the current nonqualifier distinction resulting in student-athletes who are nonqualifiers being ineligible for competition, practice and athletically related financial aid. The model also could establish a category of prospective student-athletes whose secondary academic record clearly identifies them as prepared to be academically successful, and therefore, eligible for athletics aid, full practice and competition as an entering freshman. This could be based on six-semester certification standards. Prospective student-athletes who were not identified as nonqualifiers or meeting

the standard to be clearly prepared to be academically successful, would be eligible for athletics aid and limited practice as entering freshman. These student-athletes could also meet specific additional academic profiles that evidence likelihood of academic success to earn the ability to engage in full practice activities and competition (e.g., through additional academic assessments like writing and reading exams academic preparedness could be demonstrated).

- b. Initial-Eligibility Waivers and Prospective Student-Athlete Reviews.** The cabinet received a report on the number and outcome of initial-eligibility waivers indicating that the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff has reviewed 573 initial-eligibility waivers for 2010-11. In addition, the academic and membership affairs staff has processed 298 prospective student-athlete review cases.

In addition, the cabinet approved an initial-eligibility waiver directive to guide the NCAA staff and NCAA Division I Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee's review of initial-eligibility waivers that centered on academic preparedness of student-athletes on enrollment at an NCAA member institution.

- c. Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers.** The cabinet received a report regarding progress-toward-degree waivers indicating that the academic and membership affairs staff processed 577 requests for the 2010-11 academic year.
- d. Academic Fraud.** The cabinet was provided an update on the review of current NCAA legislation, interpretations and policies related to academic fraud committed by student-athletes, including feedback gathered from the membership during seminars, focus group calls and meetings at National Association of Academic Advisors for Athletics (N4A). The cabinet will continue to examine issues related to academic fraud.
- e. Academic Eligibility Waiver Policies and Procedures.** The cabinet approved changes to the Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee Policies and Procedures and Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee Policies and Procedures. The primary updates included removal of minimum threshold language and redefining quorum for appeal teleconferences in the Initial-Eligibility Waivers Committee Policies and Procedures.
- f. Academic Cabinet Policies and Procedures.** The cabinet approved amendments to its policies and procedures to properly reflect the duties and makeup of the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet Administrative Committee and to modify its selection of a cabinet chair to more closely align with policies and procedures within the Division I governance structure generally.

- g. Discussion of High School All-Star Game Limits.** The cabinet discussed the current high school all-star game limits in response to a third-party request to sponsor a proposal to eliminate current restrictions. The cabinet declined to sponsor a proposal to either eliminate or lessen the restrictions noting the potential academic impact.
- h. Election of New Chair and Administrative Committee.** The cabinet elected Herman Holt; Faculty Athletics Representative, University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference, as chair. In addition, the cabinet appointed Herman Holt, Carolyn Callahan, Faculty Athletics Representative, University of Virginia, Atlantic Coast Conference and Jackie Mynarski, Senior Compliance Administrator, Mid-American Conference as the Administrative Committee.

Committee Chair: Carolyn Callahan, University of Virginia, Atlantic Coast Conference

Cabinet Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs

Alex Smith, Academic and Membership Affairs

Jennifer Strawley, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I ACADEMIC CABINET
SEPTEMBER 12-13, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

- **Review of NCAA Division I Academic Eligibility Requirements for Prospective Student-Athletes.** The NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet discussed possible changes to the initial-eligibility requirements and forwarded recommended increased standards to the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance for their review.

Additionally, the cabinet reviewed legislation it sponsored regarding two-year college transfer requirements and proposed that the implementation timeline be accelerated by one year.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative.

a. Amendments to Proposals Sponsored by the Academic Cabinet.

- (1) **NCAA Proposal No. 2011-44 -- Recruiting -- Letter-Of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to Eligibility Center Before Signing.** The cabinet reviewed a request from the NCAA Eligibility Center and agreed to amend the proposal to eliminate the exception for home-schooled prospective student-athletes.
- (2) **Proposal No. 2011-65 – Eligibility – Two-Year College Transfers – Year of Academic Readiness at Two-Year College.** The cabinet reviewed the current proposed effective date of August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or

after August 1, 2013, and agreed to amend to August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012. The cabinet noted that August 2012 provides adequate time for the two-year community and the NCAA to develop any appropriate mechanisms and potential legislative changes at the two-year college level to adequately implement the year of academic readiness.

- (3) **Proposal No. 2011-69 – Eligibility – Transfer Regulations – 2-4 and 4-2-4 College Transfers.** The cabinet reviewed the current proposed effective date of August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013, and agreed to amend to August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a two-year collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012. The cabinet noted that adequate education after legislative adoption for student-athletes, the two-year college community and the NCAA membership before a student-athlete enters a two-year institution and is subject to the increased standards is achievable based on current adoption timelines and that no student-athlete currently enrolled in a two-year collegiate institution will be impacted.
- (4) **Proposal No. 2011-70 -- Eligibility and Committees -- 2-4 and 4-2-4 Transfers -- Waivers -- Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.** The cabinet reviewed the current proposed effective date of August 1, 2012, and agreed to amend to April 1, 2012. The cabinet noted that this effective date provides adequate time for the waiver subcommittee to be in place and establish guidelines and directives prior to any new legislation taking effect regarding the recommended revised effective dates for Proposal Nos. 2011-65 and 2011-69.

b. Comments on Proposals to be Initially Considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council January 2012.

- (1) **Proposal No. 2011-26 -- Amateurism, Recruiting, Eligibility and Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- World University Championships.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-26, which would specify that the World University Championships be included in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games. The cabinet noted that several sports are not included in the World University Games. The cabinet noted that the inclusion of the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games would provide student-athletes participating in baseball, softball, cross country and women's equestrian

the same opportunities and benefits as student-athletes who participate in the World University Games.

- (2) **Proposal No. 2011-63 -- Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Participation -- Postseason Event Following Last Term of Eligibility.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-63, which would specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility). The cabinet noted that a deserving student-athlete should remain eligible to participate in any postseason event for which the institution qualifies.
- (3) **Proposal No. 2011-64 -- Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons of Eligibility -- Football.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2011-64, which would specify that in football, a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition. The cabinet noted that additional research and analysis are needed regarding the concept of five seasons of eligibility.
- (4) **Proposal No. 2011-66 -- Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition -- Minimum Amount of Competition -- Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2011-66, which would specify that in softball, a student-athlete may compete in an institution's nonchampionship segment, under specified conditions, without using a season of competition. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify Proposal No. 2011-66 to specify that in all sports for which there is a segment of the playing seasons that does not conclude with an NCAA championship, a student-athlete may compete in an institution's nonchampionship segment, under specified conditions, without using a season of competition. The cabinet would support the proposal if modified.
- (5) **Proposal No. 2011-67 -- Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Advanced Placement - International Certification.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2011-67, which would specify that, for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, a "similar proficiency examination" must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform

grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement criteria. The cabinet did not see a compelling reason to legislate the definition of a “similar proficiency examination” at this time. The cabinet noted the benefit of continuing to afford institutions the ability to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete who presents a “similar proficiency examination.”

- (6) **Proposal No. 2011-68 -- Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Eligibility for Competition -- Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements -- Additional Requirements -- Football -- Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate.** The cabinet opposes Proposal No. 2011-68, which would specify that in football, a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours during the fall term and earn the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution’s APR for football is 965 or higher. The cabinet noted the importance of maintaining a level of ineligibility as a means to facilitate behavior change during the fall term.
- (7) **Proposal No. 2011-71 -- Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Women’s Ice Hockey.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-71, which would specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement would not be applicable to student-athletes in women’s ice hockey. The cabinet noted that men’s ice hockey student-athletes are currently precluded from using the one-time transfer exception. Further, the cabinet noted that three of the four women’s ice hockey conferences have adopted similar intra-conference transfer rules whereby student-athletes that transfer within the conference must spend a year in residence prior to being eligible to compete.
- (8) **Proposal No. 2011-72 -- Eligibility -- Outside Competition -- Exception -- USA Fencing National Championship.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-72, which would specify that in fencing, a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships. The cabinet noted that the event has been moved from July to April to accommodate the Pan American Zonal Championships, the Olympics or other international competition. The cabinet noted that many student-

athletes may not be able to attend the USA Fencing National Championships if they are not permitted to compete on behalf of their USA Fencing club teams due to the cost of participating on an individual basis.

- (9) **Proposal No. 2011-74 - Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-74, which would specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in coursework acceptable toward his or her degree requirements. The cabinet noted that the legislative change would promote student-athlete well-being by providing an additional opportunity for a student-athlete to receive the maximum allowable athletics aid and expedite degree completion.
- (10) **Proposal No. 2011-81 - Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Other Travel Expenses Provided by the Institution -- Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program.** The cabinet supports Proposal 2011-81, which would permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution). The cabinet noted the importance of providing more student-athletes with the opportunity to attend life skills programs that support student development initiatives and enhance the quality of the student-athlete experience.

2. Nonlegislative.

- a. **Review of Division I Initial-Eligibility Requirements and Recommended Changes.** The cabinet continued its review of initial-eligibility standards and examined additional research regarding the high school academic profiles and college academic outcomes of student-athletes. Guided by the research and previously articulated principles, the cabinet recommends increases to the initial-eligibility standards. The cabinet's recommendations include a tiered structure that provides for athletically related financial aid and practice at one set of academic standards, and establishes a more rigorous academic standard to be eligible for competition.

The cabinet forwarded to the Committee on Academic Performance two models for consideration. In both models, the cabinet recommends that the standard for athletically related financial aid and practice be the same:

- (1) Financial Aid. In order to receive athletically related financial aid during a student-athlete's initial year of collegiate enrollment, the student-athlete must meet a minimum combination of core-course grade-point average and ACT/SAT score as defined by the current initial-eligibility sliding scale. In addition, the cabinet recommends increasing the minimum core-course grade-point average from 2.000 to 2.300 for receipt of athletically related financial aid.
- (2) Practice. In order to be eligible for practice in the first regular academic full-time term of collegiate enrollment, the student-athlete must meet a minimum combination of core-course grade-point average and ACT/SAT score as defined by the current initial-eligibility sliding scale. In addition, the cabinet recommends increasing the minimum core-course grade-point average from 2.000 to 2.300 for eligibility for practice during the first regular academic full-time term of collegiate enrollment. In order to be eligible for practice during subsequent terms of the initial year of collegiate enrollment, a student-athlete must pass nine semester hours or eight quarter hours of degree-applicable credit in his or her first regular full-time term of collegiate enrollment.
- (3) Competition. More rigorous academic preparation is recommended to be eligible for competition immediately when entering as a first-year student-athlete. Two alternative models for delineating those student-athletes who would benefit from an academic redshirt year were examined and supported by the cabinet. The models differ only in the level of academic preparation deemed appropriate for managing academics and athletics competition during the first year. Both models propose using a sliding scale of core-course grade-point average and ACT/SAT score similar to that used for athletically related financial aid and practice, but set at a more rigorous academic level. For any given test score a student-athlete will need to have earned a higher core-course grade-point-average than the current standard in order to be eligible for competition in his or her initial year of full time collegiate enrollment.
 - (a) Model One. Creates a sliding scale combination of core-course grade-point average and ACT/SAT scores that translates to needing a core-course grade-point average that is about one quarter of a grade point higher for any given test score. As an

example, a student-athlete with an 820 SAT score would need a 2.750 core-course grade-point average for competition (compared to a 2.500 needed currently). The student-athlete with the 820 SAT and a core-course grade-point average between 2.500 and 2.750 would still be eligible for athletically related financial aid and practice, but not for competition. A student-athlete with a core-course grade-point average below 2.300 would be ineligible for competition during his or her first year of initial collegiate enrollment regardless of ACT/SAT score.

- (b) Model Two. Creates a sliding scale combination of core-course grade-point average and ACT/SAT scores that translates to needing a core-course grade-point average that is about one half of a grade point higher for any given test score. As an example, a student-athlete with an 820 SAT score would need roughly a 3.000 core-course grade-point average for competition (compared to a 2.500 needed currently). The student-athlete with the 820 SAT and a core-course grade-point average between 2.500 and 3.000 would still be eligible for athletically related financial aid and practice, but not for competition. A student-athlete with a core-course grade-point average below 2.300 would be ineligible for competition during his or her first year of collegiate enrollment regardless of ACT/SAT score.

The cabinet expressed preference for the more academically rigorous competition standard, Model Two. This model best shifts expectations for competition eligibility, essentially assuming ineligibility in the initial year of collegiate enrollment unless a student-athlete's academic record clearly identifies the student-athlete as being prepared to succeed academically.

The cabinet recommends an effective date of August 1, 2015, for student-athletes first entering a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2015, to effectuate proper notice of the recommended increased standards.

In addition to the proposed changes noted above, the cabinet recommended enhancing the current process of determining obvious academic qualifiers after six semesters of high school by recalibrating core-course grade-point average, ACT/SAT and core-course distribution requirements to work in concert with the modified initial-eligibility standards.

Finally, the cabinet discussed the option of prescribing metrics for core-course completion in high school (e.g., completion of 10 core courses prior to the start of

a student-athlete's seventh semester of high school). The cabinet did not recommend at this time setting additional requirements for the timing of core courses beyond what is currently required. Specifically, the cabinet was concerned about advising issues at the high school level and believed that given the significance of the other recommendations, a prescription on core-course timing may not be warranted.

- b. Appointment of Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Committee Chair.** The cabinet approved the appointment of Daniel McCarthy (University of South Alabama) as chair of the committee, replacing Jody Sykes (University of Louisville).
- c. Progress-Toward-Degree Standards Review.** The cabinet had a preliminary discussion to identify areas of potential review in this area. The cabinet will discuss potential increases to the progress-toward-degree standards during its February meeting.

Committee Chair: Herman Holt, Jr., University of North Carolina, Asheville, Big South Conference

Cabinet Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs

Alex Smith, Academic and Membership Affairs

Jennifer Strawley, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND FINANCIAL AID
CABINET
JUNE 21, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Examination of Maximum Grant-in-Aid Limitations/Equivalency Versus Head Count Designations.** The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet reviewed information that included an overview of statistical data provided by the NCAA research staff related to Division I current participation numbers, current trends in awarding aid and total number of student-athletes participating who do not receive athletics aid. The cabinet also examined concepts that could affect administration of team financial aid limits. Following the review, the cabinet agreed its members will solicit feedback from their campuses and conferences on whether current designations (head count or equivalency) and team financial aid limits are appropriate. The cabinet members will also engage their campuses and conferences in discussions on methods for calculating equivalencies, including methods that would not use actual and average figures the way they currently are used. Additionally, the cabinet charged the staff with collecting additional statistical information, including information related to participation numbers based on race/ethnicity, numbers of student-athletes who participate without receiving athletics aid and high school participation numbers. The cabinet will review the information and feedback at its September meeting.

2. **Review of Equivalency Computation Method.** The cabinet reviewed and discussed altering the permissible method for equivalency computations (NCAA Division I Bylaw 15.5.3.2) to a method similar to the method used in Division II. The cabinet reviewed information on the current equivalency computations legislation in Division I and Division II, including information submitted by a cabinet member. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to permit an institution to use either the actual or average amount received by a student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual or average amount of the full grant-in-aid value in the denominator when calculating equivalencies. [See Legislative Informational Item No. 1]

3. **Identification of Future Agenda Items and Determination of Priorities.** The cabinet identified the following priorities for review, noting that data collection may be necessary to fully examine these issues:
 - (a) Examination of maximum grant-in-aid limitations.
 - i. Team; and
 - ii. Equivalency versus head count designations.

- (b) Educational outreach to financial aid administrators.
- (c) Methods for calculating equivalency computations.
- (d) Time period for providing expenses using the departure/return expense legislation.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- **Bylaw 15.5.3.2 (Equivalency Computations).**
 - (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to specify that an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by a student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual or average amount of the full grant-in-aid value in the denominator when calculating equivalencies.
 - (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
 - (3) Rationale. This proposal would allow an institution the flexibility to award equivalencies to the maximum benefit of its student-athletes. Currently, the legislation allows use of one calculation method (actual or average) in the numerator and the other method in the denominator only when awarding a lump sum amount and then, only if the institution does not have a policy requiring payment in a specific order (e.g., hierarchy of elements). This can create a competitive advantage based solely on an institution's accounting practices. This proposal allows all institutions the flexibility to use the

actual or average amount in the numerator and denominator regardless of institutional policies and regardless of how the institution awards aid (element, percentage, lump sum).

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletic). None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- a. **Review of the 2010 Growth, Opportunities, Aspirations and Learning of Students in College Study.** The cabinet received a summary of the 2010 Growth, Opportunities, Aspirations and Learning of Students in College Study. The cabinet was provided a summary of study information that relates to the cabinet's areas of responsibility and was encouraged to provide feedback to the NCAA Research staff on items of interest to the cabinet that could be included in future surveys.
- b. **Outreach to Financial Aid Community.** The cabinet received an update from the staff related to outreach efforts (e.g., communication, education) to the financial aid community, informing them that a cabinet liaison will present an overview of the NCAA Division I governance structure, the role of the cabinet within that structure, a review of the cabinet's recent work related to Bylaw 15 and suggestions for being aware of, and involved in discussions of changes to NCAA financial aid legislation to attendees at the July National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators Convention.
- c. **Discussion of Electronic Authorizations.** The cabinet discussed whether to recommend revising current financial aid legislation to permit electronic authorizations (e.g., electronic signatures) to substitute for signatures. The cabinet agreed to recommend that the following bylaws be amended to reflect that electronic authorizations (e.g., electronic signatures) may substitute for a signature: Bylaws 15.3.4.2.3, 15.3.4.2.5, 15.3.4.3.2, 15.5.1.10.1 and 15.5.6.4.1.
- d. **Update on NCAA Academic and Membership Affairs Business Practices.** The cabinet received an update on the academic and membership affairs business practices review currently occurring at the National Office. Staff noted the review is an effort to examine current business practices and determine how these practices can be streamlined to increase efficiencies while concentrating on student-athlete well-being.

Committee Chair: Sarah Bobert, Marquette University, Big East Conference
Staff Liaisons: Kris Richardson, Academic and Membership Affairs
Shauna Cobb, Academic and Membership Affairs
Danielle Teetzel, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I AWARDS, BENEFITS, EXPENSES AND
FINANCIAL AID CABINET
SEPTEMBER 19, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Examination of Maximum Grant-in-Aid Limitations/Equivalency Versus Head Count Designations.** The NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet reviewed information that included an overview of statistical data provided by the NCAA research staff related to Division I current participation numbers, current trends in awarding aid and models indicating possible pressure on scholarship limits. The cabinet also reviewed feedback from their campuses and conferences on whether current designations (head count or equivalency) and team financial aid limits are appropriate. After reviewing the feedback and information provided by the NCAA research staff, the cabinet agreed the topic warrants additional consideration. However, the cabinet decided to revisit the topic at its February 2012 meeting so it would have the benefit of knowing what changes to financial aid were supported by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors.
2. **Review of Equivalency Computation Method.** The cabinet reviewed the current equivalency computations legislation in Division I, including feedback cabinet members received from their campuses and conferences on suggestions for calculating equivalencies. The cabinet remains supportive of NCAA Proposal No. 2011-76 and agreed not to pursue other alternative equivalency computation methods at this time.
3. **Departure/Return Expense Restrictions – Women’s Golf.** The cabinet discussed a proposed exception to the departure/return expense legislation for women’s golf. The cabinet reviewed current departure/return legislation and agreed to solicit feedback from their member conferences and institutions and determine whether changes are necessary. The cabinet also noted that this issue may be affected by the potential outcomes of the Presidential Task Force. The cabinet will review the information at its February meeting.
4. **Room and Board – Unlimited Meal Plans.** The cabinet discussed unlimited meal plans and current room and board legislation. The cabinet agreed to propose legislation to allow an institution to provide a student-athlete who eats in institutional facilities financial aid that includes a board allowance that consists of the maximum board plan available to all students at the institution. Further, if the maximum board plan available to all students at the institution represents less than three meals per day, to provide a board allowance that includes three meals per day.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Comments on Proposals in the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle Forwarded to the Cabinet.

The cabinet reviewed Division I legislative proposals forwarded to it for review that will be considered by the Division I membership as part of the 2011-12 legislative cycle and offered the following comments:

- a. Proposal No. 2011-26. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-26, which would include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.
- b. Proposal No. 2011-43. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-43, which would set an annual limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings. Although the cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale, it expressed concern that the proposal does not address the issue of over signing in other sports or the issue of offering aid the institution does not expect to have available. Consequently, the cabinet agreed to review the issue at its February meeting and consider sponsoring legislation to prohibit an institution from offering aid that the institution does not have available.
- c. Proposal No. 2011-73. The cabinet agreed to oppose Proposal No. 2011-73, which would, in bowl subdivision football, permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution

to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid. The cabinet noted that, except in specific, legislated circumstances, meals are considered a type of financial aid. The cabinet also expressed concern the proposal is specific to football.

- d. Proposal No. 2011-74. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-74, which would specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.
- e. Proposal No. 2011-75. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-75, which would, in football, specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.
- f. Proposal No. 2011-77. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-77, which would, in baseball, specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.
- g. Proposal No. 2011-78. The cabinet took no position on Proposal No. 2011-78, which would permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time. The cabinet expressed concerns about the enforceability of the proposal and noted that NCAA Bylaw 16 is under review by the Presidential Task Force. Consequently, the cabinet recommended the NCAA Division I Legislative Council table this proposal to provide time for the Presidential Task Force to publish the outcomes of its review of Bylaw 16.
- h. Proposal No. 2011-80. The cabinet opposed Proposal No. 2011-80 as written, which would permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games. The cabinet recommends

the sponsor modify the proposal to have an immediate effective date. The cabinet would support the proposal, if modified. Further, in the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale, but believes an immediate effective date is more appropriate because it will allow student-athletes to access these benefits during the 2011-12 academic year.

- i. Proposal No. 2011-81. The cabinet agreed to support Proposal No. 2011-81, which would permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution). The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

2. Modifications of Cabinet Sponsored Proposals.

- a. Proposal No. 2011-79. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-79, which would specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death, to have an immediate effective date. The cabinet noted that the proposal is permissive and that the modification is beneficial for student-athletes.
- b. Proposal No. 2011-82. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-82, which would specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received, to have an immediate effective date. The cabinet noted the proposal is permissive and that the modification is beneficial for student-athletes.

3. **Bylaw 15.2.2 (Room and Board) and Unlimited Meal Plans.** The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2012-13 legislative cycle to permit an institution to provide a student-athlete who eats in institutional facilities financial aid that includes a board allowance that consists of the maximum board plan available to all students at the institution. Further, if the maximum board plan available to all students at the institution

represents less than three meals per day, to provide a board allowance that includes three meals per day.

- a. Effective Date. August 1, 2013.
- b. Rationale. The cabinet noted a trend involving institutions offering unlimited board plans either as one of several board plans or as the only board plan option available to students. Further, the cabinet noted that current legislation, does not allow an institution to provide a meal plan that provides more than three meals per day, regardless of what is available to all other students at the institution. The cabinet believes this proposal allows an institution appropriate flexibility to consider its student-athletes' nutritional needs and provide any board plan the institution offers to all of its students.
- c. Estimated Budget Impact. Varies by institution.
- d. Student-Athlete Impact (Academic or Athletic). Promotes student-athlete well-being.

Committee Chair: Sarah Bobert, Marquette University, Big East Conference
Staff Liaisons: Kris Richardson, Academic and Membership Affairs
Shauna Cobb, Academic and Membership Affairs
Danielle Teetzel, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I AMATEURISM CABINET
JUNE 28-29, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Earmarked Expenses for Prospective Student-Athletes in Individual Sports.** The NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet is proposing legislation to permit an individual, prior to collegiate enrollment, who is participating in an individual sport, to accept expenses for athletics participation from outside sources (not otherwise permitted by the NCAA) provided the funds are not provided by an agent or an institution.
2. **Agent Activities.** The cabinet continued its comprehensive review of agent activities. The concepts discussed included an evaluation of the use of agents for prospective student-athletes participating in nonopt-in draft sports, implementing an agent registration program and the creation of a national professional sports counseling program. In addition, the cabinet is proposing legislation to expand the definition of an agent.
3. **Prize Money for Tennis Prospective Student-Athletes.** The cabinet is proposing legislation to permit tennis prospective student-athletes to accept prize money not to exceed \$10,000 per calendar year. Further, to specify that once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - a. **Individual Sports - Competition Expenses Earmarked for Prospective Student-Athlete's Use.**
 - (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to permit, in individual sports, an individual prior to initial, full-time collegiate enrollment to accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with athletics competition or practice immediately preceding competition from someone other than an agent or member institution.
 - (2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. Currently, a prospective student-athlete may only accept expenses to compete in an athletics event if the expenses are provided by his or her parent or legal guardian, the nonprofessional sponsor of an event or an amateur team on which the prospect is participating. Prospective student-athletes who participate in team sports and who compete on outside teams are able to travel extensively and have their expenses paid in full or subsidized heavily by their teams, team sponsors and/or event organizers. Further, prospective student-athletes who participate on teams can go into their communities and fundraise for their team provided the money is not earmarked for a particular prospective student-athlete on the team. However, prospective student-athletes who participate in individual sports typically are not associated with an amateur team or organization that can permissibly provide financial assistance under NCAA legislation. Further, these prospective student-athletes cannot fundraise or seek financial assistance within their communities to assist in covering expenses for an athletics event because the funds donated are considered to be earmarked for that individual. Therefore, prospective student-athletes who participate in individual sports are at a disadvantage because they have limited opportunities in which to permissibly accept expenses to compete in an athletics event. The cabinet believes that prospective student-athletes who participate in individual sports should have the same opportunity to compete while having some or all of their expenses covered. The cabinet also believes that permitting prospective student-athletes to accept these expenses will enable those youth who do not have the financial resources to participate in athletics competition and further their athletic aspirations. The cabinet notes that the scope of this proposal is limited to the receipt of actual and necessary expenses for a particular athletics event and the practice that immediately precedes that event. Therefore, a prospective student-athlete would not be able to profit from competing in events.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Tennis - Prize Money Prior To Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment - \$10,000 Per Year.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to permit, in the sport of tennis, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual to accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed

\$10,000 per calendar year. Further, to specify that once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.

- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Prospective student-athletes and their families spend exorbitant amounts of money for travel and other expenses related to competing in tennis events. Rather than limiting prospects to accepting prize money only equal to or lesser than expenses on a per tournament basis, this proposal would allow prize money to be accepted in the aggregate to help cover further expenses. Research by the United States Tennis Association Player Development staff place the top junior and senior prospective student-athletes as having made significantly less than \$10,000 per year in prize money as prospective student-athletes, and combined with the financial costs to their families most are not earning any prize money in excess of their expenses. This proposal will allow prospective student-athletes to earn up to \$10,000 without having to produce extensive documentation for prize money, as is currently required.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

c. Definition of an Agent.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet agreed to sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to modify the definition of an agent to any individual who directly or indirectly:
 - (a) represents or attempts to represent a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete in the marketing of his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain; or
 - (b) seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an institution or a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete. This definition may include, but is not limited to, a certified contract advisor, financial advisor, marketing representative, brand manager or anyone who is employed or associated with such persons.

- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. The culture surrounding agent recruitment of prospective student-athletes and student-athletes continues to evolve. Historically, contract advisors recruited student-athletes on an individual basis and late in a student-athlete's collegiate career, as he or she made the transition from collegiate sports to the professional ranks. Over the years, however, the salaries of professional athletes have risen, age restrictions to participate in professional sports leagues have changed, notoriety of elite student-athletes has increased with scouting and media exposure and the interest of outside third parties in elite athletes is now greater than ever.

This, in turn, has created an industry of individuals including runners, financial advisors, marketing representatives, business managers, brand managers and street agents who seek to broker elite prospective student-athletes and student-athletes to both professional and collegiate organizations for financial gain. Although many governing bodies have attempted to impose regulations on these individuals and their activities, the competitive nature of the industry has resulted in many finding ways to skirt the rules.

One constant trend is the use of outside third parties to recruit and solicit prospective student-athletes and student-athletes for financial gain. These third parties operate free of any governing body's jurisdiction, and historically they do not trigger the NCAA definition of an agent. In order for the NCAA to attempt to regulate the interaction of these individuals with prospective student-athletes and student-athletes, the cabinet believes the definition of an agent must be broadened.

The proposed definition brings contract advisors, financial advisors, marketing representatives and certain third parties under the definition of an agent. In addition, it captures individuals who seek to gain financially from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete, and those individuals who seek to gain financially from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an institution. The cabinet noted that this proposal is not meant to capture parents or legal guardians, athletics department staff members, former teammates or those individuals who have the best interest of a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete in mind from assisting or providing information to a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete provided they do not intend to receive a financial gain for their assistance.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- a. Use of Agents and Advisors Prior to Enrollment.** At the request of an NCAA Internal Working Group on Agent Legislation, the cabinet discussed potential legislative recommendations regarding the use of agents and advisors prior to enrollment for nonopt-in drafts that allow players to be drafted out of high school (e.g., baseball, hockey and soccer). In reviewing this issue, the cabinet noted that current legislation permits prospective student-athletes in all sports to receive advice regarding the draft process provided the individual providing the advice is not marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation, providing advice free of charge, or directly involved in negotiations with a professional team. The cabinet was not prepared, at this point, to extend the legislation to permit negotiations by agents/advisors.
- b. Agent Registration Program.** The cabinet continued its discussion of a proposed national agent registration program that could serve as a resource for institutions and student-athletes that would assist in the agent/advisor education process. The cabinet showed strong support for such a program and requested the staff seek feedback from National Association of Athletics Compliance, NCAA Division I Leadership Council and professional sports organizations.
- c. National Professional Sports Counseling Panel.** The cabinet appointed a subcommittee of the cabinet to establish a potential model of a national professional sports counseling panel.

- d. Compliance Forms.** The cabinet reviewed and approved Form 11-10a (General Amateurism and Eligibility Form for International and Select Student-Athletes). Over the next year, the cabinet will discuss the effectiveness of the form and whether the requirement to use the form should be modified or eliminated.
- e. Amateurism Cabinet, Chair Appointment.** The cabinet appointed Mark Hollis, Michigan State University, as the chair of the Amateurism Cabinet, effective September 1, 2011.
- f. Football Issues Group Update.** The staff provided an update regarding its recent focus on collecting information related to emerging recruiting trends in football. Several trends identified include:

 - (1) Involvement of street agents;
 - (2) Involvement of scouting/recruiting services and their relationships with college coaches and agents;
 - (3) Employment of individuals associated with prospective student-athletes at institutional camps;
 - (4) Marginalization of high school coaches during recruiting process; and
 - (5) Intent of nonprofit mentoring programs to insert themselves into the lives of prospective student-athletes and develop relationships with them and their families.
- g. NCAA Eligibility Center Update.** The cabinet received an update from the NCAA Eligibility Center amateurism certification staff including an overview of withholding conditions imposed since the inception of the NCAA Eligibility Center. The data shared included the most common conditions imposed, and the sports and foreign countries that have produced the greatest number of withholding conditions. The amateurism certification staff also provided an update on several educational initiatives, including the production of sport-specific international resources and the amateurism conference contact program.

Cabinet Chair: Mike Rogers, Baylor University, Big 12 Conference
Staff Liaison(s): Rachel Newman Baker, Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities
Angie Cretors, Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities
Jobrina Perez, Academic and Membership Affairs

REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I AMATEURISM CABINET
SEPTEMBER 28-29, 2011, MEETING

KEY ITEMS.

1. **National Professional Sports Counseling Panel.** The cabinet continued its comprehensive review of agent activities and other issues that impact elite student-athletes. The cabinet emphasized the importance of providing adequate education about these issues to student-athletes. The cabinet supports the concept of creating a national sports counseling panel that will serve a two-prong purpose: 1) to advise institutions on how to best educate and serve as a resource to elite student-athletes considering a potential professional career, and 2) to advise student-athletes in all sports about their prospects of playing professionally, in addition to other issues that arise in athletes' transition from the collegiate to professional ranks.
2. **National Agent Registration Program.** The cabinet supports the concept of a national agent registration program. The cabinet believes such a program would provide institutions information about agents that would otherwise be unavailable. The cabinet also recognized the value that such a program could provide to the NCAA enforcement staff.
3. **Definition of Amateurism and Guiding Principles for the Amateurism Cabinet.** The cabinet reviewed the definition of "amateurism," in addition to the guiding principles of the cabinet, and decided to update the principles to reflect the realities of today's collegiate model. The cabinet also discussed the criticism that the organization has received as it relates to the fundamental principle of amateurism and wants to strategize effective ways to communicate the principle moving forward.

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Informational Items.**
 - a. **Proposal No. 2011-25 (Amateurism, Recruiting, Eligibility and Awards, Benefits and Expenses – World University Championships).** The cabinet supported this proposal to include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.
 - b. **Proposal No. 2011-27 – Amateurism and Executive Regulations – Financial Donations and Advertising and Sponsorship of Intercollegiate Events – Professional Sports Organization.** The cabinet supports Proposal No. 2011-27, which would specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such. Additionally, that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial

sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and maybe publicly identified. The proposal would also eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships. The cabinet agreed with the sponsor's rationale.

- c. **Proposal No. 2011-62 – Eligibility – General Eligibility Requirements– Eligibility Form – International Student-Athlete.** The cabinet supported to eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form. The cabinet believed that the form would be helpful for institutions to use in order to assist in certifying international student-athletes eligibility but did not think it should be a requirement due to the Amateurism Certification Process at the NCAA Eligibility Center.

2. Nonlegislative Informational Items.

- a. **Agent.** The cabinet received an update on the Leadership Council's ongoing review of the definition of an agent and the use of agents and advisors prior to enrollment. The cabinet continued its discussion on how to provide better information to student-athletes who consider transitioning from the collegiate to professional ranks. The cabinet was supportive of two concepts that would promote this: (1) a national professional sports counseling panel, and (2) a national agent registration program. The cabinet will continue to discuss these concepts. Lastly, the NCAA enforcement staff presented data it had compiled regarding the number of underclassmen entering professional drafts and their subsequent retention rate.
- b. **NCAA Eligibility Center Update.** The cabinet received an update from the NCAA Eligibility Center amateurism certification staff including an overview of prospective student-athlete registration data, the number of Bylaw 14 withholding conditions applied, information related to the increasing number of international registrations and the countries that have produced the most violations. The cabinet was provided data illustrating the impact of the new delayed enrollment legislation (i.e., the one-year grace period for all sports other than men's ice hockey and skiing). The Eligibility Center staff also shared with the cabinet several initiatives designed to enable the amateurism staff to identify and process more egregious Bylaw 12 violations.

Cabinet Chair: Mark Hollis, Michigan State University, Big Ten Conference
Staff Liaison(s): Rachel Newman Baker, Enforcement
Angie Cretors, Enforcement
Jobrina Perez, Academic and Membership Affairs
Marcus Wilson, Enforcement

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
June 22-23, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Priority Item – Continuing Examination of the Recruiting Model.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet continued its comprehensive examination of recruiting models in the areas of contacts, evaluations, electronic transmissions, telephone calls and official visits. The cabinet reviewed feedback received from conferences, coaches associations and roundtables conducted at the recent regional rules seminars. Based on the feedback received, the cabinet agreed to sponsor legislative proposals related to electronic transmissions (e.g., text messages) and evaluations. In addition, the cabinet reviewed feedback submitted by sport specific groups and coaches associations regarding the development of recruiting calendars and establishing recruiting-person days or evaluation days in all sports for which such provisions currently do not apply. Based on the feedback, the cabinet sponsored proposals to establish recruiting calendars for men's and women's fencing, field hockey, men's ice hockey, women's gymnastics and wrestling. The cabinet noted a lack of consensus in the membership regarding concepts that would permit earlier contacts and official visits and the NCAA Division I Leadership Council's current review of men's basketball recruiting issues. Therefore, the cabinet agreed to defer additional discussion of these concepts until after the review of the men's basketball recruiting issues is complete and the membership has reacted to the resultant model. During the upcoming year, the cabinet will explore potential concepts to deregulate and simplify recruiting rules.
2. **Priority Item – Discussion on Limits on Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet discussed a request from the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to the current proposals regarding limits on noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities. Specifically, the cabinet discussed whether the current proposals appropriately addressed competitive equity and financial resource issues and concerns related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that restricting the activities of noncoaching staff members (e.g., attending practice, sitting on the bench during contests) has the potential for unintended consequences, such as eliminating a noncoaching staff member's access to the coaching staff for administrative or student-athlete welfare purposes. In addition, such restrictions may limit a noncoaching staff member's ability to gain professional development. The cabinet noted that previous feedback received from the membership favored the limits identified in the current proposals. Based on feedback from the NCAA enforcement staff, the cabinet believes that the current legislation regarding limitations on number and duties of coaches is enforceable and may be sufficiently monitored. The cabinet will continue to review feedback from the membership and explore options related to this topic at its September meeting.

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Legislative – Cabinet Sponsored Proposals for the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.

a. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Men's and Women's Fencing.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a recruiting calendar in the sport of men's and women's fencing as specified:
 - (a) August 1 through August 31 – Quiet Period with an exception for any North American Cup or United States Fencing National Championships.
 - (b) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
 - (c) December 24 through December 25 – Dead Period.
 - (d) Noon Wednesday preceding the NCAA National Championship finals to noon the following Monday – Dead Period.
 - (e) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
 - (f) May 1 through June 15 – Quiet Period with an exception for any North American Cup or United States Fencing National Championships.
 - (g) Establish 168 Recruiting Person Days.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
- (3) Rationale. At the request of the cabinet to evaluate the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting-person or

evaluation days, the United States Fencing Coaches Association determined that the establishment of a sport specific recruiting calendar and 168 recruiting-person days would ensure a quality of life for prospective student-athletes and coaches.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Field Hockey.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a recruiting calendar in the sport of field hockey as specified:

- (a) Monday through Thursday prior to the first permissible contest date – Dead Period.
- (b) The first permissible contest date through the following Thursday – Quiet Period.
- (c) Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the championship – Dead Period.
- (d) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period
- (e) December 17-23 – Quiet Period.
- (f) December 24-January 2 – Dead Period.
- (g) Monday through Sunday of the National Field Hockey Coaches Association Convention – Quiet Period.
- (h) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
- (i) Monday following the second weekend of the United States Field Hockey Association National Indoor Tournament to April 30 – Quiet Period.

(j) Establish 75 Recruiting-Person Days.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

(3) Rationale. At the request of the cabinet to evaluate the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting-person or evaluation days, the National Field Hockey Coaches Association determined that the establishment of a sport specific recruiting calendar and 75 recruiting-person days would ensure a quality of life for prospective student-athletes and coaches. In addition, the potential cost reduction efforts may help level the recruiting playing field.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

c. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Men's Ice Hockey.

(1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a recruiting calendar in the sport of men's ice hockey as specified:

(a) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.

(b) Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Men's Ice Hockey Championship to noon on the Sunday after the game – Dead Period.

(c) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.

(d) Thursday through Sunday of the American Hockey Coaches Association Convention – Dead Period.

(e) May 12 through May 26 – Quiet Period.

(f) July 1 through July 6 – Quiet Period.

(2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

- (3) Rationale. At the request of the cabinet to evaluate the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting-person or evaluation days, the American Hockey Coaches Association determined that the establishment of a sport specific recruiting would ensure a quality of life for prospective student-athletes and coaches.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

d. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Women's Gymnastics.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a recruiting calendar in the sport of women's gymnastics as specified:
 - (a) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
 - (b) Monday before Thanksgiving through Sunday after Thanksgiving – Dead Period.
 - (c) Last two weeks of December (including January 1) –Dead Period.
 - (d) The three days during the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women Convention – Dead Period.
 - (e) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
- (3) Rationale. At the request of the cabinet to evaluate the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting-person or evaluation days, the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women determined that the establishment of a sport specific recruiting would ensure a quality of life for prospective student-athletes and coaches.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

e. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Wrestling.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to establish a recruiting calendar in the sport of wrestling as specified:
 - (a) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
 - (b) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent – Dead Period.
 - (c) Thursday through Sunday of the National Wrestling Coaches Association Convention – Dead Period.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
- (3) Rationale. At the request of the cabinet to evaluate the appropriate parameters of a recruiting calendar and the number of recruiting-person or evaluation days, the National Wrestling Coaches Association determined that the establishment of a sport specific recruiting calendar would ensure a quality of life for prospective student-athletes and coaches.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

f. Recruiting – Limitations on Number of Evaluations – Women's Basketball, Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal that, in women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, would eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
- (3) Rationale. Recruiting model feedback indicated broad support for establishment of recruiting person days or evaluation days in all sports and the elimination of limitations on the number of evaluations. In the sports that have established recruiting person days or evaluation days, institutions should have the autonomy to determine how to use them without the

limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. This proposal will eliminate the burden of monitoring the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete and inadvertent violations of the limitation. The sports to which this proposal will initially apply are women's basketball, women's sand volleyball, and women's volleyball. Men's fencing, women's fencing; and field hockey will be added if other proposed legislation is adopted, which will establish recruiting-person days in those sports. Men's basketball is not included in this proposal due to the current review of men's basketball recruiting issues and rules by the Leadership Council. Football is not included due to the specific nature of the current evaluation periods and the limits on evaluations during each period. Softball is not included due to the fact that, pursuant to current legislation, the observation of prospective student-athletes participating in high school softball competition does not count toward the limit on evaluation days. These sports are encouraged to review their legislation to determine whether they would like to pursue changes that would facilitate the elimination of the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. Other sports are encouraged to explore the option of establishing recruiting-person days or evaluation days and the elimination of the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. The cabinet will consider any recommendations for modifications to this proposal during its September meeting.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

g. Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Electronic Transmissions.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal that would permit all forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., electronic mail, text messaging, Instant Messenger) to be sent to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient. Further, the proposal would permit an institution to begin sending recruiting materials, including electronic transmissions, to a prospective student-athlete on the date that is consistent with the date on which the institution may begin to make telephone calls to an individual in the particular sport (e.g., June 15 at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school for men's basketball and men's ice hockey, July 1 following the completion of the junior year in high school for most other sports).

- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. There has been growing concern that current prohibitions on electronic transmissions are outdated and lagging behind prospective student-athletes' use of technology. Current limitations on the permissible forms of electronic transmissions are inhibiting the exchange of information in the most efficient, cost effective and least intrusive means when compared to other forms of communication, such as telephone calls. Developments in technology have made it easier and less expensive to communicate through the expanded availability and prevalence of mobile communication devices that are multifunctional and often provide options for the user to define his or her communication preferences. Institutions have been permitted to send an unlimited number of emails to prospective student-athletes for several years and there have not been any concerns regarding frequency or intrusion. Today, most mobile communication devices permit electronic mail and text messages to be sent and received in the same manner. Further, research indicates that a clear majority of teens are texting and have unlimited texting plans. In fact, many teens prefer texting when compared to other methods of communication. This proposal seeks to deregulate the current restrictions on electronic communication as well as define electronic communication in a broad manner in order to account for future advancements in technology. Further, this proposal would establish a consistent start date with the current telephone call limitations so that all forms of permissible communication with prospective student-athletes would begin at the same time.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential cost increase if do not currently have unlimited texting plans.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

h. Recruiting – Telephone Calls.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal which would eliminate the limitations on the numbers and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.
- (3) Rationale. This proposal seeks to further the cabinet's deregulation efforts regarding telephone calls. Currently, many institutions are spending

thousands of dollars for software to monitor telephone calls, as well as countless hours investigating potential violations. However, coaches are able to easily circumvent the phone call limitations (e.g., one per week) by emailing a prospective student-athlete and requesting the prospective student-athlete to call the coach at his or her own expense. The current restrictions do not limit the amount of intrusion on prospective student-athletes. In fact, a prospective student-athlete may feel more compelled to make a call at his or her own expense to a coach in response to the email request than to answer a telephone call. Further, early in the recruiting process, coaches and prospective student-athletes should be expected to discuss the prospective student-athlete's preferred frequency and method of communication. As a result, reasonable parameters may be set as it relates to telephone calls. This proposal would not change the permissible date on which institutions may begin calling prospective student-athletes or who may make telephone calls to prospective student-athletes. Finally, current restrictions regarding telephone call contact at a prospective student-athlete's practice and competition site would remain in place.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Variable, based on amount of telephone calls made to prospective student-athletes.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

i. Recruiting – Contacts and Evaluations – Women's Basketball Evaluations – Evaluations During Academic Year Evaluation Periods.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal that, in women's basketball, would specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. In women's basketball, there has been an escalation in the number of impermissibly arranged activities disguised as open gyms or pick-up games during the academic year evaluation periods. These activities subject coaches to potential rules violations and can create an environment in which contact between NCAA coaches and outside influences occur. During the academic year evaluation periods,

evaluations should be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur; and institutional basketball camps and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified through the basketball certification process. Evaluations at certified nonscholastic events during the last full weekend of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period will remain permissible. Additionally, legislation was recently adopted to preclude evaluations at noninstitutional, nonorganized events (e.g., pick-up games, open gyms) during the summer evaluation periods. This proposal seeks to expand that prohibition to academic year evaluation periods and make the restrictions more similar to what exists in men's basketball. This proposal was initiated by the Women's Basketball Coaches Association and the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee supports this legislative change.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential reduction in recruiting costs.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

j. Recruiting – Tryouts – Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps and Clinics – Women's Basketball.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal that would specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified. Further, the proposal would specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps and clinics is limited to the months of June, July and August. Finally, the proposal would prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate; contracts signed before June 28, 2011, may be honored.

- (3) Rationale. In women's basketball, there has been a proliferation of nonscholastic events held on Division I campuses during quiet periods, specifically during the months of May and June. Generally, these events are being planned and operated in an attempt to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. Travel and lodging expenses are routinely provided free of charge for those prospective student-athletes or teams identified as important to the coaching staff's recruiting efforts, and funds and/or services provided by institutions and boosters are sometimes used to pay these expenses. Reluctant college coaches are being leveraged to help the event operator arrange for discounted operational costs (e.g., facility fees) under the threat that the event operator will take the event (and all of the prospective student-athletes) to another institution's campus. Regardless of the level of complicity or involvement of the coaching staff, these events provide a significant recruiting advantage for the institution that hosts the events. Institutions will continue to have the opportunity to host noninstitutional camps or clinics during the months of June, July and August and the opportunity to host basketball competition that is part of state multisport events. Finally, this recommendation is the same, including the specified exceptions, as NCAA Proposal No. 2009-100-A, which was adopted in April 2011 for men's basketball, with the exception of the additional prohibition of evaluating at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods. This proposal was initiated by the Women's Basketball Coaches Association and the Women's Basketball Issues Committee supports this legislative change.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential lost revenue for use of institutional facilities by outside entities.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

k. Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Bowl Subdivision Football.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal in bowl subdivision football which would eliminate the contact period between the New Year's Day holiday and the American Football Coaches Association convention. Further, the proposal would eliminate the quiet period on the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association.
- (2) Effective Date. August 1, 2012.

- (3) Rationale. Due to the increase in the number of bowl games occurring after January 1, institutions that participate in such bowls often do not have the opportunity to engage in off-campus recruiting activities during this time period. This proposal would eliminate the recruiting disadvantage that institutions may experience due to bowl participation after January 1. The days currently designated as contact and quiet periods would be designated as dead periods. The cabinet notes this proposal was initiated by the American Football Coaches Association and supported by a majority of the bowl subdivision football head coaches.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Potential cost savings due to the reduction of days in the contact period.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

I. Recruiting – Telephone Calls – Permissible Callers -- Exceptions – Prior to Commitment – Compliance Administrators.

- (1) Recommendation. The cabinet sponsored a proposal to permit compliance administrators to make calls to or receive calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.
- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Compliance administrators should have the flexibility to make calls to or receive calls from a prospective student-athlete in order to address compliance related issues if such issue occur prior to the prospective student-athlete's commitment to the institution. It would remain impermissible for a compliance administrator to engage in a recruiting conversation during such a call.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative.

- a. Enforcement Staff Update.** The enforcement staff provided an update regarding its recent focus on collecting information related to emerging recruiting trends in football. Several trends identified include: (1) involvement of street agents; (2)

involvement of scouting/recruiting services and their relationships with college coaches and agents; (3) employment of individuals associated with prospective student-athletes at institutional camps; (4) marginalization of high school coaches during recruiting process; and (5) intent of nonprofit mentoring programs to insert themselves into the lives of prospective student-athletes and develop relationships with them and their families. The enforcement staff noted that currently, some football programs' use of scouting/recruiting services appears to be contrary to NCAA Bylaw 13.14.3 in that the scouting/recruiting services provide oral reports (in addition to published reports or video) to college coaches. Bylaw 13.14.3 currently requires that the service be made available to any institution desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers. Oral communication/reports from scouting/recruiting services in information that is not standardized or available to all other subscribers. The cabinet noted the ongoing concerns regarding the involvement of third parties in the recruiting process in basketball and the seemingly similar situations in football. The cabinet agreed to continue to monitor these trends and to discuss ways to specifically address them.

- b. Priority Item – Discussion on Limits of Noncoaching Staff with Sport Specific Responsibilities.** The cabinet discussed a request from the Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to the current proposals regarding limits on noncoaching staff with sport specific responsibilities. Specifically, the cabinet discussed whether the current proposals appropriately addressed competitive equity and financial resource issues and concerns related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that restricting the activities of noncoaching staff members (e.g., attending practice, sitting on the bench during contests) has the potential for unintended consequences, such as eliminating a noncoaching staff member's access to the coaching staff for administrative or student-athlete welfare purposes. In addition, such restrictions may limit a noncoaching staff member's ability to gain professional development. The cabinet noted that previous feedback received from the membership indicated support for the limits identified in the current proposals. Based on feedback from the enforcement staff, the cabinet believes that the current legislation regarding limitations on number and duties of coaches is enforceable and may be sufficiently monitored. The cabinet will continue to review feedback from the membership and explore options related to this topic at its September meeting.
- c. Priority Item – Priority Item – Continuing Examination of the Recruiting Model – Recruiting Calendars.** The cabinet continued its comprehensive examination of recruiting models in the areas of contacts, evaluations, electronic transmissions, telephone calls and official visits. The cabinet reviewed feedback received from conferences, coaches associations and roundtables conducted at the recent regional rules seminars. Based on the feedback received, the cabinet

agreed to sponsor legislative proposals related to electronic transmissions (e.g., text messages) and evaluations. In addition, the cabinet reviewed feedback submitted by sport specific groups and coaches associations regarding the development of recruiting calendars and establishing recruiting-person days or evaluation days in all sports for which such provisions currently do not apply. Based on the feedback, the cabinet sponsored proposals to establish recruiting calendars for men's and women's fencing, field hockey, men's ice hockey, women's gymnastics and wrestling. The cabinet noted a lack of consensus in the membership regarding concepts that would permit earlier contacts and official visits and the Leadership Council's current review of men's basketball recruiting issues. Therefore, the cabinet agreed to defer additional discussion of these concepts until after the review of the men's basketball recruiting issues is complete and the membership has reacted to the resultant model. During the upcoming year, the cabinet will explore potential concepts to deregulate and simplify recruiting rules.

- d. **Women's Basketball – Limit on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time.** The cabinet reviewed a request from the Women's Basketball Issues Committee to sponsor legislation that would permit all four women's basketball coaches to engage in off-campus recruiting activities at the same time during the two nonscholastic evaluation weekends during the academic year and the July evaluation periods. The cabinet noted concerns regarding the potential for all coaches to be off-campus at the same time during the academic year. Further, the cabinet noted that true cost savings may not be achieved due to the brief nature of the academic year nonscholastic weekends and the current legislative exception that permits four coaches to engage in off-campus recruiting during the July evaluation periods.
- e. **Request from the American Volleyball Coaches Association.** The American Volleyball Coaches Association requested that the cabinet review a potential emerging issue related to recruiting influences and nonscholastic clubs and facilities. A growing trend has been noted in which outside entities connected to private sports clubs are hosting nonchampionship segment tournaments in which college teams participate. The cabinet expressed concern with the proliferation of these events and the impact it may have on recruiting, particularly as it relates precollege expenses legislation. The cabinet would encourage the American Volleyball Coaches Association or other applicable organizations to explore potential solutions that would set appropriate parameters on these types of events and activities.
- f. **Request from the NCAA Eligibility Center Related to Submission of a Official Transcripts.** The NCAA Eligibility Center requested that the cabinet

consider sponsoring legislation to require a prospective student-athlete to submit all official transcripts, representing the first six semesters of high school, to the NCAA Eligibility Center before an institution would be permitted to issue a National Letter of Intent or an institutional financial aid agreement to the prospective student-athlete. The cabinet declined to sponsor legislation at this time due to concerns regarding how this could impact the issuing of a National Letter of Intent or an institutional financial aid agreement to a prospective student-athlete who is initially recruited late in the year. In particular, concern was expressed as it relates to sports (e.g., baseball) in which a prospective student-athlete may choose to pursue a professional career after having signed a National Letter of Intent of financial aid agreement, thus requiring late recruitment and signings of other prospective student-athletes. However, the cabinet expressed general support of a concept that would require a prospective student-athlete to submit all official transcripts within an appropriate timeframe after he or she signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional financial aid agreement.

- g. Contacts Between Boosters and Prospective Student-Athletes on Social Media Networks.** The cabinet reviewed a request from a conference office to review legislation related to an institution's responsibility in monitoring direct written communication between fans/boosters and prospective student-athletes on social media networks. Current legislation prohibits boosters from making contact with prospective student-athletes, including through written correspondence. The cabinet agreed that institutions are faced with challenges in monitoring the nature and volume of social media network communication that potentially involves its fans/boosters and prospective student-athletes. However, legislation that would permit social media communication between representatives of an institution's athletics interests and prospective student-athletes could lead to the development of relationships between boosters and prospective student-athletes as well other impermissible contacts and the provision of impermissible recruiting inducements. Further, such legislation would potentially require increased, and increasingly complex, monitoring efforts by institutional compliance staffs. If a representative of an institution's athletics interests contacts a prospective student-athlete via a social media site, the institution must report a violation. If the identity of the individual is unknown, the institution should attempt to determine his or her identity. If the institution is not able to determine the identity of the individual, the institution may take the approach of issuing a cease and desist order to the involved individual. To date, only three secondary cases involving similar circumstances have been processed. Based on the forgoing factors, the cabinet agreed to maintain the current legislation and encourage continued and increased education of boosters and fans regarding this matter.

- h. Academic Reform Efforts Regarding Two-Year College Transfer Requirements.** The NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet requested that the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Cabinet review concepts related to recruitment of two-year college transfers who are nonqualifiers in conjunction with its development of an academic year of readiness for students who are significantly academically underprepared. Specifically, the Academic Cabinet recommends that the first year of academic enrollment for purposes of Bylaw 13.1.1.2 (contactable individuals -- two-year college prospective student-athletes) would not include the year of academic readiness. Therefore, on or off campus in-person recruiting contact (including unofficial and official visits) would not be permissible until after the second year of enrollment. The Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet agreed generally with the concept, but recommended that the Academic Cabinet explore the possibility of an exception to contact restriction during the prospective student-athlete's final term if he or she is on track to graduate and fulfill the two-year transfer requirements at the conclusion of his or her second year of enrollment. In the alternative, the current legislative relief waiver process would apply.

Cabinet Chair: *Ian McCaw, Baylor University*

Staff Liaison(s): *Jen Daniels, Academic and Membership Affairs*

Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs

Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services

Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS
PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
SEPTEMBER 13-14, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Review of Proposals Sponsored by and Forwarded to the Cabinet for the 2011-12 Cycle.** The NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet reviewed 34 legislative proposals related to recruiting and athletics personnel that will be considered by the Division I membership in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. As a result of its review of the proposals it sponsored in June, the cabinet also modified three proposals and withdrew one from the legislative cycle.
2. **Priority Item – Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members.** The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the NCAA Division I Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. The cabinet believes that NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative.**
 - **NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.6.2.2 – Number of Official Visits – Prospective Student-Athlete Limitation.**
 - (1) Recommendation. That the Legislative Council adopt noncontroversial legislation to specify that the limitation of five expense paid visits per prospective student-athlete shall apply only to official visits to Division I institutions.

- (2) Effective Date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. In January 2011, Division II adopted legislation that eliminated the limitation on the number of official visits that a prospective student-athlete may make to Division I and Division II institutions. The current difference in the legislation between the two divisions is likely to cause confusion for prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process and is an unnecessary burden for Division I institutions to track the number of official visits a prospective student-athlete makes to Division II institutions.
- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Modifications to Cabinet Sponsored Proposals in the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle.

- a. Proposal No. 2011-31 – Recruiting – Telephone Calls – No Limits After First Permissible Date. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-31 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.
- b. Proposal No. 2011-37 – Recruiting – Recruiting Materials – Electronic Correspondence – All Forms of Direct Correspondence Permitted. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011- 37 to specify that, in all sports, an institution may provide recruiting materials, including electronic correspondence, to an

individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) on or after July 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

- c. Proposal No. 2011-57 – Recruiting – Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar – Field Hockey. The cabinet agreed to modify Proposal No. 2011-57 to specify that the limit of 75 recruiting-person days shall apply to all contact and evaluation periods during the calendar year (as opposed to the academic year), measured from August 1 through July 31. This modification was initiated and requested by the National Field Hockey Coaches Association.
- d. Proposal No. 2011-59 – Recruiting – Recruiting Calendars – Men’s Ice Hockey. The cabinet agreed to withdraw Proposal No. 2011-59 based on a request from the American Hockey Coaches Association.

2. **Legislative – Comments on Proposals to be initially considered by the NCAA Division I Legislative Council in January 2012.** The cabinet’s positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle are included in the Attachment to this report.

3. **Nonlegislative.**

- a. Consideration of Proposals Related to Limitations on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. The cabinet continued its discussion related to a request from the Legislative Council to explore potential revisions or alternatives to pending proposals which would establish limits on noncoaching staff with responsibilities related to football and basketball. Specifically, the cabinet discussed whether the pending proposals appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues and concerns related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that restricting the activities of noncoaching staff members (e.g., attending practice) has the potential for unintended consequences, such as eliminating a noncoaching staff member’s access to the coaching staff for administrative or student-athlete well-being purposes. In addition, such restrictions may limit a

noncoaching staff member's ability to gain professional development. The cabinet noted that previous feedback received from the membership indicated support for the limits identified in the current proposals. The cabinet believes that Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C, 2010-18-C-1, 2010-20-C and 2010-C-1 appropriately address competitive equity and financial resource issues. Although there may be challenges related to implementation of the proposals, if the membership focuses on the intent of the legislation and uses established processes for necessary interpretations or clarifications, the benefits realized will outweigh any burden related to monitoring and enforcement. The cabinet noted that the proposals were sponsored with delayed effective dates, which was intended to allow time for education and to address implementation issues. The cabinet reaffirmed its support for the amendments it sponsored (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C-1, 2010-18-C-1 and 2010-20-C-1), as currently constituted and for the adoption of the base proposals (Proposal Nos. 2010-16-C, 2010-18-C and 2010-20-C) as they would be amended. In addition, as noted in its review of Proposal No. 2011-22, the cabinet expressed support for the concept of a limit on the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition.

- b. **Priority Item – Football Recruiting Issues.** The cabinet continued its review of issues related to the current football recruiting environment. The cabinet noted the ongoing concerns regarding (1) the involvement of scholastic and nonscholastic third-party individuals in the recruiting process; (2) 7-on-7 events being hosted at institutional facilities; (3) permitting unofficial visits in conjunction with “tours” made by 7-on-7 programs; and (4) hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps. Regarding 7-on-7 events at institutional facilities, and concerns related to 7-on-7 club programs, the cabinet supported two proposals submitted by the Southeastern Conference (SEC) (Proposal Nos. 2011-46 and 2011-47) which begin to address issues surrounding 7-on-7 events and the inclusion of third-party individuals in the recruiting process. Regarding the issue of hiring nonscholastic coaches at institutional camps, the cabinet noted the possibility of considering legislation similar to the current legislation applicable to men's basketball. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from the membership and other involved entities (e.g., coaches association, high school associations) and to continue to discuss ways to specifically address the concerns. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.
- c. **Revisions of Terms Associated with Recruiting Periods.** The cabinet continued its review of a request by the SEC to sponsor legislation related to the revision of terms associated with recruiting periods. The cabinet is supportive of the concept to redefine the current recruiting periods (i.e., contact, evaluation, quiet and dead), as off-campus, on-campus and dead, in an effort to clarify the types of activities

- d. that are permissible during each period. The cabinet noted that additional information and feedback is needed prior to sponsoring legislation to determine support or opposition as well as potential unintended consequences. The cabinet agreed to seek feedback from coaches associations of sports that are most likely to be affected by the concept. The cabinet will discuss this matter further at its February 2012 meeting.
- e. Men's Basketball Recruiting Model. At the request of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council, the cabinet reviewed the council's preliminary draft of the men's basketball recruiting model. The cabinet noted that many of the recommendations in the areas of communication with prospective student-athletes, off-campus contacts, official visits and tryouts are similar to the concepts the cabinet reviewed in its examination of the recruiting model for all sports. In the area of communication with prospective student-athletes, the cabinet prefers to establish July 1 at the beginning of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school as the permissible start date for communication. The cabinet noted that the July 1 date would only eliminate one phone call in the month of June as currently proposed in the council's model and still provides an opportunity for coaches to communicate with prospective student-athletes prior to their participation in summer certified events. Further, in the area of off-campus contacts, the cabinet is generally supportive of permitting contacts during a prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school. However, it recommends that the council consider establishing a separate number of permissible contacts that may occur in the junior year in addition to the three contacts that may occur in the senior year, as opposed to a maximum of three contacts combined during the junior and senior years. The cabinet believes that in order to effectively use the additional time permitted for contacts during the junior year, institutions should also be afforded additional contact opportunities.

Cabinet Chair: Ian McCaw, Baylor University, Big-12 Conference
Staff Liaisons: Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jennifer Roe, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jackie Thurnes, Enforcement Services
Leeland Zeller, Academic and Membership Affairs

**NCAA DIVISION I RECRUITING AND ATHLETICS PERSONNEL ISSUES CABINET
LEGISLATIVE POSITIONS AND COMMENTS ON PROPOSALS IN THE 2011-12 LEGISLATIVE CYCLE**

The following chart sets forth positions and comments related to recruiting and athletics personnel legislation sponsored by other NCAA governance entities and conferences in the 2011-12 legislative cycle. Please note that a position of support with no additional comment reflects that the cabinet supported the rationale provided by the sponsor of the proposal.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-12	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH – BASKETBALL	In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.	Oppose - Noted that given the current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)], are sufficient. - Expressed concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel. - Expressed concern with additional costs for institutions.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-13	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL— NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.	Oppose • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.
2011-14	PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL AND WOMEN'S ROWING – NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.	Oppose • Believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. It is likely that isolated incidents lead to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-15	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY	To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.	Support
2011-16	PERSONNEL – DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS – UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH – EXCEPTION—NONPARTICIPANT--FOOTBALL	To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.	Oppose • Potential unintended consequences of outside individuals being involved with the program. • Isolated incidents may be addressed through the waiver process. • May decrease opportunities for student-athletes to participate based on limited number of opportunities.
2011-17	PERSONNEL – CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS – ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME – PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES	To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.	Oppose • Not an issue of national significance. • Recommends potential deregulation of the underlying outside income regulations.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-18	PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING – RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS – TELEPHONE CALLS— RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES	To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.	Support • Reduces monitoring. • Appropriate deregulation. • Many consider the current rule to be unenforceable.
2011-19	PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL – SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.	Support
2011-20	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES-- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING— WOMEN’S BASKETBALL— NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD	In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-21	PERSONNEL – LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF OFF- CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME— EXCEPTION—FOOTBALL— CONTACT PERIOD	In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.	Support
2011-22	PERSONNEL – BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION – MEN'S BASKETBALL	In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).	Oppose as written. Recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to match the bench limitations for the NCAA Men's Basketball Championship [i.e., 22 individuals in bench area (including student-athletes), 17 on bench (or 17 chairs) during competition]. In the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet supports the concept of limiting the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition but believes that an institution should use its discretion to determine who may occupy the bench area.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-26	AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES – WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS	To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.	Support
2011-28	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—GENERAL EXCEPTIONS—PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES	To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete’s parents (or legal guardians) and the parents (or legal guardians) of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.	Support
2011-29	RECRUITING—PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS—STUDENT-ATHLETE—OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT	To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-30	RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – NON LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE	To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.	Oppose - Supports the concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-31. - Recommends that the sponsor withdraw proposal and support Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37.
2011-35	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN’S BASKETBALL AND MEN’S ICE HOCKEY – JUNE 15 AT CONCLUSION OF SOPHOMORE YEAR	In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, to specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.	Oppose - Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. - Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.
2011-36	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE – ALL FORMS PERMITTED ON OR AFTER SEPTEMBER 1 OF JUNIOR YEAR	To specify that an institution shall not send electronic correspondence (e.g., email, chat, instant messages, text messages) to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school.	Oppose - Supports concept of the proposal but prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. - Recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-38	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS -- EXCEPTION – SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS— AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS	To specify that automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting a "friend" request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.	Support • Appropriate deregulation.
2011-39	RECRUITING – RECRUITING MATERIALS – ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS – CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS – CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE	To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.	Support
2011-40	RECRUITING – OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT— ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT— STUDENT HOST – ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE	To increase, from \$30 to \$40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from \$15 to \$20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-41	RECRUITING – UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT—FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT	To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school	Oppose • Believes such a restriction to be inconsequential and unenforceable. • Would add additional monitoring requirements. • Would likely result in inadvertent violations.
2011-42	RECRUITING – ENTERTAINMENT, REIMBURSEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE-PREPARATORY SCHOOL/TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- EXCEPTION – NONATHLETICS PERSONNEL	To permit an institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) to host nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals food, refreshments, room expenses and a nominal gift, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event.	No position. • The concept should be reviewed to determine whether the underlying restriction on benefits and entertainment provided to nonathletics personnel is appropriate based on the review of legislation through the lenses of significance and enforceability.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-43	RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID – LETTER- OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – LETTER-OF- INTENT RESTRICTIONS— LIMIT ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS—BOWL SUBDIVISIONS FOOTBALL	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.	Support
2011-44	RECRUITING – LETTER-OF- INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS – SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING	To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country or a home-schooled prospective student-athlete) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.	Support Noted concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. Recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-46	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS--FOOTBALL	In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.	Support
2011-47	RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS – LOCAL SPORTS CLUB -- FOOTBALL	In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.	Support

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-48	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMP AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION’S CAMPS AND CLINICS – EXCEPTION— SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN’S BASKETBALL	In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.	Support
2011-49	RECRUITING – SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS – EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC – ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS – NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS—BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL— HEAD COACH EXCEPTION— CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC	In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.	Oppose - Isolated incidents may be addressed through waivers. - Institutions have control over their designated two periods of 15 consecutive days.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-50	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.	Support
2011-51	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.	Oppose • Prefers Proposal No. 2011-50.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-52	RECRUITING – USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS – RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES – CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION—NCAA APPROVAL—BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL	In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.	Support
2011-53	RECRUITING—PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES—DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT—ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS	To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.	Support • Appropriate deregulation. • Noted that institutions not located within a metropolitan area may not have access to youth organizations within 30-mile radius that have need for athletics equipment.
2011-54	RECRUITING—RECRUITING CALENDARS—WOMEN’S BASKETBALL—JULY EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS	In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.	No position. • Noted that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee intends to discuss the concept in the next several months in relation to its development of the women's basketball recruiting model.

NCAA Proposal Number	Title	Intent	Cabinet Position/Comments
2011-61	RECRUITING—BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION— WOMEN’S BASKETBALL— NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT- ATHELTES	In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.	Oppose - Noted that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping). - This proposal would eliminate a viable option. Some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee
Official Interpretations
March 4 Through September 22, 2011

1. Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities.

Date Issued: May 26, 2011

Date Published: May 27, 2011

Item No.: 1

Interpretation:

The committee determined that an institution may publicize off-campus preseason practice activities that are conducted at a single designated site, provided the institution normally conducts preseason practice activities at that particular site.

[Reference: NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities prohibition)]

2. Limit on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters in Women's Rowing.

Date Issued: August 11, 2011

Date Published: August 12, 2011

Item No.: 1

Interpretation:

The committee confirmed that, in women's rowing, the limit on the number of coaches who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time during the academic year is determined by the institution's academic calendar.

[References: NCAA Division I Bylaw 11.7.4 (limitations on number of coaches and off-campus recruiters) and a staff interpretation (7/26/11, Item No. a), which has been archived]

3. Coaches' Attendance at International Junior Competition.

Date Issued: August 11, 2011

Date Published: August 12, 2011

Item No.: 2

Interpretation:

The committee confirmed that the legislation permitting coaches to attend elite international events (e.g., Olympic Games, World Championships) outside of a contact or evaluation period applies only to the events specified in the legislation and does not extend to junior level competition (e.g., Youth Olympic Games, Junior World Championships, U19 World University Games) that may be associated with the specified events.

[References: NCAA Division I Bylaw 13.1.7.19 (coaches' attendance at elite international events) and a staff interpretation (5/16/11, Item No. b), which has been archived]

4. Delayed Enrollment for Junior National/International Competition.

Date Issued: August 11, 2011

Date Published: August 12, 2011

Item No.: 3

Interpretation:

The committee confirmed that the exception to the delayed enrollment legislation for participation in national/international competition (e.g., Olympic Games, World Championships, National Team) applies only to the events specified in the legislation and does not extend to junior level competition (e.g., Youth Olympic Games, U20 World Cup, Junior National Team) that may be associated with the specified events.

[References: NCAA Division I Bylaw 14.2.3.2.1.1 (exception -- national/international competition) and a staff interpretation (5/16/11, Item No. a), which has been archived]

NCAA Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 – Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games and Practice Activities

Issue: Whether preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities may be publicized if they occur at multiple sites and the institution normally conducts such activities at those particular sites.

Background: Over the past several years, it became apparent that the Division I membership was unclear as to the application of the legislation related to the publicity of preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities. Based on this uncertainty, the staff researched the legislative history, including the intent and rationale of the legislation, and issued a staff determination [Reference: 2/22/2011, Item No. 1] and an educational column [Reference: 1/14/2011, Item No. 1] to clarify the application of the legislation.

Staff Position: The staff reviewed NCAA Division I Proposal No. 1998-22, NCAA Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 and educational column [Reference: 12/7/1998, Item No. 38 #1] and determined that an institution may publicize off-campus preseason practice activities that are conducted only at a single designated site, provided the institution normally conducts preseason practice activities at that particular site.

During its review of the issue, the staff noted the intent of Proposal No. 1998-22 was designed to address concerns that off-campus preseason practice activities were being conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., the practice activities were conducted at the educational institutions of prospects being recruited by the institution). Further, the rationale of the legislation specifically states that it was not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility from continuing to use such a facility.

The conference asserts that the current legislation, as written, makes no reference regarding the number of off-campus sites that may be normally used for publicized preseason off-campus practice activities. Further, the conference asserts that the analysis used to determine whether practice may be publicized should be established by whether the sites are "normally" used each year by the institution and whether the underlying policy objectives of the legislation are being compromised. When the plain language of the legislation does not specifically address an issue, the interpretive process requires a review of the legislative history, including the intent and rationale for the legislation. In this case, the intent and rationale of Proposal No. 1998-22 clearly address the issue. The proposal's clear language shows the membership did not intend to allow publicity for off-campus preseason practice activities that occur at multiple sites each year or for off-campus preseason practice activities that do not occur at the same site each year. Allowing publicity for preseason off-campus practice activities that occur at multiple sites each year, or at different sites each year, would require a change to the legislation.

Therefore, it is the staff's position that even if an institution normally conducts preseason practice activities at multiple sites, an institution may publicize off-campus preseason practice activities only if those activities are conducted at a single designated site.

Institution/Conference Position: See attached.

Applicable Proposal, Bylaw, Interpretation and Educational Columns

Proposal No. 1998-22 – Preseason Off-Campus Practice Activities

Status: Adopted - Final

Intent: To preclude institutions from conducting preseason off-campus practice activities that are publicized in advance at any site not normally used by the institution to conduct its practice activities.

Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.5.2, as follows:

"17.1.6.5.2 Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games **and Practice Activities** Prohibition. Preseason off-campus intrasquad games **and publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice** ~~*in all sports*~~ shall be prohibited **in all sports.**"

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors [Management Council (Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet) (Subcommittee on Recruiting)].

Effective Date: August 1, 1999

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: At the 1991 NCAA Convention, the membership adopted legislation to preclude preseason off-campus intrasquad games in all sports. Such a rule was designed to address concerns that such activities were conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., the competitions were conducted at the educational institutions of prospects being recruited by the institution) and resulted in unnecessary missed class time for student-athletes participating in such activities. Because the legislation was specific to intrasquad games, it recently has been interpreted not to apply to off-campus practice activities, including practice activities that are publicized in advance. This proposal will ensure that the original intent of the previous legislation is not compromised by precluding such activities. It should be noted that this proposal is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility to continue the use of such facility to conduct normal practice activities.

History:

Jan 01, 1998: Submit (referred proposal to Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet); Submitted for consideration.

Feb 12, 1998: Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Mar 09, 1998: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

May 08, 1998: Comment Period; End of Comment Period

Jul 29, 1998: Mgmt Council 1st Review, Approved

Aug 20, 1998: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Oct 19, 1998: Comment Period; End of Comment Period

Oct 20, 1998: Mgmt Council 2nd Review, Approved

Oct 27, 1998: Adopted, Pending Possible Board Review, Adopted

Nov 04, 1998: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period

Dec 28, 1998: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period

Dec 28, 1998: Adopted; Adopted - Final

Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 -- Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games and Practice Activities Prohibition. Preseason off-campus intrasquad games and preseason publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice shall be prohibited in all sports.

Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities (I)

Date Issued: January 12, 2011

Date Published: January 14, 2011

Type: Staff Interpretation

Item No.: b

The academic and membership affairs staff determined that an institution may publicize off-campus preseason practice activities that are conducted at a single designated site, provided the institution normally conducts preseason practice activities at that particular site.

[Reference: NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities prohibition)]

See Also: Issued by Staff 1/12/11 Approved by LRIC 1/13/11

Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities (I)

Date Issued: February 22, 2011

Date Published: February 22, 2011

Type: Educational Column

Item No.: 1

NCAA Division I institutions should note that pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 an institution may not publicize preseason off-campus practice activities that occur at a site not normally used by the institution for its practices. The legislation is intended to address concerns that publicized off-campus practice activities were being conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., practice activities were conducted at the educational institutions of prospective student-athletes being recruited by the institution). It is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility from continuing to use such a facility to conduct preseason practice activities. Therefore, an institution that normally conducts preseason practice activities at a single designated off-campus location may publicize the fact that its practices will occur at that site.

The following questions and answers are designed to assist the Division I membership with the application of this legislation.

Question No. 1: If an institution normally conducts its preseason practice activities at various off-campus sites, may it publicize its practices at these sites?

Answer: No. An institution that conducts preseason practice activities at multiple sites each year or at one off-campus site that varies from year to year is not permitted to publicize its practices at those locations. For example, if an institution normally uses the same four sites every year for preseason practices, it is not permissible to publicize the preseason practices at these sites.

Question No. 2: May an institution publicize its off-campus practice activities at a site it has never used before, but intends to normally use in subsequent years?

Answer: Yes. An institution may designate a new off-campus site it will normally use for preseason practice activities if the institution can demonstrate that it will normally be conducting preseason practice activities at that site in subsequent years (e.g., a multiyear contract).

Question No. 3: Is an institution required to conduct all of its preseason practice activities at the designated off-campus site to be permitted to publicize its practice activities at that site?

Answer: No. An institution is not required to conduct all of its preseason practice activities at the designated off-campus site to be able to publicize such practices. For example, if an institution normally conducts the first week of its preseason practice activities at an off-campus

site and then conducts the remaining preseason practice activities on campus, it is permissible to publicize the practice activities at the off-campus site, provided it is the site the institution normally uses for its preseason practice activities.

Question No. 4: May an institution conduct preseason off-campus practice activities at a site not normally used by the institution for its practices if an entity other than the institution (e.g., facility where practices will be conducted) publicizes the activities without the consent or knowledge of the institution?

Answer: An institution has an obligation to cancel, relocate or reschedule off-campus preseason practice activities that have been publicized, regardless of the source or scope of the publicity. For example, if the team arrives at the off-campus practice facility and discovers that the activities have been publicized (e.g., fans are in attendance), going through with the practice would constitute a violation.

[References: NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities prohibition); staff interpretation (1/12/11, Item No. b)]

Bylaw 17.1.6.5.2 -- Preseason Off-Campus Practice Activities (Proposal No. 98-22)

Date Issued: December 7, 1998

Date Published: December 7, 1998

Type: Educational Column

Item Ref: Column 38 #1

Division I institutions should note that with the adoption of Proposal No. 98-22 (effective August 1, 1999), institutions are precluded from conducting preseason off-campus practice activities that are publicized in advance at any site not normally used by the institutions to conduct their practice activities. Please note that this proposal is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility to continue the use of such facility to conduct normal practice activities.

Interpretation Request Form for NCAA Division I Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee (LRIC)

Please complete form and e-mail to cedwards@ncaa.org or dstephens@ncaa.org.

Date: _____
Name: _____
Position: _____
Institution/Conference: _____
Telephone: _____
E-mail: _____

Has this issue been reviewed by an academic and membership affairs (AMA) staff member?

- ☒ Yes. Please provide staff member's name(s).
Review only to the extent that I provided notice to J. Perez, L. Zeller, and B. Hostetter that I wished to challenge the 1/14/11 Staff Interp to LRIC.
- ☐ No. Please contact either your conference contact in AMA or the AMA staff at 317/917-6003 (Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.) to discuss the issue before submission to the LRIC.

Facts: See attached.

Bylaws: 17.1.6.6.3 (Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games and Practice Activities Prohibition)

Interpretations: 1/14/11 Staff Interpretation, Item Ref. b (Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities)

- ☐ **Student-Athlete Well-Being or Less Bureaucratic Component.** If this box is checked, please include an explanation in the summary below.

Summary of Interpretative Argument. Please note that an issue is not interpretative if:

1. The plain meaning of the legislation is clear on its face;
2. The legislative history of the rule (including intent and rationale) clearly addresses the situation; or
3. A published official interpretation clearly addresses the situation.

If the issue is not interpretative, legislation may need to be proposed to address the issue.

See attached.

Please note: Items submitted prior to 3 p.m. Eastern Time Thursday will generally be heard by the committee the following week, except for emergency issues which are handled in accordance with the committee's policies and procedures.

May 5, 2011

TO: Le gislative Review and Interpretations Committee

FROM: Associate Commissioner

SUBJECT: Re quest to Reverse January 14, 2011 Staff Interpretation

Introduction

The Conference requests that the Legislative Review and Interpretations Committee (LRIC) reverse the January 14, 2011 Staff Interpretation regarding preseason publicized off-campus practice activities. Specifically, the Conference disagrees with the determination that publicized preseason off-campus practices may occur only at a “single designated site.” Instead, the Conference believes that the appropriate analysis as to whether a preseason off-campus practice may be publicized (or not) is determining whether the site at issue happens to be a site that is normally used (or not) by the institution.

If the January 14, 2011 interpretation were to remain in effect, a real-world example of its impact would be that would have to cease holding a preseason football practice for military personnel on the Navy base at . This would-be tradition, which began last year, involves the football team conducting a practice on the base while en route from the team’s primary preseason camp in , to campus in (see Attachment D).

A separate example is that the University would have to alter its well-established preseason routine. conducts preseason camp in , which is approximately 15 miles from campus. Although lodging, meals, and most of the practice activities occur at the now inactive Air Force Base, some scrimmage activities are conducted in the football stadium at nearby High School—a facility in the same town as the base, but definitively a separate site.

This is not a case of an interpretation going in the wrong direction. On the contrary, the interpretation is heading in the right direction as its application certainly achieves the underlying policy objectives being served by Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3. Instead, this is a case of an interpretation that goes too far in the right direction and over-shoots the boundaries of the legislation such that it prohibits eventualities that both satisfy the “black letter” of the bylaw and do not offend any underlying policy objective. It is for these reasons the Conference requests that LRIC reverse the interpretation.

Applicable Legislative Authority

The bylaw upon which the January 14, 2011 interpretation is based (present-day Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3) has an interesting legislative history (see Attachment A for current applicable legislative authority). The original rule was adopted in 1991 as part of a comprehensive, multi-

part proposal (Proposal No. 38) related to playing and practice seasons, all of which was designed to reduce time demands on student-athletes. In its original form, the rule (then Bylaw 17.1.5.5.3) read as follows: "Preseason off-campus intrasquad games in all sports shall be prohibited."

Proposal No. 38 from the 1991 NCAA Convention is also the proposal that among other things, imposed daily and weekly hour limitations, reduced contest limits, and created the prohibition on missed class time for practice activities. As evidence of its purpose,

introduced the proposal at the Convention by stating that the "intent of Proposal 38 is to establish reasonable restrictions on Divisions I and II member institutions in an effort to achieve proper balance in athletics and academic experiences at NCAA member institutions." (Proposal No. 38, Part E, from pages A-61 through A-63 of the 1991 NCAA Convention Proceedings is included as Attachment B. The quote is not included, but can be found on page 311 of the 1991 NCAA Convention Proceedings.)

Present-day Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 took its form after the adoption of Proposal 1998-22, which expanded the original rule to also prohibit "publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice." This is where the legislative history gets interesting.

Although Proposal 38—as described above—was ostensibly designed to address concerns related to time demands, the rationale for Proposal 1998-22 curiously stated the following:

"At the 1991 NCAA Convention, the membership adopted legislation to preclude preseason off-campus intrasquad games in all sports. *Such a rule was designed to address concerns that such activities were conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., the competitions were conducted at the educational institutions of prospects being recruited by the institution)* and resulted in unnecessary missed class time for student-athletes participating in such activities. (emphasis added)"

Contrary to the above assertion, there is no mention of recruiting concerns in either Proposal 38 or in any of the comments made by Convention delegates that related to Proposal 38. Proposal 1998-22, on the other hand, was clearly designed to prevent an institution from conducting preseason publicized off-campus practices at the educational institutions of prospects being recruited by the institution—it did this by establishing the "normally used" standard in the bylaw. (Proposal 1998-22 is included as Attachment C. The record of discussion regarding Proposal 38 is not included, but can be found on pages 311-323 of the 1991 NCAA Convention Proceedings).

It is unclear as to why the assertion in the rationale of Proposal 1998-22 is inconsistent with the record surrounding the purpose and adoption of Proposal 38, but the reason for the inconsistency is largely—if not entirely—irrelevant for the purposes of the present challenge to the January 14, 2011 interpretation. The inconsistency is important, however, for interpretive purposes because it establishes that the rule—Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3—serves two underlying policy objectives. Specifically, Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 serves the underlying policy objectives of 1) concerns related to time demands, which is attributable to Proposal 38 from 1991, and 2) recruiting concerns, which is attributable to Proposal 1998-22.

Argument

It is easy to see how one—AMA Staff in this case—could arrive at the interpretation that publicized preseason off-campus practice activities are to be limited to a “single designated site.” Presumably that determination is derived from the last sentence from the rationale statement of Proposal 1998-22, which states the following:

“It should be noted that this proposal is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a *single off-campus practice facility* to continue the use of such facility to conduct normal practice activities. (emphasis added)”

While a “single designated site” interpretation is certainly defensible in light of the language from the rationale statement, the Conference comes out differently.

The Conference’s position is that deriving a “single designated site” standard from the sentence is to give more meaning to the sentence than that which was intended. To explain, when taken in the context of the underlying policy objective behind Proposal 1998-22 (and the entire proposal as a whole), the sentence is more of an example statement that clarifies how the rule applies (or more accurately, does not apply) to a particular situation—specifically, the situation in which an institution normally uses “a single off-campus practice facility.” To illustrate the nature of the sentence further, the rationale statement could easily go on to say, “Moreover, this proposal is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use the same multiple off-campus sites as part of an established preseason routine, so long as the institution is able to satisfy the “normally used” standard set forth by the rule and neither underlying policy concerns are being offended.”

If “single off-campus practice facility” were to carry such meaning or weight that it serves to exclude eventualities that satisfy the text of the rule and do not offend either underlying policy objective, then the provision should have been written into the bylaw. As it stands, however, Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 makes no reference (neither express nor implied) regarding the number of off-campus sites that may be normally used for publicized preseason off-campus practice. Instead, the rule reads as follows:

“Preseason off-campus intrasquad games and preseason publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice shall be prohibited in all sports.”

Accordingly, the most appropriate analysis in determining whether the practice may be publicized (or not) is determining whether the site at issue happens to be a site that is normally used (or not) by the institution. This could be one site, or it could be multiple sites. In the case of the latter, if the institution can legitimately establish that it normally uses the same multiple off-campus sites for preseason practice (e.g., by showing they go to the same places at the same time and do the same thing every year), and further, can show that neither underlying policy objective being served by the rule is being offended, then the prohibition on publicity in Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 should not apply.

Using and cases as the examples, the analysis would go as follows:

1. Camp and Camp (AFB)

- a. (“black letter” analysis): Are Camp _____ and Camp _____ (AFB) sites “normally used” by each respective institution? The answer is “yes;” each team spends X number of days at each respective location during preseason every year.
- b. (“underlying policy” analysis): Do the practices at Camp _____ and Camp _____ (AFB) offend either of the underlying policy objectives behind Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3? The answer is “no.” First, there is no concern regarding time demands because there is no missed class time and the practices otherwise comply with Bylaw 17. Second, there is no concern regarding recruiting advantage gained as the same locations are used every year—they do not move around to chase specific recruiting targets, which was the concern noted in the rationale of Proposal 1998-22.

Since the black-letter of Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 is satisfied and neither underlying policy objective is being offended, the institutions may publicize the practices in _____ and at _____ AFB.

2. _____ and _____ High School

- a. (“black letter” analysis): Are _____ and _____ sites “normally used” by each institution? The answer is “yes;” each team spends X number of days at each respective location during preseason every year.
- b. (“underlying policy” analysis): Do the practices at _____ and _____ offend either of the underlying policy objectives behind Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3? The answer is “no.” First, there is no concern regarding time demands because there is no missed class time and the practices otherwise comply with Bylaw 17. Second, there is no concern regarding recruiting advantage gained as the same locations are used every year—they do not move around to chase specific recruiting targets, which was the concern noted in the rationale of Proposal 1998-22.

Since the black-letter of Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 is satisfied and neither underlying policy objective is being offended, the institutions may publicize the practices at _____ and at _____

As a final note regarding the application of Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3, to the extent eventualities occur that could arguably satisfy the black-letter rule but seem to offend the underlying policy behind the rule, an interpretation should be molded within the framework provided by the black-letter rule (i.e., the interpretation should be derived from the text of the rule). This appears to have happened in Question/Answer 4 of the February 22, 2011 Educational Column, which is based on the January 14, 2011 interpretation and Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (see Attachment A for the February 22, 2011 Educational Column).

In Question/Answer 4, AMA Staff explains that “publicized” in the context of Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 refers to *any* publicity regarding a preseason off-campus practice at a site not normally used by an institution, regardless of whether the institution has consented to or has knowledge of the publicity regarding the practice. Incidentally, the Conference would not be opposed to seeing

the explanation proffered in Question/Answer 4 take the form of a staff determination, but that is not the purpose of the present request.

Conclusion

Rules are like nets in that they are inherently restrictive; however, instead of catching fish, they capture eventualities. When the text or black letter of a rule is imperfect relative to a particular eventuality such that the rule's application to that eventuality is unclear, an interpretation is needed to determine how the rule applies to that eventuality. In some cases, an interpretation can cause the net to get bigger, particularly if the framework of the black-letter rule is conducive to expansion (i.e., the application can grow at least so far as the text will allow).

Although the exact boundary to which an interpretation may extend the application of a rule is an imprecise calculation, with certainty, an interpretation should not extend so far as to capture eventualities that both 1) satisfy the black-letter rule and 2) do not in any way offend the underlying policy objectives behind the rule. That is, however, exactly what the January 14, 2011 interpretation is doing. It captures (which is to say, prohibits) practice at the Navy base's something intends to do every year and well-established use of the high-school stadium that is literally down the street from the base at which it conducts its preseason camp. Both eventualities satisfy the black-letter rule, and neither offends the underlying policy objectives behind the rule.

For the reasons above, the Conference respectfully requests that the January 14, 2011 Staff Interpretation be reversed.

ATTACHMENT A – LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY

A. Primary Authority

1. Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games and Practice Activities Prohibition.)

Preseason off-campus intrasquad games and preseason publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice shall be prohibited in all sports.

2. Staff Interpretation – Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities (I)

Date Published: January 14, 2011
Item Ref: b

Interpretation:

The academic and membership affairs staff determined that an institution may publicize off-campus preseason practice activities that are conducted at a single designated site, provided the institution normally conducts preseason practice activities at that particular site.

[Reference: NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities prohibition)]

B. Secondary Authority

1. Educational Column – Preseason Publicized Off-Campus Practice Activities (I)

Date Published: February 22, 2011
Item Ref: 1

Educational Column:

NCAA Division I institutions should note that pursuant to NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 an institution may not publicize preseason off-campus practice activities that occur at a site not normally used by the institution for its practices. The legislation is intended to address concerns that publicized off-campus practice activities were being conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., practice activities were conducted at the educational institutions of prospective student-athletes being recruited by the institution). It is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility from continuing to use such a facility to conduct preseason practice activities. Therefore, an institution that normally conducts preseason practice activities at a single designated off-campus location may publicize the fact that its practices will occur at that site.

The following questions and answers are designed to assist the Division I membership with the application of this legislation.

Question No. 1: If an institution normally conducts its preseason practice activities at various off-campus sites, may it publicize its practices at these sites?

Answer: No. An institution that conducts preseason practice activities at multiple sites each year or at one off-campus site that varies from year to year is not permitted to publicize its practices at those locations. For example, if an institution normally uses the same four sites every year for preseason practices, it is not permissible to publicize the preseason practices at these sites.

Question No. 2: May an institution publicize its off-campus practice activities at a site it has never used before, but intends to normally use in subsequent years?

Answer: Yes. An institution may designate a new off-campus site it will normally use for preseason practice activities if the institution can demonstrate that it will normally be conducting preseason practice activities at that site in subsequent years (e.g., a multiyear contract).

Question No. 3: Is an institution required to conduct all of its preseason practice activities at the designated off-campus site to be permitted to publicize its practice activities at that site?

Answer: No. An institution is not required to conduct all of its preseason practice activities at the designated off-campus site to be able to publicize such practices. For example, if an institution normally conducts the first week of its preseason practice activities at an off-campus site and then conducts the remaining preseason practice activities on campus, it is permissible to publicize the practice activities at the off-campus site, provided it is the site the institution normally uses for its preseason practice activities.

Question No. 4: May an institution conduct preseason off-campus practice activities at a site not normally used by the institution for its practices if an entity other than the institution (e.g., facility where practices will be conducted) publicizes the activities without the consent or knowledge of the institution?

Answer: An institution has an obligation to cancel, relocate or reschedule off-campus preseason practice activities that have been publicized, regardless of the source or scope of the publicity. For example, if the team arrives at the off-campus practice facility and discovers that the activities have been publicized (e.g., fans are in attendance), going through with the practice would constitute a violation.

[Reference: NCAA Division I Bylaw 17.1.6.6.3 (preseason off-campus intrasquad games and practice activities prohibition); staff interpretation (1/[14]/11, Item No. b)]

tics, men's and women's rifle, men's and women's skiing, men's volleyball, water polo), a Division III institution's playing season shall be limited to the same length as Division I institutions, and this limitation shall be implied in all subsequent legislative reference affecting the Division III sport."

[Note: 17.1.5 will be revised editorially if Proposal No. 38-C is adopted.]

D. Length of Playing Season

Intent: In Divisions I and II, to reduce the playing season for team sports other than football and basketball from 26 to 22 weeks and to establish a 24-week or 144-day playing season for individual sports.

D. Bylaws: Amend 17.2 through 17.21, pages 184-259, for each sport except basketball (17.3) and football (17.7) by amending the respective section titled "Length of Playing Season," as follows:

[Federated provision, Divisions I and II, divided vote, roll call]

"17. ____ Length of Playing Season. The length of an institution's total playing season (traditional and nontraditional segments combined) in team sports except basketball and football shall be limited to the following:

"(a) Divisions I and II—A maximum of 26 22 weeks during the academic year.

"17. ____ Length of Playing Season. The length of an institution's playing season (traditional and nontraditional segments combined) in individual sports shall be limited to the following:

"(a) Divisions I and II—No limitations A maximum of 24 weeks.

"17. ____ .1 144-Day Traditional/Nontraditional Segment Option. In lieu of utilizing the 24-week option, an institution may elect in an individual sport to divide the season into traditional and nontraditional segments, the total of which shall consist of 144 consecutive days, which may exclude only required off days per 17.1.5.4 (see Proposal No. 38-E); official vacation, holiday and final-examination periods, during which no practice or competition shall occur, and the time between the two segments.

"17. ____ .2 144-Day Traditional-Season Option. In lieu of utilizing traditional and nontraditional segments, the total of which may not exceed 24 weeks, an institution may select in an individual sport a single 144-consecutive-day traditional season, which may exclude only required off days per 17.1.5.4 (see Proposal No. 38-E) and official vacation, holiday and final-examination periods, during which no practice or competition shall occur."

ATTACHMENT B-PROPOSAL 38-E (1991)

E. Time Limits for Athletically Related Activities

Intent: For Divisions I and II team and individual sports, (1) to establish daily and weekly hour limitations on "athletically related activities"; (2) to require one day off during each week in which athletically related activities are conducted during the playing season; (3) to limit weight-training, conditioning and physical-fitness activities held at the direction of or supervised by an athletics department staff member outside of the playing season to a maximum of eight hours per week; (4) to specify the method of computing hourly limitations and record-keeping requirements; (5) to impose additional restrictions related to missed class time, and (6) to prohibit preseason off-campus intrasquad games.

E. Bylaws: Amend 17.02.11.1.1, page 177, and amend 17.1 by adding new 17.1.5, page 179, renumbering subsequent sections, as follows:

[Federated provision, Divisions I and II, divided vote, roll call]

"17.02.11.1.1 Institutional Determination. The duration and distribution of these activities on any day are to be determined by the institution itself, subject to controlling legislation by the conference or similar organization of which the institution is a member.

"17.1.5 Divisions I and II—Time Limits for Athletically Related Activities. In all sports in Divisions I and II, the following time limitations shall apply:

"17.1.5.1 Daily and Weekly Hour Limitations—Playing Season. A student-athlete's participation in countable athletically related activities (see 17.02.1.1, Proposal No. 38-B) shall be limited to a maximum of four hours per day and 20 hours per week.

"17.1.5.1.1 Golf Practice-Round Exception. A practice round of golf may exceed the four-hour-per-day limitation, but the weekly limit of 20 hours shall remain in effect.

"17.1.5.2 Weekly Hour Limitations—Outside of Playing Season. Outside of the playing season, only a student-athlete's participation in the countable athletically related activities specified in 17.02.1.1-(c) and 17.02.1.1-(d) [see Proposal No. 38-B] shall be permitted. A student-athlete's participation in such activities shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week.

"17.1.5.3 Computation and Recording of Hour Limitations

"17.1.5.3.1 Definition of Day. A 'day' shall be defined as a calendar day (i.e., 12:01 a.m. to midnight).

"17.1.5.3.2 Competition Day. All competition and any associated athletically related activities on the day of competition shall count as three hours

regardless of the actual duration of these activities. Practice may not be conducted following competition.

"17.1.5.3.3 Hour-Limitation Record. Countable hours must be recorded on a daily basis for each student-athlete regardless of whether the student-athlete is participating in an individual or team sport. Any countable individual or group athletically related activity must count against the time limitation for each student-athlete who participates in the activity but does not count against time limitations for other team members who do not participate in the activity.

"17.1.5.3.4 Preseason Practice. Daily and weekly hour limitations do not apply to countable athletically related activities occurring during preseason practice prior to the first day of classes or the first scheduled contest, whichever is earlier.

"17.1.5.3.5 Between Terms. Daily and weekly hour limitations do not apply to countable athletically related activities occurring during the academic year in periods between academic terms when classes are not in session.

"17.1.5.3.6 Final-Examination Periods. Daily and weekly hour limitations apply to countable athletically related activities during final-examination periods and to all official preparatory periods leading to final-examination periods.

"17.1.5.4 Required Day Off—Playing Season. During the playing season, all countable athletically related activities (per 17.02.1.1, Proposal No. 38-B) shall be prohibited during one calendar day per week.

"17.1.5.4.1 Travel Day. A travel day related to athletics participation may be considered as a day off, provided no countable athletically related activities (see 17.02.1.1, Proposal No. 38-B) occur during that day.

"17.1.5.5 Additional Restrictions

"17.1.5.5.1 No Class Time Missed for Practice Activities. No class time shall be missed for practice activities except when a team is traveling to an away-from-home contest and the practice is in conjunction with the contest.

"17.1.5.5.2 Missed Class Time in Nontraditional Segment. No class time shall be missed for practice or competition activities in the nontraditional segment, even if the team is involved in an away-from-home contest.

"17.1.5.5.3 Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games Prohibition. Preseason off-campus intrasquad games in all sports shall be prohibited."

F. Preseason Practice

Intent: To establish a starting date for practice in all fall sports in Divisions I and II except football and women's volleyball, based on 21 permissible practice opportunities.

F. Bylaws: Amend 17.2 through 17.21, pages 184-259, for each sport with a traditional segment in the fall, except football (17.7) and women's volleyball (17.19), by amending the respective section titled "Preseason Practice and First Date of Competition" and adding new 17.02.12, page 177, renumbering subsequent sections, as follows:

[Federated provision, Divisions I and II, divided vote, roll call]

"17. _____ Preseason Practice and First Date of Competition. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions or play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in each segment prior to the following dates:

"17. _____ Traditional Segment

"(a) Divisions I and II. August 15 or the first day on which classes are scheduled in the institution's fall term, whichever date occurs first. Practice shall not begin prior to the date that permits a maximum of 21 permissible practice opportunities (see 17.02.12, Proposal No. 38-F) prior to the first scheduled intercollegiate game.

"17.02.12 Practice Opportunities. In determining the number of practice opportunities, there shall be counted one for each day beginning with the opening of classes, one for each day classes are not in session in the week of the first scheduled intercollegiate contest and two for each other day in the preseason practice period.

"17.02.12.1 Sunday. Sundays are excluded from the counting.

"17.02.12.2 Week. The 'week' of the first scheduled intercollegiate contest is defined as the six days, excluding Sunday, preceding the date of competition (even if one or more of the days fall into different traditional calendar weeks).

"17.02.12.3 Opening Day of Classes. The 'opening day of classes' is defined as the first day of classes as listed in the member institution's official catalog. Required freshman orientation is not considered to be the opening day of classes for the academic year."

G. First Date of Competition

Intent: In Divisions I and II, to specify that the first permissible date of competition in women's volleyball is September 1 or the Friday preceding September 1 if September 1 falls on Saturday, Sunday

ATTACHMENT C – PROPOSAL 1998-22

PROPOSAL 1998-22 – PRESEASON OFF-CAMPUS PRACTICE ACTIVITIES

Status: Adopted - Final

Intent: To preclude institutions from conducting preseason off-campus practice activities that are publicized in advance at any site not normally used by the institution to conduct its practice activities.

Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.5.2, as follows:

"17.1.6.5.2 Preseason Off-Campus Intrasquad Games **and Practice Activities** Prohibition. Preseason off-campus intrasquad games **and publicized off-campus practice activities conducted at a site not normally used by the institution for practice** ~~in all sports~~ shall be prohibited **in all sports**."

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors [Management Council (Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet) (Subcommittee on Recruiting)].

Effective Date: August 1, 1999

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: At the 1991 NCAA Convention, the membership adopted legislation to preclude preseason off-campus intrasquad games in all sports. Such a rule was designed to address concerns that such activities were conducted for recruiting purposes (i.e., the competitions were conducted at the educational institutions of prospects being recruited by the institution) and resulted in unnecessary missed class time for student-athletes participating in such activities. Because the legislation was specific to intrasquad games, it recently has been interpreted not to apply to off-campus practice activities, including practice activities that are publicized in advance. This proposal will ensure that the original intent of the previous legislation is not compromised by precluding such activities. It should be noted that this proposal is not designed to preclude institutions that normally use a single off-campus practice facility to continue the use of such facility to conduct normal practice activities.

Estimated Budget Impact:

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics):

History

Jan 01, 1998: Submit (referred proposal to Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet); Submitted for consideration.

Feb 12, 1998: Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Mar 09, 1998: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

May 08, 1998: Comment Period; End of Comment Period

Jul 29, 1998: Mgmt Council 1st Review, Approved
Aug 20, 1998: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period
Oct 19, 1998: Comment Period; End of Comment Period
Oct 20, 1998: Mgmt Council 2nd Review, Approved
Oct 27, 1998: Board Consideration, Adopted
Nov 04, 1998: Adopted, Override Period; Start of Override Period
Dec 28, 1998: Adopted, Override Period; End of Override Period
Dec 28, 1998: Adopted; Adopted - Final

ATTACHMENT D**[PRINT] ESPN.com:**[Print without images]

Wednesday, May 26, 2010

looks to practice at Navy base

is hoping to finish preseason camp at boot camp.

Head coach told ESPN.com on Wednesday that plans are being finalized to hold a preseason practice at Naval Station, the U.S. Navy's training headquarters, which is located about 20 miles north of campus near the border. The base houses the U.S. Navy's only boot camp facility.

The practice at would take place Aug. 23, as travels back to from its off-campus preseason training site in One of assistant coaches came up with the idea, and who never served in the military but has always been "intrigued" by the armed forces, was all for it.

has made two trips to the Naval base and toured the facilities, which include a regulation FieldTurf football field that can accommodate a practice.

"We're trying to make it really special and give back," said. "I'm very grateful and thankful for the protection and security they provide for us."

"Obviously, this could be a pretty special tradition that we're able to start."

has plenty of tradition in football, although you have to go back more than 50 years to find it. The naval base is actually somewhat of a forgotten powerhouse in Midwest college football.

played an intercollegiate schedule from the 1910s to the 1940s, and the team's accomplishments included a Rose Bowl victory and a victory against an undefeated squad ranked No. 1 in the country. The finished No. 6 in the final AP Poll that year.

Because so many players went from college to military service, boasted players like , who starred at before joining the . The teams were coached by the likes of .

first played in and had three consecutive meetings with the base's team between 1942-44. In 1943, both teams were ranked in the top 20 as beat 13-0.

"Some of the best football players ever to play, played for the team when they went into the military," said. "That was more of the tradition [back then], you would go to college for a couple of years and then you went to the military."

He attended a boot camp graduation ceremony Friday at and spent some time with the base's top officials. hopes to take the coaching staff and leadership council to

the base this summer.

The practice in August would be closed to the public, mainly because of security concerns on the base. But hopes the visit can become an annual tradition.

"Our desired goal is to give back and bring football to them and let them experience it, have some fun and enjoy it," said, "maybe give them a little bit of entertainment throughout their training."

 also would like to have the recruits attend home games this season.

"You've got about 10,000 college-aged kids, why not have them be college fans of said. "When I was at graduation, I met young people from all over the country; And they don't really have a college to cheer for.

"It could be a really special relationship."



ESPN.com: College Football

[Print without images]



pays respect to

-- If command master chief [redacted] was going to recruit a few of football players for the [redacted] he'd likely stay away from the linemen.

"They'd need a little work," [redacted] said. "They like to eat. We can't eat that much in the [redacted]"

[redacted] was among a few hundred [redacted] personnel who observed [redacted] morning practice at [redacted] on Monday, getting an opportunity to watch college football up close.

"This is an experience not only for myself, but for the sailors as well," [redacted] said. "Some sailors never really get a chance to go to a college football game, let alone a college practice. A lot of sailors dream of playing at the college level and never get this close to college players."



[redacted] coach [redacted] and the [redacted] personnel. [redacted] were happy to interact with [redacted]

For the [redacted], it was an unique opportunity to play on the base -- which fielded a competitive Division I team during World War I and II -- and entertain the troops.

"We just got the upmost respect for what our military does for our country not only here, but obviously abroad," [redacted] coach [redacted] said. "It's special to come into their house, into their backyard and have a chance to improve and get better. We're very appreciative."

The day was especially meaningful for junior linebacker [redacted] whose older brother [redacted] is an [redacted]. "Me playing college football is definitely a dream come true for him as well," [redacted] said. "We're kind of a very tightly knit bunch. If you'd ask him, he'd say he was living vicariously through me. I like to think I do the same with him."

"I just have a tremendous amount of pride for what he's doing. I don't think there's a higher stature of a man than one who fights for his country. It's just a very special, special practice here today, and we really enjoyed it."

From listening about his brother's training regime, [redacted] also realizes what he and his teammates have had to go through during [redacted] training camp isn't all that difficult.

"What we're doing out here pales in comparison to what he endured," [redacted] said. "We lose water weight in practice. He loses pure muscle mass. I think my brother ended up losing 50 pounds in the course of 60 days."

"My family came up after the scrimmage on Saturday, and we were talking at dinner. They really wanted me to indulge them on how bad it is, how hard it is, tell them about the injuries. I really couldn't

elaborate. I knew that it would not do what my brother is doing justice. That's a sacrifice. That's a real sacrifice."

Kicker talked with one officer on the sideline for an extended period of time during the practice. They discussed in detail what each of their schedules is like.

"I really just took the experience of getting to fully understand what they do on a day-to-day basis," said. "People think Navy or Army, and they see them going overseas and doing things overseas. They don't see what they do day to day.

"He's a training officer for communications, radar stuff, that nature. He's in school from 3 until 12 every night. He's in school more than we are. Not only is he busy in school more so than we are, but the importance of what he's doing can't really compare to what I'm doing. I'm a communications graduate, and he's working on fixing radars on ships."

covers high school and college sports for ESPNChicago.com and can be reached at

**REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
SUBCOMMITTEE FOR LEGISLATIVE RELIEF**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Discussion of the Departure/Return Expense Legislation.** The NCAA Division I Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief reviewed the current legislation, guidelines and case precedent involving situations in which the institution requests to either depart prior to, or, remain longer than the permissible time period allows prior to or after away contests. Specifically, staff noted for the subcommittee that from August 20, 2006, to August 20, 2011, a total of 237 cases were submitted under this legislation. Sixty-four percent of these submissions requested a departure time up to six hours beyond the permissible 48-hour period and 88 percent of the waivers filed requested a departure up to 12 hours beyond the permissible 48-hour time frame.

The subcommittee encouraged the staff to draft potential legislation for their review that could be proposed on their recommendation to eliminate the necessity of subcommittee for legislative relief waivers for a majority of the waivers presented.

- 2. Discussion of NCAA Bylaw 14.5 Cases Involving Transfer Due to Injury/Illness of Nonimmediate Family Members.** The subcommittee reviewed the current NCAA Division I guidelines involving transfers due injury or illness of a family member and reviewed case precedent in situations where a student-athlete transfers as a result of a nonimmediate family member. Specifically, the subcommittee discussed several recent waivers in which the student-athlete asserted the primary reason for transferring was a result of a nonimmediate family member and the ill or injured person was not the legal guardian of the student-athlete or was not primarily responsible for raising the student-athlete. The subcommittee instructed the staff to continue reviewing such requests on a case-by-case basis and to revisit the issue at a later date, if necessary.

3. **Discussion of the NCAA Legislative Services Database for the Internet (LSDBi) Case Precedent History.** The subcommittee discussed whether the current format which allows the membership to search and view cases on LSDBi up to five-years old before the cases are archived was still appropriate, or whether reducing the searchable time period to three years would be more beneficial due to the increasing number of waivers filed on an annual basis. The subcommittee encouraged the staff to collect data of the number of available cases to the membership when comparing a three-year case search versus a five-year search for further review.
4. **Waiver Requests Decided February 1 through July 31, 2011.** During its September 21 teleconference, the subcommittee received a summary of waiver requests submitted from February 1 through July 31:
 - a. Total of 437 cases in Divisions I, II and III.
 - b. Staff or subcommittee reviewed 319 Division I cases.
 - c. The staff granted 221 cases, including 70 that were based on the totality of the circumstances.
 - d. Staff denied 98 cases.
 - e. The subcommittee affirmed staff denials in 17 of the 18 cases appealed to the subcommittee.
 - f. Ten waivers involved the graduate student transfer legislation (Bylaw 14.1.9). Nine were granted and one was denied.
 - g. One hundred and twenty-eight urgent waivers were received (Divisions I, II and III). Urgent requests are defined as the institution requested the staff issue a decision within one week from the date the case was received.

Subcommittee Chair: Shane Lyons, Atlantic Coast Conference

*Staff Liaisons: D. Kelly Brooks, Academic and Membership Affairs
Ryan Hall, Academic and Membership Affairs
Brandy Hataway, Academic and Membership Affairs*

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON ATHLETICS CERTIFICATION
July 27-29, 2011, MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Suspension of current athletics certification program.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Athletics Certification received an update specific to the adoption of emergency legislation by the NCAA Board of Directors during its April 28, 2011, meeting to suspend athletics certification activities for up to two years for NCAA Division I active member institutions. Staff informed the committee that a new program will be developed and implemented in fall 2013. Finally, staff reviewed the process for Cycle 3, Class 3 Institution's moving forward.
- 2. Update on development of new program and timeline.** The committee received an update on the status of the development of the new program from staff and was informed of the work of Springboard International. The president of Springboard International explained their role in helping to facilitate the work of the staff and committee with the development of the new program and provided a timeline for the work. Finally, the committee engaged in roundtable discussions specific to elements of the new program.
- 3. 2012 NCAA Convention session.** The staff updated the committee on the education session being provided to the membership specific to the development of the new program. The staff plans to solicit feedback from the membership specific to the four areas of the new program. The staff extended an invitation to the full committee to attend the convention and engage in discussions with the membership.
- 4. Process for Cycle 3 deliberations.** The staff reviewed the abbreviated process for deliberations on Class 3 institutions as well as for Class 2 and reclassifying institutions.

5. **Cycle 3 Certification Decisions.** The committee engaged in deliberations regarding the Cycle 3 athletics certification status of 28 institutions.
6. **Review of the 2011-12 Athletics Certification Handbook and Self-Study Instrument.** The committee approved revisions to the 2011-12 Athletics Certification Handbook pending further clarification on conference office involvement in the athletics certification program and media entities participating in or being present during any portion of the evaluation visit including the exit meeting. In addition, the committee approved revision to the 2011-12 Self-Study Instrument.
7. **Review of the Committee's Policies and Procedures Manual.** The committee reviewed and approved changes to its policies and procedures manual.
8. **Discussion on reclassifying institutions.** Staff reviewed Proposal 2010-100 (Division Membership- Elimination of Provisional and Multidivisional Membership- Reclassification Process and Multisport Conference Requirements). In addition, staff reviewed a draft of the orientation agenda for reclassifying institutions and engaged the committee in discussions specific to the timing, format, length, required participants and materials for the orientation. The committee agreed April 2012 is an appropriate time to conduct an orientation with any reclassifying institutions needing to participate in an orientation during 2011-12 and it is appropriate for this orientation to be conducted in-person versus through technology. In addition, the committee noted staff should provide a list of individuals required to participate in the orientation. Further, the committee agreed the material used for reclassifying institutions should be structured after the materials being developed for the new program applicable to active Division I institutions who will be going through the new program August 2013. Finally, the committee approved the draft orientation agenda with recommended edits specific to adding a discussion on integrity to the agenda, the current "Top 10 Issues" document and some structural and editorial revisions.

Committee chair: Joanne Glasser, Bradley University, Missouri Valley Conference

Staff Liaisons: A. Frank Arredondo, Academic and Membership Affairs
Troy Arthur, Academic and Membership Affairs
Mira J. Colman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Charnele Kemper, Academic and Membership Affairs
Matt Maher, Academic and Membership Affairs
Abbie Renaker, Academic and Membership Affairs
Danielle Teetzel, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its May 9, 2011, teleconference.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **NCAA Division I Legislative Council meeting.** The committee received an update from the Legislative Council's April meeting, where the SAAC vice chair participated in the Council's discussion of certain legislative proposals, including proposal 2010-26 and its amendments regarding use of a student-athlete's name or likeness in promotions, advertisements and media activities.
2. **NCAA Division I Leadership Council meeting.** The committee received an update regarding the Leadership Council's April meeting, during which two committee members, the committee chair and a men's basketball student-athlete, participated in the Council's discussion of men's basketball recruiting issues. The committee will seek feedback from men's basketball student-athletes in the coming months to develop an informed position on emerging recruiting issues in that sport and provide further input to the Council in the future.
3. **Text messaging.** The committee reviewed its historical position on legislation pertaining to text messages including the intrusive and informal nature of the communication, its cost to prospective student-athletes and its potential to distract prospects from their high school academics and athletic performance. The committee discussed the pros and cons related to the restrictions on text messages and will engage in a more in-depth discussion during the July in-person meeting.

ACTION ITEMS.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **NCAA Executive Committee.** The committee was updated on the chair's participation in the Executive Committee's recent teleconference, which included a discussion regarding increased input from student-athletes on key issues affecting the association. The committee received positive feedback regarding their desire to strengthen the student-athlete voice and was invited to participate in future discussions with the Executive Committee.

2. **Community Service Initiative.** The committee received an update on the efforts of the service team regarding the development of a divisional community service project coordinated through Division I SAAC. Conference and campus SAAC advisors will be asked to complete a survey regarding potential service opportunities and the results will be discussed during the July in-person meeting.
3. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee announced that the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence was awarded to University of Kansas SAAC for their community service, sportsmanship and leadership initiatives.
4. **Future Meeting Schedule.**
 - a. July 21-24, 2011, Indianapolis.
 - b. September/October 2011, Legislative Webinar.
 - c. Fall 2011, Indianapolis.
 - d. January 10-14, 2012, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.

Committee Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Staff Liaisons: Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement
Abigail Renaker, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

The NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) submits the following report from its July 22-24, 2011, meeting.

KEY ITEMS.

1. **Initial Review of 2010-11 Proposed Legislation.** The committee reviewed and began discussion of several legislative proposals for the 2011-12 legislative cycle. The committee will review these proposals in-depth during its fall webinar.
2. **Text Messaging.** The committee reviewed concepts related to electronic transmissions and engaged in a preliminary discussion related to legislation on this topic. The committee will seek feedback from student-athletes and continue the dialogue surrounding this issue.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**

None.

2. **Nonlegislative Items.**

- a. Selection of student-athletes to serve as representatives on NCAA Division I and association-wide cabinets and committees. The committee appointed the following student-athletes to specified association-wide and divisional cabinets and committees:
 - (1) Academic Cabinet: Kaitlyn Vincek; Women's Volleyball; Eastern Michigan University; Mid-American Conference.
 - (2) Amateurism Cabinet: Curtis Schickner; Baseball; University of Maryland, Baltimore County; America East Conference.
 - (3) Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: Lauren Cochlin; Women's Soccer; University of Wisconsin, Madison; Big Ten Conference.
 - (4) Championships and Sports Management Cabinet: Logan Roberts; Men's Cross Country/Track and Field; Baylor University; Big 12 Conference.
 - (5) Committee on Women's Athletics: Jessica Williams; Women's Track and Field; Virginia Commonwealth University; Colonial Athletic Association.

- (6) Division I Administration Cabinet: Doug Prusoff, Men's Lacrosse, Lafayette College; Patriot League; and Missy White; Women's Volleyball; St. Mary's College of California; West Coast Conference.
- (7) Minority Opportunity and Interests Committee: Alex Mendez; Baseball; University of South Florida; Big East Conference.
- (8) Olympic Sports Liaison Committee: Jessica Stacey; Women's Swimming; University of Hawaii, Manoa; Western Athletic Conference.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Guest speakers.** The committee welcomed several guest speakers from the NCAA staff to discuss issues involving student-athlete well-being:
 - a. Charnele Kemper, associate director of academic and membership affairs, discussed recruiting legislation and proposals regarding electronic transmissions, including text messages and social media.
 - b. Michael Mangarelli, associate director of amateurism certification, provided the committee an overview of the amateurism certification process and discussed legislation related to participation on a professional team prior to initial enrollment.
 - c. Naima Stevenson, assistant general counsel and director of legal affairs, provided the committee an overview of the NCAA general counsel's office and an update on ongoing litigation involving the association.
 - d. Brynna Barnhart and Tim Nevius, assistant and associate directors of enforcement, presented a condensed version of the "Enforcement Experience" to the committee and answered questions related to the enforcement process.
 - e. Mary Wilfert, associate director of health and safety, updated the committee on the ongoing review of transgender student-athlete participation and anticipated policies on this topic. The committee was supportive of the steps being taken to address inclusion of transgender student-athletes.
 - f. Greg Shaheen, interim executive vice president of championships and alliances, spoke to the committee about the championships structure, efforts to improve aspects of all NCAA championships and the recent meeting between SAAC members and executives at Capital One regarding the Capital One Cup.

2. **Cabinet and committee reports.** The committee was updated regarding Division I and association-wide cabinets and committees on which Division I SAAC members serve. Regarding the Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct, the committee discussed how institutional SAACs could assist the administration and the NCAA by continuing to stress the importance of sportsmanship to other student-athletes and fans at competitions.
3. **NCAA Leadership Council.** The committee was updated on the Leadership Council's April meeting and its discussions related to men's basketball recruiting. The committee will continue to seek feedback and discuss recruiting model concepts for men's basketball.
4. **NCAA Legislative Council.** The committee was updated regarding the Legislative Council's April meeting and its final review of legislative proposals related to student-athlete well-being from the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
5. **Student-Athlete Reinstatement update.** The committee was updated regarding student-athlete reinstatement issues including guidelines for cases involving the failure of men's basketball student-athletes to withdraw their names from NBA draft according to the new deadline.
6. **Joint SAAC.** The committee met jointly with the Division II and Division III SAACs and select NCAA staff members for roundtable discussions. Additionally, each divisional SAAC chair provided an update on current topics and initiatives for the group.
7. **Media Team update.** The committee received an update regarding the SAAC's media team projects, including new blog entries and updates on Facebook and Twitter.
8. **2011 Gender Equity and Issues Forum.** SAAC member DJ Looney updated the committee regarding his attendance at the NCAA Gender Equity Forum, which took place May 1-3, 2011 in Washington, D.C.
9. **Student-Athlete voice.** The committee discussed its participation in a teleconference with the NCAA Executive Committee, its meeting with Capital One and the formation of a project team charged with continuing efforts to increase the committee's voice at the national, conference and institutional levels.

10. **Division I SAAC Award of Excellence.** The committee discussed the Division I SAAC Award of Excellence and considered the possibility of an annual award rather than a semester award. The committee determined that it will continue to present the award each fall and spring.
11. **Community Service Initiative.** The committee reviewed survey results from the membership related to a division-wide community service initiative and discussed next steps in the process.
12. **Attendance.** Attendance for the July meeting:

Conference	School	Name	Sport	Present
America East Conference	University of Maryland, Baltimore County	Curtis Schickner	Baseball	Yes
Atlantic 10 Conference	University of Rhode Island	Tristany Leikem	Women's' Tennis	Yes
Atlantic Coast Conference	North Carolina State University	CJ Williams	Men's Basketball	No
Atlantic Sun Conference	Stetson University	Carolyn Boyd	Softball	Yes
Big 12 Conference	Baylor University	Logan Roberts	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Big East Conference	University of South Florida	Alex Mendez	Baseball	Yes
Big South Conference	Winthrop University	Matthew Horn	Men's Soccer	Yes
Big Sky Conference	University of Northern Colorado	Natasha Law	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Big Ten Conference	University of Wisconsin, Madison	Lauren Cochlin	Women's Soccer	Yes
Big West Conference	Long Beach State University	Amanda Sims	Women's Basketball	No
Colonial Athletic Conference	Virginia Commonwealth University	Jessica Williams	Women's Track and Field	Yes
Conference USA	Southern Methodist University	Kelvin Beachum	Football	Yes

Horizon League	Wright State University	Cassandra Lloyd	Indoor Track and Field	Yes
Ivy Group	Cornell University	Ben Swinford	Baseball	Yes
Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference	Siena College	Kaitlyn Carew	Women's Swimming	Yes
Mid-American Conference	Eastern Michigan University	Kaitlyn Vincek	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference	North Carolina A&T State University	Carvell Copeland	Baseball	Yes
Missouri Valley Conference	Illinois State University	Scott Krapf	Men's Track and Field	Yes
Mountain West Conference	Colorado State University	Eugene Daniels	Football	Yes
Northeast Conference	Long Island University-Brooklyn	Nicholas Conklin	Men's Soccer	Yes
Ohio Valley Conference	Jacksonville State University	Chelsea Pelletier	Women's Soccer	Yes
Pacific -12 Conference	Oregon State University	Kevin McShane	Men's Basketball	Yes
Patriot League	Lafayette College	Doug Prusoff	Lacrosse	Yes
Southeastern Conference	Mississippi State University	DJ Looney	Football	Yes
Southern Conference	Western Carolina University	Chris Everett	Football	Yes
Southland Conference	Northwestern State University	Yaser Elqutub	Football	Yes
Southwestern Athletic Conference	Grambling State University	Taylour Smith	Softball	Yes
The Summit League	Western Illinois University	Brooke Stittleburg	Women's Volleyball	Yes

Sun Belt Conference	University of Arkansas, Little Rock	Rachael Maina	Women's Soccer	Yes
West Coast Conference	St. Mary's College of California	Missy White	Women's Volleyball	Yes
Western Athletic Conference	University of Hawaii	Jessica Stacy	Women's Swimming	Yes
Others:				
Legislative Council	Stanford University	Beth Goode, Senior Woman Administrator/ Senior Associate Athletics Director	Pacific 12 Conference	Yes
Leadership Council	University of Miami (Ohio)	Susan Lipnickey, Faculty Athletic Representative	Mid-American Conference	Yes

13. Future Meeting Schedule.

- a. September 11, 2011 at 7 p.m. EST, Legislative Webinar.
- b. November 18-20, 2011, Indianapolis.
- c. January 10-14, 2012, in conjunction with NCAA Convention, Indianapolis.
- d. Spring 2012, Teleconference.
- e. July 2012, Indianapolis.

Committee Chair: Scott Krapf, Illinois State University, Missouri Valley Conference
Committee Vice-Chair: Eugene Daniels, Colorado State University, Mountain West Conference
Staff Liaisons: Katie Willett, Student-Athlete Affairs
Kelly Groddy, Academic and Membership Affairs
Brandy Hataway, Academic and Membership Affairs
Tim Nevius, Enforcement

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON STUDENT-ATHLETE REINSTATEMENT
May 3-4, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEM.

1. **Men's Basketball Draft Inquiry.** After discussion and review of NCAA Proposal 2010-24 (Amateurism – Involvement with Professional Teams – Professional Basketball Draft – Four Year College Student-Athlete – Men's Basketball), the committee recognized the purpose of this legislation required a firm date that a student-athlete must withdraw his name from the NBA draft list. Based on this, the committee directed the staff to declare a student-athlete permanently ineligible when he does not remove his name from the draft list and declare his intent to resume intercollegiate participation by the legislated deadline. The effective date of this committee guideline is for violations occurring on or after August 1, 2011.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. **Legislative Items.**
 - None.
2. **Nonlegislative Items.**
 - None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Amateurism Issues.**
 - a. Men's Basketball Draft Inquiry. After discussion and review of NCAA Proposal 2010-24 (Amateurism – Involvement with Professional Teams – Professional Basketball Draft – Four Year College Student-Athlete – Men's Basketball), the committee recognized the purpose of the legislation required a firm date that a student-athlete must withdraw his name from the NBA draft list. Based on this, the committee directed the staff to declare a student-athlete permanently ineligible when he does not remove his name from the draft list and declare his intent to resume intercollegiate participation by the legislated deadline. The effective date of this committee guideline is for violations occurring on or after August 1, 2011.

- b. Division I Pre-enrollment Prize Money Prescribed Penalties. The committee reviewed and approved the updated prescribed penalties for receipt of pre-enrollment prize money. These guidelines reflect the non-controversial legislation adopted during the 2010-11 legislative cycle.
 - c. Effect of NCAA Division I Proposal 2009-22 (Amateurism and Eligibility – Involvement with Professional Teams – Exception – Prior to Initial Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment – Delayed Enrollment – Seasons of Competition – Sports other than Ice Hockey and Skiing). The committee received an update from the staff regarding the effects of the legislative change to “competition with professionals” violations. The staff noted a decrease in amateurism certification process (ACP) cases due to the change in amateurism legislation.
 - d. Withholding Conditions for Participation Over Multiple Seasons Prior to Amateurism Certification. The committee reviewed cases in which a student-athlete practices and competes for multiple seasons prior to institution discovering violation and the student-athlete receiving a final amateur certification decision without conditions. The committee confirmed that the current guideline for competition (one-for-two with a maximum withholding of 10 percent of one season of competition as set forth in NCAA Bylaw 17 for that sport) should be applied regardless of the number of seasons in which the student-athlete competed prior to discovery of the violation and receipt of a final amateur certification decision without conditions.
 - e. Update on Agent Trends. The NCAA Agent, Gambling and Amateurism Activities (AGA) staff reported on recent agent activities and trends in Division I and provided an update on the relevant activities of the NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet.
 - f. Amateurism Certification Update. The committee received an update from the amateurism certification staff.
2. **Review of Academic Fraud Legislation, Interpretations and Recent Reinstatement Cases.** The committee continued its discussions in the area of academic fraud.
3. **Use of Recruiting Services Prior to and Subsequent to NCAA Enrollment.** The committee discussed appropriate reinstatement outcomes for the use of recruiting services prior and subsequent to initial NCAA enrollment. The staff noted the guidelines differ based on an individual’s enrollment status. The committee amended the guideline for

post-NCAA enrolled student-athletes to a 10 percent withholding condition with the ability for the NCAA staff to increase or decrease the withholding based on specific factors of the case. The committee also removed the maximum withholding condition for post-NCAA enrollment violations.

4. **Calculating the Value of Charter Flights.** The committee reviewed the current standard for calculating the value of a charter flight, which reflects use of commercial coach rate. The committee noted the benefit of private or charter flights varies significantly from commercial coach flights. Therefore, the committee directed staff to calculate the value of the benefit to be a first class ticket for a similar commercial flight or the actual cost of the charter or private flight prorated by the number of seats on the plane, whichever is less. The effective date of this guideline is August 1, 2011.
5. **Valuation of Benefits Calculation Regarding Impermissible Loans.** The committee discussed a request by the chair of the NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet specific to the valuation of impermissible loans. Specifically, the committee discussed whether the value of a loan should be the full value of the loan or only the interest associated with the loan. The committee confirmed in order to account for the full benefit received by the student-athlete or his or her family member, the value of a benefit in the form of a loan is the full amount of the loan, regardless of whether or not the loan was repaid. The committee noted the value of the benefit and the repayment amount may differ depending on whether or not the loan had been repaid prior to discovery of the violation.
6. **Defining Participation Opportunities Within Extension Analysis.** During a year-by-year analysis within an extension request, the committee revisited whether a student-athlete who did not compete in a particular season had a participation opportunity when he or she could have competed in limited competition at some point during the season before or after an event occurred identified in the extension legislation as a “circumstance beyond the control of the institution or student-athlete.” The committee directed staff to continue to apply a similar analysis to that used for hardship waiver requests to determine if the student-athlete had a denied participation opportunity. Specifically, in a year in which the student-athlete does not compete, he or she is determined to have a denied participation opportunity when the injury or event occurs any time prior to the first contest of the second half of the season. Additionally, in cases where a student-athlete begins the season incapacitated and is cleared to return to competition prior to the conclusion of the season, the year shall be deemed a denied participation opportunity if the student-athlete is cleared with 30 percent or less of the remaining regularly scheduled contests/dates of competition but does not return to competition.

7. **Season-of-Competition Waiver – Competition While Eligible involving Coach’s Documented Misunderstanding.** The committee reviewed recent case precedent where an institution requested a season-of-competition waiver – competition while eligible based on a coach’s documented misunderstanding of the legislation for a student-athlete who did not remain eligible or a member of the team for the remainder of the season. The committee clarified that the legislation does not require the coach have an intent to redshirt the student-athlete for a waiver to be granted. Further the committee noted the student-athlete does not need to remain eligible or with the team for the remainder of the season for the waiver to be granted. Rather, the analysis is whether the student-athlete was eligible at the time of his or her participation and if the request meets the legislative requirements.
8. **Review and Consideration of Feedback Regarding the Unintended Use of a Season of Competition.** The committee reviewed recent case precedent and general feedback received from the American Football Coaches Association (AFCA) regarding waiver requests received involving coach’s documented error resulting in unintended use of a season accompanied by intent to redshirt. Based in part on the feedback received, the committee indicated staff should continue to review and grant such waiver requests on a limited basis. The committee directed staff to include in its analysis the following factors: 1) an intent to redshirt; 2) the timing and amount of participation (limited to a few plays in one contest and consideration given to whether the contest was home or away); and 3) the timing of the submission of the waiver (within the same academic year as the competition as opposed to later in the student-athlete’s career).
9. **Update regarding Committee on Infractions Limited Immunity Proposal.** The committee reviewed recently adopted NCAA Division I Proposal PP-2011-2 (Enforcement Policies and Procedures – Investigative Procedures – Limited Immunity – Current or Prospective Student-Athletes) which extended the limited immunity available in Bylaw 32.3.8. The committee requested staff obtain sanitized or general examples of how this legislation has been utilized in the past and clarification of the process for applying immunity in the future.
10. **Discussion of Suspension of Withholding Conditions Policy.** After discussion and review of the current policy involving requests for suspension of withholding conditions for student-athletes whose next contest is an NCAA championship or bowl contest, the committee agreed further discussion is necessary during the summer.

- 11. Consideration of Expansion of Committee.** In light of an increasing workload and looking to achieve greater efficiencies, the committee reviewed a staff presentation related to whether or not an increase in the number of committee members is appropriate. Upon review, the committee noted an increase could make it more difficult to meet quorum requirements and has the potential for inconsistencies among decisions due to the variation in the committee members participating on appeal calls. Therefore, no recommendation to increase the size of committee was submitted at this time.
- 12. Policies and Procedures.** The committee reviewed and approved editorial updates to the policies and procedures including adding references to AMA Online. In addition, the staff reviewed the recusal policy.
- 13. Future Meetings.** The committee established the following future meeting dates and location:

 - a. November 30 - December 1, 2011, Indianapolis.
 - b. May 23-24, 2012, Indianapolis.

Committee Chair: Ken White, Western Athletic Conference
Staff Liaison(s): Jennifer Henderson, Academic and Membership Affairs
Matt Maher, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE
JULY 12-13, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

- **Recommended Changes to the NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP).** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance continued its examination of the APP. Based on a comprehensive review of the program and membership feedback, the committee forwards recommendations to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors for its consideration in August. The recommendations include changes to the penalty structure and the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) penalty benchmark and filters used to identify academically underperforming teams.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- **APP Penalty Structure.**

- (1) Recommendation. The Committee on Academic Performance requests the Board of Directors sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle to revise the APP penalty structure, as specified in Attachment A. The proposed single penalty structure will eliminate the current contemporaneous and historical penalties and replace them with a single five level penalty structure.
- (2) Effective Date. 2015-16 will be the first year new APP penalties are imposed for impacted teams. Penalty reports issued in 2014-15 will reflect the new APR benchmark and new penalty structure. Attachment B provides additional detail regarding application of the effective date.
- (3) Rationale. Data demonstrates that underperforming teams and institutions are positively influenced by the presence of some consequences for consistent academic underperformance. However, the primary purpose of the APP is not to penalize teams, but instead to strive for academic improvement. Penalties should not only serve as a reason for avoiding academic underperformance, but also through a cumulative and progress structure, serve as a motivating factor for teams and institutions to improve. The committee believes the new penalty structure will achieve the goals of the APP more effectively.

Specifically, integrating and streamlining the penalty structure will affirm the principle that the APP should be straightforward and understandable,

avoiding the complexities associated with the current two penalty structures. The contemporaneous-penalty structure has fulfilled its initial purpose, which was to bridge the gap between the inception of the APP and when historical penalties would eventually be applicable to teams. Thus, as was originally intended, this recommendation creates a single-penalty structure.

The waiver and appeals process will remain similar to the current historical-penalty structure with an initial NCAA staff review at Levels One through Four. The NCAA staff will not have the authority to render a decision on a waiver request at Level Five. All teams subject to Level Five penalties will appear before the committee for review and final penalty determination.

- (4) Estimated Budget Impact. Limited. There is some impact in IT costs associated with reprogramming the technology that calculate penalties and issues penalty reports.
- (5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

a. New APP Penalty Structure, APR Penalty Benchmark and Filter.

- (1) Recommendation. The committee recommends the Board approve the following revisions to the Committee on Academic Performance policies and procedures:
 - (a) Amend the APR penalty benchmark from the current multiyear rates of 900 and 925 to a multiyear rate of 930 or above in order to avoid penalties within the new penalty structure. The committee recommends the Board adopt this change during its August 2011 meeting, thus putting the Division I membership on notice of this higher APR standard prior to the 2011-12 academic year.
 - (b) Replace the current filter system used for determining historical penalties with a single filter system that is based on improvement and is available to all teams/institutions. This improvement filter would use the current five improvement tests and increase the minimum most recent single-year APR required to meet the filter to 930 which matches the recommended APR penalty benchmark.

- (c) Approve a longer transition timeline for lower resourced institutions. For the first two years following implementation of the new penalty structure (i.e., 2015-16 and 2016-17 penalty implementation years), teams in the bottom 15 percent of resources as defined by committee policy, would satisfy the improvement filter by earning a most recent single-year APR of 900 or above rather than 930 or above. After these two years, all teams would be subject to a most recent single-year APR of 930 or above in order to meet the improvement filter.
 - (d) Amend the APP policy consistent with the penalties identified for each of the five levels noted in Attachment A.
- (2) Effective Date. 2015-16 will be the first year new APP penalties are implemented for impacted teams. Penalty reports issued in 2014-15 will reflect the new APR benchmark and new penalty structure. Attachment B provides additional detail regarding application of the effective date.
 - (3) Rationale. The Board has continued to emphasize the importance of the APR penalty benchmark equating to an approximate minimum Graduation Success Rate (GSR) of 50 percent. It is estimated an APR of 930 equates to just above an approximate 50 percent GSR. Setting the benchmark at 930 ensures the Board's directive of a minimum 50 percent GSR is met, while also encouraging continued improvement of academic performance.

In the past only teams at institutions that met "improvement plus" (which included meeting improvement and either the resource, mission or by-sport filter) could earn relief from historical penalties based on demonstrated improvement. The new filter provides all teams at all institutions the opportunity to avoid penalties based on demonstrated improvement. With the creation of an improvement only filter the other current filters (i.e., by-sport comparison, resources and institutional mission) will be eliminated as automatic filters to determine if a team is penalized when the team is below the multiyear APR benchmark. Though these filters are no longer used in the automated filter system it is essential that resources and institutional mission continue to be considered in the overall APP efforts.

Data shows institutions with the lowest available resources take more time to demonstrate improvement than those institutions with higher resources. The additional two years provided for lower resourced teams/institutions

(i.e., bottom 15 percent) to meet the new 930 APR minimum for the improvement filter is consistent with these findings.

Beyond the two-year period, institutional mission will be considered through the waiver process. Currently, institutional mission is taken into consideration through a quantitative comparison of federal graduation rates for the student body at the institution and a projected federal graduation rate based on APR for the team. This filter compares historic rates as a proxy relationship for institutional mission. The new model would provide institutions the opportunity to explain the unique mission of the specific institution through the waiver process. This approach provides more consideration for all of the elements that contribute to the mission of the institution. The committee believes this is a more accurate and fair way to address unique institutional missions rather than the current formulaic method which has provided minimal relief.

While the committee believes this is the proper approach to take in the area of resources and institutional mission it notes it is important to continue to solicit feedback and engage the institutions that are most affected by institutional mission and resources. The committee will continue to engage lower resourced institutions, their presidents and chancellors and conferences over the next several years as these changes are implemented.

With the transition from a two-tiered penalty structure to a single penalty structure there is a need to adjust the minimum most recent single-year APR to meet improvement. The minimum most recent single-year APR, set at the APR penalty benchmark of 930 or above, ensures that a team is moving toward a multiyear APR at or above this new benchmark.

The committee requests the Board approve the 930 APR penalty benchmark during its August meeting with an effective date consistent with application of the revised penalty structure. This puts the membership on notice immediately of this increased APR standard. If the Board provides notice in August 2011 and the new penalty structure is approved by the membership in August 2012, the first year the new penalties in 2015-16 will be based on three years of new data out of the four year APR cohort.

Lastly, the new penalty structure will be cumulative and progressive and will add earlier, and more stringent, financial aid penalties. In addition, increased playing and practice season penalties have been added to Level

Four. The fifth level would include all of the Level One through Four penalties plus a menu of penalties that the Committee on Academic Performance could possibly impose. Level Five would include restricted membership only as an option the committee could select, not as a legislated penalty, as it is currently. This provides the committee with a litney of penalties that more appropriately address the underperforming team.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. Limited. There is some impact in IT costs associated with reprogramming the technology that calculates penalties and issues penalty reports.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

b. Occasion-Three and -Four Historical Penalty Waiver Hearing Procedures.

(1) Recommendation. The committee recommends the Board approve a revision to the current Committee on Academic Performance policies and procedures for Occasion-Three and -Four Historical Penalty waiver hearings that requires the institutional staff member responsible for the implementation of the institution's APR improvement plan be in attendance at an institution's in-person penalty waiver hearing.

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. Over the last three years the committee has increased its consideration of APR improvement plans during its Occasion-Three Historical Penalty waiver hearings. While the current policies and procedures encourage institutions to have in attendance the individual responsible for oversight of the APR improvement plan, it is not currently required. A number of institutions have not brought this individual to the hearing. With the increased focus on the creation and implementation of these plans it is essential that institutions be required to have this individual participate in the hearing. This requirement will not increase the number of institutional representatives who may attend the hearing, which is currently set at seven. Under this revised policy the required attendees will be: chancellor/president, director of athletics, head coach of penalized team, institutional staff member responsible for implementation of APR improvement plan, and three other individuals may participate at the institution's discretion.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. None.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact. None.

c. NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program Supplemental Support Fund (SSF).

(1) Recommendation. The committee recommends the Board approve and fund the APP Supplemental Support Fund for two additional years (i.e., 2011-12 and 2012-13).

(2) Effective Date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. The APP Supplemental Support Fund's purpose is to support campus-based initiatives designed to foster student-athlete academic success at limited-resource institutions. Grants have been awarded in prior years for innovative solutions to barriers preventing student-athlete retention and progress-toward-degree completion. In addition, the program encourages sharing and adaptation of successful programs on all Division I campuses, including institutions that do not receive supplemental support. Continuing this fund will demonstrate the Association's commitment to a meaningful program that encourages academic achievement and facilitates academic improvement. Beginning in 2011-12, a criteria for the awarding of SSF funding shall require the institution to demonstrate a history of successful implementation of its APR improvement plan and describe how the initiative to be supported by the SSF is directly tied to the APR improvement plan. Such criteria would require a commitment of institutional resources to critical elements of the APR improvement plan. These institutional resources would be supplemented by the SSF award.

(4) Estimated Budget Impact. The program will be funded through incremental increases in funding from the NCAA Division I Academic Enhancement Fund. In the 2011-12 fiscal year, \$955,000 will be available through the APP Supplemental Support Program and a two percent increase for 2012-13 for a total amount of \$974,000.

(5) Student-Athlete Impact (Academics or Athletics). Will vary from initiative to initiative.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Division I Revenue Sharing Models Based on APR.** The committee received an update on discussions regarding inclusion of academic success (e.g., APR) within the Division I revenue sharing model. The committee is generally supportive of the concept and encouraged continued discussion surrounding this issue.
2. **APR Trends in Men's and Women's Track and Field.** The committee reviewed four-year and single-year APR data for men's and women's track and field which the NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet requested as it considers a request to eliminate track and field regionals. Specifically, concerns have been raised regarding the time demands placed on student-athletes as these events create scheduling conflicts with spring final examination periods on some campuses. A review of the APR data indicates that eligibility rates for track and field rank at or near the bottom among both men's and women's sports. Eligibility issues are more likely to arise in the spring and both male and female track and field student-athletes who compete only in track (not cross country) are more likely to become ineligible at the end of the spring term. These findings parallel eligibility issues that have been seen previously in other single-semester sports, particularly baseball and football. Given the importance of this issue, the committee encourages further analysis in order to identify the reasons for the lagging eligibility rates in track and field. The committee noted that there may be other reasons for the Championships Cabinet to consider eliminating track and field regionals absent APRs. However, based on data it is difficult to directly correlate the eligibility issues seen in track and field with competing in the track regionals and thus further study is warranted.
3. **2010-11 Occasion-Three Historical Penalty Waivers.** The staff provided a summary of the decisions made by the staff and the committee for Occasion-Three Historical Penalty waivers submitted by institutions during the 2010-11 academic year. The staff made decisions on 11 of the 15 waivers submitted, while the committee conducted in-person hearings for four teams. The committee determined that information regarding the use of Supplemental Support Funds for those institutions considered low-resource should be included in the materials submitted for future penalty hearings.
4. **Institutional Involvement in Contemporaneous Penalty Waiver Appeals.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Subcommittee on Appeals discussed whether or not institutions should participate on teleconferences when appealing a staff decision of a contemporaneous penalty waiver. Currently, institutions participate in teleconferences only when appealing a staff decision in an APP Occasion-Two Historical Penalty waiver. The committee determined that given the recommended changes to the

APP penalty benchmarks and structure, and the review of penalty waiver and appeal processes, it does not recommend any changes to the current processes at this time.

5. **APR Adjustment Requests for Professional Athletic Departures Impacted by NBA and NFL Lockouts.** The committee approved a policy amendment to address the potential impact the NBA and NFL lockouts may have on APR adjustment requests for lost retention points due to professional athletics. This temporary policy change will permit adjustment requests for football and men's basketball student-athletes who depart an institution to pursue professional athletics as a vocation and were not retained for the 2011 spring semester or quarter but have earned the eligibility point, to be documented as follows if the lockouts continue through fall 2011:

- (a) If a student-athlete was drafted by any professional sports league (e.g., Canadian Football League, United Football League, NFL and NBA) in 2011 that is sufficient documentation.
- (b) For undrafted student-athletes, it would be acceptable documentation if the student-athlete was invited to and/or participated in the 2011 NFL Scouting Combine or the 2011 NBA Draft Combine.
- (c) For student-athletes who do not meet either of the above criteria, it would be acceptable documentation if the student-athlete signs a contract with a professional sports team or organization within one year following the end of the lockout in the respective sport.

With the potential for an NFL and NBA lockout, it is necessary to explore other ways in which an institution may document a student-athlete's departure for professional athletics in order to obtain the adjustment for the lost retention point. Generally, institutions document this type of request by securing a team's roster with the student-athlete's name. However, during the lockout players are not permitted to sign with a team or even tryout, which poses challenges to institutions seeking this adjustment since merely being drafted by a professional sports team is not currently sufficient for the adjustment. This recommendation provides institutions with some flexibility to document this adjustment given the unique situation of a possible lockout, while keeping the original intent of the directive for professional athletics departures intact.

6. **APP Data Reviews.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance Subcommittee on Data Collection and Reporting received an update on the progress of the 60 institutions involved in APP data reviews for the 2011-12 academic year. The reviews for 26 of the 29 institutions selected for GSR reviews have been completed. The

reviews for the 31 institutions selected for the APR reviews have begun and it is anticipated that all data reviews will be completed by the APR data submission deadline for each institution.

7. **Calculation of the GSR for Student-Athletes Who Leave an Institution as Eligible and Subsequently Return to the Institution.** The Subcommittee on Data Collection and Reporting discussed the most appropriate GSR calculation for those student-athletes who leave the institution eligible and subsequently return to the institution within the six-year period but do not graduate. The subcommittee took no action at this time and requested that the staff research the frequency of these situations in each sport for future discussion.
8. **Public Announcement of 2010-11 APR Data, Penalties and Public Recognition Awards.** The committee received a summary of the most recent public release of institutional APRs and APP penalties, public recognition awards, and the Elite 88 awards for 2010-11, which was the second year for these awards. This spring's release also included the Head Coaches' APR Portfolio and the public recognition awards recognized football by subdivision [i.e., Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS)] for the first time. This change provided the opportunity for each distinct subdivision's football teams to be honored for top academic performance.

Committee Chair: Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference
Committee Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs
Kevin Lennon, Academic and Membership Affairs
Todd Petr, Research
Bill Regan, Academic and Membership Affairs
John Shukie, Academic and Membership Affairs
Jennifer Strawley, Academic and Membership Affairs

NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program Recommended New Penalty Structure

The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance requests the NCAA Division I Board of Directors sponsor legislation to revise the current NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program penalty structure as noted in this attachment. This document outlines the penalties teams would be subject to at each level of the revised penalty structure. Beginning with Level Two, the new penalties introduced at each level are highlighted in **bold**. Note that current contemporaneous penalties will be eliminated.

Level One:

1. Public notice.
2. Financial aid penalty: 10 percent from total aid awarded (four-year average).

Level Two:

1. Public notice.
2. Financial aid penalty: Ten percent from total aid awarded (four-year average).
3. Playing and practice seasons (**four-hour reduction per week to 16 hours, as well as loss of one day**): Lost hours must be used for academic purposes.
4. (*Baseball only*): **10 percent reduction to the length of playing season and number of contests against outside competition.**

Level Three:

1. Public notice.
2. Financial aid penalty: 10 percent from total aid awarded (four-year average).
3. Playing and practice seasons (four-hour reduction per week, as well as one day): Lost hours must be used for academic purposes.
4. **Postseason Restriction.**
5. (*Baseball only*): 10 percent reduction to the length of playing season and number of contests against outside competition.

Level Four:

1. Public notice.
2. Financial aid penalty: **20 percent from total aid awarded (four-year average).**
3. In-season playing and practice seasons (four-hour reduction per week, as well as one day): Lost hours must be used for academic purposes.
4. Postseason restriction.
5. **Additional playing season restrictions, as follows:**

- a. **For the penalized team, reduction from eight hours to four hours per week for athletics activities outside of the playing season. These four hours must be replaced with academically-focused activities. Of the remaining four hours of athletics activities, not more than two hours per week may be spent on skill-related workouts.**

AND

- b. **For the penalized team, elimination of the nontraditional playing season/out-of-season practice in sports that maintain a legislated nonchampionship segment as well as football, which would include:**

- (1) **Baseball: no fall practice or competition.**
- (2) **Football: no spring practice.**
- (3) **Softball: no fall practice or competition.**
- (4) **Men's Volleyball: No fall practice or competition.**
- (5) **Women's Volleyball: No spring practice or competition.**
- (6) **Men's and Women's Soccer: No spring practice or competition.**
- (7) **Field Hockey: No spring practice or competition.**
- (8) **Women's Lacrosse: No fall practice or competition.**

OR

- c. **For a penalized team in a sport without a legislatively declared nontraditional playing season, a 10 percent reduction in the length of the playing season¹ and 10 percent reduction of allowable contests. [ATTACHMENT NO. 1]**
6. (Baseball only): 10 percent reduction to the length of playing season and number of contests against outside competition.

Level Five (Hearing only):

At Level Five, there will be **no staff review**, and all teams will proceed directly to a hearing in front of the committee. Under this approach, the committee will not determine the team's entire penalty until after the hearing has been conducted, although the penalty would automatically include (subject to committee waiver) all elements associated with Levels One through Four. In total, the committee would have the following penalties to choose from:

All penalties from Levels One through Four, including:

1. Public notice.
2. Financial aid penalty: 20 percent from total aid awarded (four-year average).
3. Playing and practice seasons (four hour reduction per week, as well as one day): Lost hours must be used for academic purposes.
4. Postseason restriction.
5. Additional playing season restrictions, as follows:
 - a. For the penalized team, reduction from eight hours to four hours per week for athletics activities outside of the playing season. These four hours must be replaced with academically-focused activities. Of the remaining four hours of athletics activities, not more than two hours per week may be spent on skill-related workouts.

AND

¹ This 10 percent reduction would be *in addition to* any in-season playing and practice restrictions that are levied, which begin at Level Two.

- b. For the penalized team, elimination of the nontraditional playing season/out-of-season practice in sports that maintain a legislated nonchampionship segment as well as football, which would include:
 - (1) Baseball: no fall practice or competition.
 - (2) Football: no spring practice.
 - (3) Softball: no fall practice or competition.
 - (4) Men's Volleyball: No fall practice or competition.
 - (5) Women's Volleyball: No spring practice or competition.
 - (6) Men's and Women's Soccer: No spring practice or competition.
 - (7) Field Hockey: No spring practice or competition.
 - (8) Women's Lacrosse: No fall practice or competition.
 - OR
 - c. For a penalized team in a sport without a legislatively declared nontraditional playing season, a 10 percent reduction in the length of the playing season and 10 percent reduction of allowable contests. [ATTACHMENT NO. 1].
6. (*Baseball only*): 10 percent reduction to the length of playing season and number of contests against outside competition.

In addition to the penalties from Levels One through Four, the committee would have the legislated authority to implement any or all of the following additional penalties.

- 1. Additional financial aid penalties above the 20 percent of average aid awarded.**
- 2. Additional playing and practice season penalties above: (a) The 4 hour reduction and loss of one day of practice in-season; and (b) The four hours per week reduction outside of season.**
- 3. Restricted membership.**
- 4. Contest reductions, which could include:**

- a. **Full-season competition restriction.**
- b. **Cancellation of nonconference contests.**
- c. **Any contest reductions as determined by the committee.**
- d. **No competition during institution's scheduled exam period and/or week(s) surrounding the exam period.**

Additional policy determinations and recommendations regarding new penalty structure:

1. Transition from current to revised penalty structure.

Teams that are subject to APP penalties under the new structure would continue to advance in the penalty structure as if no change had been made. For example, a team that was subject to an Occasion-One Historical Penalty under the previous penalty structure would be subject to the new Level-Two APP Penalty if the team did not meet the multiyear benchmark and was subject to penalty. A team that has not been subject to historical penalties in the past but does not meet the new benchmark and is subject to the penalty would be subject to Level-One APP Penalties.

2. "Three clean year concept."

The "three clean year concept" outlined in the committees policies will be maintained within this revised penalty structure. In summary, this policy results in a team advancing to the next level of penalties unless it has not been subject to any penalties for three consecutive years.

3. Self imposition of penalties.

The committee and/or staff may choose to recognize and incorporate a penalty that is not found within the prescribed list of penalties, but is implemented by the institution. The staff and/or committee can recognize and incorporate an institution's self-imposed penalty within the penalty waiver process, whether it is a coach-specific penalty or other alternative measure.

4. Appeals processes.

The following process for appeals will be used at the various levels of the new penalty structure:

- a. Level One: Appeals to be heard by the Subcommittee on Appeals via paper submission.
 - b. Level Two: Appeals to be heard by the Subcommittee on Appeals via telephone, with institutional involvement, the extent of which is to be determined.
 - c. Levels Three and Four: Appeals to be heard through in-person hearings with the full committee.
 - d. Level Five: All cases to be heard by the full committee without prior staff review.
5. Penalty reporting.
- Institutions will be required to provide evidence to the NCAA staff that required penalties have been implemented, beginning at Level One Penalties. This requirement allows the staff and committee to ensure the integrity of the APP penalty structure.
6. NCAA Division I Board of Directors Academic Performance Program Historical Penalties Appeals Subcommittee Review.

Under the new penalty structure, institutions would maintain the ability to appeal the committee's decision to the Division I Board of Directors Subcommittee on Historical Penalty Appeals at Levels Three, Four and Five. The standard of review for that subcommittee would remain the same as well; in order to have a decision overturned an institution must demonstrate that the committee abused its discretion in applying the legislation and/or policy and procedures of the APP.

List of Playing and Practice Reductions for Applicable Sports in Level Four

The following list outlines the additional playing and practice season penalties that teams would be subject to at Level Four of the revised penalty structure. Note that these penalties would only apply to sports where there is not a legislatively declared non-traditional segment.

- 1. Men's and Women's Basketball:**
 - a. Reduction of 10 percent of playing and practice days between first allowable practice and end of playing season.²**
 - b. Reduction from 29 to 26 contests.³**
- 2. Bowling:**
 - a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
 - b. Reduction from 26 to 23 dates of competition.**
- 3. Cross Country:**
 - a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
 - b. Reduction from seven to six dates of competition.**
- 4. Equestrian:**
 - a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
 - b. Reduction from 15 to 13 dates of competition.**
- 5. Fencing:**
 - a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
 - b. Reduction from 11 to 10 dates of competition.**
- 6. Golf:**
 - a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
 - b. Reduction from 24 to 22 dates of competition.**

² The start date for men's and women's basketball varies depending on the year.

³ For teams that plan to participate in a qualifying regular-season multiple team event (e.g., Maui Invitational), the reduction would be from 27 to 24 contests.

7. Gymnastics:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 13 to 12 dates of competition.**

8. Ice Hockey:

- a. Reduction from 132 day season to 119 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 34 to 31 contests.**

9. Men's Lacrosse:

- a. Reduction from 132 day season to 119 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 17 to 15 dates of competition.**

10. Rifle:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 13 to 12 dates of competition.**

11. Rowing:

- a. Reduction from 156 day season to 140 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 20 to 18 dates of competition.**

12. Rugby:

- a. Reduction from 132 day season to 119 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 11 to 10 contests.**

13. Skiing:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 16 to 14 dates of competition in both alpine and nordic events.**

14. Squash:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 15 to 13 dates of competition.**

15. Swimming and Diving:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 20 to 18 dates of competition.**

16. Tennis:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 25 to 22 dates of competition.**

17. Track and Field (Indoor or Outdoor only):

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 18 to 16 dates of competition.**

18. Track and Field (Indoor *and* Outdoor):

- a. Reduction from 156 day season to 140 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 18 to 16 dates of competition.**

19. Water Polo:

- a. Reduction from 132 day season to 119 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 21 to 19 dates of competition.**

20. Wrestling:

- a. Reduction from 144 day season to 130 day season.**
- b. Reduction from 16 to 14 dates of competition.**

**Timeline for the Implementation of the Recommended NCAA Division I Academic
Progress Rate Penalty Benchmark and NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program
Penalty Structure**

The NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance recommends teams be subject to the penalties under the new penalty structure beginning with penalties imposed in the 2015-16 academic year. By fall 2015, teams will have received three years notice of the changes to the penalty structure. Penalized teams that perceive the change as mitigation for a waiver could make that argument in the APP penalty waiver process, but would not be automatically approved.

Overall Timeline Chart:

August 2011	Board of Directors provides notice of new 930 APR benchmark to the membership.	
2011-12	First year of data after notice.	April 2012 – Legislative proposals with new penalty structure potentially adopted.
2012-13	Second year of data after notice.	
2013-14	Third year of data after notice.	
2014-15	Fourth year of data after notice.	Penalty reports provided in 2014-15 notify member institutions of penalties under new structure based on previous four years of data. Three of the four years of data are after the Board notification to the membership.
2015-16		New penalties taken for first time in 2015-16.

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE
SEPTEMBER 13-14, 2011, MEETING**

KEY ITEMS.

- **Comprehensive Package of Academic Proposals.** Charged by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors to provide it with recommendations regarding embedding academic success, the NCAA Division I Committee on Academic Performance continued its review in three areas: initial eligibility, two-year college transfer requirements and the NCAA Division 1 Academic Performance Program penalty structure. This review is ongoing and it is anticipated recommendations will be forwarded to the Board of Directors for its October meeting.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- **Embedding Academic Success.** The committee continued its examination of legislation and policies in the following areas:
 1. **Initial-Eligibility Standards.** The committee received an update from Dr. Herman Holt, chair of the NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet which included preliminary recommendations regarding the current initial-eligibility standards. The Committee on Academic Performance did not make any final recommendations based on its review of initial-eligibility standards during this meeting. However, the committee anticipates forwarding for Board consideration final recommendations in October. The Committee on Academic Performance's discussions centered on the following concepts:
 - Committee on Academic Performance supports a more rigorous academic standard for competition than for practice and receipt of athletically

related financial aid. Discussions centered on eligibility standards for practice and receipt of athletically related financial aid at the current nonqualifier level (e.g., 2.0 and sliding scale) or raising the grade-point average floor to 2.3 with the current sliding scale. The committee also supports a model in which practice is permitted in a student's first regular term of full-time enrollment if the new standard is met. However, within the first year, subsequent terms would require successful completion of nine semester or eight quarter hours.

- Committee on Academic Performance is also examining two potential models for increasing the minimum combination of high school core course grade-point average and ACT/SAT scores needed for competition in a student's first year of collegiate enrollment. Both models require better academic preparation than the current qualifier requirements. One model requires a high school core course grade-point average that is about one half of a grade point higher for any given test score than the current standard. The second model requires a high school core course grade-point average that is about one quarter of a grade point higher for any given test score than is required currently. Both options would result in an increase in the number of student-athletes who would be serving an academic redshirt year to help ensure their academic success (i.e., not eligible for competition but may practice and receive athletically related financial aid).
 - The committee supported the concept of requiring students to complete 10 core courses prior to the start of the seventh semester of high school. Additional discussions will continue regarding whether such a requirement should include a specified number of courses in English, math and science. The discussion centered on the possibility of requiring that six or seven of the 10 core courses be in English, math or science.
 - Consideration is being given to an effective date for students first entering college full time August 1, 2015, or after.
2. Two-Year College Transfer Academic Requirements. On the recommendation of the Academic Cabinet chair Dr. Holt, the Committee on Academic Performance supported the cabinet's amended effective dates to three legislative proposals in the 2011-12 Division I legislative cycle regarding two-year college transfers (i.e., NCAA Division I Proposal Nos. 2011-65, 69, 70). The proposals increase 2-4 college transfer academic standards; provide a year of academic readiness during

the initial year of two-year collegiate enrollment; and establish an appropriate appellate body for 2-4 transfer waivers. The cabinet amendments would result in all three proposals taking effect in 2012 rather than 2013. Committee on Academic Performance noted that no student-athlete currently enrolled in a two-year collegiate institution will be impacted.

3. Access to Championships and the APP Penalty Structure. The committee continued its review of the APP penalty structure in light of the Board's directive to include a postseason competition ban in the first level of penalties for teams with a multiyear Academic Performance Rate (APR) below 930. Committee on Academic Performance did not make any final recommendations in this area during the meeting. However, the committee anticipates forwarding for Board consideration final recommendations in October. The committee's discussions centered on the following concepts:
 - The committee is exploring a three level penalty structure. The first-level penalties would include a ban on postseason competition and financial aid penalties. The second level adds additional penalties that would include playing season restrictions (e.g., practice and regular season competitions). The third level would be a menu of penalties the committee could choose from to impose on underperforming teams. Teams would continue to be subject to the third level and its menu of penalties in subsequent years if they continue to underperform academically. The menu of penalties could include restricted membership, coaching suspensions for a designated number of contests, fines and other penalties. Committee on Academic Performance is also considering whether a TV ban should be part of this list of possible penalties.
 - At level one, consideration is being given to including a low resource filter (e.g., teams from schools in the bottom 15 percent of resources and with a 50 percent Graduation Success Rate (GSR) receive relief from the penalty). All other teams that fall below 930 would be subject to the postseason ban. The waiver threshold in level one would likely be very rigorous for approval with only those teams with truly extraordinary circumstances receiving relief.
 - For levels two and three, the committee is discussing an APR improvement filter. The definition of improvement will be reviewed and

may be revised to ensure this filter appropriately identifies teams making substantial academic improvement as defined by the committee.

- The committee generally supports a requirement that Division I conferences develop a policy regarding revenue distribution and automatic qualification for teams ineligible for postseason competition. The committee would not suggest what such policies should be, but rather simply require that each conference have a policy addressing these issues.
 - The committee anticipates maintaining the current timeline for notification and application of penalties.
 - The committee suggests changes in the penalty structure become effective for penalties imposed during 2012-13. This would mean for example, that championships and other postseason competitions (e.g., bowl games) conducted in 2012-13 would be impacted.
 - The committee suggests a two-year timeline for implementation of the new 930 penalty benchmark. For the next two years an APR benchmark of 900 would be used for the new penalty structure. For example, for the postseason ban penalty, championships conducted in 2012-13 and 2013-14 would require a minimum 900 APR and championships conducted on or after 2014-15 would require a minimum 930 APR.
4. Progress-Toward-Degree Standards. The committee anticipates receiving recommendations from the Academic Cabinet regarding progress-toward-degree legislation after the cabinet's February 2012 meeting. The Committee on Academic Performance has been asked to report to the Board in April with recommendations in this area.

Committee Chair: Walter Harrison, University of Hartford, America East Conference

Committee Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Academic and Membership Affairs

Kevin Lennon, Academic and Membership Affairs

Todd Petr, Research

Bill Regan, Academic and Membership Affairs

John Shukie, Academic and Membership Affairs

Jennifer Strawley, Academic and Membership Affairs

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ATHLETICS
June 21-23, 2011, MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Action Items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative Items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. Multidivisional reclassification – opposite gender -- NCAA Bylaw 20.4.1.2.

The Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) engaged in a thorough discussion regarding the application of new Division I membership requirements and the elimination of multidivisional reclassification. It was noted this issue was being discussed by the Division I administration cabinet. The committee unanimously supported permitting institutions that currently have a sport (other than football and basketball) classified in Division I for only one gender to seek reclassification of one Division I sport of the opposite gender.

In its review of the issue, the committee emphasized that institutions which already have a Division I sport and seek to elevate an opposite gender sport should have the opportunity to do so in order to provide equitable student-athlete access to competitive opportunities.

2. CWA committee members.

- a. Election of chair and vice chair.** The committee elected Marilyn Moniz Kaho'ohanohano, associate athletics director and senior woman administrator at University of Hawaii, Manoa, as chair and Sarah Feyerherm, associate vice president for student affairs at Washington College (Maryland), as vice chair.

- b. New subcommittee members.** CWA appointed the following representatives to its subcommittees: Ethnic Minority Enhancement Scholarship., Portia Lowe Hoeg; Pathways Leadership Development Program, Carmen Leeds; Leadership Institute for Ethnic Minority Males and Females, Marilyn Moniz Kaho'ohanohano; and NCAA Matching Grant for Minority Women Coaches, Sarah Feyerherm.
 - c. Outgoing committee members.** [Note: Terms are ending effective September 1, 2011, unless otherwise noted.] The committee thanked Stan Williamson, Campbell University, for his service as chair of the CWA. The committee thanked Bernard Muir, University of Delaware; Beth Miller, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill; and Zack Solomon, [effective July, 2011], student-athlete representative at American University for their service to the committee.
- 3. NCAA Division I Athletics Certification Program.** Troy Arthur, director of academic and membership affairs, provided background and an update on the current review of the Division I athletics certification program. He noted that the committee will ensure the new program is focused on (1) the student-athlete experience; (2) academic integrity; (3) fiscal integrity; and (4) diversity. He also reported the new program will be technology driven to make it more efficient, as well as focused on the outcome instead of the process. Arthur noted the feedback process will engage CWA for recommendations, particularly in regard to the diversity and gender equity aspect.

CWA discussed concerns about maintaining gender equity planning requirements to assist schools in accessing their efforts to provide fair and equitable opportunities and experiences for male and female student-athletes and completing effective actions for improvements as needed. The committee noted the important opportunity the certification process provides to open the athletic department's activities to a broad campus review.
- 4. NCAA Divisions I, II and III governance updates.** The committee received updates on Divisions I, II and III governance issues. It was noted the Division II Management Council Membership Committee requested CWA provide more information regarding the cost and benefits of attendance at the Gender Equity Forum as it considers making attendance a requirement for new Division II members.

5. **NCAA Inclusion Summit.** Dr. Bernard Franklin, NCAA executive president of membership and student-athlete affairs/chief inclusion officer, provided an update on the NCAA Inclusion Summit scheduled for September 19, 2011. The summit will advance discussions on how to engage presidential leadership on issues of inclusion in intercollegiate athletics, showcase the presidents and universities who champion inclusion, and discuss how the NCAA can create collaborative partnerships to increase diversity and inclusive environments.

6. **Research update.**
 - a. **Senior woman administrator survey results.** Erin Irick, NCAA assistant director of research, provided analysis of the data collected from a survey of senior woman administrators (SWAs) and athletics directors regarding the roles of SWAs in athletics departments. It was noted the most significant difference was the consistent gap between athletics directors who stated their SWAs received training and mentorship (80 percent), compared to SWAs who stated they had received such (30 percent). Approximately 90 percent of athletics directors indicated the SWAs are in decision-making roles; while only 75 percent of SWAs indicated they are filling that role. The committee directed staff to enhance ongoing education about the role of the SWA as a part of the senior management of an athletics department and reach out to conferences about best practices.

 - b. **NCAA personnel demographics.** The committee reviewed published data related to the number of women filling campus and conference leadership and administrative positions, noting in particular that women holding athletics director, associate athletics director and assistant athletics director positions have only increased from 26.9 percent in 1995-96 to 30.4 percent in 2009-10. In that same base year, 56.4 percent of head coaches of women's teams were women, but that has fallen to 39.5 percent in 2009-10. Assistant coaching positions held by women also has trended downward from 55.9 percent in 1995-96 to 49.1 percent in 2009-10.

 - c. In the future, Irick noted the survey results would be made available online, and would be in a searchable database so information could be compiled about specific areas of interest for the membership.

- d. The committee recommended development of a status report on women in intercollegiate athletics to include data and trend analysis of student-athlete participation and treatment, coaching and administrative leadership positions held by women. The committee also recommended continuing support of professional development programs for women in intercollegiate athletics and pursuit of greater support from athletics directors and presidents in sponsoring women for advancement.
7. **NCAA national office updates.** NCAA staff provided updates on the One Team One Future initiative, NCAA intern program, and the NCAA internal inclusion plan. In regard to the NCAA internal inclusion plan, Jennifer Strawley, director of academic and membership affairs, noted the subcommittee is examining the organizational culture; employee life cycle; communication; and training and development. It was noted the initial plan is internal to the NCAA national office, but concepts developed could potentially be applicable and provided to the membership.
7. **NCAA Violence Prevention Summit.** CWA received a report from Feyerherm, who attended the NCAA Violence Prevention Summit. After discussion, the committee noted that it believes the summit was a notable start and it supports continuing efforts to develop resources to help reduce the occurrence of acts of violence through the education of coaches, administrators, and student-athletes. CWA also encourages coaches and administrators to speak with their student-athletes about this issue and develop a network of support so student-athletes know who to contact. It was noted that instances of violence need to be monitored so it can be determined whether student-athletes are more or less likely than non student-athletes to be involved in acts of violence.
8. **Emerging sports for women program updates.**
 - a. **Subcommittee.** CWA voted on and approved the creation of a three-person emerging sport subcommittee to review and monitor the CWA's emerging sport program.
 - (1) **STUNT and acrobatics and tumbling.** The committee reviewed information for possible future emerging sport for women status

from STUNT and from acrobatics and tumbling. The committee had several questions about the information presented that will be communicated to the two groups. It was noted that one year of competition is not enough to make an informed decision, and it will monitor how the sports grow over the next couple of years. The committee noted it would prefer the two organizations work together to ensure it is creating a sport that benefits female student-athletes.

- (2) **Triathlon.** The committee reviewed updated information from triathlon organizers, and it was reported triathlon organizers are currently in the process of collecting 10 letters of commitment from member institutions to complete their proposal.
 - (3) **Equestrian and rugby.** The committee reviewed updated information from the equestrian community and discussed new strategies in development for expanding sponsorship of rugby by its national governing body.
9. **CWA strategic planning subcommittee.** The committee reviewed a report from the strategic planning subcommittee conference call on several new areas identified for possible inclusion into the CWA's strategic plan. The committee continued to discuss and identify additional areas to incorporate into the strategic plan. A draft strategic plan will be circulated to the committee and discussed in more detail during the committee's October meeting.
10. **Women's professional development opportunities.** The committee received an update from NCAA staff regarding professional development opportunities for women, including NCAA Women's Leadership Symposium, Winning Careers in Athletics, Women Coaches Academy, and National Association of Collegiate Women Athletics Administrator (NACWAA) Institutes. The committee expressed its continuing support for these professional development programs and future programs to support the role of the senior woman administrators.

Curtis Hollomon, NCAA director of leadership development, provided a review of current minority and gender professional development programming. Hollomon also provided an overview of new programming developments, including the creation of categories of positions in order for programming to be specific and in "real time" to the needs of the individual at that point in their career.

11. **CWA attendance at Gender Equity Forum.** The committee agreed to annually send three CWA representatives to the Gender Equity Forum. Staff was encouraged to reach out to conference offices to encourage attendance and to consider grants to help athletics directors attend the event.
12. **NCAA Woman of the Year.** The committee received an update regarding the NCAA Woman of the Year selection process. A record number of institutional nominations (471) was received this year. This year's event honoring the Top 30 and announcing the NCAA Woman of the Year will be October 16 in Indianapolis.
13. **Title IX update.** The committee received an update on Title IX-related matters. The committee supported development of a resource consolidating recent U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights guidance related to sexual harassment, bullying and violence for athletic department consideration. The committee also supported conducting a one-day Title IX seminar at the NCAA Convention once every four to five years.
14. **Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender issues.** The committee received an update on related matters, including websites addressing transgender student-athlete participation policy and the gay, lesbian and straight education network project.
15. **Gender equity resource center projects.** The committee received an update from NCAA staff regarding gender equity resource center projects. It was noted that a Title IX coordinator and model policy will be distributed this fall. The committee also suggested using opportunities like the NCAA regional rules seminars to educate women about professional development programming and governance opportunities.

16. Future meeting dates and sites.

- October 11-12, 2011, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, in conjunction with the NACWAA Fall Convention and a joint meeting with MOIC.

Committee Chair: Stan Williamson, Campbell University

*Staff Liaisons: Karen Morrison, gender inclusion initiatives
Brynna Barnhart, enforcement
Lynn Holzman, academic and membership affair*

**REPORT OF THE
NCAA MINORITY OPPORTUNITIES AND INTERESTS COMMITTEE
MAY 4, 2011, MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. Legislative items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

Association-wide.

1. Outgoing committee members. The following departing committee members were acknowledged and thanked for their service.

- Kirin Khan, Division III national SAAC representative, Mills College
- Donna Ledwin, Commissioner, Alleghany Mountain Collegiate Conference, Division III
- Karin Lee, Associate athletics director/Senior Woman Administrator, Ball State University, Division I
- Wayne Tanna, Professor of accounting, Chaminade University, Division II

2. Confederate battle flag. The committee was provided with an update regarding actions that took place during the April meeting of the Executive Committee Subcommittee on Gender and Diversity Issues. The committee agreed with the subcommittee's direction to approve the request for an institution in the state of South Carolina to host an NCAA championship event outside of the state. However, the committee would like to begin discussions within the governance structure to reconsider the intent of the policy and to provide education to the membership.

3. Committee restructuring. The committee received an update regarding the committee restructuring efforts. The committee was supportive of maintaining the autonomy of both the Committee on Women's Athletics (CWA) as well as the Minority Opportunities and

Interest Committee (MOIC) and expanding the current bodies from 15 members to 18 members to account for the addition of one president from each division. The committee was made aware that the new model would include a joint inclusion body comprised of representatives from CWA and the MOIC, including presidential representation that will provide oversight on broad inclusion initiatives. Joint subcommittees of MOIC and CWA focusing on key dimensions of diversity beyond gender and race would also be determined.

4. **Division I, II, and III governance meetings updates.** The committee received updates from the Division I, Division II, and Division III spring governance meetings.
5. **Division I, II and III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee updates.** The committee received summaries from the recent Student-Athlete Advisory Committee meetings. Key areas of discussion included commercialism (name, likeness, media activities), men's basketball recruiting, coordination of a national SAAC community service project, utilization of social networking by athletics departments, and assuring that students with disabilities and transgender individuals have an avenue to voice their needs.
6. **Committee on Women's Athletics report.** The committee received an update that squash has been removed from the emerging sports list. The committee also considered developments in sand volleyball, triathlon, tumbling/stunt and rugby. Recent senior woman administrator survey results indicate that schools are improving their methods of reporting the SWA designation with an accompanying administrative title.
7. **Campus Violence Impact and Prevention Summit.** The committee reviewed highlights of the summit and were referenced to the website where supplemental information, videos and resources are provided.
8. **Minority programming and resources.** The committee was provided with an update on the 2010 Racial and Gender Report Card and other resources that noted some of the recent successes as it relates to hiring within intercollegiate athletics for coaches and administrations. The committee also received an update regarding the Achieving Coaching Excellence Men's and Women's Basketball program where over 50 applications were reviewed for the upcoming June 5-8 program to be held in Indianapolis, Indiana.

9. Other business.

- a. **Promoting Olympic sports.** The committee participated in a discussion regarding the sustainment of Olympic sports, given the significant amount of minorities that participate. The committee will continue to stay engaged regarding this area of interest.
- b. **Inclusion Summit.** President Emmert and the NCAA Office of Inclusion will host an inclusion summit in Indianapolis on September 19. The summit will include presidents and other thought leaders.

10. Future meeting dates and sites.

- a. October 11-12, 2011, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. In conjunction with the CWA meeting.

Committee Chair: Dawn Reynolds, University of Miami
Staff Liaisons: Kimberly Ford, Minority Inclusion
Nicole Bracken, Research
Jay Rossello, General Counsel

**FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY
SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS -- CALCULATION OF BOOKS --
MIDYEAR ENROLLMENT**

Intent: To clarify that if a student-athlete enrolls midyear (e.g., second semester, second or third quarter) and receives any portion of a book allowance, the institution must use the amount in the numerator that is proportionate to the number of terms of enrollment (\$400 for semester systems, \$534 or \$267 for quarter systems).

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.3.2.1, as follows:

15.5.3.2.1 Additional Requirements. The following additional requirements shall apply to equivalency computations:

[15.5.3.2.1-(a) through 15.5.3.2.1-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Books shall count for calculation purposes as \$800 in the denominator. If a student-athlete receives any portion of a book allowance for the academic year, the institution must use \$800 in the denominator and numerator for books, regardless of the actual cost of the books. **If a student-athlete enrolls midyear (e.g., second semester, second or third quarter) and receives any portion of a book allowance, the institution must use the amount in the numerator that is proportionate to the number of terms of enrollment (\$400 for semester systems, \$534 or \$267 for quarter systems).**

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Proposal Category: Modification of Wording

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: na

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- NUMBER OF OFFICIAL VISITS -- TO DIVISION II INSTITUTIONS

Intent: To specify that the limitation of five expense paid visits per prospective student-athlete shall apply only to visits to Division I institutions.

Bylaws: Amend 13.6.2.2, as follows:

13.6.2.2 Number of Official Visits -- Prospective Student-Athlete Limitation. A prospective student-athlete may take a maximum of five expense-paid visits **to Division I institutions**, with not more than one permitted to any single institution. This restriction applies regardless of the number of sports in which the prospective student-athlete is involved ~~and only for expense-paid visits to Division I or II institutions.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet)

Effective Date: Immediate

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In January 2011, Division II adopted legislation that eliminated the limitation on the number of official visits that a prospective student-athlete may make to Division I and Division II institutions. The current difference in the legislation between the two divisions is likely to cause confusion for prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process and is an unnecessary burden for Division I institutions to track the number of official visits a prospective student-athlete makes to Division II institutions. This change is requested as noncontroversial legislation, inasmuch as broader consultation and debate are unlikely to improve the proposal in any substantial way, significant disagreement or alternative points of view are not likely generated and there does not appear to be a significant disagreement or alternative points of view are not likely generated and there does not appear to be a significant impact on existing or proposed legislation.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.



Proposal Number: 2010-26-3

Title: AMATEURISM -- PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- USE OF A STUDENT-ATHLETE'S NAME OR LIKENESS -- CO-SPONSORS OF PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- CONSOLIDATION OF MEDIA ACTIVITIES

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-26, to restrict the use of a student-athlete's name or likeness for promotions and advertisements, as specified; further, to eliminate the distinction in the application of the media activities legislation between those that occur during the playing season and those that occur outside the playing season.

A. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.02, as follows:

12.02 DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS

[12.02.1 unchanged.]

~~12.02.2 Likeness. Likeness includes an individual's image, photograph, likeness (whether actual, simulated, photographic, computer generated, rendered, caricature or otherwise), voice (whether speaking, rapping, singing, altered or otherwise), personality, biographical information and/or other personal identification.~~

~~12.02.3 Name. Name includes an individual's name, nickname, sobriquet, signature (whether actual, simulated, photographic, computer generated, rendered, caricature or otherwise) and/or other personal identification.~~

[12.02.4 through 12.02.7 renumbered as 12.02.2 through 12.02.5, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.1, as follows:

12.5.1 Permissible.

12.5.1.1 Institutional, Charitable, Education or Nonprofit Promotions. A member institution or recognized entity thereof (e.g., fraternity, sorority or student government organization), a member conference or noninstitutional charitable, educational or nonprofit agency may use a student-athlete's name, likeness or appearance to support its charitable or educational activities or to support activities considered incidental to the student-athlete's participation in intercollegiate athletics, provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The promotional activity must be approved in writing by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member), subject to the limitations on participants in such activities as set forth in Bylaw 17;

(b) The student-athlete and an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency must sign a release statement granting permission to use his or her name, likeness or appearance in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;

(c) The promotional activity may involve co-sponsorship by a commercial entity, as approved by the institution, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The promotion must identify (e.g., via graphics, voice over, text) the commercial entity's affiliation with the institution, conference or noninstitutional charitable, educational or nonprofit agency (e.g., entity is the official sponsor of the institution or event); and

(2) There is no indication in the makeup, wording or action of the co-sponsorship that the student-athlete endorses or is directly promoting the use of a product or service of the commercial entity.

(d) The student-athlete shall not miss class;



(e) The student-athlete's name, picture or appearance is not used to promote the commercial ventures of any nonprofit agency:

(ef) The student-athlete may accept actual and necessary expenses from the member institution, member conference or the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency related to participation in such activity; and

(fg) Any institutional commercial items with names or likenesses of multiple student-athletes **(other than highlight films or media guides per Bylaw 12.5.1.7)** may be sold only ~~by~~ **at** the member institution at which the student-athlete is enrolled, the institution's conference, institutionally controlled (owned and operated) outlets ~~or other institutionally authorized entities (subject to Bylaw 12.5.1.1.1)~~ or outlets controlled by the charitable, educational or nonprofit organization (e.g., location of the charitable or educational organization, site charitable event during the event). Items that include an individual student-athlete's name or likeness (e.g., name on jersey, name or likeness on a bobble-head doll), other than informational items (e.g., media guide, schedule cards, institutional publications), may not be sold.

12.5.1.1.1 Sale of Institutional Commercial Items. A commercial entity may sell an institutional commercial item that includes the names and/or likenesses of multiple student athletes, provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The sale of the commercial item is approved by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member);

(b) The commercial item must be considered to be an institutional item and must include the name of the institution. Two or more institutions may collectively agree to authorize or license a commercial item that includes the names or likenesses of multiple student athletes [any commercial item authorized for sale by the institution (or institutions) may be considered to be an institutional commercial item];

(c) The involved student athletes have signed a release statement granting permission to use their names or likenesses in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;

(d) The involved student athletes shall not directly endorse or promote the use of the commercial item; and

(e) The commercial item does not involve or relate in any way to alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or an organization that is involved with or promotes gambling.

12.5.1.1.21 Promotions Involving NCAA Championships, Events, Activities or Programs. The NCAA [or third part acting on behalf of the NCAA (e.g., host institution, conference, local organizing committee)] may use the name or likeness of an enrolled student-athlete to generally promote NCAA championships or other NCAA events, activities or programs.

12.5.1.1.32 Promotions Involving Commercial Locations/Sponsors. A member institution, a member conference or a charitable, educational or nonprofit organization may use the appearance, name or likeness of an enrolled student-athlete to promote its activities at the location of a commercial establishment, **provided the commercial establishment is not** ~~which may be~~ a co-sponsor of the activity, provided the student-athlete does not directly promote the sale of a commercial product or service in conjunction with the activity. **A commercial establishment would become a co-sponsor if the commercial establishment either advertises the presence of the student-athlete at the commercial location or is involved directly or indirectly in promoting the activity.**

[12.5.1.1.4 through 12.5.1.1.6 renumbered as 12.5.1.1.3 through 12.5.1.1.5, unchanged.]

[12.5.1.2 through 12.5.1.3 unchanged.]



12.5.1.4 **Congratulatory Advertisement ~~or Promotion~~**. **It is permissible for a student-athlete's name or picture, or the group picture of an institution's athletics squad, to appear in an advertisement of a particular business, commercial product or service, provided:**

(a) The primary purpose of the advertisement is to publicize the sponsor's congratulations to the student-athlete or team;

(b) The advertisement does not include a reproduction of the product with which the business is associated or any other item or description identifying the business or service other than its name or trademark;

(c) There is no indication in the makeup or wording of the advertisement that the squad members, individually or collectively, or the institution endorses the product or service of the advertiser;

(d) The student-athlete has not signed a consent or release granting permission to use the student-athlete's name or picture in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of this section; and

(e) If the student-athlete has received a prize from a commercial sponsor in conjunction with participation in a promotional contest and the advertisement involves the announcement of receipt of the prize, the receipt of the prize is consistent with the provisions of Bylaw 12.5.2.3.3 and official interpretations.

An advertisement or promotion by a commercial entity may include a student athlete's name or likeness (e.g., competition video footage, competition photographs), provided the following conditions are met:

(a) The advertisement or promotion is approved by the institution's director of athletics (or his or her designee who may not be a coaching staff member);

(b) The student-athlete has signed a release statement granting permission to use his or her name or likeness in a manner consistent with the requirements of this section;

(c) The student athlete does not miss class;

(d) The advertisement or promotion identifies (e.g., via graphics, voice over, text) the commercial entity's affiliation with the institution, conference or the NCAA (e.g., entity is the official sponsor of the institution or event);

(e) There is no indication in the makeup, wording or action of the advertisement or promotion that the student-athlete endorses or is directly promoting the use of a product or service of the commercial entity;

(f) If a student athlete's name is used in the advertisement or promotion, a reference to the student athlete's institution must be used immediately before or after his or her name; and

(g) The advertisement or promotion does not involve alcoholic beverages, tobacco products or an organization that is involved with or promotes gambling.

12.5.1.4.1 Effect of Violation. A violation of this bylaw shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1; however, the student-athlete's eligibility shall not be affected.

[12.5.1.5 through 12.5.1.6 unchanged.]

12.5.1.7 Promotion by Third Party of Highlight Film, Video or Media Guide. **Any party other than the institution or a student-athlete (e.g., a distribution company) may sell and distribute an institutional highlight film or video or an institutional or conference media guide that contains the names and pictures of enrolled student-athletes only if:**



(a) The institution specifically designates any agency that is authorized to receive orders for the film, video or media guide;

(b) Sales and distribution activities have the written approval of the institution's athletics director;

(c) The distribution company or a retail store is precluded from using the name or picture of an enrolled student-athlete in any poster or other advertisement to promote the sale or distribution of the film or media guide; and

(d) There is no indication in the makeup or wording of the advertisement that the squad members, individually or collectively, or the institution endorses the product or services of the advertiser.

[12.5.1.7 through 12.5.1.8 renumbered as 12.5.1.8 through 12.5.1.9, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.2, as follows:

12.5.2 Nonpermissible.

12.5.2.1 Advertisement and Promotions Subsequent to Enrollment. After becoming a student-athlete, an individual shall not be eligible for participation in intercollegiate athletics if the individual:

(a) Accepts any remuneration for or permits the use of his or her name or likeness to advertise, recommend or promote **directly** the sale or use of a commercial product or service of any kind, ~~except as permitted in Bylaws 12.5.1.1 and 12.5.1.4~~, or

[Remainder of 12.5.2.1 unchanged.]

12.5.2.2 Use of a Student-Athlete's Name or Likeness **without Knowledge or Permission**. If a student-athlete's name or likeness appears on commercial items (e.g., T-shirts, sweatshirts, serving trays, playing cards, posters) or is used to advertise or promote a commercial product or service sold by an individual or agency **without the student-athlete's knowledge or permission** ~~in a manner contrary to the requirements of Bylaw 12.5.1.4~~, the student-athlete (or the institution acting on behalf of the student-athlete) is required to take steps to stop such an activity in order to retain his or her eligibility for intercollegiate athletics. Such steps are not required in cases in which a student-athlete's photograph is sold by an individual or agency (e.g., private photographer, news agency) for private use.

12.5.2.3 Specifically Restricted Activities. A student-athlete's involvement in promotional activities specified in this section is prohibited.

12.5.2.3.1 Name the Player Contest. A student-athlete may not permit use of his or her name or picture in a "name the player" contest conducted by a commercial business for the purpose of promoting that business.

12.5.2.3.2 Athletics Equipment Advertisement. A student-athlete's name or picture may not be used by an athletics equipment company or manufacturer to publicize the fact that the institution's team uses its equipment.

[12.5.2.3 renumbered as 12.5.2.4, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-26, 12.5.3, as follows:

12.5.3 Media Activities. A student-athlete may participate in media activities (e.g., appearance on radio, television, in films or stage productions or participation in writing projects) when such appearance or participation is related in any way to athletics ability or prestige, provided the student-athlete is eligible academically to represent the



institution, he or she does not receive any remuneration for such appearance or participation and he or she is not portrayed in a manner as promoting or directly endorsing the sale or use of a commercial product or service. The student-athlete may, however, receive legitimate and normal expenses directly related to such appearance or participation, provided the source of the expenses is the entity sponsoring the activity. A student-athlete participating in media activities may not miss class, except for class time missed in conjunction with away-from-home competition or to participate in a conference-sponsored media day.

12.5.3.1 Use of a Student-Athlete's Name or Likeness by a Media Entity in Conjunction with Coverage of Intercollegiate Competition and Other Activities. A media entity, or its authorized distributor, may include a student-athlete's name or likeness in its coverage and promotion of intercollegiate competition and other activities incidental to his or her participation in intercollegiate athletics, provided the student-athlete is not portrayed in a manner as promoting or directly endorsing the sale or use of a commercial product or service. A media entity may feature a student-athlete's name or likeness in the course of its journalistic coverage of news (and the promotions of such coverage) related to the student-athlete or his or her institution or conference or the NCAA.

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2011

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: This amendment maintains current restrictions related to commercial advertisements and the sale of institutional commercial items; however, it would permit flexibility as it relates to co-sponsorship of institutional, charitable, education or nonprofit promotions. Also, it eliminates the distinction between media activities that occur during the playing season and those that occur outside the playing season.

Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 17, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 18, 2011: Amateurism Cabinet

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Adopted; Pending Possible Board of Directors Review



Proposal Number: 2010-58-C

Title: ELIGIBILITY, FINANCIAL AID AND PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- SUMMER ACADEMIC PREPARATION AND COLLEGE ACCLIMATIZATION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- NATIONAL SERVICE ACADEMY EXCEPTION

Intent: In men's basketball, to establish a summer academic preparation and college acclimatization model, as specified, including exceptions for national service academies.

A. Constitution: Amend 3.2.4, as follows:

3.2.4 Conditions and Obligations of Membership.

[3.2.4.1 through 3.2.4.16 unchanged.]

3.2.4.17 Academic Assessment -- Men's Basketball.

3.2.4.17.1 Assessment of Student-Athletes Receiving Athletically Related Financial Aid. In men's basketball, an active member shall assess all incoming student-athletes (including transfer student-athletes) who have signed the institution's written offer of athletically related financial aid for the following academic year to identify those who require enrollment in summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution. The assessment shall be based on criteria defined by the institution and shall include an assessment of learning skills. In following years, the institution shall re-evaluate all continuing student-athletes who will receive athletically related financial aid in the following academic year using institutionally defined criteria to identify student-athletes who should be encouraged to enroll in summer school. (See Bylaws 15.2.8.1.2.5 and 15.2.8.1.4.1.)

3.2.4.17.1.1 Exception -- No Summer Session. An institution that does not offer summer session classes is not required to assess incoming or continuing student-athletes.

3.2.4.17.2 Assessment of Student-Athletes -- Institution That Does Not Award Athletics Aid or Awards Only Need-Based Athletics Aid. In men's basketball, an institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), shall assess all recruited incoming student-athletes (including transfer student-athletes) in order to identify those that require enrollment in summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and in order to conduct athletics development activities pursuant to Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3. The assessment shall be based on criteria defined by the institution and shall include an assessment of learning skills. In following years, the institution shall re-evaluate all continuing recruited student-athletes using institutionally defined criteria to identify student-athletes who should be encouraged to enroll in summer school. (See Bylaws 15.2.8.1.2.5 and 15.2.8.1.4.1.)

3.2.4.17.2.1 Exception -- No Summer Session. An institution that does not offer summer session classes is not required to assess incoming or continuing student-athletes.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.02.12, as follows:

13.02.12 Prospective Student-Athlete. A prospective student-athlete is a student who has started classes for the ninth grade. In addition, a student who has not started classes for the ninth grade becomes a prospective student-athlete if the institution provides such an individual (or the individual's relatives or friends) any financial assistance or



other benefits that the institution does not provide to prospective students generally. An individual remains a prospective student-athlete until one of the following occurs (whichever is earlier):

[13.02.12-(a) through 13.02.12-(c) unchanged.]

[13.02.12.1 through 13.02.12.2 unchanged.]

13.02.12.3 Exception -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a recruited prospective student-athlete (including a transfer prospective student-athlete) who has signed the institution's written offer of financial aid for the following academic year shall be considered a student-athlete at the point in which he attends a class for which he is registered and enrolled in the institution's summer term prior to his initial full-time enrollment at the institution.

C. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

14.4.3.1 Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements. Eligibility for competition shall be determined based on satisfactory completion of at least:

[14.4.3.1-(a) through 14.4.3.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.1 through 14.4.3.1.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.3 Summer School Requirements -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an incoming student-athlete (including a transfer student-athlete) who attends summer school prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and engages in summer athletics development activities (see Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3) shall successfully complete a minimum of three credit hours of acceptable degree credit (other than physical education activity courses) toward any of the institution's degree programs in order to be eligible to compete in his first regular term of full-time enrollment. Remedial, tutorial and noncredit courses may be used to satisfy this requirement, provided the courses are considered by the institution to be prerequisites for specific courses acceptable for any degree program and are given the same academic weight as other courses offered by the institution. A continuing student-athlete who attends summer school and who engages in summer athletics development activities (see Bylaw 17.1.6.2.1.1.3) shall successfully complete a minimum of six credit hours in order to be eligible to compete in the first term of the following academic year.

14.4.3.1.3.1 Exception -- National Service Academies. In men's basketball, if a continuing student-athlete at a national service academy enrolls in an academic summer school course (in addition to participation in required summer military training) and engages in summer athletics development activities, he shall successfully complete the course in order to be eligible to compete in the first term of the following academic year.

D. Bylaws: Amend 15.2.8, as follows:

15.2.8 Summer Financial Aid. Summer financial aid may be awarded only to attend the awarding institution's summer term, summer school or summer-orientation program, provided the following conditions are met:

[15.2.8-(a) through 15.2.8-(c) unchanged.]

15.2.8.1 General Stipulations. A student-athlete who is eligible for institutional financial aid during the summer is not required to be enrolled in a minimum full-time program of studies. However, the student-athlete may not receive financial aid that exceeds the cost of attendance in that summer term. A student-athlete may receive institutional



financial aid based on athletics ability (per Bylaw 15.02.4.1), outside financial aid for which athletics participation is a major criterion (per Bylaw 15.2.6.4) and educational expenses awarded (per Bylaw 15.2.6.5) up to the value of a full grant-in-aid, plus any other financial aid unrelated to athletics ability up to cost of attendance. (See Bylaws 15.01.6.1, 15.01.6.2, 16.3, 16.4 and 16.12.)

[15.2.8.1.1 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. After initial full-time enrollment during a regular academic year, a student-athlete shall not receive athletically related financial aid to attend the certifying institution's summer term or summer school unless the student-athlete received such athletically related aid from the certifying institution during the student-athlete's previous academic year at that institution. Further, such aid may be awarded only in proportion to the amount of athletically related financial aid received by the student-athlete during the student-athlete's previous academic year at the certifying institution, except that this proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in a summer program of studies that will permit the student-athlete to complete his or her degree requirements.

[15.2.8.1.2.1 through 15.2.8.1.2.4 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.2.5 Required Financial Aid -- Men's Basketball. Each year, the institution shall assess all continuing men's basketball student-athletes who will receive athletically related financial aid in the following academic year using institutionally defined criteria to identify those who should attend summer school. Student-athletes who are not on schedule to graduate in five years from initial full-time enrollment shall be strongly encouraged to attend summer school. The institution shall provide a full athletics grant-in-aid to a student-athlete who is identified through the assessment and chooses to attend, provided he is enrolled in a minimum of six credit hours.

15.2.8.1.2.5.1 Exception -- No Athletically Related Aid or Need-Based Athletically Related Aid Only. An institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), is not required to award athletically related financial aid to student-athletes who attend summer school. However, the institution shall provide all the institutional financial aid (e.g., need-based aid) for which the student-athlete qualifies to a student-athlete who is identified through the assessment and chooses to attend summer school.

[15.2.8.1.3 unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.4 Prior to Initial, Full-Time Enrollment at the Certifying Institution -- Athletics Aid. The following conditions apply to the awarding of athletically related financial aid to a prospective student-athlete (including a prospective student-athlete not certified by the NCAA Eligibility Center as a qualifier) to attend an institution in the summer prior to the prospective student's initial, full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (see also Bylaw 13.02.11.1):

[15.2.8.1.4-(a) through 15.2.8.1.4-(e) unchanged.]

15.2.8.1.4.1 Required Financial Aid -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, a student-athlete who is required to attend summer school based on the institution's academic assessment (see Constitution 3.2.4.17) shall receive a full athletics grant-in-aid. Such a student-athlete shall enroll in a minimum of six credit hours (other than physical education activity courses) of acceptable degree credit toward



any of the institution's degree programs and shall receive learning skills education. Remedial, tutorial and noncredit courses may be used to satisfy this requirement, provided the courses are considered by the institution to be prerequisites for specific courses acceptable for any degree program and are given the same academic weight as other courses offered by the institution.

15.2.8.1.4.1.1 Exception -- No Athletically Related Aid or Need-Based Athletically Related Aid Only. An institution that does not award athletically related financial aid or an institution that awards athletically related financial aid based solely on demonstrated financial need, as determined for all students by the institution's financial aid office using methodologies that conform to federal, state and written institutional guidelines (including institutional financial aid that is considered athletically related financial aid based on the intervention of athletics department staff), is not required to award athletically related financial aid to student-athletes who attend summer school. However, a student-athlete who is required to attend summer school based on the institution's academic assessment shall receive all the institutional financial aid (e.g., need-based aid) for which the student-athlete qualifies.

E. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2.1, as follows:

17.1.6.2.1 Institutional Vacation Period and Summer.

17.1.6.2.1.1 Sports Other than Championship Subdivision Football. In sports other than championship subdivision football, a student-athlete may not participate in any countable athletically related activities outside the playing season during any institutional vacation period and/or summer. Strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis may design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete.

[17.1.6.2.1.1.1 through 17.1.6.2.1.1.2 unchanged.]

17.1.6.2.1.1.3 Athletics Development Activities -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution may designate eight weeks of the summer during which incoming and continuing student-athletes who are enrolled in and attending summer school (in a minimum of six credit hours) may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction. A student-athlete's participation in such activities per Bylaw 17.02.1 shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related workouts.

17.1.6.2.1.1.3.1 Exception -- National Service Academies. In men's basketball, a national service academy may designate eight weeks of the summer during which incoming and continuing student-athletes who are enrolled in and attending summer school and/or required summer on campus military training may engage in required weight-training, conditioning and skill-related instruction. A student-athlete's participation in such activities per Bylaw 17.02.1 shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week with not more than two hours per week spent on skill-related workouts.

[17.1.6.2.1.2 unchanged.]

Source: Mountain West Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2011; effective beginning with the summer 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility



Rationale: This alternative proposal recognizes that the development of an institutional connection is a critically important factor in retaining students. Given the critical importance of the relationship between coach and student-athlete, it is fair to consider that enhancing that relationship will also enhance the connection that a student-athlete feels toward the institution. This proposal will provide opportunities for men's basketball student-athletes at the service academies to have similar interaction with their coaches as their civilian counterparts while still adhering to the institutional mission of developing future military officers through required summer military training. Graduation requirements at the service academies include not only completion of academic requirements but also completion of military training requirements. Due to the nature of those military requirements, most of them are conducted during the summer months. As a result, student-athletes at the service academies have very limited opportunities to enroll in academic courses during the summer, yet they are still expected to complete all requirements (academic as well as military) in four years. Allowing the service academies to replace academic courses with military courses in order to reach the same goals (retention and graduation) makes this concept equitable for the service academies.

Budget Impact: Will vary among institutions.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal as compared to the current model.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 22, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-58-A and 2010-58-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Amended the Proposal; Amended the effective date to specify that the legislation would be effective beginning with the summer 2012.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Defeated

Jan 15, 2011: Board Review; Board rescinded the Legislative Council's action and forwarded the proposal to the membership for review and comment.

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 0, Oppose = 1, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled; Pending the review of the men's basketball recruiting model by the NCAA Division I Leadership Council.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 22-c
DI Legislative Council 10/11

2010-11 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals Related to Limits on Noncoaching Staff Members

This document is intended to assist the NCAA membership in its understanding of proposals in the 2010-11 legislative cycle that relate to limits on noncoaching staff members in basketball and football.

	NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C	Proposal No. 2010-16-C-1	Proposal No. 2010-16-C-2
Sport	Basketball	Basketball	Basketball
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	2 (two for MBB and two for WBB)	4 (four for MBB and four for WBB)	2 (two for MBB and two for WBB)
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • <u>Clerical staff</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the basketball program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program	• <u>Full-time undergraduate students</u> • <u>Full-time graduate students</u> • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program	• Clerical staff • Managers • <u>Video personnel who are full-time undergraduate students</u> • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

	Proposal No. 2010-18-C	Proposal No. 2010-18-C-1	Proposal No. 2010-18-C-2
Sport	Football (FBS)	Football (FBS)	Football (FBS)
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	6	9	6
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • <u>Clerical staff</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Video coordinator • Quality control personnel • Director of player development, • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the football program	• <u>Full-time undergraduate students</u> • <u>Full-time graduate students</u> • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the football program	• Clerical staff • Managers • <u>Video personnel who are full-time undergraduate students</u> • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the basketball program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

	Proposal No. 2010-20-A	Proposal No. 2010-20-B	Proposal No. 2010- 20-C	Proposal No. 2010-20- C-1
Sport	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)	Football (FCS)
Limit of Noncoaching Staff Members	4	3	4	6
Examples of Positions Included in Limit	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • <u>Video coordinator</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties are specific to and who work directly for the football program	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties are specific to and who work directly for the football program	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • <u>Video coordinator</u> • <u>Clerical staff</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted	• Director of operations • Quality control personnel • Director of player development • Director of community relations • <u>Video coordinator</u> • <u>Clerical staff</u> • Any other noncoaching staff whose duties include support of the football program and who are not exempted
Examples of Positions Excluded from Limit	• Clerical staff who work exclusively for the football program • Managers who work exclusively for the football program	• Clerical staff who work exclusively for the football program • Managers who work exclusively for the football program • <u>Video coordinators</u> who work exclusively for the football program	• Clerical staff • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who <u>do not</u> directly support the football program	• <u>Full-time undergraduate students</u> • <u>Full-time graduate students</u> • Managers • Sports information personnel • Equipment manager • Academic advisor • Athletic trainer • Marketing staff • Any other noncoaching staff who do not directly support the football program
Effective Date	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012	August 1, 2012

NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball -- Limit of Two

Question: If an individual supports both the men's and women's basketball program in the same capacity and is not otherwise exempted (e.g., video coordinator for both programs), does that individual count separately in the noncoaching limitation for each program?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2010-20-A Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Noncoaching Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Championship Subdivision Football -- Limit of Four

Question: What types of staff positions would be included in the proposed numerical limitations?

Answer: Some common examples of positions that would be included in the proposed numerical limitations include, but are not limited to, directors of operations, video coordinators, quality control personnel, directors of player development and directors of community relations. Individuals who may report to another unit or department within the department of athletics or outside the department of athletics, such as sports information directors, academic advisors, athletic trainers, equipment managers and marketing staff, would not be included in the proposed numerical limitations, even if these individuals have football-specific responsibilities.

Question: Why does the proposal have an effective date of August 1, 2012?

Answer: The delayed effective date was chosen in order to provide sufficient notice to institutions. It will be up to an institution's discretion to move effected noncoaching staff members into other positions if the institution is currently over the proposed limit of noncoaching staff members.

Question: How would the limits on noncoaching staff members affect employees who split their responsibilities among two to three sports (e.g., videographer for football and men's and women's basketball)?

Answer: Such an individual would not be included in the proposed limitations, provided he or she does not work directly for one of the sports for which the limits would apply and his or her responsibilities are not specific to such a sport.

Question: Does the proposed noncoaching limitation apply separately to an institution's varsity and junior varsity teams?

Answer: No.



Proposal Number: 2010-16-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF TWO

Intent: In basketball, to specify that there shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES **AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS**

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). **(See Bylaw 11.7.5.)**

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.5.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are



exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in men's and women's basketball. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-16-A or 2010-16-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to basketball and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonbasketball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the basketball program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-16-A and 2010-16-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 1)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-16-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF FOUR -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C, in basketball, to specify that there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members (four for men's basketball and four for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the basketball program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-16-C, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). (See Bylaw 11.7.5.)

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of ~~two~~ **four** noncoaching staff members (~~two~~ **four** for men's basketball and ~~two~~ **four** for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff**, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 ~~Clerical Staff and Managers~~ **Full-Time Students.** ~~Clerical staff and managers are~~ **A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaws 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the basketball program is** exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. **A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**



11.7.5.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-16-C-2

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- BASKETBALL -- LIMIT OF TWO -- EXCEPTION FOR VIDEO PERSONNEL WHO ARE FULL-TIME UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-16-C, in basketball, to specify that a videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-16-C, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES AND NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS

11.7.1 General Provisions Applicable to All Sports with Numerical Coaching Limits.

[11.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.1.1.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.1.1.1 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Member with Sport-Specific Responsibilities. A noncoaching staff member with sport-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of operations, administrative assistant) may participate in organized activities involving only the coaching staff or may perform administrative duties (e.g., attend meetings involving coaching activities, analyze video of the institution's or an opponent's team, track statistics during practice or competition). However, such an individual is prohibited from participating in instructional activities with student-athletes and any on-court or on-field activities (e.g., assist with drills, throw batting practice), and is prohibited from participating with or observing student-athletes in the staff member's sport who are engaged in nonorganized voluntary athletically related activities (e.g., pick-up games). (See Bylaw 11.7.5.)

[Remainder of 11.7.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members -- Basketball. There shall be a limit of two noncoaching staff members (two for men's basketball and two for women's basketball) whose duties include support of the basketball program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.5.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.5.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.5.1.2 Video Personnel Who are Full-Time Undergraduate Students. A videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.



11.7.5.1.23 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to basketball, but who do not directly support the basketball program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Many teams use full-time undergraduate students in the video area. Exempting full-time undergraduate students who serve a role in the video department provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Mar 01, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-18-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1 renumbered as 11.7.2.1.1, unchanged.]

[11.7.2.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.5 renumbered as 11.7.2.1.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.1.5, unchanged.] **11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members.** There shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.2.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.2.3, unchanged.]

[11.7.2.2.1 through 11.7.2.2.3 renumbered as 11.7.2.3.1 through 11.7.2.3.3, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel



Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in bowl subdivision football. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-18-A or 2010-18-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to football and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonfootball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the football program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-18-A and 2010-18-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 2, Oppose = 2, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-18-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF NINE -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-18-C, in bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of nine noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-18-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of ~~six~~ **nine** noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff**, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 ~~Clerical Staff and Managers~~ **Full-Time Students.** ~~Clerical staff and managers are~~ **A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaws 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**

11.7.2.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel



Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-18-C-2

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX -- EXCEPTION FOR VIDEO PERSONNEL WHO ARE FULL-TIME UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

Intent: To amend NCAA Proposal No. 2010-18-C, in bowl subdivision football, to specify that a videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-18-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2 Football Bowl Subdivision.

11.7.2.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.1.1.1 through 11.7.2.1.1.5 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.2.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.2.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.2.2.1.2 Video Personnel Who are Full-Time Undergraduate Students. A videographer who is a full-time undergraduate student at the certifying institution is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.

11.7.2.2.1.2²³ Noncoaching Staff Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.2.3 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.3.1 through 11.7.2.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel



Rationale: Many teams use full-time undergraduate students in the video area. Exempting full-time undergraduate students who serve a role in the video department provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 23, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-20-C

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF FOUR

Intent: In championship subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that clerical staff and managers and noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football.

11.7.3.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

[11.7.3.1 renumbered as 11.7.3.1.1, unchanged.]

[11.7.3.1.1 through 11.7.3.1.7 renumbered as 11.7.3.1.1.1 through 11.7.3.1.1.7, unchanged.]

11.7.3.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of four noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.3.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.3.2.1.1 Clerical Staff and Managers. Clerical staff and managers are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

11.7.3.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Members Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.3.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.3, unchanged.]

[11.7.3.2.1 through 11.7.3.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.3.1 through 11.7.3.3.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Legislative Council

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel



Rationale: This alternative proposal maintains the goal of the original proposal, which is to address concerns related to the proliferation of noncoaching staff members with sport-specific responsibilities and its impact on competitive equity in championship subdivision football. However, this alternative addresses concerns of potential circumvention of the intended application of the original proposal. For example, pursuant to the application of Proposal Nos. 2010-20-A or 2010-20-B, an institution could specify that 90 percent of a noncoaching staff member's duties are specific to football and 10 percent are specific to another sport or a nonfootball related function. Pursuant to this proposal, a noncoaching staff member whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity must be included in the numerical limit; however, clerical staff and managers whose duties include support of the football program and other noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program are exempt from the limitation.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Oct 19, 2010: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Oct 19, 2010: Legislative Council, Sponsored; Sponsored as an alternative to Proposal Nos. 2010-20-A and 2010-20-B.

Jan 13, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Forwarded for Membership Comment

Jan 16, 2011: Comment Period; Start of Comment Period

Mar 16, 2011: Comment Period; End of Comment Period; (Official Comment Totals: Support = 1, Oppose = 0, Abstain = 0)

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Final Review, Tabled



Proposal Number: 2010-20-C-1

Title: PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- CHAMPIONSHIP SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NONCOACHING STAFF MEMBERS -- LIMIT OF SIX -- EXCEPTION FOR FULL-TIME STUDENTS

Intent: To amend Proposal No. 2010-20-C, in championship subdivision football, to specify that there shall be a limit of six noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., clerical staff, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution; further, to specify that a full-time undergraduate or graduate student at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

Bylaws: Amend Proposal No. 2010-20-C, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football.

11.7.3.1 Limitation on the Number of Coaches. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

[11.7.3.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.2 Limitation on the Number of Noncoaching Staff Members. There shall be a limit of ~~four~~ **six** noncoaching staff members whose duties include support of the football program in any capacity (e.g., **clerical staff**, director of operations, video coordinator, quality control personnel, director of player development, director of community relations) who may be employed (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) by the institution.

11.7.3.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits.

11.7.3.2.1.1 ~~Clerical Staff and Managers~~ **Full-time Students.** ~~Clerical staff and managers are~~ **A full-time undergraduate or graduate student (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2 and 14.1.8.2.1.4) at the certifying institution who performs duties in support of the football program is** exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members. **A student who is enrolled in his or her final semester or quarter of a degree program may be enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements.**

11.7.3.2.1.2 Noncoaching Staff Members Who Provide Indirect Support. Noncoaching institutional staff members whose responsibilities relate to football, but who do not directly support the football program (e.g., sports information personnel, equipment manager, academic advisor, athletic trainer, marketing staff) are exempt from the limitation on the number of noncoaching staff members.

[11.7.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment-to-Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel



Rationale: Including clerical staff in the limit on the number of noncoaching staff members eliminates the need to strictly define responsibilities and functions that may be considered clerical. Exempting full-time students from the limit provides significant opportunities for them to gain experience and provides the sport program with valuable support.

Budget Impact: Variable. Potential cost savings based on the current number of noncoaching staff currently employed by an institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

none

History

Feb 08, 2011: Submit; Submitted for consideration.

Feb 09, 2011: Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Apr 12, 2011: Leg Council Init Review, Tabled

LEGISLATION

NCAA DIVISION I PUBLICATION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

2011-12 Legislative
Cycle

August 15, 2011



THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION
P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6222
317/917-6222
ncaa.org
August 2011

Legislation Prepared By: Lynn Holzman, *Director of Academic and Membership Affairs*; Steve Mallonee, *Managing Director of Academic and Membership Affairs/Division I Governance Liaison* and Leeland Zeller, *Associate Director of Academic and Membership Affairs*.

NCAA, NCAA logo and NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION are registered marks of the Association and use in any manner is prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Association.

Publication of Proposed Legislation for the 2011-12 Legislative Cycle

This publication presents all proposed amendments to the NCAA legislation that were properly sponsored for the 2011-12 legislative cycle in accordance with the Division I legislative calendar.

This publication is produced directly from the Legislative Services Database for the Internet (LSDBi) each time it is downloaded. Therefore, the content of the publication may change each time it is accessed from the NCAA Web page. As modifications or corrections are made to proposals during the sponsor modification/alternative proposal period, updates will be made to LSDBi and those changes will be reflected in this document.

Because this document is generated directly from LSDBi each time it is downloaded, there is no table of contents or index; however, users may use the "Bookmark" feature of the Adobe Reader to quickly scan the proposal numbers and titles and go directly to those proposals within the document. Users may also use the "Search" feature to find particular proposals. The proposals are presented by topical area by bylaw numbers and by proposal number within topical areas. The order of the topical areas is as follows:

Organization

Personnel

Amateurism

Recruiting

Eligibility

Financial Aid

Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Playing and Practice Seasons

Committees

Executive Regulations

2011-12 Division I Legislative Calendar

The legislative calendar, as set forth in NCAA Constitution 5 is summarized here for convenience of reference.

July 15: Deadline for submission of proposals by Division I conferences and cabinets.

August 15: Deadline for online posting of Division I Publication of Proposed Legislation.

Posting of POPL through September 30, 2011: Cabinet and Committee Review. Applicable proposals forwarded to the appropriate governance entity for discussion and comment.

July 15 through October 25, 2011: Sponsor Modification/Alternative Proposal Period. From July 15 through October 25 at 5 p.m., the cabinets or any conference may suggest that the sponsor modify the proposal or may sponsor an alternative proposal, provided the suggested modification or alternative proposal is germane to the original proposal. Modifications suggested during this period may increase or decrease the scope of the proposal. Entities suggesting modifications are to contact the proposal's sponsor to determine if the sponsor agrees with the proposed change.

October 17-18, 2011: The NCAA Division I Legislative Council Meeting.

November 15, 2011: Deadline for online posting of NCAA Division I Official Notice to the NCAA Web site. The Official Notice lists all pending legislative proposals (including alternative proposals) whether sponsored by conferences, cabinets, the Legislative Council or the Board of Directors.

January 11-14, 2012: NCAA Convention.

January 11-12, 2012: Legislative Council initial consideration of legislation.

January 14, 2012: NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting.

January 15 through March 14, 2012: 60-Day Comment/Amendment/Override Period. Cabinets and conferences shall have 60 days to offer amendments to any legislation forwarded for membership comment by the Legislative Council or request an override of any legislation adopted by the Legislative Council or Board of Directors or defeated by the Board of Directors at their January 2012 meetings. During this period, legislative amendments that do not increase the modification specified in the original proposal are permitted. Any amendments submitted during this time period will be posted for membership review on LSDBi. Pursuant to NCAA Division I Constitution 5.3.2.4.1, during this time period, member institutions also may submit comments on the pending legislation.

April 16-17, 2012: Legislative Council second consideration of legislation.

April 26, 2012: Board of Directors meeting.

April 27-June 25, 2012: 60-Day Override Period.

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR THE 2011-12 LEGISLATIVE CYCLE

[Note: In the following proposals, those letters and words that appear in ~~italics and strikethrough~~ are to be deleted and those letters and words that appear in **bold** face and are underlined are to be added. Some proposals may be considered in October 2011 as emergency or noncontroversial. All other proposals are for consideration in January and April 2012.]

Organization

No. 2011-11 ORGANIZATION -- GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE -- REMOVAL OF BYLAW 21

Intent: To specify that the Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance structure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees; further, to remove Bylaw 21 from the Division I Manual and specify that policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.

A. Constitution: Amend 4.9, as follows:

[Dominant provision, all divisions, common vote]

4.9 COMMITTEES/CABINETS

~~The bylaws shall provide for the establishment of such~~ **The Association or each division shall establish** a governance substructure (e.g., cabinets, committees) as **the Association or** each division considers necessary. ~~The number of members and tenure of each division's governance substructure shall be stipulated in Bylaw 21.~~

B. Constitution: Amend 4.9, as follows:

4.9 COMMITTEES/CABINETS

The bylaws shall provide for the establishment of such a governance substructure (e.g., cabinets, committees) as each division considers necessary. The number of members and tenure of each division's governance substructure shall be stipulated in Bylaw 21.

4.9.1 Division I Committees/Cabinets. The Administration Cabinet shall oversee the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance substructure and Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees. Policies and procedures related to selection, composition, duties, term of office and operation of committees and cabinets shall be published on the NCAA website.

C. Bylaws: Amend 21, as follows:

[Includes dominant provisions (all divisions, divided vote), common provisions (all divisions, divided vote), division dominant provisions and federated provisions]

~~21 Committees~~

[Delete all provisions of Bylaw 21.]

Source: NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Organization

Rationale: This proposal would eliminate Bylaw 21 in its entirety from Division I Manual and move the provisions to a committee handbook, which would be maintained on the NCAA website and would be readily available for the membership and governance structure to review as necessary. The Administration Cabinet would continue to maintain oversight authority regarding the administrative functions related to the management of the Division I governance substructure and

Division I representation on Association-wide and common committees. This proposal would not substantively change any current committee policies and procedures, such as those that set forth the selection, composition, duties, terms of office and operations of the various committees and cabinets. However, the Administration Cabinet would have the flexibility to change elements related to committees as deemed necessary without the need to propose legislation, which would, in turn, reduce the number of proposals in the legislative cycle and the related bureaucracy. A review of legislative history indicates the membership has readily adopted proposals (over 90 percent adoption since 1997) related to committees, with many adopted as noncontroversial legislation. Finally, this proposal supports efforts to simplify the Manual and focus on the most strategically important matters.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Personnel

No. 2011-12 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BASKETBALL

Intent: In basketball, to permit an institution to employ one graduate assistant coach.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- **Basketball**, Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. In bowl subdivision football, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In **basketball and** women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In **basketball**, bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

[Remainder of 11.01.3 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.2, as follows:

11.7.4.2 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.4 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.4.2.1 through 11.7.4.2.7 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2.8 Graduate Assistant Coach -- Basketball. In basketball, an institution may employ one graduate assistant coach (see Bylaw 11.01.3).

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4.1, as follows:

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to and received from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made and received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In **basketball**, bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made and received by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation prohibits the employment of a volunteer or graduate assistant coach in basketball. This proposal would establish an opportunity for individuals to advance their educational and career pursuits. Establishing a graduate assistant coaching position is a reasonable method of providing an additional coaching opportunity, comparable to other sports, without a tremendous increase in cost.

Estimated Budget Impact: Increased costs that will vary based on the cost of education at the institution.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that given current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)] are sufficient. The cabinet also expresses concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that given current squad sizes and the legislative financial aid limits, current coaching limitations [four head or assistant coaches, four undergraduate student coaches, strength and conditioning coach(s)] are sufficient. In addition, the cabinet expresses concern that an additional coaching position only reinforces the perception identified by the NCAA Division I Board of Directors regarding the increased number of bench personnel. Finally, the cabinet expresses concern with additional costs for institutions.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. The committee is concerned with additional costs for institutions at a time when many institutions' budgets are being modified and reduced. There is no specific timeframe for an individual to become a

graduate assistant in the proposal. The proposal would provide an opportunity to gain experience for student-athletes and other individuals who have an interest in becoming a coach.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-13 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach must have either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual must not have not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. ~~In bowl subdivision football, a~~ **A** graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and ~~has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and~~ qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. ~~In women's rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the~~ **The** individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

(a) In bowl subdivision football, the individual has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years; or the individual has not previously served as a coach (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) at a Football Bowl Subdivision institution or in a professional football league;

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(i) relettered as 11.01.3-(b) through 11.01.3-(j), unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Currently, in bowl subdivision football, an individual may serve as a graduate assistant coach only if he or she has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years. This proposal would allow an individual who falls outside the seven-year window the opportunity to be a graduate assistant coach, provided he or she has never coached at the Football Bowl Subdivision or professional level. The spirit and intent by which the membership adopted the current rule suggests that individuals who meet this exception to the seven-year restriction (e.g., played in the NFL for 10 years) should be provided an opportunity to be a graduate assistant coach.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and believes that the underlying issues are not nationally significant and that the potential impact on competitive equity is minimal. Further, the cabinet notes it is likely that isolated incidents led to the underlying restriction [i.e., receipt of first baccalaureate degree or have exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years]. The cabinet recommends potential deregulation of the underlying restriction.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-14 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- GRADUATE ASSISTANT COACH -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- NO PREVIOUS COLLEGIATE OR PROFESSIONAL COACHING EXPERIENCE

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that a graduate assistant coach shall have no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.01.3 Coach, Graduate Assistant -- Bowl Subdivision Football and Women's Rowing. ~~In bowl subdivision football, a~~ A graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and ~~has either received his or her first baccalaureate degree or has exhausted athletics eligibility (whichever occurs later) within the previous seven years and~~ qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. ~~In women's~~

~~rowing, a graduate assistant coach is any coach who has received a baccalaureate degree and qualifies for appointment as a graduate assistant under the policies of the institution. In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, the~~ **The** individual is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program unless required by institutional policy. The following provisions shall apply:

(a) In bowl subdivision football, the individual has no previous professional or collegiate football coaching experience as a head or assistant coach;

[11.01.3-(a) through 11.01.3-(i) relettered as 11.01.3-(b) through 11.01.3-(j), unchanged.]

Source: Pac-12 Conference, Big Ten Conference and Mid-American Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: This proposal attempts to align the rules pertaining to graduate assistant football coaches closer to the intent of NCAA Proposal No. 2009-10, which was to limit the proliferation of graduate assistant coach positions being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience. Under the current rule, a former professional player who graduated with a degree in 2000 and played ten years in the NFL could not become a graduate assistant coach, because his employment would begin outside the permissible seven-year window. However, a former professional player who finished his college career in 2000, played two years in the NFL and coached in the NFL for eight years while achieving a degree in 2006 could be a graduate assistant coach as long as his employment begins not later than 2013. Removing the seven-year window for employment as a graduate assistant coach and adding a requirement that graduate assistant coaches must have no previous collegiate or professional (e.g., National Football League, Arena League, Canadian Football League) coaching experience helps achieve this goal.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 28, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

No. 2011-15 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- FULL-TIME GRADUATE STUDENT WITHIN FIVE-YEAR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY

Intent: To permit a full-time graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student assistant coach, provided he or she meets additional criteria, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.01.4, as follows:

11.01.4 Coach, ~~Undergraduate~~ Student Assistant. ~~An undergraduate~~ **A** student assistant coach is any coach who is a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again, and who meets the following additional criteria:

(a) Is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics;

(b) Is **enrolled as a full-time graduate student (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2.1.4) within his or her five-year period of eligibility (see Bylaw 14.2) or is** enrolled as a full-time undergraduate student in his or her first baccalaureate degree program (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2), except that during his or her final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate degree program, he or she may be enrolled in less than a full-time degree program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements;

(c) Is receiving no compensation or remuneration from the institution other than the financial aid that could be received as a student-athlete and expenses incurred on road trips that are received by individual team members; and

(d) Is not involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or scouting opponents off campus and does not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2).

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES

[11.7.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2 Bowl Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of one head coach, nine assistant coaches and two graduate assistant coaches who may be employed by an institution in bowl subdivision football.

11.7.2.1 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.2 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any football game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.2.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.1.2 ~~Undergraduate~~ **Student Assistant** Coach. The limits on the number of coaches in this section do not apply to ~~undergraduate~~ **student assistant** coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4).

[11.7.2.1.3 through 11.7.2.1.5 unchanged.]

[11.7.2.2 unchanged.]

11.7.3 Championship Subdivision Football. There shall be a limit of 11 coaches of any type who may be employed by an institution in championship subdivision football.

11.7.3.1 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.3 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any football game, practice or other

organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.3.1.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.1.2 ~~Undergraduate~~ **Student Assistant** Coach. The limits on the number of coaches in this section do not apply to ~~undergraduate~~ **student assistant** coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4).

[11.7.3.1.3 through 11.7.2.1.7 unchanged.]

[11.7.3.2 unchanged.]

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

[Limits on numbers of coaches and off-campus recruiters unchanged.]

[11.7.4.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2 Exceptions to Number Limits. No individual other than coaches designated to fill the coaching categories set forth in Bylaw 11.7.4 may participate in any manner in the coaching of the intercollegiate team of a member institution during any game, practice or other organized activity, with the following exceptions:

[11.7.4.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.4.2.2 ~~Undergraduate~~ Student Assistant Coach. An institution may employ ~~undergraduate~~ student assistant coaches (see Bylaw 11.01.4). The limit on the number of ~~undergraduate~~ student assistant coaches in each sport shall be the same as the limit on the number of coaches in the sport per Bylaw 11.7.4.

[11.7.4.2.3 through 11.7.4.2.7 unchanged.]

[11.7.4.3 through 11.7.4.5 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: NCAA Bylaw 11.01.4 was modified in 2010 to expand opportunities for student-athletes to gain coaching experience while enrolled as full-time undergraduate students. However, the modification eliminated the opportunity for a graduate student who is within his or her five-year period of eligibility to serve as a student coach. This proposal would allow such a student-athlete to gain coaching experience while enrolled as a graduate student within his or her five-year eligibility period, which was previously permissible. Although part of the rationale for restricting the student assistant coach position to undergraduate students was to encourage former student-athletes to work toward graduation, the legislation should provide the flexibility to allow a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to gain exposure to the coaching profession by serving as a student coach. Finally, under the current legislation, a student-athlete actually may choose to delay graduation in order to fit the requirements to serve as an undergraduate coach,

an outcome which should not be encouraged.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-16 PERSONNEL -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- UNDERGRADUATE
STUDENT ASSISTANT COACH -- EXCEPTION -- NONPARTICIPANT -- FOOTBALL

Intent: To specify that in football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the remaining criteria applicable to an undergraduate student assistant coach, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 11.01.4, as follows:

[Federated provisions, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

11.01.4 Coach, Undergraduate Student Assistant.

An undergraduate student assistant coach is any coach who is a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility in the sport or has become injured to the point that he or she is unable to practice or compete ever again, and who meets the following additional criteria:

- (a) Is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics;
- (b) Is enrolled as a full-time undergraduate student in his or her first baccalaureate degree program (see Bylaw 14.1.8.2), except that during his or her final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate degree program, he or she may be enrolled in less than a full-time degree program of studies, provided he or she is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete the degree requirements;
- (c) Is receiving no compensation or remuneration from the institution other than the financial aid that could be received as a student-athlete and expenses incurred on road trips that are received by individual team members; and
- (d) Is not involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus or scouting opponents off campus and does not perform recruiting coordination functions (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2.2).

11.01.4.1 Exception -- Nonparticipant -- Football. In football, an individual who has neither engaged in intercollegiate football competition for the certifying institution nor engaged in other countable athletically related activities in intercollegiate football beyond a 14-consecutive-day period at the certifying institution may serve as an undergraduate student assistant coach, provided the individual meets the criteria of Bylaw 11.01.4-(b) through 11.01.4-(d).

Source: Southern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Currently, an undergraduate student assistant coach must be a former student-athlete who has exhausted his eligibility or has been injured to the point that he or she will never participate again. These restrictions severely limit the number of capable undergraduate students eligible to serve in this role. This proposal would increase the talent pool for undergraduate student assistant coaches and provide an educational opportunity for full-time students with an interest in the coaching profession. Students serving as undergraduate student assistant football coaches would receive invaluable experience while providing their institutions a reasonable means of augmenting their football coaching staff. Students serving as undergraduate student assistant football coaches would not be involved in contacting and evaluating prospective student-athletes off campus and would not perform recruiting coordination functions. It is important to note that this proposal would not increase the number of permissible undergraduate student assistant coaches in football as the institution would remain limited to a total of 12 such coaches in bowl subdivision football and 11 in championship subdivision football in accordance with NCAA Bylaw 11.7.4.2.2 (former student-athletes and nonparticipants combined).

Estimated Budget Impact: None; no increase to the limit on the number of coaches.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes the potential unintended consequences of outside individuals being involved with the program. The cabinet also notes that isolated incidents may be addressed through the waiver process. Finally, the cabinet notes that the proposal may decrease opportunities for student-athletes to participate based on limited number of opportunities.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-17 PERSONNEL -- CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS -- ATHLETICALLY RELATED INCOME -- PART-TIME OR VOLUNTEER STAFF WITH SPORT-SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES

Intent: To specify that contractual agreements between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution.

Bylaws: Amend 11.2.2, as follows:

11.2.2 Athletically Related Income. Contractual agreements, including letters of appointment, between a full-time athletics department staff member and an institution, or between a part-time or volunteer athletics department staff member (excluding secretarial or clerical personnel) with sport-specific responsibilities and an institution shall include the stipulation that the staff member is required to provide a written detailed account annually to the president or chancellor for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution. In addition, the approval of all athletically related income and benefits shall be consistent with the institution's policy related to outside income and benefits applicable to all full-time, ~~or~~ part-time or volunteer employees. Sources of such income shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

[11.2.2-(a) through 11.2.2-(g) unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation requires all part-time staff members, excluding those with clerical or secretarial responsibilities, to make an annual report regarding athletically related outside income. While recognizing the need to maintain control, the significant increase in the number of athletics department staff members, especially part-time employees, makes the annual reporting process a significant undertaking. Moreover, since many of the part-time or volunteer positions are filled by students, event management staff, or other temporary employees, it is difficult to ensure that the proper report is submitted prior to their departure. Full-time staff members and part-time or volunteer positions with sport-specific responsibilities are the positions with the greatest potential for conflicts of interest. Therefore, such positions should be the focus of the outside income reporting requirement. This proposal does not change the current requirement that all full-time athletics department staff members, regardless of their responsibilities, are required to report any outside income.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that it is not an issue of national significance. The cabinet recommends potential deregulation of

the underlying outside income regulations.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-18 PERSONNEL AND RECRUITING -- RECRUITING COORDINATION FUNCTIONS --
TELEPHONE CALLS -- RECEIPT OF CALLS FROM PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-
ATHLETES

Intent: To eliminate the restriction on the receipt of telephone calls from prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches) that requires such calls to be received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.1.2, as follows:

11.7.1.2 Recruiting Coordination Functions. The following recruiting coordination functions (except related routine clerical tasks) must be performed by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4:

[11.7.1.2-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Making telephone calls to ~~or receiving telephone calls from~~ prospective student-athletes (or prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians or coaches).

[11.7.1.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.1.2.2 Exceptions -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches.

[11.7.1.2.2-(a) through 11.7.1.2.2-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~and receive telephone calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.

(d) Telephone Calls Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. A noncoaching staff member or coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~and receive telephone calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that relate solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information), provided no recruiting conversation or solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs during such calls.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.2.2, as follows:

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional ~~coaching~~ staff members (~~see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1~~) may receive telephone calls placed

by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, including before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school.

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4, as follows:

13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to ~~and received from~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made ~~and received~~ by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made ~~and received~~ by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

13.1.3.4.1.1 Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment. Prior to a prospective student-athlete signing a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission, the following institutional staff members may make telephone calls to ~~or receive telephone calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) as specified:

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Academic Advisors. It is permissible for academic advisors (including academic advisors within the athletics department) to make calls to ~~or receive calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) related to admissions or academic issues, subject to any applicable limitation on the number of telephone calls an institution may place to prospective student-athletes.

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(c) unchanged.]

(d) Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches -- Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. A noncoaching staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may initiate telephone calls to ~~and receive telephone calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.

13.1.3.4.1.2 Exception -- Noncoaching Staff Members and Noncountable Coaches -- After Commitment. A noncoaching institutional staff member or a coach who does not count toward the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches in Bylaw 11.7.4 may make telephone calls to ~~or receive telephone calls from~~ a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

[13.1.3.4.1.2-(a) through 13.1.3.4.1.2-(b) unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation prohibits institutional staff members who are not countable coaches from receiving telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents, guardians, or coach) prior to his or her commitment to the institution, unless an exception applies. It is only permissible for noncoaching staff to forward a prospective student-athlete's call to a countable coach to avoid a violation. Many noncoaching staff members are capable of answering basic questions a prospective student-athlete may ask without needing to forward the call to a coach. Many times, coaches are unavailable and a message is left. Although noncoaching staff members are permitted to receive calls regarding basic logistical issues (e.g., camp logistics), at times, a call may involve both logistical and recruiting issues. If the prospective student-athlete begins to ask questions about recruiting issues, the noncoaching staff member is put in an awkward situation of telling the prospective student-athlete that he or she is not permitted to answer such questions. This proposal would permit noncoaching staff members to receive a call from a prospective student-athlete regardless of the content of the call.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet also notes that the proposal reduces monitoring and is an appropriate deregulation given that many consider the current rule to be unenforceable.

Women's Basketball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-19 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that all nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period; further, in championship subdivision football, to specify that all 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

A. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.2.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

11.7.2.2 Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head and assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).

[11.7.2.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.2.2.2 Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period. All nine assistant coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

[11.7.2.2.2 through 11.7.2.2.3 renumbered as 11.7.2.2.3 through 11.7.2.2.4, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 11.7.3.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FCS only]

11.7.3.2 Off-Campus Contact and Evaluation of Prospective Student-Athletes. Only those coaches who are counted by the institution within the numerical limitations on head or assistant coaches may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus. In addition, there is a limit of seven coaches (including the head coach) who may contact and evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time (see Bylaw 11.7.4).

[11.7.3.2.1 unchanged.]

11.7.3.2.2 Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period. All 11 coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time during the spring evaluation period.

[11.7.3.2.2 renumbered as 11.7.3.2.3, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: The recent change to calculate spring evaluation opportunities in football based on evaluation days provides an opportunity for additional flexibility in the use of evaluation opportunities. Permitting all nine assistant coaches in bowl subdivision football (due to the restriction on the head coach during the spring period) and all coaches in championship subdivision football to be on the road simultaneously allows for flexibility and efficiency in utilizing spring evaluation opportunities. This proposal will also result in savings by allowing institutions to determine the most cost-effective travel arrangements without being concerned with extra trips to and from campus for coaches (elimination of the baton rule during spring recruiting). There will be no recruiting advantages gained or other costs added because of restrictions currently in place that limit the number of evaluation days in the spring to 168.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential savings related to the ability to coordinate more efficient travel.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-20 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES -- OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITING -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- NONSCHOLASTIC EVENTS DURING SPRING EVALUATION PERIOD

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that four coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes at any one time at nonscholastic events during the spring evaluation period.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4, as follows:

11.7.4 Limitations on Number of Coaches and Off-Campus Recruiters. There shall be a limit on the number of coaches who may be employed by an institution and who may contact or evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time in each sport as follows:

Sport	Limit on Number of Coaches	Limit on Off-Campus Recruiters
[Baseball through Men's Basketball unchanged.]		
Basketball, Women's	4	<u>4 (at nonscholastic events during evaluation period)</u> <u>3 (at all other times)</u>
[Women's Bowling through Wrestling unchanged.]		

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation allows women's basketball coaches to evaluate prospective student-athletes at nonscholastic events during the one weekend (Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the

spring evaluation period. During this weekend, only three coaches may evaluate prospective student-athletes off campus at any one time. Although a coach must return to campus before he or she may engage in additional recruiting activities during this period, it is possible and highly likely that all four coaches are away from campus at the same time. The spring evaluation period occurs outside of the women's basketball playing season and at or near the end of the academic year. Since a majority of the recruiting activities will occur during a weekend and during a time in which student-athletes would likely choose to go home or participate in other activities, it is not necessary that a coach remain on campus. Consequently, it is reasonable to allow all four coaches to recruit off campus at the same time for this limited period of time.

Estimated Budget Impact: Cost of one additional off campus recruiter.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but noted its support for the concept. The committee intends to include this approach in its discussion of a new women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-21 PERSONNEL -- LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF OFF-CAMPUS RECRUITERS AT ANY ONE TIME -- EXCEPTION -- FOOTBALL -- CONTACT PERIOD

Intent: In football, to specify that during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Bylaws: Amend 11.7.4.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

11.7.4.3 Off-Campus Recruiting -- At Any One Time. It is permissible for a coach to leave campus to engage in off-campus contact or evaluation before another coach who is off campus actually returns to campus, provided the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time does not exceed the permissible number. The coach being replaced must complete his or her recruiting activities before another coach may begin any off-campus recruiting activity. Further, the replaced coach may not engage in additional recruiting activities until after he or she has returned to the institution's campus.

[11.7.4.3.1 through 11.7.4.3.2 unchanged.]

Bylaw 11.7.4.3.3 Exception -- Football -- Contact Period. In football, during a contact period, a replaced coach is not required to return to the institution's campus before engaging in additional recruiting activities, provided not more than seven coaches engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day.

Source: Big East Conference and Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Current legislation includes an exception to the "at any one time" requirement applicable in basketball during the July evaluation periods and an exception in all other sports during June, July and August. The latter exception does not benefit football because a quiet period encompasses the months of June, July and August. This proposal would provide football with the intended cost saving opportunity during a period in which football programs engage in a majority of their recruiting activities. It should be noted that when the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet considered the exception for basketball, it also discussed whether the proposal should be expanded to other time periods and other sports to provide flexibility and possible cost savings in travel expenses. Given the positive application of the legislation to basketball and other applicable sports, the exception should be extended to football during the contact period.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings in recruiting travel expenses.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-22 PERSONNEL -- BENCH PERSONNEL RESTRICTION -- MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that during a contest against outside competition, institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).

Bylaws: Amend 11.7, as follows:

11.7 LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER AND DUTIES OF COACHES

[11.7.1 through 11.7.4 unchanged.]

11.7.5 Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, during a contest against outside competition, institutional bench personnel shall be limited to four coaches, one director of basketball operations (or similar position) and two additional individuals (e.g., athletic trainer, team physician, manager).

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Personnel

Rationale: Recently, concerns have been raised regarding the number of institutional staff members on the bench during the men's basketball contests. Specifically, additional institutional staff may provide a competitive advantage and could limit the space available for student-athletes. Noncoaching staff members are not permitted to engage in coaching activities. Therefore, there is not a compelling need for additional staff members to be located on the team bench. Further, the effort to regulate the number of men's basketball noncoaching staff members has proven to be a challenge because of differences among various programs. Placing a limit on the number of institutional personnel who may sit on the team bench during competition is one alternative to address the perceived need to increase the number noncoaching staff members.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned about over-regulation and whether this really addresses the real issue related to the employment of non-coaching staff members. The cabinet also notes that some staff personnel [athletic trainer, staff physician] are not generally included in the perception of "too many suits on the bench." Finally, the cabinet notes potential interpretive/monitoring issues regarding what constitutes the bench area.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to match the bench limitations for the NCAA Men's Basketball Championship [i.e., 22 individuals in bench area (including student-athletes), 17 on bench (or 17 chairs) during competition]. In the event the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet shall sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet supports the concept of limiting the number of individuals in the bench area during men's basketball competition but believes that an institution should use its discretion to determine who may occupy the bench area.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Amateurism

No. 2011-23

AMATEURISM -- DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS -- AGENT

Intent: To specify that an agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly, represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain, or seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.

Bylaws: Amend 12.02, as follows:

12.02 DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATIONS

12.02.1 Agent. An agent is any individual who, directly or indirectly:

(a) Represents or attempts to represent an individual for the purpose of marketing his or her athletics ability or reputation for financial gain; or

(b) Seeks to obtain any type of financial gain or benefit from securing a prospective student-athlete's enrollment at an educational institution or from a student-athlete's potential earnings as a professional athlete.

12.02.1.1 Application. An agent may include, but is not limited to, a certified contract advisor, financial advisor, marketing representative, brand manager or anyone who is employed or associated with such persons.

12.02.1 through 12.02.6 renumbered as 12.02.2 through 12.02.7, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: As the salaries of professional athletes have risen, age restrictions to participate in professional sports leagues have changed and the notoriety of elite student-athletes has increased with scouting and media exposure, the interest of outside third parties in elite athletes is now greater than ever. As a result, an industry of individuals has been created, including runners, financial advisors, marketing representatives, business managers, brand managers and street agents who seek to broker elite athletes for financial gain. Although governing bodies have attempted to impose regulations on these individuals and their activities, the competitive nature of the industry has resulted in many finding ways to circumvent the rules. One constant is the use of outside third parties. These third parties typically operate free of any governing body's jurisdiction. In order to regulate the interaction of these individuals with prospective student-athletes and student-athletes, the definition of an agent must be broadened. This proposal is not intended to include parents or legal guardians, athletics department staff members, former teammates or those individuals who have the best interest of a prospective student-athlete or student-athlete in

mind in providing assistance or information, provided they do not intend to receive a financial gain for their assistance.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-24 AMATEURISM -- AMATEUR STATUS -- EXPENSES FROM A SPONSOR FOR PRACTICE OR COMPETITION IN INDIVIDUAL SPORTS PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT

Intent: In individual sports, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, a prospective student-athlete may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.

Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2, as follows:

12.1.2 Amateur Status. An individual loses amateur status and thus shall not be eligible for intercollegiate competition in a particular sport if the individual:

[12.1.2-(a) through 12.1.2-(g) unchanged.]

12.1.2.1 Prohibited Forms of Pay. "Pay," as used in Bylaw 12.1.2 above, includes, but is not limited to, the following:

[12.1.2.1.1 through 12.1.2.1.3 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.4 Expenses, Awards and Benefits. Excessive or improper expenses, awards and benefits (see Bylaw 16 regarding permissible awards, benefits and expenses to enrolled student-athletes).

[12.1.2.1.4.1 through 12.1.2.1.4.4 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.4.5 Expenses from Sponsor Other Than Parents/Legal Guardians or Nonprofessional Sponsor of Event. Actual and necessary expenses or any other form of compensation to participate in athletics competition (while not representing an educational institution) from a sponsor other than an individual upon whom the athlete is naturally or legally dependent or the nonprofessional organization that is sponsoring the competition. (See Bylaw 12.1.2.1.6.1.)

12.1.2.1.4.5.1 Expenses Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Individual Sports.
In individual sports, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, a prospective student-athlete may accept up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event and practice immediately preceding the event, from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than an agent, a member institution or a representative of an institution's athletics interests.

[12.1.2.1.4.6 through 12.1.2.1.5.2 unchanged.]

12.1.2.1.6 Preferential Treatment, Benefits or Services. Preferential treatment, benefits or services because of the individual's athletics reputation or skill or pay-back potential as a professional athlete, unless such treatment, benefits or services are specifically permitted under NCAA legislation. [R]

12.1.2.1.6.1 Donations credited to or earmarked for a prospective or enrolled student-athlete for athletics participation (e.g., as an individual or a member of a team) from a sponsor (e.g., neighbor, business) other than the individual's relatives or legal guardians are considered improper benefits. **(See Bylaw 12.1.2.1.4.5.1.)**

[12.1.2.1.7 unchanged.]

[12.1.2.2 through 12.1.2.4 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Currently, a prospective student-athlete may only accept expenses to compete in an athletics event if the expenses are provided by his or her parents or legal guardians, the nonprofessional sponsor of an event or a team that the prospect is representing. A prospective student-athlete who participates in a team sport and who competes on an outside team is able to travel and have his or her expenses paid in full or subsidized by a team, a team sponsor and/or event organizers or sponsors. Furthermore, a prospective student-athlete who participates on a team may participate in fundraising activities, provided the money raised is not earmarked for the prospective student-athlete. However, a prospective student-athlete who participates in an individual sport may not be associated with an amateur team or organization and, therefore, may not fundraise or seek financial assistance within his or her community to cover expenses for an athletics event. A prospective student-athlete who participates in an individual sport should have the same opportunities as those who participate in team sports. This proposal will enable youth who do not have the financial resources to participate in athletics competition and further their athletic aspirations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Golf Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The application of the proposal would be very difficult to monitor and could have unintended consequences. This proposal also further distances the NCAA from the USGA as it relates to what is permissible from an amateurism perspective.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-25 AMATEURISM -- EXCEPTIONS TO AMATEURISM RULE -- PRIZE MONEY PRIOR TO FULL-TIME COLLEGIATE ENROLLMENT -- TENNIS -- \$10,000 PER YEAR

Intent: In tennis, to specify that, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events, not to exceed \$10,000 per calendar year; further, to specify that once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed his or her actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event.

Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2.4, as follows:

12.1.2.4 Exceptions to Amateurism Rule.

12.1.2.4.1 Exception for Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Sports other than Tennis. ~~Prior~~ **In sports other than tennis, prior** to collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in an open athletics event (an event that is not invitation only). Such prize money may not exceed actual and necessary expenses and may be provided only by the sponsor of the open event. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the prospective student-athlete (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

12.1.2.4.2 Exception for Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis. In tennis, prior to full-time collegiate enrollment, an individual may accept up to \$10,000 per calendar year in prize money based on his or her place finish or performance in open athletics events (events that are not invitation only). Such prize money may be provided only by the sponsor of an open event in which the individual participates. Once the individual has reached the \$10,000 limit in a particular year, he or she may receive additional prize money on a per-event basis, provided such prize money does not exceed the individual's actual and necessary expenses for participation in the event. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the individual (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

[12.1.2.4.2 through 12.1.2.4.15 renumbered as 12.1.2.4.3 through 12.1.2.4.16, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Amateurism Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes and their families spend exorbitant amounts of money for travel and other expenses related to competing in tennis events. Rather than limiting prospects to accepting prize money only equal to or lesser than expenses on a per tournament basis, this proposal would allow prize money to be accepted on the aggregate to help cover further expenses. Research by the United States Tennis Association Player Development staff place the top junior and senior prospective student-athletes as having made significantly less than \$10,000 per year in prize money as prospective student-athletes, and combined with the financial costs to their families, most are not earning prize money in excess of their expenses. This proposal will allow prospective student-athletes to earn a reasonable amount of prize money without having to produce extensive documentation, as is currently required.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 29, 2011 Amateurism Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-26 AMATEURISM, RECRUITING, ELIGIBILITY AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- WORLD UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: To include the World University Championships in all bylaws that apply to the World University Games.

A. Bylaws: Amend 12.1.2.4, as follows:

12.1.2.4 Exceptions to Amateurism Rule.

[12.1.2.4.1 through 12.1.2.4.13 unchanged.]

12.1.2.4.14 Commemorative Items for Student-Athletes Participating in Olympic Games, World University Games, **World University Championships**, Pan American Games, World Championships and World Cup Events. It is permissible for student-athletes to receive commemorative items incidental to participation in the Olympic Games, World University Games, **World University Championships**, Pan American Games, World Championships and World Cup events through the applicable national governing body. These benefits may include any and all apparel, leisure wear, footwear and other items that are provided to all athletes participating in the applicable event.

[12.1.2.4.15 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 12.5.1, as follows:

12.5.1 Permissible.

[12.5.1.1 through 12.5.1.8 unchanged.]

12.5.1.9 Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup, ~~and~~ World University Games and World University Championships. A student-athlete's name or picture may be used to promote Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup, ~~or~~ World University Games or World University Championships as specified in this section.

[Remainder of 12.5.1 unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

[13.1.7.1 through 13.1.7.18 unchanged.]

13.1.7.19 Coaches' Attendance at Elite International Events. Coaching staff members may attend Olympic, Pan American, World Championships, World Cup, ~~or~~ World University Games or World University Championships competition that occurs outside the permissible contact and evaluation periods. However, attendance at qualifying competition for such events, including tryouts, remains subject to the applicable recruiting calendars.

[Remainder of 13.1.7 unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.8.3, as follows:

14.1.8.3 Waivers. Waivers may be granted to the minimum 12-semester or 12-quarter hour enrollment as follows:

[14.1.8.3.1 through 14.1.8.3.2 unchanged.]

14.1.8.3.3 Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games, World University Championships or World Youth Championships -- Competition. The Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee (see Bylaw 21.7.5.1.3.2) may waive the minimum full-time enrollment requirement for any participant in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games, World University Championships or World Youth Championships (including junior levels of such events) who, because of such participation, may lose eligibility for practice and competition in any sports.

[Remainder of 14.1.8.3 unchanged.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.1, as follows:

14.2.1 Five-Year Rule. A student-athlete shall complete his or her seasons of participation within five calendar years from the beginning of the semester or quarter in which the student-athlete first registered for a minimum full-time program of studies in a collegiate institution, with time spent in the armed services, on official religious missions or with recognized foreign aid services of the U.S. government being excepted. For international students, service in the armed forces or on an official religious mission of the student's home country is considered equivalent to such

service in the United States.

[14.2.1.1 through 14.2.1.3 unchanged.]

14.2.1.4 Athletics Activities Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, or a committee designated by it, shall have the authority to waive this provision by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting to permit student-athletes to participate in:

(a) Official Pan American, World Championships, World Cup, World University **Games, World University Championships** and Olympic training, tryouts and competition.

[14.2.1.4-(b) through 14.2.1.4-(c) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 14.2.1 unchanged.]

F. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.3.2, as follows:

14.2.3.2 Delayed Enrollment – Seasons of Competition.

[14.2.3.2.1 unchanged.]

14.2.3.2.1.1 Exception -- National/International Competition. For a maximum of one year after a prospective student-athlete's first opportunity to enroll full time in a collegiate institution following the one-year time period after his or her high school graduation date or the graduation date of his or her class, whichever occurs earlier, participation in the following organized national/international competition is exempt from application of Bylaw 14.2.3.2.1:

(a) Official Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, World University Games **and World University Championships** competition;

(b) Officially recognized competition from which participants may directly qualify for final tryouts for a national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~or~~ World University Games **or World University Championships** and final tryout competition from which participants are selected for such teams; or

[14.2.3.2.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.2.3.2.1.2 through 14.2.3.2.1.4 unchanged.]

14.2.3.2.1.5 Matriculation After 20th Birthday -- Tennis. In tennis, a student who is eligible under Bylaw 14.2.3.2, but who participates in organized tennis events after his or her 20th birthday and before full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be subject to the following:

(a) The student will be charged with one season of intercollegiate tennis competition for each calendar year after his or her 20th birthday and prior to full-time enrollment at the certifying institution during which the student-athlete has participated in organized tennis competition per Bylaw 14.02.9. [Note: This includes participation in intercollegiate tennis while enrolled full-time in another two-year or four-year institution; however, this provision replaces the season of competition counted in Bylaw 14.2 (only one season is used in any one year).]

(b) Upon matriculation at the certifying institution, the student-athlete must fulfill an academic year in residence before being eligible to represent the institution in intercollegiate tennis,

unless the student transfers to the certifying institution with a minimum of 24 semester hours (or equivalent) of transferable degree credit. (Note: All other NCAA transfer and academic eligibility requirements apply.)

14.2.3.2.1.5.1 Exception -- Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~and~~ World University Games and World University Championships Participation. Participation in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~and~~ World University Games and World University Championships is exempt from application of Bylaw 14.2.3.2.1.5.

G. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

[14.4.3.1 through 14.4.3.5 unchanged.]

14.4.3.6 Waivers of Progress-Toward Degree Rule. The Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee shall establish appropriate criteria for waivers of this legislation. The following waivers shall be administered by the conference members of the Association or, in the case of an independent institution, by the Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.

[14.4.3.6-(a) unchanged.]

(b) International Competition. The credit hours required under the progress-toward-degree regulation of Bylaws 14.4.3.1 and 14.4.3.5-(c) may be prorated at nine hours per term of actual attendance during an academic year in which a student is not enrolled for a term or terms or is unable to complete a term as a full-time student as a result of participation in the FIFA World Youth Championship, Pan American Games, Olympic Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~or~~ World University Games or World University Championships (including final Olympic tryouts and the officially recognized training program that directly qualifies participants for those tryouts). This waiver provision may be applied to no more than two semesters or three quarters. Credits earned by the student during the term or terms to which the waiver applies may be used to satisfy the 24-/36-hour [see Bylaw 14.4.3.1-(a)], percentage-of-degree (see Bylaw 14.4.3.2) and grade-point average requirements (see Bylaw 14.4.3.3).

[Remainder of 14.4.3 unchanged.]

H. Bylaws: Amend 14.7, as follows:

14.7 OUTSIDE COMPETITION, EFFECTS ON ELIGIBILITY

The eligibility of a student-athlete who engages in outside competition (see Bylaw 17.02.9) is affected as set forth in the following regulations.

[14.7.1 through 14.7.2 unchanged.]

14.7.3 Exceptions – All Sports. The following exceptions to the outside-competition regulations are permitted:

[14.7.3-(a) through 14.7.3-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Official World Championships, World University Games, World University Championships and World Cup Tryouts and Competition. A student-athlete may participate in official World

Championships, World University Games, World University Championships and World Cup tryouts and competition.

[14.7.3-(f) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 14.7 unchanged.]

I. Bylaws: Amend 16.8.1.3, as follows:

16.8.1.3 Other Competition. During an academic year in which a student-athlete is eligible to represent an institution in athletics competition (or in the following summer), an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the following activities:

[16.8.1.3-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Specific competition (e.g., Olympic Trials) from which participants may directly qualify for the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~and~~ World University Games and World University Championships; and

(c) One national team tryout competition event from which participants are selected for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup, ~~or~~ World University Games or World University Championships. Although national team participants may be selected from multiple tryout events for a particular listed competitive event, an institution may provide expenses for only one such tryout event for each of the listed competitive events.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: The World University Championships take place on even years and feature several individual sports and a few team sports that are not included in the World University Games, which occur on odd years. The World University Championships have grown and have had increasing success in recent years. They allow a large number of students and university sports leaders to unite on occasions other than at the World University Games. By expanding the noted bylaws to include the World University Championships, student-athletes participating in baseball, softball, cross country and women's equestrian will receive the same opportunities and benefits as student-athletes who participate in the World University Games.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies, depending on the relevant bylaws and eligibility of student-athletes to participate in the World University Championships.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Varies.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Olympic Sports Liaison Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-27 AMATEURISM AND EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- FINANCIAL DONATIONS AND ADVERTISING AND SPONSORSHIP OF INTERCOLLEGIATE EVENTS -- PROFESSIONAL SPORTS ORGANIZATIONS

Intent: To specify that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition event, including regular season and postseason events, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such; and that a professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such; further, to eliminate the prohibition on professional sports organizations or personnel as acceptable advertisers in conjunction with NCAA championships.

A. Bylaws: Amend 12.6.1, as follows:

12.6.1 Professional Sports Organizations.

12.6.1.1 To Intercollegiate Event. A professional sports organization may ~~not~~ serve as a financial sponsor of an intercollegiate competition **event, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such. A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such.** [D]

~~12.6.1.1.1 Exception -- Sports Other Than Football and Men's Basketball. In sports other than football and men's basketball, an institution's marketing department may enter into a reciprocal contractual relationship with a professional sports organization for the specific purpose of marketing and promoting an institutionally sponsored sport.~~

[12.6.1.2 unchanged.]

12.6.1.3 To Institution, Permissible. A member institution may receive funds from a professional sports organization, provided:

- (a) The money is placed in the institution's general fund and used for purposes other than athletics;
- (b) The money is placed in the institution's general scholarship fund and commingled with funds for the assistance of all students generally; or
- (c) The money is received by the institution as a result of ~~a reciprocal contractual marketing relationship~~ **the professional sports organization's financial sponsorship of a specific intercollegiate competition event** and is placed in the ~~athletics department's~~ **institution's** budget for the specific ~~purpose of marketing and promoting any institutionally sponsored sport other than football and men's basketball~~ **event, including ancillary activities and promotions.**

12.6.1.4 To Conference, Permissible. A member conference may receive funds from a professional sports organization, provided the money is received by the conference as a result of the professional sports organization's financial sponsorship of a specific intercollegiate competition event and is placed in the conference's budget for the specific event, including ancillary activities and promotions.

[12.6.1.4 through 12.6.1.6 renumbered as 12.6.1.5 through 12.6.1.7, unchanged.]

B. Administrative: Amend 31.1.14, as follows:

31.1.14 Restricted Advertising and Sponsorship Activities. The following activities are restricted when they occur in conjunction with NCAA championships. Other restrictions are set forth in the championships handbooks.

31.1.14.1 Advertising. Advertising policies of the Association are designed to exclude those advertisements that do not appear to be in the best interests of higher education. The NCAA president shall have the authority to rule in cases where doubt exists concerning acceptable advertisers and advertising copy of game programs, broadcasts and telecasts of NCAA championships; however, the following expressly are prohibited:

[31.1.14.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Cigarettes and other tobacco products; **and**

~~(c) Professional sports organizations or personnel (except as specified in the championships handbooks) in games other than licensed postseason football games; and~~

[31.1.14.1-(d) relettered as 31.1.14.1-(c), unchanged.]

[31.1.14.1.1 unchanged.]

31.1.14.1.2 Sponsorships. A championships activity or promotion may not be sponsored by liquor, tobacco, beer or wine companies ~~or by professional sports organizations or teams~~ at any time.

31.1.14.1.2.1 Professional Sports Organizations. A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an NCAA championship competition event, provided the organization is not publicly identified as such. A professional sports organization may serve as a financial sponsor of an activity or promotion that is ancillary to the competition event and may be publicly identified as such. The NCAA

may receive financial contributions from a professional sports organization for sponsorship of a specific NCAA championship competition event, including ancillary activities and promotions.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Amateurism

Rationale: Current NCAA regulations limit the involvement of professional sports organizations as financial sponsors of intercollegiate events, including NCAA championships. In the case of NCAA championship events, the regulations limit the opportunities for the NCAA staff and/or local organizing committees, host institutions and/or conferences that administer championship events in promoting the NCAA championships or related activities. Professional sports teams have been interested in advertising NCAA championships through their promotional channels (e.g., email to season-ticket holders, team website, newsletters), during their contests (e.g., public address announcements, video/message boards, promotional booth) or with their media partners. Partnering with professional sports organizations can help to increase exposure and fan interest for intercollegiate competition events, including NCAA championships, without compromising the Association's principle of amateurism and line of demarcation between intercollegiate and professional sports. This legislation is specific to events and ancillary activities. It would not permit a professional sports organization to be a general financial sponsor of an institution, conference or the NCAA. It will remain impermissible for a professional team/organization to provide a direct financial donation to an institution, conference or the NCAA (other than as currently permitted). Finally, it will remain impermissible for a professional sports team/organization to use the names or likenesses of student-athletes in any manner.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Women's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 07, 2011 Women's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Recruiting

No. 2011-28 RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- GENERAL EXCEPTIONS -- PARENTS OF ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: To specify that on-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and the parents (or legal guardians) of an enrolled

student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event shall be permissible.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2, as follows:

13.1.2 Permissible Recruiters.

13.1.2.1 General Rule. All in-person, on- and off-campus recruiting contacts with a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians shall be made only by authorized institutional staff members. Such contact, as well as correspondence and telephone calls, by representatives of an institution's athletics interests is prohibited except as otherwise permitted in this section. Violations of this bylaw involving individuals other than a representative of an institution's athletics interests shall be considered institutional violations per Constitution 2.8.1; however, such violations shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

[13.1.2.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.2.2 General Exceptions. This regulation is not applicable to:

[13.1.2.2-(a) through 13.1.2.2-(h) unchanged.]

(i) Parents (or Legal Guardians) of Enrolled Student-Athletes. On-campus contacts between a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and the parents (or legal guardians) of an enrolled student-athlete that occur on the day of a regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Parents of current student-athletes can serve as a valuable source of information for prospective student-athletes and their parents. Parents of current student-athletes offer a unique perspective on the team and the institution because of their children's participation. They can provide information about the institution based on first-hand knowledge of parental concerns, including information that the student-athletes themselves might not consider important. On-campus contact between parents of current student-athletes and parents of prospective student athletes may be unavoidable at an on-campus event. Permitting limited on-campus contact will allow the institution to provide prospective student-athletes and their parents with another valuable perspective on the institution and give them information they may need to make a fully-informed decision.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-29 RECRUITING -- PERMISSIBLE RECRUITERS -- STUDENT-ATHLETE -- OFF-CAMPUS CONTACTS DURING AN UNOFFICIAL VISIT

Intent: To specify that off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.2.7, as follows:

13.1.2.7 Student-Athlete. The following conditions apply to recruiting activities involving enrolled student-athletes: [D]

(a) Off-Campus Contacts. Off-campus, in-person recruiting contacts that are unavoidable incidental contacts between enrolled student-athletes (or other enrolled students) and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member. If unavoidable incidental contact occurs between a student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete (even at the prospective student-athlete's high school), such contact is permissible, provided the institution had no prior knowledge of the occurrence of the contact. **Off-campus, in-person contacts between enrolled student-athletes and a prospective student-athlete are permissible if such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.**

[13.1.2.7-(b) through 13.1.2.7-(c) unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Prospective student-athletes often have established relationships with current student-athletes, whether such relationships were established in high school, during the recruiting process or through other interactions. During an unofficial visit, a prospective-student-athlete is permitted to stay in an enrolled student-athlete's dormitory room if the prospective student-athlete pays the regular institutional rate for such lodging; however, the prospective student-athletes are prohibited from socializing off-campus with the student-athlete. If an interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact," the result is a violation. These situations happen frequently and create difficult situations for coaches and administrators, as they do not have access to private residences and

gatherings. If such contact does not occur at the coaching staff's direction, it should not be a violation even if the interaction extends beyond "unavoidable incidental contact."

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-30 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS AND ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE --
NO LIMITS ON OR AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE

Intent: To deregulate the restrictions on telephone calls and electronically transmitted correspondence, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before ~~July~~ **August 1** ~~following the completion~~ of his or her junior year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her ~~senior~~ **junior** year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; ~~thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.~~

~~13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.2 Exception -- Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the~~

~~beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

13.1.3.1.31 Exception -- Men's Basketball **and Men's Ice Hockey**. In men's basketball **and men's ice hockey**, ~~an institution is permitted to make one telephone call~~ **calls** ~~per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before on or after June 15 of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 before the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 prior to an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

13.1.3.1.31.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. ~~Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month from the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school to the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(c) During a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

[13.1.3.1.3.2 renumbered as 13.1.3.1.1.2, unchanged.]

13.1.3.1.42 Exception **Additional Restrictions** -- Women's Basketball. ~~In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.~~

~~(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2 (c)].~~

~~(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.~~

[13.4.3.1.4.1 through 13.1.3.1.4.2 renumbered as 13.4.3.1.2.1 through 13.1.3.1.2.2, unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.1.5 Exception—Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) Two telephone calls per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(c) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

~~13.1.3.1.6 Exception—Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~

~~13.1.3.1.7 Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., one per month, one per week, two per week), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).~~

~~13.1.3.1.8 Effect of Violations. Violations of Bylaw 13.1.3.1 and its subsections involving the first occasion when a staff member exceeds the permissible number of telephone calls during a given week (when it is otherwise permissible to call) shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.~~

13.1.3.2 Additional Regulations.

[13.1.3.2.1 unchanged.]

13.1.3.2.2 Telephone Calls Initiated by Prospective Student-Athlete at His or Her Expense. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may receive telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete at the prospective student-athlete's own expense at any time, including before ~~July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete** (see Bylaw 13.1.6.2).

~~13.1.3.3 Exceptions.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1 Official Visit Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete during the five days immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student-athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student-athlete, inclement weather conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. Athletics department staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student-athlete during the prospective student-athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2 Letter of Intent Signing Date Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional coaching staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student-athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.~~

~~13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

~~13.1.3.3.4 Off-Campus Contact Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete on the day a permissible, in-person, off-campus contact occurs with the prospective student-athlete.~~

[13.1.3.4 through 13.1.3.5 renumbered as 13.1.3.3 through 13.1.3.4, unchanged.]

13.1.3.6~~5~~ Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school~~ **the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete.**

~~13.1.3.6.1 Exception — Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.6.2 Exception — Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll-free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).~~

[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until ~~September~~ **August 1 at the beginning** of his or her junior year in high school **or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.** In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. [D]

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic ~~Transmissions~~ **Correspondence**. Electronically ~~transmitted~~ correspondence ~~that (e.g., email, instant messages, text messages)~~ may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) ~~is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles.~~ (See Bylaw **Bylaws** 13.1.6.2 **and 13.10.2.**) ~~All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are

specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]

~~13.4.1.2.1 Exception -- Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

13.4.1.2.21 Exception -- Electronic ~~Mail and Facsimiles~~ **Correspondence** Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. Electronic ~~mail and facsimiles~~ **correspondence** to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that ~~relate~~ **relates** solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) ~~are~~ **is** not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The legislation associated with the means and frequency by which coaches communicate with prospective student-athletes is ripe for deregulation. The legislation which prohibits the use of text messaging in the recruiting process has become outdated and institutions are allocating an inordinate amount of time and resources to monitor text messaging and telephone call activity. This proposal would have no impact on current legislation governing face-to-face contact, but would permit an unlimited use of all forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., email, text messaging, instant messaging, use of direct messaging features on social networking websites) and unlimited telephone contact by coaches after August 1 of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school (sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey). Although the proposal would not completely eliminate all aspects of telephone call and electronically transmitted correspondence monitoring, it would significantly reduce the burden.

Estimated Budget Impact: Variable. Some institutions may realize a cost savings related to communication monitoring.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communications. The cabinet also expresses support for the preliminary recommendations of the NCAA Division I Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet supports the concept and prefers Proposal No. 2011-31. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal Nos. 2011-31 and 2011-37.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position. These issues will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-31 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- NO LIMITS AFTER FIRST PERMISSIBLE DATE

Intent: To eliminate the limitations on the number and frequency of telephone calls to prospective student-athletes, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.1.3 Telephone Calls.

13.1.3.1 Time Period for Telephone Calls -- General Rule. Telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her ~~junior~~ **sophomore** year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her ~~senior~~ **junior** year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; ~~thereafter, staff members shall not make such telephone calls more than once per week.~~

~~13.1.3.1.1 Exception — Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be~~

~~limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.2 Exception — Football. In football, one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may be made from April 15 through May 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Additional telephone calls to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before September 1 of the beginning of the individual's senior year in high school. Thereafter, such telephone contact is limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.3 Exception — Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call calls per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 of the individual's junior year in high school. Thereafter, outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make two telephone calls per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 before the individual's senior year in high school. Outside a contact period, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to a two-year or four-year college prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians). During a contact period that occurs after August 1 prior to an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

~~13.1.3.1.3.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month from the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school to the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) Two telephone calls per week beginning on the opening day of classes of the individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(c) During a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

~~13.1.3.1.31.2 Telephone Calls to "1-900" Numbers -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, an institution shall not place a telephone call to a "1-900" number connected to a prospective student-athlete or an individual associated with a prospective student-athlete.~~

~~13.1.3.1.4 Exception — Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, telephone calls may be made to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call during the month of April of the individual's junior year in high school on or after the Thursday after the conclusion of the NCAA Division I Women's Final Four.~~

- ~~(b) One telephone call during the month of May of the individual's junior year in high school.~~
- ~~(c) One telephone call on or after June 1 through June 20 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~
- ~~(d) One telephone call on or after June 21 through June 30 of the individual's junior year in high school.~~
- ~~(e) Three telephone calls during the month of July following the individual's junior year in high school, with not more than one telephone call per week [see Bylaw 13.1.6.2.2 (c)].~~
- ~~(f) Thereafter, one telephone call per week outside a contact period and unlimited telephone calls during a contact period.~~

~~13.1.3.1.4.1 Additional Restrictions — Additional Communication with a Parent or Legal Guardian Who Serves on Staff of a Nonscholastic Team. An institution may not have any additional communication with a parent or legal guardian of a prospective student-athlete who serves on the staff of his or her daughter's nonscholastic team unless the parent or legal guardian also serves as a head coach of his or her daughter's scholastic team and the additional communication is unrelated to recruitment of his or her daughter.~~

13.1.3.1.4.2 *Additional Restrictions* — July Evaluation Periods-- **Women's Basketball**. In women's basketball, during the July evaluation periods, all communication with a prospective student-athlete, the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, the prospective student-athlete's coach or any individual associated with the prospective student-athlete as a result of the prospective student-athlete's participation in basketball, directly or indirectly, is prohibited.

13.1.3.1.4.2.1 *Exception -- Communication After Commitment*. In women's basketball, an institution may communicate with a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives, legal guardians or coach) during the July evaluation periods, provided the communication does not occur until the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:

- (a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or
- (b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.

~~13.1.3.1.5 Exception — Men's Ice Hockey. In men's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call per month to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) on or after June 15 at the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school through July 31 after the individual's junior year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning August 1 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.1.5.1 Nontraditional Academic Calendars. If an individual attends an educational institution that uses a nontraditional academic calendar (e.g., southern hemisphere) telephone calls to the individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. Thereafter, an institution may make telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or~~

~~legal guardians) as follows:~~

~~(a) One telephone call per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(b) Two telephone calls per month between the day after the conclusion of the individual's sophomore year in high school and the opening day of classes of individual's senior year in high school.~~

~~(c) In sports for which a defined recruiting calendar applies (see Bylaw 13.17), during a contact period that occurs on or after the opening day of classes of an individual's senior year in high school, telephone calls may be made at the institution's discretion.~~

~~13.1.3.1.6 Exception — Women's Ice Hockey. In women's ice hockey, an institution is permitted to make one telephone call to an individual (or the individual's relatives or guardians) who is a resident of a foreign country on or after July 7 through July 31 following the completion of the individual's sophomore year in high school. An institution is permitted to make one telephone call per week to an individual (or the individual's relatives or legal guardians) beginning July 7 following completion of the individual's junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~

~~13.1.3.1.7 Application of Telephone Call Limitations. Once an institution reaches the applicable limit on telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) for a particular time period (e.g., one per month, one per week, two per week), the institution may not initiate an additional telephone call during the same time period, even if no direct conversation occurs during the additional call (e.g., voicemail message).~~

~~13.1.3.1.8 Effect of Violations. Violations of Bylaw 13.1.3.1 and its subsections involving the first occasion when a staff member exceeds the permissible number of telephone calls during a given week (when it is otherwise permissible to call) shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1 and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.~~

[13.1.3.2 unchanged.]

~~13.1.3.3 Exceptions.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1 Official Visit Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student-athlete during the five days immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's official visit (per Bylaw 13.6) to that institution. If more than one call per week occurs under this exception and a scheduled official visit is canceled due to circumstances beyond the control of the prospective student-athlete or the institution (e.g., trip is canceled by the prospective student-athlete, inclement weather conditions), such calls shall not be considered institutional violations and shall not affect the prospective student-athlete's eligibility. However, the institution shall submit a report to the conference office noting the cancellation of the official visit and the reasons for such cancellation.~~

~~13.1.3.3.1.1 Telephone Calls in Conjunction with Official Visit. Athletics department staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete or those individuals accompanying the prospective student athlete during the prospective student athlete's official visit transportation and during his or her official visit.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2 Letter of Intent Signing Date Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete on the initial date for the signing of the National Letter of Intent and during the two days immediately following the initial signing date.~~

~~13.1.3.3.2.1 Football Exception. In football, institutional coaching staff members may make unlimited telephone calls to prospective student athletes during the period 48 hours before and 48 hours after 7 a.m. on the initial signing date for the National Letter of Intent.~~

~~13.1.3.3.3 Telephone Calls After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the number of telephone calls by the institution to a prospective student athlete (or the prospective student athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

~~13.1.3.3.4 Off-Campus Contact Exception. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may make unlimited telephone calls to a prospective student athlete on the day a permissible, in-person, off-campus contact occurs with the prospective student athlete.~~

[13.1.3.4 renumbered as 13.1.3.3, unchanged.]

13.1.3.54 Nonpermissible Callers.

[13.1.3.5.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.54.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. Enrolled student-athletes or other enrolled students shall not make or participate in telephone calls to prospective student-athletes at the direction of a coaching staff member or financed by the institution or a representative of its athletics interests; however, they may receive telephone calls at the expense of the prospective student-athlete on or after July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's ~~junior~~ **sophomore** year in high school. An enrolled student-athlete may receive telephone calls made at the expense of a prospective student-athlete prior to July 1 following the completion of the prospective student-athlete's ~~junior~~ **sophomore** year in high school, provided there is no direct or indirect involvement by athletics department staff.

[13.1.3.5.2.1 renumbered as 13.1.3.4.2.1, unchanged.]

13.1.3.65 Collect and Toll-Free Telephone Calls. Institutional coaching staff members (see Bylaw 13.1.3.4.1) may accept collect and toll-free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and a prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than ~~July 1 following completion of the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school~~ **the date on which an institution may begin**

placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete.

~~13.1.3.6.1 Exception—Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll free (e.g., 1-800, 1-888) telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are placed not earlier than the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year in high school.~~

~~13.1.3.6.2 Exception—Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, institutional coaching staff members may accept collect and toll free telephone calls placed by a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents and legal guardians, provided the calls are not placed earlier than the date on which an institution may begin placing telephone calls to the prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.3.1.3).~~

[13.1.3.7 renumbered as 13.1.3.6, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal seeks to further the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet's deregulation efforts regarding telephone calls. Currently, many institutions are spending thousands of dollars for software to monitor telephone calls, as well as countless hours investigating potential violations. However, coaches are able to easily circumvent the phone call limitations (e.g., one per week) by emailing a prospective student-athlete and requesting that the prospective student-athlete call the coach at his or her own expense. The current restrictions do not limit the amount of intrusion on prospective student-athletes. In fact, a prospective student-athlete may feel more compelled to make a call at his or her own expense to a coach in response to the email request than to answer a telephone call. Further, early in the recruiting process, coaches and prospective student-athletes should be expected to discuss the prospective student-athlete's preferred frequency and method of communication. As a result, reasonable parameters may be set as it relates to telephone calls.

Estimated Budget Impact: Variable, based on the amount of telephone calls made to prospective student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The cabinet expresses support for the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic of communications. The cabinet also expresses support for the preliminary recommendations of the Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position. This issue will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model.

History:

Jun 22, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Proposal modified to specify that telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school (subject to the exceptions below), or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

Sep 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-32 RECRUITING -- TELEPHONE CALLS -- PERMISSIBLE CALLERS -- EXCEPTIONS -- PRIOR TO COMMITMENT -- COMPLIANCE ADMINISTRATORS

Intent: To permit compliance administrators to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) with no limit on the timing or number of such calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.4, as follows:

13.1.3.4 Permissible Callers.

13.1.3.4.1 Institutional Coaching Staff Members -- General Rule. All telephone calls made to and received from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or coaches) must be made and received by the head coach or one or more of the assistant coaches who count toward the numerical limitations in Bylaw 11.7.4 (see Bylaw 11.7.1.2). In bowl subdivision football and women's rowing, such telephone calls also may be made and received by a graduate assistant coach, provided the coach has successfully completed the coaches' certification examination per Bylaw 11.5.1.1.

13.1.3.4.1.1 Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment. Prior to a prospective student-athlete signing a National Letter of Intent or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission, the following institutional staff members may make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) as specified:

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(a) through 13.1.3.4.1.1-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Compliance Administrators. It is permissible for a compliance administrator to **make telephone calls to or receive** ~~return~~ telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete **(or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians)** with no limit on the timing or number of such telephone calls, provided the calls relate only to compliance issues.

[13.1.3.4.1.1-(d) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 13.1.3.4 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Compliance administrators should have the flexibility to make telephone calls to or receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student athlete's parents or legal guardians) in order to address compliance-related issues, even if such issues occur prior to the prospective student-athlete's commitment to the institution. However, it would remain impermissible for a compliance administrator to engage in a recruiting conversation during such a telephone call.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-33 RECRUITING -- CONTACTS AND EVALUATIONS -- RECRUITING PERSON DAYS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL, WOMEN'S SAND VOLLEYBALL AND WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL

Intent: In women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football, Women's Sand Volleyball, Women's Volleyball and Basketball. In sports other than football, women's sand volleyball, women's volleyball and basketball, an institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 through 13.1.5.3 unchanged.]

13.1.5.4 Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, ~~during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete; however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the~~

an institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.4.1 through 13.1.5.4.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.5 Women's Sand Volleyball. In women's sand volleyball, an institution is limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

13.1.5.6 Women's Volleyball. In women's volleyball, an institution is limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.5 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.7 through 13.1.5.12, unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution -- Sports Other Than Women's Basketball, Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball. A In sports other than women's basketball, women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, a visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football, Women's Sand Volleyball, Women's Volleyball and Basketball. In sports other than football, women's sand volleyball, women's volleyball and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 through 13.1.7.5 unchanged.]

13.1.7.6 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, ~~each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) during the academic year~~ there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete; ~~however, during the prospective student-athlete's senior year, the institution is limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.4 and 13.1.5.5).~~ Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

13.1.7.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball. In women's sand volleyball and women's volleyball, there is no limit on the

number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. (See Bylaws 13.1.7.13 and 13.1.7.14.)

[13.1.7.7 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.8 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Recruiting model feedback indicated broad support for establishment of recruiting person days or evaluation days in all sports and the elimination of limitations on the number of evaluations. In the sports that have established recruiting person days or evaluation days, institutions should have the autonomy to determine how to use them without the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. This proposal will eliminate the burden of monitoring the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete and inadvertent violations of the limitation. Men's basketball is not included in this proposal due to the current review of men's basketball recruiting issues and rules by the Leadership Council. Football is not included due to the specific nature of the current evaluation periods and the limits on evaluations during each period. Softball is not included due to the fact that the observation of prospective student-athletes participating in high school softball competition does not count toward the limit on evaluation days. These sports and others are encouraged to explore either recommending revisions to current legislation or to establish recruiting-person days or evaluation days and to eliminate the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. Evaluations are easier to monitor per prospective student-athlete and eliminating the limitation on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete would result in unnecessary trips to "babysit" specific prospective student-athletes during the recruiting process despite the overall number of recruiting person days.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. In addition, it is difficult to stay under the limit on the number of evaluations due to the number of large events in which prospective student-athletes participate.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-34 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVALUATIONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL --
EVALUATIONS DURING ACADEMIC YEAR EVALUATION PERIODS -- LIVE
EVALUATIONS

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year evaluation periods (other than permissible nonscholastic events) shall be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur.

Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

(1) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year ~~may occur at~~ **shall be limited to:**

(i) ~~regularly~~ **Regularly** scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; ~~and pick-up games and open gyms~~

(ii) Regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur; and

(iii) Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the women's basketball prospective student-athlete's academic year ~~shall only occur~~ during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period.

[13.1.7.8-(b)-(2) unchanged.]

(c) Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events.

[13.1.7.8-(c)-(1) unchanged.]

(2) Women's Basketball. During prescribed evaluation periods, institutional coaching staff members may attend regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; ~~noninstitutional, nonorganized events (pick-up games);~~ **regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur;** institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments, festivals, showcases) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19, including events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body, but are organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose. It is permissible for coaches to attend

organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body and are not organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests). [D]

[Remainder of 13.1.7.8 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In women's basketball, there has been an escalation in the number of impermissibly arranged activities disguised as open gyms or pick-up games during the academic year evaluation periods. These activities subject coaches to potential rules violations and can create an environment in which contact between NCAA coaches and outside influences occur. During the academic year evaluation periods, evaluations should be limited to regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; regular scholastic activities involving prospective student-athletes enrolled only at the institution at which the regular scholastic activities occur; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified through the basketball certification process. Further, legislation was recently adopted to preclude evaluations at noninstitutional, nonorganized events (e.g., pick-up games, open gyms) during the summer evaluation periods. Amending the legislation in this manner will expand that prohibition to the academic year evaluation period and, in addition, the legislation will be more similar to the current men's basketball rules. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this legislative change.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential reduction in recruiting costs.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-35 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL AND MEN'S ICE HOCKEY -- JUNE 15 AT CONCLUSION OF SOPHOMORE YEAR

Intent: In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, to specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an~~
An institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until ~~September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until~~ June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. [D]

[Remainder of 13.4.1 unchanged.]

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Bringing consistency to the recruiting materials legislation will create a more understandable recruiting model for prospective student-athletes, parents/legal guardians and coaches. Providing recruiting materials during the summer following the sophomore year will allow coaches to better educate prospective student-athletes about their institutions, recruiting rules and allow prospective student-athletes more time to adjust their academic schedules, if necessary, prior to the start of the junior year. The earlier provision of recruiting materials will also allow institutions to provide materials to counteract third party influences, which will help the prospective student-athlete and his or her family to make a more informed recruiting decision.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet supports the concept of the proposal and prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-36 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE --
ALL FORMS PERMITTED ON OR AFTER SEPTEMBER 1 OF JUNIOR YEAR

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not send electronic correspondence (e.g., email, chat, instant messages, text messages) to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, a member institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. [D]~~

13.4.1.1 Printed Recruiting Materials. In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide printed recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide printed recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school. As specified below, an institution may provide the following printed materials ~~{hard copy or electronically (see Bylaw 13.4.1.2)}~~ to prospective student-athletes, their parents or legal guardians, their coaches or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved: [D]

[13.4.1.1-(a) through 13.4.1.1-(j) unchanged.]

[13.4.1.1.1 through 13.4.1.1.2 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic ~~Transmissions~~ **Correspondence.** An institution shall not send electronic correspondence (e.g., email, chat, instant messages, text messages) to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until September 1 at the beginning of his or her junior year in high school. ~~Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw Bylaws 13.1.6.2 and 13.10.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic ~~mail~~ correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in

Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]

~~13.4.1.2.1 Exception—Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

13.4.1.2.21 Exception -- Electronic ~~Mail and Facsimiles~~ **Correspondence** Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. Electronic ~~mail and facsimiles~~ **correspondence** to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that ~~relate~~ **relates** solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) ~~are~~ **is** not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Given the technological advancements of mobile devices and messaging platforms (e.g., Facebook, Gmail), which permit the recipient to choose the medium for receiving information (e.g., email, text), the current legislation is obsolete.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of restrictions on the modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communications. The cabinet also supports the preliminary recommendations of the Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet supports the concept of the proposal and prefers Proposal No. 2011-37. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor withdraw the proposal and support Proposal No. 2011-37.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports permitting electronic correspondence to be sent without categorizing the form of correspondence and allowing unlimited electronic communication after the Women's Final Four during a prospective student-athlete's junior year of high school as opposed to September 1 at the beginning of the junior year of high school.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-37 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE -- ALL FORMS OF DIRECT CORRESPONDENCE PERMITTED

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier; further, to specify that electronic correspondence (e.g., email, instant messages, facsimiles, text messages) may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards, posts to "walls").

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1, as follows:

13.4.1 Recruiting Materials. ~~In sports other than men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an~~ **An** institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until ~~September~~ **July 1 at the beginning following the completion** of his or her ~~junior~~ **sophomore** year in high school, ~~or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.~~ ~~In men's basketball and men's ice hockey, an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until June 15 at the conclusion of his or her sophomore year in high school.~~ [D]

[13.4.1.1 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2 Electronic ~~Transmissions~~ **Correspondence**. ~~Electronically transmitted~~ correspondence ~~that (e.g., electronic mail, Instant Messenger, facsimiles, text messages)~~ may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians), ~~is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles~~ **provided the correspondence is sent directly to the prospective student-athlete (or his or her parents or legal guardians) and is private between only the sender and recipient (e.g., no use of chat rooms, message boards,**

posts to "walls"). (See ~~Bylaw~~ **Bylaws** 13.1.6.2 **and 13.10.2.**) ~~All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited.~~ Color attachments may be included with electronic ~~mail~~ correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic ~~mail~~ correspondence. [D]

~~13.4.1.2.1 Exception—Electronic Transmissions After Commitment. There shall be no limit on the forms of electronically transmitted correspondence sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians) beginning the calendar day after one of the following events occurs:~~

~~(a) The prospective student-athlete signs a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid; or~~

~~(b) The institution receives a financial deposit in response to the institution's offer of admission.~~

13.4.1.2.21 Exception -- Electronic ~~Mail and Facsimiles~~ Correspondence Regarding Institutional Camp or Clinic Logistical Issues. Electronic ~~mail and facsimiles~~ **correspondence** to an individual (or his or her parents, legal guardians, relatives or coach) that ~~relate~~ **relates** solely to institutional camp or clinic logistical issues (e.g., missing registration information) ~~are~~ **is** not subject to the restrictions on recruiting materials, provided the correspondence does not contain recruiting language and no solicitation of particular individuals to attend a camp or clinic occurs.

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There is growing concern that current prohibitions on electronic transmissions are outdated and lagging behind prospective student-athletes' use of technology. Current limitations are inhibiting the exchange of information in the most efficient, cost effective and least intrusive means as compared to other forms of communication, such as telephone calls. Developments in technology have made it easier and less expensive to communicate through the expanded availability and prevalence of mobile communication devices that are multifunctional and often provide options for the user to define his or her communication preferences. Institutions have been permitted to send an unlimited number of emails to prospective student-athletes for several years and there have not been any concerns regarding frequency or intrusion. Today, most mobile communication devices permit email and text messages to be sent and received in the same manner. Further, research indicates that a clear majority of teens are texting and have unlimited texting plans. In fact, many teens prefer texting when compared to other methods of communication. This proposal seeks to deregulate the current restrictions on electronic

communication as well as define electronic correspondence in a broad manner in order to account for future advancements in technology. Establishing a single date on which to begin all communication with a prospective student-athlete will bring uniformity and simplicity to the legislation. In the interest of such principles, sport programs should be expected to adjust their recruiting practices accordingly. In addition, the burden and expenditure of resources related to rules monitoring will be greatly decreased.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost increase if an institution does not currently have an unlimited texting plan.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concepts of earlier access to prospective student-athletes and elimination of restrictions on the modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communications. The cabinet also supports the preliminary recommendations of the Leadership Council related to the men's basketball recruiting model in this area.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. Today's prospective student-athletes use various forms of electronic correspondence to communicate. Restricting their use in recruiting causes unnecessary monitoring for compliance officials and leads to unintentional violations as prospective student-athletes are unaware of the restrictions.

History:

Jun 22, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to specify specify that an institution shall not provide recruiting materials, including general correspondence related to athletics, to an individual (or his or her parents or legal guardians) until July 1 following the completion of his or her sophomore year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her junior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier.

Sep 16, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-38 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSIONS --
EXCEPTION -- SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS -- AUTOMATED NOTIFICATIONS

Intent: To specify that electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.1.2, as follows:

13.4.1.2 Electronic Transmissions. Electronically transmitted correspondence that may be sent to a prospective student-athlete (or the prospective student-athlete's parents or legal guardians) is limited to electronic mail and facsimiles. (See Bylaw 13.1.6.2.) All other forms of electronically transmitted correspondence (e.g., Instant Messenger, text messaging) are prohibited. Color attachments may be included with electronic mail correspondence, provided the attachment only includes information that is not created for recruiting purposes, except for items that are specifically permitted as printed recruiting materials (e.g., questionnaires), a media guide and video and audio materials, as permitted in Bylaw 13.4.1.5. Attachments other than a media guide and permissible video and audio materials shall not include any animation, audio or video clips and there shall be no cost (e.g., subscription fee) associated with sending the item attached to the electronic mail correspondence. [D]

[13.4.1.2.1 through 13.4.1.2.2 unchanged.]

13.4.1.2.3 Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications. Automated electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: It is currently impermissible for a coach to accept a Facebook friend request from a prospective student-athlete if the institution is not yet permitted to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete. Accepting a Facebook friend request generates an automated response; an email to the prospective student-athlete notifying him or her that the coach has accepted the friend request. Such notifications serve no recruiting purpose. If a Facebook friend request is sent by a prospective student-athlete who may not receive recruiting correspondence, the coach must ignore it. A lack of a response by the coach could strain the potential relationship since the coach is not permitted to call the prospective student-athlete or send an email explanation. If the coach is permitted to accept the friend request, he or she may later have the opportunity to respond to direct questions and explain applicable recruiting rules. This proposal acknowledges that, while Facebook and Twitter are the predominant social media platforms at this time, new platforms will emerge and new features will be created. This proposal would prevent these incidental aspects of social media platforms that are not created, used or manipulated for recruiting purposes from becoming trivial violations.

Estimated Budget Impact: Anticipated savings related to reduced monitoring.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. However, the cabinet notes potential issues as to whether automated responses (likes/dislikes) can be used in a way to carry on a recruiting conversation. The cabinet also notes that the proposals for earlier access/elimination of restrictions on modes and frequency of telephonic/electronic communication may address some of the concerns.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes that it is an appropriate deregulation.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 12, 2011 Sponsor modified the proposal to specify that electronic mail sent to a prospective student-athlete from a social media platform as the result of an institutional staff member's response to a prospective student-athlete's request to establish a connection with the staff member (e.g., accepting friend request) shall not be considered electronic mail from the institutional staff member. Previously, the proposal specified that email sent as a result of a staff member's action (e.g., accepting a "friend" request or becoming a "follower" of a prospective student-athlete) would not be considered email from the staff member.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-39 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING MATERIALS -- ADVERTISEMENTS AND PROMOTIONS -- CAMP OR CLINIC ADVERTISEMENTS -- CAMP OR CLINIC BROCHURES AVAILABLE AT EVENT VENUE

Intent: To specify that an institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.4.3.1.2, as follows:

13.4.3.1.2 Camp or Clinic Advertisements. Advertisements for an institution's camp or clinic are permissible if placed in a recruiting publication (other than a high school, two-year college or nonscholastic game program) that includes a camp directory that meets the following requirements: [D]

[13.4.3.1.2-(a) through 13.4.3.1.2-(b) unchanged.]

[13.4.3.1.2.1 unchanged.]

13.4.3.1.2.2 Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue. An institution may make institutional camp or clinic brochures available at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes.

Source: Southern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal provides coaches with a simple and cost-effective means of advertising institutional camps and clinics to their target market. Coaches are currently permitted to distribute camp or clinic brochures to a prospective student-athlete's coach and, when contact is permitted after a competition, to the prospective student-athlete at competition sites. Allowing coaches to place camp or clinic brochures at the venue of an athletics event involving prospective student-athletes provides a nonintrusive and economical method of providing permissible recruiting material to prospective student-athletes and their families.

Estimated Budget Impact: May increase the number camp brochures produced and shipping costs.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned with the potential for increased costs, because of the necessity to print more brochures at a time in which camp advertising has been moving to an online environment.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-40 RECRUITING -- OFFICIAL (PAID) VISIT -- ENTERTAINMENT/TICKETS ON OFFICIAL VISIT -- STUDENT HOST -- ENTERTAINMENT ALLOWANCE

Intent: To increase, from \$30 to \$40, the allowance that an institution may provide a student host for each day of a prospective student-athlete's official visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete; further, to increase, from \$15 to \$20, the additional allowance an institution may provide the student host per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains.

Bylaws: Amend 13.6.7, as follows:

13.6.7 Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit.

[13.6.7.1 through 13.6.7.4 unchanged.]

13.6.7.5 Student Host. The student host must be either a current student-athlete or a student designated in a manner consistent with the institution's policy for providing campus visits or tours to prospective students in general. The institution may provide the following to a student

host entertaining a prospective student-athlete: [R]

(a) A maximum of ~~\$30~~ **40** for each day of the visit to cover all actual costs of entertaining the student host(s) and the prospective student-athlete (and the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians or spouse), excluding the cost of meals and admission to campus athletics events. The cost of entertainment of the institution's athletics department staff members who accompany the prospective student-athlete is also excluded. If an athletics department staff member serves as the prospective student-athlete's host, his or her entertainment costs must be included in the entertainment allowance. The entertainment allowance may not be used for the purchase of souvenirs, such as T-shirts or other institutional mementos. It is permissible to provide the student host with an additional ~~\$15~~ **20** per day for each additional prospective student-athlete the host entertains;

[13.6.7.5-(b) through 13.6.7.5-(c) unchanged.]

13.6.7.5.1 Multiple Hosts. If several students host a prospective student-athlete, the ~~\$30~~ **40**-per-day entertainment money may be used to cover the actual and necessary expenses incurred by the prospective student-athlete and all hosts. Only one student host per prospective student-athlete may be provided a free meal if restaurant facilities are used. [D]

[13.6.7.5.2 through 13.6.7.5.3 unchanged.]

[13.6.7.6 unchanged.]

13.6.7.7 Meals on Official Visit. The cost of actual meals, not to exceed three per day, on the official visit for a prospective student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete's parents, legal guardians, spouse or children need not be included in the ~~\$30~~ **40**-per-day entertainment expense. Meals must be comparable to those provided to student-athletes during the academic year. A reasonable snack (e.g., pizza, hamburger) may be provided in addition to the three meals. [R]

[13.6.7.7.1 unchanged.]

13.6.7.8 Normal Retail Cost. If a boat, snowmobile, recreational vehicle or similar recreational equipment (including those provided by an institutional staff member or a representative of the institution's athletics interests) is used to entertain a prospective student-athlete or the prospective student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and spouse, the normal retail cost of the use of such equipment shall be assessed against the ~~\$30~~ **40**-per-day entertainment figure; further, if such normal retail costs exceeds the ~~\$30~~ **40**-per-day entertainment allowance, such entertainment may not be provided. [R]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The current permissible allowance a student host may receive for entertainment activities is insufficient to pay for reasonable entertainment for the host and the prospective student-athlete. For example, the current allotment of entertainment money is not enough to pay for two movie

tickets, two boxes of popcorn and two sodas. The small increase provided by this proposal will give a student host the opportunity to participate in normal entertainment activities with a prospective student-athlete. The last time the entertainment allowance was increased was 1996. According to the consumer price index inflation calculator on the Bureau of Labor Statistics website, if adjusted for inflation, the buying power of \$30 in 1996 is the equivalent of approximately \$43 in 2011 and the buying power of \$15 in 1996 is the equivalent of approximately \$21.50 in 2011.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies by institution depending on the number of official visits conducted in a given academic year as well as the institution's policy on distributing host money.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-41 RECRUITING -- UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT -- FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT

Intent: To specify that a prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school.

Bylaws: Amend 13.7, as follows:

13.7 UNOFFICIAL (NONPAID) VISIT

13.7.1 First Opportunity to Visit. A prospective student-athlete may not make an athletically-related unofficial visit (e.g., no contact with coaching staff, no athletics-specific tour) before June 15th at the conclusion of the prospective student-athlete's freshman year of high school.

13.7.~~12~~ Number Permitted. A prospective student-athlete may visit a member institution's campus at his or her own expense an unlimited number of times. ~~A prospective student-athlete may make unofficial visits before his or her senior year in high school.~~

[13.7.1.1 through 13.7.1.2 renumbered as 13.7.2.1 through 13.7.2.2, unchanged.]

13.7.~~23~~ Entertainment/Tickets.

[13.7.2.1 through 13.7.2.4 renumbered as 13.7.3.1 through 13.7.3.4, unchanged.]

13.7.~~23~~.5 Visit Unrelated to Recruitment. The limitations on providing entertainment to a prospective student-athlete **or on the first opportunity to visit** shall not extend to a visit to the institution's campus for a purpose having nothing whatsoever to do with the prospective student-athlete's athletics recruitment by the institution (e.g., band trip, fraternity weekend,

athletics team's attendance at a sporting event with the high school coach). The institution's athletics department or representatives of its athletics interests may not be involved in any way with the arrangements for the visit, other than the institution providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event on a group basis, rather than personally to the prospective student-athlete. [R]

13.7.23.6 Visit Related to National Student-Athlete Day or National Girls and Women in Sports Day. The limitations on providing entertainment to a prospective student-athlete **or on the first opportunity to visit** shall not extend to a visit to the institution's campus for activities related to National Student-Athlete Day and National Girls and Women in Sports Day. [R]

[13.7.2.7 renumbered as 13.7.3.7 unchanged.]

[13.7.3 renumbered as 13.7.4 unchanged.]

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: June 15, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The early recruitment of prospective student-athletes places undue pressure on prospective student-athletes and coaches. Prospective student-athletes are often pressured to visit campus for athletics purposes to avoid the restrictions of the official visit legislation. This practice can place an undue financial burden on the prospective student-athlete and his or her family. Similarly, coaches feel pressure to organize unofficial visits for prospective student-athletes who are only freshman in high school. Eliminating the opportunity for athletically-related visits to occur until the conclusion of the freshman year will alleviate pressure and burdens on coaches and prospective student-athletes and promote a more appropriate recruiting environment.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Golf Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. Prospective student-athletes should be permitted to make unofficial visits at any time (other than dead periods).

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and believes such a restriction is inconsequential and unenforceable. The cabinet notes that the proposal would add additional monitoring requirements and would likely result in inadvertent violations.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-42 RECRUITING -- ENTERTAINMENT, REIMBURSEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE-PREPARATORY SCHOOL/TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES -- EXCEPTION -- NONATHLETICS PERSONNEL

Intent: To permit an institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) to host nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals food, refreshments, room expenses and a nominal gift, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event.

Bylaws: Amend 13.8, as follows:

13.8 ENTERTAINMENT, REIMBURSEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE-PREPARATORY SCHOOL/TWO-YEAR COLLEGE COACHES AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROSPECTIVE STUDENT-ATHLETES

13.8.1 Entertainment Restrictions. Entertainment of a high school, preparatory school or two-year college coach or any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved shall be limited to providing a maximum of two complimentary admissions (issued only through a pass list) to home intercollegiate athletics events at any facility within a 30-mile radius of the institution's main campus, which must be issued on an individual-game basis. Such entertainment shall not include food and refreshments, room expenses, or the cost of transportation to and from the campus or the athletics event. It is not permissible to provide complimentary admissions to any postseason competition (e.g., NCAA championship, conference tournament, bowl game). An institutional coaching staff member is expressly prohibited from spending funds to entertain the prospective student-athlete's coach on or off the member institution's campus. [R]

13.8.1.1 Exception -- Nonathletics Personnel. An institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) may host nonathletics high-school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals food, refreshments and room expenses, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event. [R]

[13.8.1.1 through 13.8.1.4 renumbered as 13.8.1.2 through 13.8.1.5, unchanged.]

13.8.2 Material Benefits. Arrangements by an institution that involve a material benefit for a high school, preparatory school or two-year college coach, or for any other individual responsible for teaching or directing an activity in which a prospective student-athlete is involved, (e.g., the provision of a gift such as a tangible item bearing the institution's insignia, the offer to pay a portion of the coach's or other individual's personal expenses, compensation based on the

number of campers sent to an institution's camp, or an arrangement to provide transportation for the coach or other individual) are prohibited. [R]

13.8.2.1 Exception -- Nonathletics Personnel. An institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) may host nonathletics high-school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and may provide such individuals a nominal gift, provided the visit is not related to athletics recruiting and there is no involvement by the institution's athletics department in the arrangements for the visit, other than providing (in accordance with established policy) free admissions to an athletics event. [R]

[13.8.2.1 renumbered as 13.8.2.2 unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislation precludes an institutional department outside the athletics department (e.g., president's office, admissions) from hosting nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel (e.g., guidance counselors, principals) at a home intercollegiate athletics event and providing anything more than complimentary admissions to the event. In such situations, it is not permissible for the institution to provide its guests with food, drink, lodging or any other tangible items. It is reasonable to provide nominal benefits to nonathletics high school, preparatory school or two-year college personnel in situations that are unrelated to athletics recruiting and for which the institution's athletics department is not involved in any arrangements for the visit, other than providing free admissions to an athletics event.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential costs to departments outside the athletics department.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet took no formal position. The cabinet notes that the concept should be reviewed to determine whether the underlying restriction on benefits and entertainment provided to nonathletics personnel is appropriate based on the review of legislation through the lenses of significance and enforceability.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, No Formal Position

No. 2011-43 RECRUITING AND FINANCIAL AID -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS,
FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS -- LETTER OF INTENT RESTRICTION --
LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SIGNINGS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that there shall be an annual signing limit of 25 on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or institutional offer of financial aid from December 1 through May 31; further to specify that a prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.9.2.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.9.2.3 Limitation on Number of National Letter of Intent/Offer of Financial Aid Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, there shall be an annual limit of ~~28~~ **25** on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from **December 1** ~~the initial signing date of the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent~~ through May 31. [D]

13.9.2.3.1 Exception -- Counter During Same Academic Year. A prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

B. Bylaws: Amend 15.5.1.10.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

15.5.1.10.1 Limitation on Number of National Letter of Intent/Offer of Financial Aid Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football. [FBS] In bowl subdivision football, there shall be an annual limit of ~~28~~ **25** on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid from **December 1** ~~the initial signing date of the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent~~ through May 31. [D]

15.5.1.10.1.1 Exception -- Counter During Same Academic Year. A prospective student-athlete who signs a National Letter of Intent or an institutional offer of financial aid and becomes an initial counter for the same academic year in which the signing occurred (e.g., midyear enrollee) shall not count toward the annual limit on signings.

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal seeks to address concerns regarding to the practice of "oversigning" football prospective student-athletes to National Letters of Intent or financial aid agreements. Reducing the signing limit from 28 to 25 is an appropriate step to focus recruitment and signing of prospective student-athletes to the Football Bowl Subdivision limit on initial counters. By limiting

the number of signees, institutions will be encouraged to focus their recruiting efforts on prospective student-athletes with the necessary academic and athletic credentials to succeed at the certifying institution.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal; however, it is concerned that the proposal does not address the issue of oversigning in other sports or the issue of offering aid the institution does not expect to have available.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-44 RECRUITING -- LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS
-- SUBMISSION OF TRANSCRIPT TO ELIGIBILITY CENTER BEFORE SIGNING

Intent: To specify that an institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete (other than a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country) to sign a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment.

Bylaws: Amend 13.9, as follows:

13.9 LETTER-OF-INTENT PROGRAMS, FINANCIAL AID AGREEMENTS

[13.9.1 through 13.9.2 unchanged.]

13.9.3 Submission of Transcript to Eligibility Center Before Signing. An institution shall not permit a high school prospective student-athlete to sign a National Letter of Intent (NLI) or an institution's written offer of financial aid until the NCAA Eligibility Center has received an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment. This provision does not apply to a prospective student-athlete who attends a secondary school in a foreign country. [D]

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Current legislative requirements that must be met before a written offer of athletically related aid may be provided have greatly improved the academic information shared between prospective student-athletes and institutions, as well as with the NCAA Eligibility Center. This proposal is intended to continue the pattern of facilitating academic information sharing in a timely manner while minimizing overall administrative burden. Ensuring that six-semester transcripts are available for evaluation prior to a prospective student-athlete's signing of a National Letter of Intent or an institution's written offer of financial aid will allow for identification of potential academic issues regarding initial-eligibility requirements and timely discussion of academically sound solutions. To assist with the goal of this proposal, the Eligibility Center will conduct preliminary evaluations of six-semester transcripts even if a test score is not on file for a prospective student-athlete. Additionally, prospective student-athletes who qualify for early academic certification will be identified and processed in a manner that will allow for campus and NCAA resources to be appropriately allocated in relation to final eligibility certifications.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes concern related to placing the burden on institutions to prompt the prospective student-athlete to submit transcripts. The cabinet recommends exploring options to increase efficiencies in the process (e.g., upon registration the high school is prompted by the NCAA Eligibility Center to submit transcripts).

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to eliminate the exception for home-schooled prospective student-athletes.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-45 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION
AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS AND CLINICS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which women's basketball prospective student-athletes

participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs, and to establish limited exceptions, as specified; further, to specify that the use of an institution's facilities for noninstitutional camps is limited to the months of June, July and August; finally, to prohibit evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7.8, as follows:

13.1.7.8 Basketball Evaluations.

[13.1.7.8-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Women's Basketball. In women's basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). Women's basketball staff members shall not exceed 100 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods. [D]

(1) Academic Year Evaluation Period. Evaluations of live athletics activities during the academic year may occur at regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school and two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; and pick-up games and open gyms. Evaluations at nonscholastic events during the women's basketball prospective student-athlete's academic year shall only occur during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.**

[13.1.7.8-(a)-(1)-(i) unchanged.]

(2) Summer Evaluation Period. During the summer evaluation period, a member institution's basketball coaching staff may attend institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1 and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.**

(c) Coaches' Attendance at Basketball Events.

[13.1.7.8-(c)-(1) unchanged.]

(2) Women's Basketball. During prescribed evaluation periods, institutional coaching staff members may attend regularly scheduled high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests/tournaments and practices; noninstitutional, nonorganized events (pick-up games); institutional basketball camps per Bylaw 13.12.1.1; and noninstitutional organized events (e.g., camps, leagues, tournaments and festivals) that are certified per Bylaw 13.19, including events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body, but are organized and conducted primarily for a recruiting purpose. **Evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus are prohibited.** It is permissible for coaches to attend organized team practices in preparation for a certified event only if such practice time has been designated by the organizer as part of the event. The basketball event certification program is not applicable to noninstitutional organized events that are approved, sponsored or conducted by an applicable state, national or international governing body and are not organized and conducted primarily

for a recruiting purpose (e.g., intrastate and interstate high school basketball games, state high school all-star games, international competitions and practices associated with such contests). [D]

[Remainder of 13.1.7.8 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.11, as follows:

13.11 TRYOUTS

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3. [D]

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.7 unchanged.]

13.11.1.8 Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- ~~Men's~~ Basketball. An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic basketball practice or competition in which ~~men's~~ basketball prospective student-athletes (see ~~Bylaw~~ **Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2**) participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

13.11.1.8.1 Exception -- State Multisport Events. An institution may host basketball related events that are part of officially recognized state multisport events.

13.11.1.8.2 Exception -- Other Events. An institution may host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic event that involves ~~men's~~ basketball prospective student-athletes, provided it meets one of the following conditions:

(a) The event is open (see Bylaw 13.11.3.1) and all participating ~~men's~~ basketball prospective student-athletes reside within a 50-mile radius of the institution's campus;

(b) The event is part of a program that is consistent with the mission of the institution (e.g., state wellness and educational programs) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2; or

(c) The event is an ancillary event that is part of a nonathletics program (e.g., Boy Scouts, **Girls Scouts**) and is conducted in accordance with Bylaw 13.11.3.2.

13.11.1.9 Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- ~~Men's~~ Basketball. In ~~men's~~ basketball, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged (see ~~Bylaw~~ **Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2**) participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August.

[Remainder of 13.11 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate; contracts signed before June 28, 2011 may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: In women's basketball, there has been a proliferation of nonscholastic events held on Division I campuses during quiet periods in May and June. These events are being operated to assist institutions with recruiting opportunities. There have been reports that travel and lodging expenses are provided free of charge for prospective student-athletes or teams identified as important to the coaching staff's recruiting efforts, and funds and/or services provided by institutions and boosters are used to pay these expenses. College coaches feel that they are being leveraged to help the event operators arrange for discounted operational costs (e.g., facility fees) under the threat that the event operator will take the event to another institution's campus. Regardless of the level of involvement of the coaching staff, these events provide a significant recruiting advantage for the host institution. Institutions may continue to host noninstitutional camps or clinics during June, July and August and basketball competition that is part of state multisport events. Finally, this recommendation is the same as NCAA Proposal No. 2009-100-A, which was adopted for men's basketball, with the exception of the prohibition of evaluating at noninstitutional events, camps or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this legislative change.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-46 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- NONSCHOLASTIC PRACTICE OR COMPETITION
AND NONINSTITUTIONAL CAMPS OR CLINICS -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to specify that an institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs; further, to limit the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants to June and July in bowl subdivision football and to June, July and August in championship subdivision football.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.1, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

13.11.1 Prohibited Activities. A member institution, on its campus or elsewhere, shall not conduct (or have conducted on its behalf) any physical activity (e.g., practice session or test/tryout) at which one or more prospective student-athletes (as defined in Bylaws 13.11.1.1 and 13.11.1.2) reveal, demonstrate or display their athletics abilities in any sport except as provided in Bylaws 13.11.2 and 13.11.3. [D]

[13.11.1.1 through 13.11.1.9, unchanged.]

13.11.1.10 Nonscholastic Practice or Competition -- Football. An institution [including any institutional department (e.g., athletics, recreational/intramural)] shall not host, sponsor or conduct a nonscholastic football practice or competition (e.g., seven-on-seven events) in which football prospective student-athletes participate on its campus or at an off-campus facility regularly used by the institution for practice and/or competition by any of the institution's sport programs.

13.11.1.11 Use of Institutional Facilities for Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants shall be limited to the months of June and July. In championship subdivision football, the use of institutional facilities for noninstitutional camps or clinics that include prospect-aged participants shall be limited to the months of June, July and August.

[13.11.1.10 through 13.11.1.11 renumbered as 13.11.1.12 through 13.11.1.13, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate; a contract signed before August 15, 2011 may be honored.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There has been a proliferation of nonscholastic football events recently conducted on institutional campuses or using institutional facilities, and such events are frequently associated with recruiting efforts. Rather than continue to support an increase in these types of events, it is appropriate to enact a ban on any institutional involvement with nonscholastic football events. This proposal would institute such a ban, while still permitting regular and postseason scholastic events to be played on an institution's campus in accordance with applicable rules (i.e., tryout exception).

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential for lost revenue for use of facilities by outside entities.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-47 RECRUITING -- TRYOUTS -- LOCAL SPORTS CLUBS -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to prohibit a coach or a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities from being involved in any capacity in a football club that includes prospective student-athletes.

Bylaws: Amend 13.11.2.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

13.11.2.3 Local Sports Clubs. In sports other than basketball **and football**, an institution's coach may be involved in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in the same sport for a local sports club or organization located in the institution's home community, provided all prospective student-athletes participating in said activities are legal residents of the area (within a 50-mile radius of the institution). In all sports, an institution's coach may be involved in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in a sport other than the coach's sport for a local sports club or organization located in the institution's home community, provided all prospective student-athletes participating in said activities are legal residents of the area (within a 50-mile radius of the institution). Further, in club teams involving multiple teams or multiple sports, the 50-mile radius is applicable only to the team with which the institution's coach is involved; however, it is not permissible for the coach to assign a prospective student-athlete who lives outside the 50-mile area to another coach of the club. A coach also may be involved in activities with individuals who are not of a prospective student-athlete age, regardless of where such individuals reside. (In women's volleyball and women's sand volleyball, see Bylaws 13.1.7.12 and 13.1.7.13, respectively, for regulations relating to a coach's involvement with a local sports club and the permissible number of evaluation days.)

[13.11.2.3.1 through 13.11.2.3.3 unchanged.]

13.11.2.3.4 Noncoaching Staff Members with Sport-Specific Responsibilities -- Football.
In football, a noncoaching staff member with football-specific responsibilities (e.g., director of football operations, manager, administrative personnel) shall not be involved in any capacity (e.g., as a participant, administrator or in instructional or coaching activities) in a football club or organization that includes prospective student-athletes.

[13.11.2.3.4 renumbered as 13.11.2.3.5, unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The recent emergence of seven-on-seven football clubs has created the need to modify existing rules related to involvement with local football clubs. Such clubs are now frequently comprised of highly talented football prospective student-athletes engaged in nonscholastic club football activities. Through this proposal, football would join basketball as sports in which coaching staff members are not permitted to be involved with local sports clubs that include prospective student-athletes.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-48 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- RECRUITING CONVERSATIONS DURING INSTITUTION'S CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EXCEPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN MEN'S BASKETBALL

Intent: In sports other than men's basketball, to specify that it is permissible for an institution's coaches to engage in recruiting conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's camps or clinics.

Bylaws: Amend 13.12.1.5, as follows:

13.12.1.5 Recruiting Calendar Exceptions. The interaction during sports camps and clinics between prospective student-athletes and those coaches employed by the camp or clinic is not subject to the recruiting calendar restrictions. However, an institutional staff member employed at any camp or clinic (e.g., counselor, director) is prohibited from recruiting any prospective student-athlete during the time period that the camp or clinic is conducted (from the time the prospective student-athlete reports to the camp or clinic until the conclusion of all camp activities). The prohibition against recruiting includes extending ~~verbal or~~ written offers of financial aid to any prospective student-athlete during his or her attendance at the camp or clinic (see Bylaw 13.9.2.2). Other coaches wishing to attend the camp as observers must comply with appropriate recruiting contact and evaluation periods. In addition, institutional camps or clinics may not be conducted during a dead period.

13.12.1.5.1 Exception -- Recruiting Conversations During Camps and Clinics—~~Men's Basketball~~. It is permissible for an institution's ~~men's basketball~~ coaches to engage in recruiting

conversations with prospective student-athletes during the institution's ~~men's basketball~~ camps or clinics.

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: By allowing coaches to engage in a meaningful and direct exchange of information with prospective student-athletes during camps and clinics, the importance of third parties in the recruiting process is reduced. In addition, compliance staffs will be relieved of bureaucratic monitoring obligations related to whether recruiting conversations are occurring during camps or clinics or such conversations are occurring only during the unofficial visits that occur before or after the camp or clinics. The rule has worked well in men's basketball and should apply to all sports.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-49 RECRUITING -- SPORTS CAMPS AND CLINICS -- EMPLOYMENT AT CAMP OR CLINIC -- ATHLETICS STAFF MEMBERS -- NONINSTITUTIONAL, PRIVATELY OWNED CAMPS OR CLINICS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL -- HEAD COACH EXCEPTION -- CHARITABLE OR NONPROFIT CAMP OR CLINIC

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to specify that an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g. counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 13.12.2.3.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.12.2.2.3 Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps/Clinics -- Bowl Subdivision Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's coach or noncoaching staff member with responsibilities specific to football may be employed (either on a salaried or volunteer basis) in any capacity (e.g., counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) in a noninstitutional, privately owned camp or clinic at any location, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to an institutional camp or clinic (e.g., open to any and all entrants, no free or reduced admission to or employment of athletics award winners). However, employment in such a camp or clinic is limited to two periods of 15 consecutive days in the months of June and July or any calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) that includes days of those months (e.g., May 28-June 3). The dates of the two 15-day periods must be on file in the office of the athletics director. [D]

13.12.2.3.3.2.1 Head Coach Exception -- Charitable or Nonprofit Camp or Clinic. In bowl subdivision football, an institution's head coach may participate as a volunteer (e.g., counselor, guest lecturer, consultant) on one day in June or July outside the designated two periods of 15 consecutive days at a charitable or nonprofit camp or clinic. Any proceeds derived from the camp or clinic must go to a charitable or nonprofit organization. The camp or clinic must be operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to an institutional camp or clinic.

Source: Mid-American Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The original intent of establishing two 15-day periods in which coaches may be involved with noninstitutional camps or clinics was to promote a work-life balance for coaches. However, the restriction prevents coaches from participating in otherwise permissible worthwhile volunteer opportunities for charitable or community camps or clinics because they do not occur within the designated time periods. A single calendar day exception would permit coaches the flexibility to volunteer at a meaningful event without significantly interfering with work-life balance. The limited time period for volunteering (one day) and the required nature of the event (charitable or nonprofit) should allay any concerns regarding a potential recruiting advantage.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that isolated incidents may be addressed through waivers. The cabinet also notes that institutions have control over their designated two periods of 15 consecutive days.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

No. 2011-50 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION

Intent: In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. In basketball and football, to eliminate the restriction on subscribing to a service that includes access to nonscholastic video.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services.

13.14.3.1 Basketball and Football. ~~An~~ **In basketball and football, an** institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

(a) Is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers;

(b) Publicly identifies all applicable rates;

(c) Disseminates information (e.g., reports, profiles) about prospective student-athletes at least four times per calendar year;

(d) Publicly identifies the geographical scope of the service (e.g., local, regional, national) and reflects broad-based coverage of the geographical area in the information it disseminates;

(e) Provides individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates; **and**

(f) Provides access to samples or previews of the information it disseminates before purchase of a subscription; ~~and~~

~~(g) Provides video that is restricted to regularly scheduled (regular season) high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests and for which the institution made no prior arrangements for recording. (Note: This provision is applicable only if the subscription includes video services.)~~

13.14.3.1.1 Video-Only Services. An institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes. Use of a subscription to such a service is subject to the provisions of Bylaw 13.14.3.1, except for subsections (c) and (e). [D]

13.14.3.2 Sports Other Than Basketball and Football. In sports other than basketball and football, an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers. An institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. The institution may not contract with a service in advance to have a particular contest recorded or provided. [D]

Source: Big East Conference, Conference USA and Mountain West Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The criteria set forth in the current legislation, initially suggested by the Men's Basketball Issues Committee and endorsed by the Football Issues Committee and Women's Basketball Issues Committee, were intended to address concerns that services were being used as leverage in the recruiting process in those sports. However, the extension of the legislation to all sports has created a myriad of unintended consequences in recruiting cultures (e.g., volleyball) other than those in which it was intended. The proposal would codify a current blanket legislative relief waiver and increase its scope as it relates to access to basketball and football nonscholastic video. The waiver was issued because of the difficulty in determining which scouting services are permissible and inconsistencies in enforcing the legislation. The proposal maintains basic restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video. Several sports exist primarily or exclusively in the nonscholastic environment (e.g., gymnastics, golf). Consequently, there is little or no scholastic video available. Finally, in basketball and football, nonscholastic video should not be prohibited as the same standard for access should apply to all sports.

Estimated Budget Impact: Could result in additional subscriptions to recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that given the concerns regarding recruiting in the nonscholastic environment, it seems incongruous to allow increased access to nonscholastic video. The committee noted that it prefers to maintain the restriction on nonscholastic video.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet also notes that the Leadership Council preliminary recommendations related to the men's basketball recruiting model support reinstatement of a limited observation period in April to view nonscholastic events.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no position, but noted that nonscholastic videos are being developed and sold to prospective student-athletes who, in turn, send the video to the coach.

Women's Volleyball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The problematic issues surrounding scouting services in football and basketball are not applicable to volleyball.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 08, 2011 Women's Volleyball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-51 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- SPORTS OTHER THAN BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL

Intent: In sports other than basketball and football, to specify that an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers; further, to specify that an institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services.

13.14.3.1 Basketball and Football. ~~A#~~ **In basketball and football, an** institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

(a) Is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers;

(b) Publicly identifies all applicable rates;

(c) Disseminates information (e.g., reports, profiles) about prospective student-athletes at least four times per calendar year;

(d) Publicly identifies the geographical scope of the service (e.g., local, regional, national) and reflects broad-based coverage of the geographical area in the information it disseminates;

(e) Provides individual analysis beyond demographic information or rankings for each prospective student-athlete in the information it disseminates;

(f) Provides access to samples or previews of the information it disseminates before purchase of a subscription; and

(g) Provides video that is restricted to regularly scheduled (regular-season) high school, preparatory school or two-year college contests and for which the institution made no prior arrangements for recording. (Note: This provision is applicable only if the subscription includes video services.)

13.14.3.1.1 Video-Only Services. An institution is permitted to use or subscribe to a video service that only provides video of prospective student-athletes and does not provide information about or analysis of prospective student-athletes. Use of a subscription to such a service is subject to the provisions of Bylaw 13.14.3.1, except for subsections (c) and (e). [D]

13.14.3.2 Sports Other Than Basketball and Football. In sports other than basketball and football, an institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the service is made available to all institutions desiring to subscribe and at the same fee rate for all subscribers. An institution is permitted to subscribe to a service that provides scholastic and/or nonscholastic video. The institution may not contract with a service in advance to have a particular contest recorded or provided. [D]

Source: Pac-12 Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: This proposal codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver. The waiver was issued because of the difficulty in determining which scouting services are permissible and inconsistencies in enforcing the legislation. The proposal maintains the restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video. Several sports exist primarily or exclusively in the nonscholastic environment (e.g., gymnastics, golf). Consequently, there is little or no scholastic video available.

Estimated Budget Impact: Could result in additional subscriptions to recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. However, the cabinet notes that it prefers Proposal No. 2011-50.

History:

Jun 28, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-52 RECRUITING -- USE OF RECRUITING FUNDS -- RECRUITING OR SCOUTING SERVICES -- CRITERIA FOR SUBSCRIPTION -- NCAA APPROVAL -- BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL

Intent: In basketball and football, to specify that an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process.

Bylaws: Amend 13.14.3, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS, FCS and Division I, divided vote]

13.14.3 Recruiting or Scouting Services. An institution may subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service involving prospective student-athletes, provided the institution does not purchase more than one annual subscription to a particular service and the service: [D]

[13.14.3-(a) through 13.14.3-(g) unchanged.]

[13.14.3.1 unchanged.]

13.14.3.2 Subscription Limited to Approved Services -- Basketball and Football. In basketball and football, an institution shall not subscribe to a recruiting or scouting service unless the service has been approved by the NCAA pursuant to an annual approval process. [D]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate for implementation of the approval process; June 1, 2012 for application of legislation.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Attention related to institutional subscriptions to recruiting services has increased in recent years, most notably in basketball and football. It has become apparent that a need exists for the membership to adopt a new and comprehensive approach to consistently evaluate recruiting services in basketball and football. It is anticipated that implementing an approval process, administered by the NCAA national office, will result in a more efficient system, which will better ensure compliance with existing legislation governing the elements required to permit an institution's subscription to a recruiting service.

Estimated Budget Impact: Estimated savings associated with an efficient system for evaluation of recruiting services.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-53 RECRUITING -- PRECOLLEGE EXPENSES -- DONATION OF ATHLETICS EQUIPMENT -- ELIMINATION OF 30-MILE RADIUS

Intent: To eliminate the restriction that precludes an institution from donating athletics equipment to a bona fide youth organization outside a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus.

Bylaws: Amend 13.15.1.6, as follows:

13.15.1.6 Donation of Equipment.

13.15.1.6.1 Athletics Equipment. A member institution may not provide athletics equipment to a high school. However, a member institution is permitted to provide athletics equipment to bona fide youth organizations (e.g., the YMCA, YWCA, Boy Scout troops, Girl Scout troops, a summer recreation league) that may consist of some prospective student-athletes, provided the issuance of equipment is in accordance with the institution's regular policy regarding the discarding of equipment. ~~Further, only those organizations within a 30-mile radius of the campus may be provided such equipment by the institution.~~ [D]

[Remainder of 13.15.1.6 unchanged.]

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: There is a growing trend for youth organizations to consolidate resources and cut costs by requiring online donations/collections through their national headquarters. Current legislation

restricts such donations to organizations located beyond a 30-mile radius of the institution's campus. This proposal does not provide a recruiting advantage because the entity receiving the donation must be a bona fide youth organization. Therefore, the donation cannot target specific prospective student-athletes. This proposal would also allow student-athletes to become involved in their communities by seeking donations of equipment that could then be provided by the institution to bona fide youth organizations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet notes that it is an appropriate deregulation. Further, the cabinet notes that institutions not located within a metropolitan area may not have access to youth organizations within a 30-mile radius that have need for athletics equipment.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-54 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- JULY
EVALUATION AND DEAD PERIODS

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that during the time period of July 6-31, the recruiting calendar shall consist of, consecutively, a seven-day evaluation period, a 10-day dead period, a seven-day evaluation period and a two-day dead period.

Bylaws: Amend 13.17.3, as follows:

13.17.3 Women's Basketball. The following recruiting periods shall apply to women's basketball:

[13.17.3-(a) through 13.17.3-(g) unchanged.]

(h) July 6 through July ~~15~~ **12**:

Evaluation Period

(i) July ~~16~~ **13** through July ~~21~~ **22** [except for (1) below]:

Dead Period

[13.17.3-(i)-(1) unchanged.]

(j) July ~~22~~ **23** through July ~~31~~ **29**:

Evaluation Period

(k) July 30 through July 31:

Dead Period

[13.17.3-(k) through 13.17.3-(l) relettered as 13.17.3-(l) through 13.17.3-(m), unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Shorter recruiting periods will allow coaches to spend more time during the weekdays with their current student-athletes who are on campus for summer school. Further, this proposal would decrease the time that prospective student-athletes and their families would feel compelled to attend nonscholastic events for evaluation purposes during the summer.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet took no formal position. However, the cabinet notes that the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee intends to discuss the concept in the next several months in relation to its development of the women's basketball recruiting model.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position. The issue will be discussed in the development the women's basketball recruiting model. The committee will determine if this proposed July model or a different (e.g., weekend based) model is appropriate and desirable. Broadly, the committee supports a change to the July evaluation model that will provide for the points noted in the proposal's rationale.

History:

Jul 01, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, No Formal Position

No. 2011-55 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- BOWL SUBDIVISION FOOTBALL --
EARLY JANUARY DEAD PERIOD

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to revise the recruiting calendar to specify that January 4 through the Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association shall be a dead period.

Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS only]

13.17.4 Football. The following recruiting periods shall apply to football:

[13.17.4-(a) unchanged.]

(b) The Sunday following the last Saturday in November through the Saturday prior to the initial date for the regular signing period of the National Letter of Intent except for (1) through (7~~8~~) below. Six in-person off-campus contacts per prospective student-athlete shall be permitted during this time period with not more than one permitted in any one calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) or partial calendar week:

Contact
Period

[13.17.4-(b)-(1) through 13.17.4-(b)-(4) unchanged.]

(5) In bowl subdivision football, the Monday following the third Saturday in December through the Thursday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association [except for (a) below]:

**Dead
Period**

(a) Institutional staff members may have contact with a prospective student-athlete who has been admitted for midyear enrollment, provided the prospective student-athlete has signed a National Letter of Intent or other offer of admission and/or financial aid to attend the institution and is required to be on campus to attend institutional orientation sessions for all students.

(56) The In championship subdivision football, the Monday following the third Saturday in December through January 3 [except for (a) below]:

**Dead
Period**

[13.17.4-(b)-(5)-(a) renumbered as 13.17.4-(b)-(6)-(a), unchanged.]

(67) In championship subdivision football, Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association.

**Quiet
Period**

(78) In championship subdivision football, Monday through Thursday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association [except for (a) below]:

**Dead
Period**

[13.17.4-(b)-(7)-(a) renumbered as 13.17.4-(b)-(8)-(a), unchanged.]

[13.17.4-(c) through 13.17.4-(g) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Due to the increase in the number of bowl games occurring after January 1, institutions that participate in such bowl games often do not have the opportunity to engage in off-campus recruiting activities during this time period. This proposal would eliminate the recruiting disadvantage that institutions may experience due to bowl participation after January 1. The days between January 3 and the American Football Coaches Association convention currently designated as contact and quiet periods would be designated as a dead period. This proposal was initiated by the American Football Coaches Association and supported by a majority of the Football Bowl Subdivision head coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings due to the reduction of days in the contact period.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-56 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR -- FENCING

Intent: In fencing, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Fencing**, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, **fencing**, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football, **Fencing** and Basketball. In sports other than football, **fencing** and basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.3 Fencing. In fencing, each institution shall be limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6. Fencing coaching staff members shall not exceed 168 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.5.3 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.4 through 13.1.5.11, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution -- **Sports Other Than Fencing.** A **In sports other than fencing,** a visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football, **Fencing** and Basketball. In sports other than football, **fencing** and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.5 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Fencing. In fencing, there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. Fencing coaching staff members shall not exceed 168 recruiting-person days during the academic year contact and evaluation periods.

[13.1.7.5 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.6 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.3 unchanged.]

13.17.4 Fencing. The following recruiting periods shall apply to fencing:

(a) August 1 through August 31:

**Quiet
Period**

(b) September 1 through December 23 [except for (1) below]:

**Contact
Period**

(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:

**Dead
Period
Dead
Period**

(c) December 24 through December 25:

**Dead
Period**

<u>(d) December 26 through April 30 [except for (1) through (2) below]:</u>	<u>Contact</u> <u>Period</u>
<u>(1) Noon Wednesday preceding the NCAA National Championship finals to noon the following Monday:</u>	<u>Dead</u> <u>Period</u>
<u>(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:</u>	<u>Dead</u> <u>Period</u>
<u>(e) May 1 through June 15:</u>	<u>Dead</u> <u>Period</u> <u>Quiet</u> <u>Period</u>
<u>(f) June 16 through July 31:</u>	<u>Contact</u> <u>Period</u>

(g) General Exceptions -- North American Cups and USA Fencing Championship. It is permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating any North American Cup or USA Fencing Championship.

[13.17.4 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.5 through 13.17.12.]

13.17.~~11~~**12** Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~**12**.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1, unchanged.]

13.17.11.1.1.1 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1.1, unchanged.]

~~13.17.11.1.12 Exception -- North American Cup Fencing Championship. During any year in which the National Letter of Intent signing date dead period occurs during the North American Cup Fencing Championship, it shall be permissible for authorized coaching staff members to observe prospective student-athletes participating in that event.~~

[13.17.11.1.2 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.2 through 13.17.12.1.5, unchanged.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The United States Fencing Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar and 168 recruiting-person days is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The proposal takes into account the comments/suggestions the fencing coaches association (through feedback from the fencing community) provided to the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-57 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING-PERSON DAYS AND RECRUITING CALENDAR --
FIELD HOCKEY

Intent: In field hockey, to establish recruiting-person days and a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, **Field Hockey**, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, **field hockey**, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.5, as follows:

13.1.5 Contacts.

13.1.5.1 Sports Other Than Football, **Field Hockey** and Basketball. In sports other than football, **field hockey** and basketball, each institution is limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete (see Bylaw 13.1.5.5). During the senior year of high school, not more than three of the seven opportunities may be off-campus contacts at any site and shall include contacts with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6.

[13.1.5.2 unchanged.]

13.1.5.3 Field Hockey. In field hockey, each institution shall be limited to three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospective student-athlete at any site, including

contacts made with the prospective student-athlete's relatives or legal guardians, but not including contacts made during an official visit per Bylaw 13.6. Field hockey coaching staff members shall not exceed 75 recruiting-person days during the calendar year, measured from August 1 through July 31.

[13.1.5.3 through 13.1.5.10 renumbered as 13.1.5.4 through 13.1.5.11, unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, as follows:

13.1.7 Limitations on Number of Evaluations.

13.1.7.1 Visit (Without Contact) to Prospective Student-Athlete's Educational Institution -- Sports Other Than Field Hockey. ~~A~~**In sports other than field hockey, a** visit (without contact) by a coaching staff member to a prospective student-athlete's educational institution counts as an evaluation for all prospective student-athletes in that sport at that educational institution.

[13.1.7.1.1 unchanged.]

[13.1.7.2 unchanged.]

13.1.7.3 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football, Field Hockey and Basketball. In sports other than football, **field hockey** and basketball, during the academic year, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospective student-athlete and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts (see Bylaws 13.1.5.1 and 13.1.5.5). [D]

[13.1.7.4 unchanged.]

13.1.7.5 Limitations on Number of Evaluations -- Field Hockey. In field hockey, there is no limit on the number of evaluations per prospective student-athlete. Field Hockey coaching staff members shall not exceed 75 recruiting-person days during the calendar year, measured from August 1 through July 31.

[13.1.7.5 through 13.1.7.21 renumbered as 13.1.7.6 through 13.1.7.22, unchanged.]

D. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.3 unchanged.]

13.17.4 Field Hockey. The following recruiting periods shall apply to field hockey:

(a) August 1 through December 16 [except for (1) through (4) below]:

(1) Monday through Thursday prior to the first permissible contest date:

**Contact
Period**

**Dead
Period**

(2) The first permissible contest date through the following Thursday:

Quiet
Period

(3) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:

Dead
Period

(4) Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the championship:

Dead
Period

(b) December 17 through December 23:

Quiet
Period

(c) December 24 through January 2:

Dead
Period

(d) January 3 through July 31 [except for (1) through (3) below]:

Contact
Period

(1) Monday through Sunday of the National Field Hockey Coaches Association Convention:

Quiet
Period

(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:

D e a d
Period

(3) Monday following the second weekend of the United States Field Hockey Association National Indoor Tournament through April 30:

Quiet
Period

[13.17.4 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.5 through 13.17.12.]

13.17.~~11~~12 Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~12.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 through 13.17.11.1.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1 through 13.17.12.1.2, unchanged.]

~~13.17.11.1.2 Field Hockey, Women. Wednesday prior to the NCAA Division I Field Hockey Championship to noon on the day after the game.~~

[13.17.11.1.3 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.2 through 13.17.12.1.5.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 12, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The National Field Hockey Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and

recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar and 75 recruiting-person days is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches. In addition, the potential cost reduction efforts may help level the recruiting playing field.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to specify that the limit of 75 recruiting-person days shall apply to all contact and evaluation periods during the calendar year (as opposed to the academic year), measured from August 1 through July 31.

No. 2011-58 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WOMEN'S GYMNASTICS

Intent: In women's gymnastics, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Women's Gymnastics, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball and Women's Volleyball. In baseball, cross country/track and field, women's gymnastics, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball and women's volleyball, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.4 unchanged.]

13.17.5 Women's Gymnastics. The following recruiting periods shall apply to women's gymnastics:

(a) August 1 through the Sunday before Thanksgiving Day [except for (1) below]:

(1) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date for the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:

**Contact
Period**

**Dead
Period**

<u>(b) The Monday before Thanksgiving Day through the Sunday after Thanksgiving Day:</u>	<u>Dead Period</u>
<u>(c) The Monday after Thanksgiving Day through December 17:</u>	<u>Contact Period</u>
<u>(d) December 18 through January 1:</u>	<u>Dead Period</u>
<u>(e) January 2 through July 31 [except for (1) through (3) below]:</u>	<u>Contact Period</u>
<u>(1) The three days of the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women Convention:</u>	<u>Dead Period</u>
<u>(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:</u>	<u>Dead Period</u>
<u>(3) The day before the first day of the National Collegiate Women's Gymnastics Championships to noon on the day after the championships.</u>	<u>Dead Period</u>

[13.17.5 through 13.17.10 renumbered as 13.17.6 through 13.17.11, unchanged.]

13.17.~~11~~**12** Recruiting Periods -- Other Sports. There are no specified recruiting periods in sports for which no recruiting calendars have been established, except for the following dead and quiet periods.

13.17.~~11~~**12**.1 Dead Periods.

[13.17.11.1.1 through 13.17.11.1.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.1 through 13.17.12.1.2, unchanged.]

~~13.17.11.1.3 Gymnastics, Women. The day before the first day of the National Collegiate Women's Gymnastics Championships to noon on the day after the championships.~~

[13.17.11.1.4 through 13.17.11.1.5 renumbered as 13.17.12.1.3 through 13.17.12.1.4, unchanged.]

[13.17.11.2 renumbered as 13.17.12.2, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: At the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, the National Association of Collegiate Gymnastics Coaches/Women determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Women's Gymnastics Committee: The committee supports the proposal.

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 06, 2011 Women's Gymnastics Committee, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-60 RECRUITING -- RECRUITING CALENDARS -- WRESTLING

Intent: In wrestling, to establish a recruiting calendar, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.3.1.1, as follows:

13.1.3.1.1 Exception -- Baseball, Cross Country/Track and Field, Men's Lacrosse, Women's Lacrosse, Women's Sand Volleyball, Softball, ~~and~~ Women's Volleyball **and Wrestling**. In baseball, cross country/track and field, men's lacrosse, women's lacrosse, women's sand volleyball, softball, ~~and~~ women's volleyball **and wrestling**, telephone calls to an individual (or his or her relatives or legal guardians) may not be made before July 1 following the completion of his or her junior year in high school, or the opening day of classes of his or her senior year in high school (as designated by the high school), whichever is earlier. Thereafter, such telephone calls shall be limited to once per week outside a contact period, but may be made at the institution's discretion during a contact period.

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.17, as follows:

13.17 Recruiting Calendars.

[13.17.1 through 13.17.9 unchanged.]

13.17.10 Wrestling. The following recruiting periods shall apply to wrestling:

(a) August 1 through July 30 [except for (1) through (3) below]:

(1) Thursday through Sunday of the National Wrestling Coaches Association Convention:

(2) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the fall signing of the National Letter of Intent:

(3) Monday through Thursday of the week that includes the initial date of the spring signing of the National Letter of Intent:

[13.17.10 through 13.17.11 renumbered as 13.17.11 through 13.17.12, unchanged.]

Contact
Period
Dead
Period
Dead
Period
Dead
Period

Source: NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: The National Wrestling Coaches Association, at the request of the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet to evaluate the merits of establishing a recruiting calendar and recruiting-person or evaluation days, determined that the establishment of a recruiting calendar is an appropriate measure to ensure competitive equity in the recruiting process and to promote the well being of prospective student-athletes and coaches.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 23, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-61 RECRUITING -- BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL
-- NO EMPLOYMENT OF CURRENT STUDENT-ATHLETES

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that a certified event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete.

Bylaws: Amend 13.19, as follows:

13.19 BASKETBALL EVENT CERTIFICATION -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL

In women's basketball, in order for a basketball event (e.g., camp, league, tournament or festival) to be certified, a certification application form must be submitted each year to the NCAA national office 45 days prior to the start of the event. An event review form for each event also must be submitted to the NCAA national office not later than three months following the event sessions. The following criteria must be met by each event in order to be certified:

[13.19-(a) through 13.19-(c) unchanged.]

(d) The event shall not employ (either on a salaried or a volunteer basis) a current women's basketball student-athlete;

[13.19-(d) through 13.19-(q) relettered as 13.19-(e) through 13.19-(r), unchanged.]

Source: Atlantic Coast Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Recruiting

Rationale: Currently, there are no restrictions regarding the employment of student-athletes at certified events. The employment of a women's basketball student-athlete at a certified event gives

the student-athlete's institution a potential recruiting advantage through exposure to and contact with the prospective student-athletes in attendance.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal and notes that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping); however, this proposal would eliminate a viable option. Further, the cabinet notes that some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and notes that women's basketball student-athletes should have a variety of permissible employment opportunities, such as those associated with these types of events (e.g., scorekeeping); however, this proposal would eliminate a viable option. Further, the cabinet notes that some student-athletes work these events to gain experience for further professional career aspirations (e.g., coaching, event management).

History:

Jul 01, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Eligibility

No. 2011-62 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FORM -- INTERNATIONAL STUDENT-ATHLETE

Intent: To eliminate the requirement that the eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on an international student-athlete eligibility form.

Bylaws: Amend 14.1, as follows:

14.1 GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

[14.1.1 through 14.1.4 unchanged.]

~~14.1.5 Eligibility Form — International Student-Athlete. The eligibility of an international student-athlete shall be certified on a form maintained by the Amateurism Cabinet and approved by the Legislative Council. Failure to complete and sign such a form prior to participating in competition shall result in the student-athlete's ineligibility for intercollegiate competition. The completed form shall be kept on file at the institution with other eligibility documents and shall be available for examination upon request by the NCAA, and, if the institution is a member of a conference, an authorized conference representative. Violations of this bylaw do not affect a student-athlete's~~

~~eligibility if the violation occurred due to an institutional administrative error or oversight, and the student-athlete subsequently signs the form; however, the violation shall be considered an institutional violation per Constitution 2.8.1.~~

[14.1.6 through 14.1.11 renumbered as 14.1.5 through 14.1.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: With the creation of the amateurism certification process through the NCAA Eligibility Center, the use of the international student-athlete eligibility form is duplicative and an administrative burden. An institution should have the flexibility to determine the appropriate method (e.g., institutional form, interview) for certifying the amateur status of a student-athlete for the time period after he or she requested final certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center (e.g., incoming freshmen) or the student-athlete initially enrolled in a Division I or II institution (e.g., transfer students).

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): International student-athletes would no longer need to complete the form.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

No. 2011-63 ELIGIBILITY -- GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS -- GRADUATE STUDENT/
POSTBACCALAUREATE PARTICIPATION -- POSTSEASON EVENT FOLLOWING
LAST TERM OF ELIGIBILITY

Intent: To specify that a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate) remains eligible for any postseason event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility).

A. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.8.2.1.3, as follows:

14.1.8.2.1.3 Final Semester/Quarter. A student-athlete may compete while enrolled in less than a minimum full-time program of studies, provided the student is enrolled in the final semester or quarter of the baccalaureate program and the institution certifies that the student is carrying (for credit) the courses necessary to complete degree requirements. The student granted eligibility under this provision shall be eligible for any ~~NCAA championship and for any~~ licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament event that begins within 60 days following said semester or quarter, provided the student has not exhausted the five years for completion of

the individual's four seasons of eligibility (see Bylaw 14.2). Thereafter, the student shall forfeit eligibility in all sports, unless the student completes all degree requirements during that semester or quarter and is eligible to receive the baccalaureate diploma on the institution's next degree-granting date. The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief may waive the 60-day requirement for instances in which ~~an NCAA championship, a~~ postseason licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament event is conducted at the conclusion of the traditional playing season but begins more than 60 days following the end of said term.

B. Bylaws: Amend 14.1.9.3, as follows:

14.1.9.3 ~~NCAA Championship~~ **Postseason Event** Following Last Term of Eligibility. A student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed (or is eligible as a graduate, per Bylaw 14.1.9) remains eligible for any ~~NCAA championship for any~~ postseason licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament event that begins within 60 days after the end of the term in which the student completes the requirements for the degree (or graduate eligibility). The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief may waive the 60-day requirement for instances in which ~~an NCAA championship, a~~ postseason licensed bowl game or National Invitation Tournament event is conducted at the conclusion of the traditional playing season but begins more than 60 days following the end of said term.

Source: Pac-12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Current legislation allows a student-athlete who is eligible during the term in which degree work is completed to participate in an NCAA championship, a licensed bowl game or the National Invitation Tournament (NIT). A legislative relief waiver was granted during the 2010-11 basketball playing season to allow participation in a different postseason event. The waiver was granted as a one-time occurrence and the requesting institution was encouraged to initiate the process for legislation to be sponsored to permanently address the issue. This proposal would allow a deserving student-athlete to participate with his or her teammates in any postseason event for which the institution qualifies.

Estimated Budget Impact: Expenses related to postseason event participation.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Time related to postseason event participation.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-64 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE -- FIVE SEASONS OF ELIGIBILITY -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.

Bylaws: Amend 14.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

14.2 SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR RULE

A **In sports other than football, a** student-athlete shall not engage in more than four seasons of intercollegiate competition in any one sport (see Bylaws 14.02.7 and 14.3.3). **In football, a student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition and may only engage in a fifth season at an institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition.** An institution shall not permit a student-athlete to represent it in intercollegiate competition unless the individual completes all of his or her seasons of participation in all sports within the time periods specified below:

14.2.1 Five-Year Rule. A student-athlete shall complete his or her seasons of participation within five calendar years from the beginning of the semester or quarter in which the student-athlete first registered for a minimum full-time program of studies in a collegiate institution, with time spent in the armed services, on official religious missions or with recognized foreign aid services of the U.S. government being excepted. For international students, service in the armed forces or on an official religious mission of the student's home country is considered equivalent to such service in the United States.

[14.2.1.1 through 14.2.1.4 unchanged.]

14.2.1.5 Five-Year Rule Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, or its designated committee, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve waivers of the five-year rule as it deems appropriate.

[14.2.1.5.1 unchanged.]

14.2.1.5.2 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.1.5 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

[14.2.2 through 14.2.3 unchanged.]

14.2.4 Hardship Waiver. A student-athlete may be granted an additional year of competition by the conference or the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement for reasons of "hardship." Hardship is defined as an incapacity resulting from an injury or illness that has occurred under all of the following conditions:

[14.2.4-(a) through 14.2.4-(c) unchanged.]

[14.2.4.1 through 14.2.4.3 unchanged.]

14.2.4.4 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.4 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per

Bylaw 14.2.

14.2.5 Season-of-Competition Waiver -- Competition While Ineligible. In conjunction with a request for restoration of eligibility and any conditions imposed thereon per Bylaw 14.12, a student-athlete may be granted an additional season of competition by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement when the student- athlete participated in a limited amount of competition as a result of a good-faith, erroneous formal declaration of eligibility by the institution's appropriate certifying authority; or the student-athlete's good-faith, erroneous reliance on a coaching staff member's decision to put the student-athlete into competition prior to the coaching staff member receiving a formal declaration of the student-athlete's eligibility from the institution's appropriate certifying authority. The competition must have occurred under all of the following conditions:

[14.2.5-(a) through 14.2.5-(e) unchanged.]

[14.2.5.1 unchanged.]

14.2.5.2 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.5 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

14.2.6 Season-of-Competition Waiver—Competition While Eligible. A student-athlete may be granted an additional season of competition by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement in a case in which the student-athlete participated in a limited amount of competition while eligible due to a coach's documented misunderstanding of the legislation or other extenuating circumstances. In cases in which a student-athlete does not meet the criteria of this waiver, the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement shall have authority to review and grant a waiver based on additional documented extenuating circumstances.

[14.2.6.1 through 14.2.6.3 unchanged.]

14.2.6.4 Application to Football Student-Athletes. Bylaw 14.2.6 shall not apply to a football student-athlete who engaged in five seasons of intercollegiate competition per Bylaw 14.2.

Source: Colonial Athletic Association

Effective Date: August 1, 2012; applies to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Progress-toward-degree requirements specify that a student-athlete must complete eighty percent of his or her degree requirements entering the student-athlete's fifth year. This requirement implies that there are academic programs of four-plus years (nine semesters). For a student-athlete who engages in competition four seasons in four years but needs another term to graduate, a fifth season would keep the student-athlete more fully engaged academically, athletically and socially throughout his or her collegiate career. In addition, this change promotes student-athlete well-being since reduced squad sizes, in combination with injuries and "redshirting," often requires some student-athletes to participate in more game situations than would otherwise be necessary, particularly toward the end of the season. Permitting a fifth season

of competition would allow more student-athletes to participate throughout the season. The combination of "redshirting," a missed season due to injuries and progress-toward-degree requirements often require the institution to extend the student-athlete's scholarship beyond four years. A fifth season of competition would allow participation during the entire period of the student-athlete's financial aid, potentially resulting in greater cost efficiency for the institution and an extra incentive for the student-athlete.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal, but could vary based on adjustments from current "redshirting" practices, injuries, and values of athletics scholarships.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None. Increased participation would provide extra incentive academically and athletically.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet notes that additional research and analysis are needed regarding the concept of five seasons of eligibility.

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

History:

Jul 11, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-65 ELIGIBILITY -- TWO-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS -- YEAR OF ACADEMIC READINESS AT TWO-YEAR COLLEGE

Intent: To establish a year of academic readiness for two-year college transfers, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.1, as follows:

13.1.1 Contactable Individuals.

[13.1.1.1 unchanged.]

13.1.1.2 Two-Year College Prospective Student-Athletes. A prospective student-athlete who was not a qualifier as defined in Bylaw 14.02.11.1 and who is enrolled in the first year of a two-year college may not be contacted in person on or off an institution's campus for recruiting purposes. **A year of academic readiness (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6) shall not be considered a completed academic year for this purpose. A prospective student-athlete enrolled in a year of academic readiness may not be contacted in person on or off an institution's campus.**

[Remainder of 13.1.1 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.6.2.3, as follows:

13.6.2.3 Post-High School Visits. The one-visit limitation and the limitations on total official visits apply separately to the period in which the prospective student-athlete is in high school and to

the period beginning October 15 following the prospective student-athlete's completion of high school. Thus, a prospective student-athlete may be provided a maximum of 10 official visits -- five while in high school and five beginning with the October 15 following the prospective student-athlete's completion of high school. A prospective student-athlete is not required to graduate from high school in order to receive a permissible post-high school visit. [D]

13.6.2.3.1 Nonqualifier in First Year. A person who is not a qualifier and who is enrolled at a two-year college may not be provided an expense-paid visit to a member institution until he or she has completed an academic year at a two-year college. **A year of academic readiness (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6) shall not be considered a completed academic year for this purpose. A prospective student-athlete enrolled in a year of academic readiness may not be provided an expense-paid visit to a member institution.**

[13.6.2.3.2 unchanged.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.2, as follows:

14.2.2 Additional Applications of the Five-Year Rule.

[14.2.2.1 through 14.2.2.5 unchanged.]

14.2.2.6 Delay of Five Year Rule -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness. A student-athlete's eligibility under the five-year rule shall not begin while the student is enrolled in his or her first academic year of a minimum full-time program of studies at a two-year college, provided all the following conditions are met:

(a) The student-athlete fulfills the requirements of a year of academic readiness at the two-year college;

(b) The student-athlete shall have spent a minimum of five full-time semesters/ seven full-time quarters in residence at the two-year college. Such enrollment shall occur in consecutive terms; and

(c) The student-athlete shall not engage in more than two seasons of competition in any one sport at the certifying institution.

14.2.2.6.1 Year of Academic Readiness. A student-athlete shall fulfill a year of academic readiness if all the following conditions are met:

(a) The student-athlete has registered with the NCAA Eligibility Center and has been certified as a nonqualifier;

(b) The student-athlete shall enroll in the year of academic readiness during the student-athlete's initial year of collegiate enrollment;

(c) The student-athlete and the two-year college have confirmed the student-athlete's enrollment in the year of academic readiness with the NCAA Eligibility Center;

(d) The student-athlete completes two semesters/three quarters of consecutive full-time enrollment at the two-year college; and

(e) The student-athlete does not engage in outside competition.

14.2.2.6.2 Multiple-Two Year Colleges. If a student-athlete has been in residence at two or more two-year colleges, the terms of residence at all two-year colleges may be combined to satisfy the residence requirement.

D. Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3, as follows:

14.4.3 Eligibility for Competition.

14.4.3.1 Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements. Eligibility for competition shall be determined based on satisfactory completion of at least:

[14.4.3.1-(a) through 14.4.3.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.2 Transfer. To be eligible for competition, a transfer student-athlete must meet the following credit-hour requirements based on attendance at the previous institution(s) for the specified time and may use any hours of academic credit earned at any collegiate institution:

[14.4.3.1.2-(a) through 14.4.3.1.2-(d) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.2.2 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.1.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.1.3 through 14.4.3.1.6 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.7 Designation of Degree Program. A student-athlete shall designate a program of studies leading toward a specific baccalaureate degree at the certifying institution prior to participating in competition that occurs during or immediately before the third year of enrollment (fifth semester or seventh quarter) and thereafter shall make progress toward that specific degree. This provision shall be applicable to the eligibility not only of a continuing student, but also of a transfer student from a four-year or two-year collegiate institution who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment, even if the student has not yet completed an academic year in residence or used a season of eligibility in a sport at the certifying institution. Designation of a specific baccalaureate degree program may be accomplished by: [D]

[14.4.3.1.7-(a) through 14.4.3.1.7-(b) unchanged.]

[14.4.3.1.7.1 through 14.4.3.1.7.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.7.3 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.1.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.1.8 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2 Fulfillment of Percentage of Degree Requirements. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 40 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 60 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fifth year of collegiate enrollment shall have

completed successfully at least 80 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. The course requirements must be in the student's specific degree program (as opposed to the student's major).

[14.4.3.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2.2 Application of Rule to Transfer Student. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 40 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 60 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fifth year of collegiate enrollment shall have completed successfully at least 80 percent of the course requirements in the student's specific degree program. The course requirements must be in the student's specific degree program (as opposed to the student's major).

[14.4.3.2.2.1 unchanged.]

14.4.3.2.2.2 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[14.4.3.2.3 through 14.4.3.2.4 unchanged.]

14.4.3.3 Fulfillment of Minimum Grade-Point Average Requirements. A student-athlete who is entering his or her second year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum 4.000) that equals at least 90 percent of the institution's overall cumulative grade-point average required for graduation. A student-athlete who is entering his or her third year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum of 4.000) that equals 95 percent of the institution's overall cumulative minimum grade-point average required for graduation. A student-athlete who is entering his or her fourth or later year of collegiate enrollment shall present a cumulative minimum grade-point average (based on a maximum of 4.000) that equals 100 percent of the institution's overall cumulative grade-point average required for graduation. If the institution does not have an overall grade-point average required for graduation, it is permissible to use the lowest grade-point average required for any of the institution's degree programs in determining the cumulative minimum grade-point average. The minimum grade-point average must be computed pursuant to institutional policies applicable to all students.

14.4.3.3.1 Application of Rule to Transfer Student. The provisions of Bylaw 14.4.3.3 shall be applicable to the eligibility of a transfer student from a two-year or four-year collegiate institution who has completed an academic term in residence at the certifying institution. A student-athlete who attends the certifying institution as a full-time student, transfers to another institution and later returns to the original institution is immediately subject to the provisions of Bylaw 14.4.3.3 on re-enrollment.

14.4.3.3.1.1 Exception -- Year of Academic Readiness. A year of academic readiness shall be exempt from the application of Bylaw 14.4.3.2 (see Bylaw 14.2.2.6).

[Remainder of 14.4.3 unchanged.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 15.3.1, as follows:

15.3.1 Eligibility of Student-Athletes for Financial Aid. Institutional financial aid may be awarded for any term during which a student-athlete is in regular attendance as an undergraduate with eligibility remaining under Bylaw 14.2, ~~or~~ within six years after initial enrollment in a collegiate institution (provided the student does not receive such aid for more than five years during that period), within seven years after initial enrollment in a collegiate institution for a two-year college transfer who completes a year of academic readiness pursuant to Bylaw 14.2.2.6 (provided the student does not receive such aid for more than six years during the period), or as a graduate eligible under Bylaw 14.1.9.

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal represents an effort to assist students who are graduating from high school without foundational academic skills to succeed academically at four-year collegiate institutions. Evidence of current enrollment patterns at two-year institutions indicate part-time and often, self-funded efforts by student-athletes in order to engage in remedial coursework. This proposal provides additional time for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic fundamentals necessary to ultimately succeed at a four-year college. Specifically, the year of academic readiness provides the opportunity for student-athletes who need remediation with the means to obtain the academic foundation by allowing for access to aid (federal and athletics); not triggering their NCAA period of eligibility or progress-toward-degree standards; and appropriately emphasizing an academic focus. The proposal notes the current educational shift toward remediation/foundational learning skill development occurring at the two-year level, since many NCAA institutions do not offer remedial courses. Further, the proposal allows for relative ease of administration and the potential for academic development on an individualized basis that could lead to more academic options upon transfer.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Provides an opportunity for underprepared student-athletes to gain the academic foundation needed to be academically successful at a four-year institution and graduate.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concept of standards that will better prepare men's basketball student-athletes to succeed academically at a Division I institution. The committee is concerned as to whether prospective student-athletes would avail themselves of this option and whether there are potential issues with the application of the proposal that may not be readily discernible at this time.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified the effective date from August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013 to August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

No. 2011-66 ELIGIBILITY -- SEASONS OF COMPETITION -- MINIMUM AMOUNT OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- NONCHAMPIONSHIP SEGMENT COMPETITION -- SOFTBALL

Intent: In softball, to permit a student-athlete to compete in an institution's non-championship segment without using a season of competition, as specified.

Bylaws: Amend 14.2.3.1, as follows:

14.2.3.1 Minimum Amount of Competition. Any competition, regardless of time, during a season in an intercollegiate sport shall be counted as a season of competition in that sport, except as provided in Bylaws 14.2.3.1.1, 14.2.3.1.2, 14.2.3.1.3 and 14.2.3.1.4. This provision is applicable to intercollegiate athletics competition conducted by a two-year or four-year collegiate institution at the varsity or subvarsity level.

[14.2.3.1.1 through 14.2.3.1.2 unchanged.]

14.2.3.1.3 Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball. In softball, a student-athlete may engage in intercollegiate competition during the segment of the playing season that does not conclude with the NCAA championship without using a season of competition, under the following conditions:

(a) The student-athlete is in her initial year of full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and has not previously been enrolled full-time at another collegiate institution;

(b) The student-athlete is a transfer student-athlete in her initial year of full-time enrollment at the certifying institution and was academically eligible for competition during the previous academic year at her previous institution during the segment of the playing season that concluded with the applicable governing body's (e.g., NJCAA, NCAA) softball championship; or

(c) The student-athlete is a continuing student and was academically eligible during the segment of the playing season that concluded with the NCAA championship during the previous academic year.

[14.2.3.1.3 through 14.2.3.1.4 renumbered as 14.2.3.1.4 through 14.2.3.1.5, unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate; applies retroactively to any student-athlete with eligibility remaining.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal applies the principle of the season of competition exception currently available in women's volleyball, men's and women's soccer, field hockey and men's water polo to softball. Eight contests are permitted during the nonchampionship segment of the softball playing and practice season. The nonchampionship segment is traditionally used as a means of preparing a team for the championship segment. However, because any amount of competition in the fall results in the use of a season of competition, it is difficult to achieve that goal. In many cases, it may be difficult for a coach to adequately assess the status of a student-athlete in the fall without placing her in actual competition. Currently, if a student-athlete competes in the fall, she uses a season of competition regardless of whether it is determined that she is not ready for competition in the championship segment. The limited amount of competition in the nonchampionship segment does not present a significant competitive advantage if student-athletes are allowed to compete without losing a season of competition.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. The cabinet supports the application of the concept to all sports for which there is a nonchampionship segment and encourages the sponsor or another entity to sponsor such a proposal in the next legislative cycle.

Women's Softball Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Women's Softball Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-67 ELIGIBILITY -- FRESHMAN ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS -- ADVANCED PLACEMENT -- INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION

Intent: To specify that for purposes of fulfilling the advanced placement requirements for initial eligibility, "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation; further, to specify that an institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to the advanced placement criteria.

Bylaws: Amend 14.3.5.4, as follows:

14.3.5.4 Advanced Placement. If the student-athlete is admitted with a minimum of 24-semester hours or a minimum of 36-quarter hours of advanced placement from a College Entrance Examination Board (CEEB) examination (or from a similar proficiency examination) and/or concurrent high school/college credit without previous enrollment at a collegiate institution, the

student-athlete shall be immediately eligible. Credits earned from extension or summer-session courses may not be counted in satisfaction of this requirement. **A "similar proficiency examination," must be an advanced or higher level, nationally administered proficiency exam with a uniform grading scale that is taken after high school graduation.**

14.3.5.4.1 International Certification. An institution shall use the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete pursuant to Bylaw 14.3.5.4.

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: This proposal codifies an International Student Records Committee definition of a "similar proficiency examination" to ensure consistent application of the legislation in determining freshman eligibility. The proposed legislation will not impact institutional autonomy for admitting and/or accepting a prospective student-athlete's advanced placement credit; however, the proposal does require the NCAA Eligibility Center to determine if an international prospect may be immediately eligible based on "similar proficiency examination" credit. Given the varied and unique international proficiency examinations, the expertise and experience of the NCAA Eligibility Center will ensure that there is a consistent certification process of international student-athletes who take such examinations.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet does not see a compelling reason to legislate the definition of a "similar proficiency examination" at this time. The cabinet notes the benefit of continuing to allow institutions to determine the initial eligibility of an international student-athlete who presents a "similar proficiency examination."

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-68 ELIGIBILITY -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE REQUIREMENTS -- ELIGIBILITY FOR COMPETITION -- FULFILLMENT OF CREDIT-HOUR REQUIREMENTS -- ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS -- FOOTBALL -- EXCEPTION -- TEAM ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE

Intent: In football, to specify that a student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty for failure to successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic

credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.

Bylaws: Amend 14.4.3.1.6, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

14.4.3.1.6 Additional Requirements -- Football. In football, a student-athlete who is a member of the institution's football team and who does not successfully complete at least nine-semester hours or eight-quarter hours of academic credit during the fall term and earn the Academic Progress Rate eligibility point for the fall term shall not be eligible to compete in the first four contests against outside competition in the following playing season.

[14.4.3.1.6.1 through 14.4.3.1.6.2 unchanged.]

14.4.3.1.6.3 Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate. A student-athlete shall not be subject to the eligibility penalty in Bylaw 14.4.3.1.6, provided the institution's Academic Progress Rate for football is 965 or higher as of the first day of classes of the fall term in which the penalty would otherwise apply.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The intent of additional academic requirements for football student-athletes is to help ensure that they progress toward a degree and, ultimately, graduate. Several institutions have achieved an exceptional football team Academic Progress Rate, thus demonstrating success in assisting football student-athletes toward graduation. An Academic Progress Rate score of 965 represents, approximately, a Graduation Success Rate in football of 75 percent. If an institution achieves such a score, its student-athletes should not be subject to eligibility penalties beyond those currently in effect.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet notes the importance of maintaining a level of ineligibility as a means to facilitate behavior change during the fall term.

Football Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The FBS members of the committee, in their opposition of the proposal, note that an individual student-athlete should not have his penalties mitigated because of the academic performance of the rest of the team.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-69 ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- 2-4 AND 4-2-4 COLLEGE TRANSFERS

Intent: To revise the two-year college and 4-2-4 college transfer requirements, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 14.5.4, as follows:

14.5.4 Two-Year College Transfers. A student who transfers to a member institution from a two-year college or from a branch school that conducts an intercollegiate athletics program must complete an academic year of residence unless the student meets the following eligibility requirements applicable to the division of which the certifying institution is a member.

14.5.4.1 Qualifier. A transfer student from a two-year college who was a qualifier (per Bylaw 14.3.1.1) is eligible for competition in the first academic year in residence only if the student:

[14.5.4.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) Has presented a minimum grade-point average of ~~2.000~~ **2.500** (see Bylaw 14.5.4.5.3.2); and

[14.5.4.1-(c) unchanged.]

[14.5.4.1.1 unchanged.]

~~14.5.4.1.2 Use of Physical Education Activity Courses -- Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, not more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the transferable degree credit and grade point average requirements. However, a student athlete enrolling in a physical education degree program or a degree program in education that requires physical education activity courses may use up to the minimum number of credits of physical education activity courses that are required for the specific degree program to fulfill the transferable degree credit and grade point average requirements. Additional credit hours of physical education activity courses may not be used to fulfill elective requirements.~~

14.5.4.2 Not a Qualifier.

14.5.4.2.1 Eligibility for Financial Aid, Practice and Competition. A transfer student from a two-year college who was not a qualifier (per Bylaw 14.3.1.1.) is eligible for institutional financial aid, practice and competition the first academic year in residence only if the student:

(a) Has graduated from the two-year college;

(b) Has completed satisfactorily a minimum of 48 semester or 72 quarter hours of transferable-degree credit acceptable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution, including six semester or eight quarter hours of transferable English credit, ~~and~~ three semester or four quarter hours of transferable math credit **and three semester or four quarter hours of transferable natural/physical science credit;**

(c) Has attended a two-year college as a full-time student for at least three semesters or four quarters (excluding summer terms); and

(d) Has achieved a cumulative grade-point average of ~~2.000~~ **2.500** (see Bylaw 14.5.4.5.3.2).

14.5.4.2.2 Eligibility for Financial Aid and Practice. A transfer student from a two-year college who was not a qualifier (per Bylaw 14.3.1.1.) is eligible for institutional financial aid and practice the first academic year in residence only if the student:

(a) Has graduated from the two-year college;

(b) Has completed satisfactorily a minimum of 48 semester or 72 quarter hours of transferable-degree credit acceptable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution, including six semester or eight quarter hours of transferable English credit, three semester or four quarter hours of transferable math credit and three semester or four quarter hours of transferable natural/physical science credit;

(c) Has attended a two-year college as a full-time student for at least three semesters or four quarters (excluding summer terms); and

(d) Has achieved a minimum cumulative grade-point average of 2.000 (see Bylaw 14.5.4.5.3.2).

[14.5.4.2.1 through 14.5.4.2.3 unchanged renumbered as 14.5.4.2.3 through 14.5.4.2.5, unchanged.]

~~14.5.4.2.4 Use of Physical Education Activity Courses — Men's Basketball. In men's basketball, not more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. However, a student athlete enrolling in a physical education degree program or a degree program in education that requires physical education activity courses may use up to the minimum number of credits of physical education activity courses that are required for the specific degree program to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. Additional credit hours of physical education activity courses may not be used to fulfill elective requirements.~~

[14.5.4.3 through 14.5.4.4 unchanged.]

14.5.4.5 Two-Year College Transfer Regulations. The following regulations shall be applied in administering the eligibility requirements for two-year college transfers.

[14.5.4.5.1 through 14.5.4.5.3 unchanged.]

14.5.4.5.4 Use of Physical Education Activity Courses. Not more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. However, a student-athlete enrolling in a physical education degree program or a degree program in education that requires physical education activity courses may use up to the minimum number of credits of physical education activity courses that are required for the specific degree program to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. Additional credit hours of physical education activity courses may not be used to fulfill elective requirements.

[14.5.4.5.4 through 14.5.4.5.7 renumbered as 14.5.4.5.5 through 14.5.4.5.8, unchanged.]

14.5.4.6 Exceptions for Transfers from Two-Year Colleges. A transfer student from a two-year college or from a branch school that conducts an intercollegiate athletics program is not subject to the residence requirement at the certifying institution if any one of the following conditions is met. An individual who is not a qualifier shall not be permitted to use the exceptions under this bylaw.

14.5.4.6.1 Discontinued/Nonsponsored Sport Exception. The student changed institutions in order to continue participation in a sport because the student's original two-year college dropped the sport from its intercollegiate program (even though it may subsequently establish that sport on a club basis) or never sponsored the sport on the intercollegiate level while the student was in attendance at that institution, provided the student never attended any other collegiate institution that offered intercollegiate competition in that sport and the student earned at least a minimum ~~2.000~~ **2.500** grade-point average (see Bylaw 14.5.4.5.3.2) at the two-year college.

[Remainder of 14.5.4 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 14.5.6, as follows:

14.5.6 4-2-4 College Transfers. A student who transfers from a four-year college to a two-year college and then to the certifying institution shall complete one academic year of residence at the certifying institution prior to engaging in intercollegiate competition, unless:

(a) The student has completed an average of at least 12 semester or quarter hours of transferable-degree credit, with a cumulative minimum grade-point average of ~~2.000~~ **2.500**, acceptable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution for each term of full-time attendance at the two-year college following transfer from the four-year college most recently attended;

[14.5.6-(b) and 14.5.6-(c) unchanged.]

14.5.6.1 Exceptions. A "4-2-4" transfer student who does not meet the requirements of Bylaw 14.5.6 is not subject to the residence requirement for intercollegiate competition if any of the following conditions are met:

[14.5.6.1-(a) unchanged.]

(b) The student initially was enrolled in a four-year collegiate institution that never sponsored the student's sport on the intercollegiate level while the student was in attendance at the institution (provided the student never had attended any other four-year collegiate institution that offered intercollegiate competition in that particular sport) and provided the student was a qualifier and satisfactorily completed an average of at least 12 semester or quarter hours of transferable-degree credit acceptable toward any baccalaureate degree program at the certifying institution during each academic term of attendance with a cumulative minimum grade-point average of ~~2.000~~ **2.500** (see Bylaw 14.5.4.5.3.2), and spent at least two semesters or three quarters in residence at the two-year college (excluding summer sessions); or

[14.5.6.1-(c) unchanged.]

14.5.6.2 Additional Transferable Degree Credit Requirements for Nonqualifiers. A student who was not a qualifier shall have satisfactorily completed a minimum of six semester or

eight quarter hours of transferable English credit, three semester or four quarter hours of transferable math credit and three semester or four quarter hours of transferable natural/physical science credit. Remedial English, math and natural/physical science courses may not be used to satisfy this requirement. The student may use transferable English, math, and natural/physical science credits earned while enrolled at a previous four-year college to meet these requirements.

14.5.6.23 Use of Physical Education Activity Courses —~~Men's Basketball. In men's basketball,~~ **Not** more than two credit hours of physical education activity courses may be used to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. However, a student-athlete enrolling in a physical education degree program or a degree program in education that requires physical education activity courses may use up to the minimum number of credits of physical education activity courses that are required for the specific degree program to fulfill the transferable-degree credit and grade-point average requirements. Additional credit hours of physical education activity courses may not be used to fulfill elective requirements.

[14.5.6.3 through 14.5.6.7 renumbered as 14.5.6.4 through 14.5.6.8, unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012; for student-athletes initially enrolling full time in a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: Current data indicate that transfers from two-year institutions tend to underperform academically at four-year institutions on all academic measures as compared to transfers from four-year institutions or nontransfers. Statistical prediction modeling of four-year college outcomes from two-year college academic variables indicated three key predictors of academic success at the four-year institution: (1) two-year college grade-point average; (2) low number of physical education activity courses; and (3) successful completion of core credits in English, math, and natural or physical science. The increase of the minimum grade-point average required for eligibility to compete is based on data indicating that two-year college transfers with grade-point averages below 2.500 experience academic issues at the four-year institution at a higher rate than other students. To mirror the academic outcomes of nontransfer student-athletes or four-year transfer student-athletes in their junior year of enrollment, the minimum grade-point average actually would need to be raised higher than 2.500; however, the 2.500 threshold is appropriate at this time.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee took no formal position, but supports the concept of increased transfer regulations that will better prepare men's basketball student-athletes to succeed academically at a Division I institution. The committee notes that proposed adjustments to the Academic Progress Rate [930 benchmark] and tougher penalties may be

sufficient measures to address the problem.

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified the effective date from August 1, 2013, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2013 to August 1, 2012, for student-athletes initially enrolling full time at a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 2012.

No. 2011-70 ELIGIBILITY AND COMMITTEES -- 2-4 AND 4-2-4 TRANSFERS -- WAIVERS -- PROGRESS-TOWARD-DEGREE WAIVERS COMMITTEE

Intent: To increase, from eight to 14, the number of members of the NCAA Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee; further, to specify that the duties of the Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee shall include oversight of the process for reviewing requests for waivers of the 2-4 and 4-2-4 transfer requirements.

A. **Bylaws:** Amend 14.5, as follows:

14.5 TRANSFER REGULATIONS

[14.5.1 through 14.5.3 unchanged.]

14.5.4 Two-Year College Transfers. A student who transfers to a member institution from a two-year college or from a branch school that conducts an intercollegiate athletics program must complete an academic year of residence unless the student meets the following eligibility requirements applicable to the division of which the certifying institution is a member.

[14.5.4.1 through 14.5.4.7 unchanged.]

14.5.4.8 Waivers. The Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee (see Bylaw 21.7.5.1.3.2) shall have the authority to waive all two-year college transfer requirements based on objective evidence that demonstrates circumstances that warrant the waiver of the normal application of those regulations. The committee shall establish the process for granting such waivers and shall report annually to the Academic Cabinet and to the membership the actions taken in summary aggregate form.

[14.5.5 unchanged.]

14.5.6 4-2-4 College Transfers. A student who transfers from a four-year college to a two-year college and then to the certifying institution shall complete one academic year of residence at the certifying institution prior to engaging in intercollegiate competition, unless:

[14.5.6-(a) through 14.5.6-(c) unchanged.]

[14.5.6.1 through 14.5.6.7 unchanged.]

14.5.6.8 Waivers. The Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee (See Bylaw 21.7.5.1.3.2) shall have the authority to waive all two-year college transfer requirements based on objective evidence that demonstrates circumstances that warrant the waiver of the normal application of those regulations. The committee shall establish the process for granting such waivers and shall report annually to the Academic Cabinet and to the membership the actions taken in summary aggregate form.

B. Bylaws: Amend 21.7.5.1.3.2, as follows:

21.7.5.1.3.2 Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.

21.7.5.1.3.2.1 Composition. The Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee shall consist of ~~eight~~ **14** members appointed by the Administration Cabinet.

21.7.5.1.3.2.2 Duties. The committee shall be responsible for:

(a) Oversight of the process for reviewing requests for waivers of all progress-toward-degree requirements set forth in Bylaw 14.4.3. The policies and procedures for the review of such waivers shall be approved by the Academic Cabinet; and

(b) Oversight of the process for reviewing requests for waivers of the two-year college transfer requirements set forth in Bylaw 14.5.4 and 14.5.6. The policies and procedures for the review of such waivers shall be approved by the Academic Cabinet; and

~~(b)~~ **(c)** Consideration of appeals of staff decisions related to waiver requests for which the committee has jurisdiction.

21.7.5.1.3.2.3 Appeals. After the NCAA staff has acted on a progress-toward-degree **or two-year college transfer** matter, the involved institution may appeal the decision to the Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee. The committee's determination shall be final, binding and conclusive and shall not be subject to further review by any other authority.

Source: NCAA Division I Academic Cabinet

Effective Date: April 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The focus on the academic preparedness and potential academic success of two-year college transfers, as evidenced by other proposals sponsored by the Academic Cabinet, requires that waiver appeals be heard by an academic governance body with the appropriate expertise.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal. Committee only meets by teleconference.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 28, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified the effective date from August 1, 2012, to April 1, 2012.

No. 2011-71 ELIGIBILITY -- TRANSFER REGULATIONS -- FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE TRANSFERS
-- ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION -- WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY

Intent: To specify that the one-time transfer exception to the four-year transfer residence requirement shall not be applicable to student-athletes in women's ice hockey.

Bylaws: Amend 14.5.5.2.10, as follows:

14.5.5.2.10 One-Time Transfer Exception. The student transfers to the certifying institution from another four-year collegiate institution, and all of the following conditions are met (for graduate students, see Bylaw 14.1.9.1):

(a) The student is a participant in a sport other than baseball, basketball, bowl subdivision football or ~~men's~~ ice hockey at the institution to which the student is transferring. A participant in championship subdivision football at the institution to which the student is transferring may use this exception only if the participant transferred to the certifying institution from an institution that sponsors bowl subdivision football and has two or more seasons of competition remaining in football or the participant transfers from a Football Championship Subdivision institution that offers athletically related financial aid in football to a Football Championship Subdivision institution that does not offer athletically related financial aid in football;

[Remainder of 14.5.5.2.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big Ten Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012; applicable to student-athletes who enroll full time at the certifying institution in a regular academic term as transfer students on or after August 1, 2012.

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The occurrence of student-athletes transferring in women's ice hockey is increasing each year. Men's hockey student-athletes currently are precluded from using the one-time transfer exception and three of the four women's ice hockey conferences have adopted similar intra-conference transfer rules whereby student-athletes that transfer within the conference must spend a year in residence prior to being eligible to compete. The American Women's Hockey Coaches Association supports the proposal.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Ice Hockey Committee: The committee supports the proposal and believes that the value of the one-time transfer exception has been exhausted. While it made sense during the

formative years of women's ice hockey, there is now a solid foundation and thriving membership that should adhere to the same rules as other sports. This proposal should force both the coaching body and prospective student-athletes alike to place more importance on the recruiting process, hopefully ensuring a more appropriate "fit" for all parties involved.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 31, 2011 Women's Ice Hockey Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-72 ELIGIBILITY -- OUTSIDE COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- USA FENCING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: In fencing, to specify that a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team at the USA Fencing National Championships.

Bylaws: Amend 14.7.1, as follows:

14.7.1 Outside Competition -- Sports Other Than Basketball. A student-athlete in any sport other than basketball who participates during the academic year as a member of any outside team in any noncollegiate, amateur competition becomes ineligible for intercollegiate competition unless eligibility is restored by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement. A student-athlete is permitted to practice on such a team.

[14.7.1.1 through 14.7.1.4 unchanged.]

14.7.1.5 Exception -- USA Fencing National Championships. In fencing, a student-athlete may compete during the academic year as a member of a USA Fencing member club team in the USA Fencing National Championships.

[14.7.1.5 through 14.7.1.8 renumbered as 14.7.1.6 through 14.7.1.9, unchanged.]

Source: The Ivy League

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Eligibility

Rationale: The annual USA Fencing National Championships typically occur in July. Occasionally, however, the event has been moved from July to April to accommodate the Pan American Zonal Championships, the Olympics or other international competition. USA Fencing has indicated that the shift to April is only likely to occur in Olympic years in the future in order to accommodate Olympic trials and competition. Although there are individual events that take place during the course of this event, many student-athletes may not be able to attend if they are not permitted to compete on behalf of their USA Fencing club teams. The student-athletes are already attending this event for the individual competition, so no additional class time would be missed.

Estimated Budget Impact: Potential cost savings since costs could be covered by club teams.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee questions a cost savings could result through payment by a club team rather than the institution. The financial element is problematic since some clubs and/or club coaches are tied to specific collegiate programs.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Financial Aid

No. 2011-73 FINANCIAL AID AND AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- TRAINING-TABLE MEALS -- ONE MEAL PER DAY -- STUDENT-ATHLETES NOT RECEIVING FULL BOARD -- FOOTBALL

Intent: In bowl subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid; further, in championship subdivision football, to permit an institution to provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board; finally, to specify that the provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

A. Bylaws: Amend 15.2.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

15.2.2 Room and Board. An institution may provide a student-athlete financial aid that includes the cost of room and board, based on the official allowance for a room as listed in the institution's official publication (e.g., catalog) and a board allowance that consists of three meals per day, even if the institution's maximum permissible award allowance for all students represents a lesser cost figure.

[15.2.2.1 unchanged.]

[15.2.2.1.1 through 15.2.2.1.5 unchanged.]

15.2.2.1.6 Training-Table Meals. The cost of meals provided on the institution's training table shall be deducted from a student-athlete's board allowance, even if the student-athlete is not receiving a full grant-in-aid. In determining the cost figure to be deducted, the institution may use the actual meal costs listed in the institution's catalog or the average meal costs of its student-athletes living on campus.

15.2.2.1.6.1 Exception -- Student-Athlete Who Receives Less Than Full Board -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, an institution may provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid. In championship subdivision football, an institution may provide one training-table meal per day to a student-athlete who does not receive athletically related financial aid that covers the full cost of board. The provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

[Remainder of 15.2.2 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 16.5.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

16.5.2 Permissible. Identified housing and meal benefits incidental to a student's participation in intercollegiate athletics that may be financed by the institution are:

[16.5.2-(a) through 16.5.2-(b) unchanged.]

(c) Training Table Meals. An institution may provide only one training table meal per day to a student-athlete during the academic year on those days when regular institutional dining facilities are open (see Bylaw 15.2.2.1.6). A student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid covering the full cost of board, including a walk-on or partial scholarship recipient, may purchase one training table meal per day at the same rate that the institution deducts from the board allowance of student-athletes who receive athletically related financial aid covering board costs pursuant to Bylaw 15.2.2.1.6.

(1) Training Table Meals -- Student-Athlete Who Receives Less Than Full Board -- Football. In bowl subdivision football, a student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid (walk-on) may receive one training-table meal per day. In championship subdivision football, a student-athlete who does not receive institutionally related financial aid covering the full cost of board (walk-on or partial scholarship recipient) may receive one training-table meal per day. The provision of the one training-table meal per day to such a student-athlete shall not be considered financial aid.

[16.5.2-(d) through 16.5.2-(h) unchanged.]

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Under current legislation, a bowl subdivision football student-athlete who does not receive institutional athletically related financial aid or a championship subdivision football student-athlete who does not receive athletics aid covering the full cost of board may only eat a training-table meal with the team if the student-athlete purchases the meal. Many such student-athletes are not able to afford a training-table meal. As a result, these student-athletes often feel as though they are not part of the team, even though they participate in other team-related activities. In the

spirit of student-athlete well-being, an institution should be allowed to provide these student-athletes with one training-table meal per day, without affecting the student-athletes' counter status.

Estimated Budget Impact: Varies by institution, depending on the number of student-athletes eligible to receive the benefit.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. Except in specific, legislated circumstances, meals are considered an element of financial aid. The cabinet is also concerned that the proposal is specific to football.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-74 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- ENROLLED STUDENT-ATHLETES -- PROPORTIONALITY RESTRICTION -- EXHAUSTED ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION

Intent: To specify that the summer aid proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in course work acceptable toward his or her degree requirements.

Bylaws: Amend 15.2.8.1.2, as follows:

15.2.8.1.2 Enrolled Student-Athletes. After initial full-time enrollment during a regular academic year, a student-athlete shall not receive athletically related financial aid to attend the certifying institution's summer term or summer school unless the student-athlete received such athletically related aid from the certifying institution during the student-athlete's previous academic year at that institution. Further, such aid may be awarded only in proportion to the amount of athletically related financial aid received by the student-athlete during the student-athlete's previous academic year at the certifying institution, ~~except that this.~~ **The** proportionality restriction shall not apply to a student-athlete who has exhausted his or her eligibility and is enrolled in ~~a summer program of studies that will permit the student-athlete to complete~~ **course work acceptable toward** his or her degree requirements.

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Currently, a student-athlete is subject to the summer aid proportionality restriction unless he or she has exhausted eligibility and will complete his or her degree requirements in the summer. The current legislation does not account for situations in which a student-athlete who has exhausted intercollegiate eligibility is unable to enroll in all courses necessary to earn his or her degree in the summer due to limited course availability and/or degree-sequencing issues. This proposal would remove the requirement that the student-athlete must graduate at the conclusion of the summer term. This legislative change promotes student-athlete well-being by providing an additional opportunity for a student-athlete to receive the maximum allowable athletics aid and expedite degree completion. In addition, the proposal helps institutions' Academic Progress Rates and/or Graduation Success Rates by further enabling student-athletes to graduate within five years of initial enrollment.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal increase in summer athletically-related aid awarded. Potential decrease in regular academic year aid awarded.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 30, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-75 FINANCIAL AID -- SUMMER FINANCIAL AID -- PRIOR TO INITIAL FULL-TIME ENROLLMENT -- FOOTBALL -- COUNTER FOR THE ENSUING ACADEMIC YEAR

Intent: In football, to specify that a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.1.9, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

15.5.1.9 Summer-Term Aid. Institutional financial aid received during a summer term is not countable in these limitations and does not make a student-athlete a counter.

15.5.1.9.1 Exception -- Football. In football, a prospective student-athlete who receives athletically related financial aid during a summer term prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution shall be an initial and overall counter for the ensuing academic year. (See Bylaws 15.02.3 and 15.5.6.)

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: Athletically related financial aid awarded to a prospective student-athlete to attend summer school prior to initial enrollment has become commonplace. Currently, there are no limitations on the number of prospective student-athletes who may receive such aid. It is appropriate to help ensure that resources are properly managed by limiting the awarding of summer-term aid to those prospective student-athletes who will receive athletics aid on their initial full-time enrollment in the regular academic term immediately following the summer school session.

Estimated Budget Impact: Anticipated cost savings for institutions that have awarded summer athletics aid to more prospective student-athletes than the limit on initial counters in previous years.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Football Issues Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-76 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- EQUIVALENCY COMPUTATIONS

Intent: To specify that in calculating equivalencies, an institution may use either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and either the actual full grant-in-aid value for the student-athlete or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution as the denominator.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.3.2, as follows:

15.5.3.2 Equivalency Computations. In equivalency sports, each institutional financial aid award (per Bylaw 15.02.4.1) to a counter shall be computed as follows:

[15.5.3.2-(a) unchanged.]

(b) A fraction shall be created, with either the actual or average amount received by the student-athlete (up to the value of a full grant-in-aid) as the numerator and either the actual full

grant-in-aid value for that student-athlete **or the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students at the institution** as the denominator ~~based on the actual cost or average cost of a full grant for all students at that institution~~. Financial aid unrelated to athletics ability (see Bylaw 15.1) received by the student-athlete in excess of a full grant-in-aid shall not be included in this computation.

[15.5.3.2-(c) unchanged.]

[Remainder of 15.5.3.2 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: This proposal would allow an institution the flexibility to award equivalencies to the maximum benefit of its student-athletes. Currently, the legislation allows use of the actual amount received by the student-athlete as the numerator and the average amount of a full grant-in-aid for all students as the denominator only if the institution awards a lump sum amount and only if the institution does not have a policy requiring payment in a specific order (e.g., hierarchy of elements). This application can create a competitive advantage based solely on an institution's accounting practices. This proposal allows all institutions the flexibility to use the actual or average amount in the numerator and denominator regardless of institutional policies and regardless of how the institution awards aid (element, percentage, lump sum).

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jun 03, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 21, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

No. 2011-77 FINANCIAL AID -- MAXIMUM INSTITUTIONAL GRANT-IN-AID LIMITATIONS BY SPORT -- BASEBALL LIMITATIONS -- MINIMUM EQUIVALENCY VALUE -- EXCEPTION -- FINAL YEAR OF ELIGIBILITY AND NOT PREVIOUSLY AIDED

Intent: In baseball, to specify that an institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.

Bylaws: Amend 15.5.4, as follows:

15.5.4 Baseball Limitations. There shall be an annual limit of 11.7 on the value of financial aid awards (equivalencies) to counters and an annual limit of 27 on the total number of counters in

baseball at each institution.

15.5.4.1 Minimum Equivalency Value. An institution shall provide each counter athletically related and other countable financial aid that is equal to or greater than 25 percent of an equivalency.

[15.5.4.1.1 unchanged.]

15.5.4.1.2 Exception -- Final Year of Eligibility and Not Previously Aided. An institution may provide less than 25 percent of an equivalency to a student-athlete, provided the student-athlete is in the final year of eligibility and has not previously received athletically related financial aid in baseball.

Source: Big South Conference and Southern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Financial Aid

Rationale: This exception would give institutions the opportunity to reward the hard work and commitment of a nonscholarship student-athlete by providing the student with athletically related financial aid during the last season of eligibility, even though it may be below the generally required 25 percent value. By restricting the exception to a student-athlete in the final season of eligibility, the purpose of the minimum equivalency requirement remains intact. It is unlikely that a coach will "run off" a student-athlete who would have no eligibility remaining. Instead, a program that does not have 27 counters may have less than 25 percent of an equivalency remaining. Such a program could use it to provide a reduced amount of financial aid to student-athlete who had not previously received athletics aid. Restricting the exception to a student-athlete who has not previously received athletically related financial aid eliminates the possibility of reducing the financial aid of a student-athlete, who has received the aid in previous years, below 25 percent during the student's final year of eligibility.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Baseball Committee: The committee supports the proposal. The committee suggests that the sponsor consider a modification to eliminate the requirement that the student-athlete has not previously received athletically related aid in baseball. The committee agreed that such a modification would impact more student-athletes positively and would not detract from the original intent of the 25% requirement.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Baseball Committee, Recommends Approval

Awards, Benefits and Expenses

No. 2011-78 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- HOUSING AND MEALS -- FRUIT, NUTS AND BAGELS -- BAGEL SPREADS

Intent: To permit an institution to provide bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese) with bagels it may provide to a student-athlete at any time.

Bylaws: Amend 16.5.2, as follows:

16.5.2 Permissible. Identified housing and meal benefits incidental to a student's participation in intercollegiate athletics that may be financed by the institution are:

[16.5.2-(a) through 16.5.2-(g) unchanged.]

(h) Fruit, Nuts and Bagels. An institution may provide fruit, nuts and bagels **[including bagel spreads (e.g., butter, peanut butter, jelly, cream cheese)]** to a student-athlete at any time.

Source: Big East Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Beginning with the 2009-10 academic year, institutions have been permitted to provide fruit, nuts and bagels to student-athletes at any time. This proposal seeks to make a reasonable accommodation in allowing an institution to provide traditional bagel spreads to student-athletes in conjunction with the bagels it is already permitted to provide.

Estimated Budget Impact: Will vary by amounts and types of spreads provided.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet took no position. The cabinet is concerned about the enforceability of the proposal and notes that Bylaw 16 is under review by a working group that was formed as a result of the recent Presidential Retreat. Consequently, the cabinet recommends that the Legislative Council table this proposal pending the review.

History:

Jun 24, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, No Formal Position

No. 2011-79 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES FOR STUDENT-ATHLETE'S FRIENDS AND RELATIVES -- PERMISSIBLE EXPENSES -- LIFE-THREATENING INJURY OR ILLNESS -- EXPENSES FOR ANY STUDENT-ATHLETE

Intent: To specify that the institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for any student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete or a family member or legal guardian of a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or in the event of a student-athlete's or student-athlete's family member or legal guardian's death.

Bylaws: Amend 16.6.1.3, as follows:

16.6.1.3 Life-Threatening Injury or Illness. The institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for a student-athlete's parents (or legal guardians) and ~~the spouse, of a student-athlete~~ and ~~for the student-athlete's teammates~~ any other student-athlete to be present in situations in which a student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness, or, in the event of a student-athlete's death, to provide these expenses in conjunction with funeral arrangements.

16.6.1.3.1 Family Member of Student-Athlete. An institution may pay transportation, housing and meal expenses for a student-athlete's spouse, and children, and ~~teammates~~ any other student-athlete to be present in situations in which a family member or legal guardian of the student-athlete suffers a life-threatening injury or illness or, in the event of a family member's or legal guardian's death, to provide the student-athlete's spouse, and children, and ~~teammates~~ any other student-athlete with such expenses in conjunction with funeral arrangements.

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Current legislation limits an institution to providing actual and necessary expenses to a student-athlete's teammates in conjunction with situations in which the student-athlete or his or her family suffers a life-threatening injury or illness or death. An institution's student-athletes often are a close-knit group and, as a result, other student-athletes may be as close to the affected student-athlete as his or her teammates. Therefore, an institution should have the discretion to decide whether the provision of expenses is appropriate in such situations.

Estimated Budget Impact: Additional costs if expenses are provided to more student-athletes.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Feb 04, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Feb 23, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Effective date modified from August 1, 2012 to immediate.

No. 2011-80 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE
INSTITUTION FOR PRACTICE AND COMPETITION -- NATIONAL TEAM TRYOUTS
-- NOT MORE THAN TWO EVENTS

Intent: To permit an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in not more than two national team tryout competition events, including events from which participants are selected for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games.

Bylaws: Amend 16.8.1.3, as follows:

16.8.1.3 Other Competition. During an academic year in which a student-athlete is eligible to represent an institution in athletics competition (or in the following summer), an institution may provide actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the following activities:

[16.8.1.3-(a) through 16.8.1.3-(b) unchanged.]

(c) ~~One~~ **Not more than two** national team tryout competition ~~event~~ **events, including events** from which participants are selected **for another tier of tryout competition or events from which final selections are made** for the national team that will participate in the Olympic Games, Pan American Games, World Championships, World Cup or World University Games. ~~Although national team participants may be selected from multiple tryout events for a particular listed competitive event, an institution may provide expenses for only one such tryout event for each of the listed competitive events.~~

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Olympic Sports Liaison Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Current legislation permits an institution to provide actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to participate in only one national team tryout event. However, the national team selection process for many sports includes more than one round (tier) of tryout events, which may take place at different sites and on different dates. As a result, a student-athlete who is successful in the first tier may be required to incur the financial burden to continue through the additional tiers or withdraw from the tryout process prior to reaching the final tryout. This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second (or later) round in the selection process. In addition, there are other sports that provide more than one opportunity in which a student-athlete may compete in an effort to advance in the selection process if the student-athlete fails to advance from the first round (tier). This proposal would allow an institution to pay for a student-athlete to participate in a second event on the same tier (if the student-athlete failed to advance from the first event). This proposal would allow student athletes greater access to the current selection processes for international competition.

Estimated Budget Impact: Institutions with eligible student-athletes may bear the expense of additional national team tryouts.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Varies.

Position Statement(s)

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal as written. The cabinet recommends that the sponsor modify the proposal to have an immediate effective date. The cabinet would support the proposal, if modified. If the sponsor does not support the suggested modification, the cabinet will sponsor an alternative proposal. The cabinet agrees with the sponsor's rationale, but believes an immediate effective date is more appropriate because it will allow student-athletes to access these benefits during the 2011-12 academic year.

Men's and Women's Fencing Committee: The committee supports the proposal, but would prefer no limit on the number of events.

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Olympic Sports Liaison Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 08, 2011 Men's and Women's Fencing Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-81 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION -- CONFERENCE-SPONSORED LIFE SKILLS PROGRAM

Intent: To permit an institution or conference to pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).

Bylaws: Amend 16.9, as follows:

16.9 OTHER TRAVEL EXPENSES PROVIDED BY THE INSTITUTION

16.9.1 Permissible Travel Expenses Not Related to Practice or Competition. It is permissible for an institution to provide the following travel expenses not related to practice or competition: [R]

[16.9.1-(a) through 16.9.1-(d) unchanged.]

(e) Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program. An institution or conference may pay actual and necessary expenses for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program (e.g., leadership, personal development, conflict resolution).

[16.9.1-(e) relettered as 16.9.1-(f), unchanged.]

Source: Big 12 Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: The requirement that institutions conduct life skills programs is intended to enhance the educational and cultural opportunities for current student-athletes with the goal of increasing the likelihood of academic success and more fully preparing them to contribute to society as productive citizens. In addition, the programs support important student development initiatives and enhance the quality of the student-athlete experience within the university setting. The NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum is conducted annually on a four-region rotation. Permitting a conference to sponsor a life skills program and provide student-athletes with actual and necessary expenses to attend would be consistent with the NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum and the intent of the life skills program requirement. In addition, because the NCAA Student-Athlete Leadership Forum only provides institutions with the opportunity to select student-athletes to attend every four years, a conference-sponsored program would provide more student-athletes with the opportunity to benefit from such programs.

Estimated Budget Impact: Will vary by conference based on the cost of the life skills program and related travel expenses.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Minimal.

Position Statement(s)

Academic Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and notes the importance of providing more student-athletes with the opportunity to attend life skills programs that support student development initiatives and enhance the quality of the student-athlete experience.

Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jul 07, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 13, 2011 Academic Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-82 AWARDS, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES -- PROVISION OF EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANIZATIONS OTHER THAN THE INSTITUTION -- BENEFITS, GIFTS, AND SERVICES -- MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS -- FUNDRAISERS FOR STUDENT-ATHLETES OR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS

Intent: To specify that proceeds from fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate families) due to extreme circumstances beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster) may be given directly to the beneficiaries, with receipt kept on file by the institution, which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received.

Bylaws: Amend 16.11.1.12, as follows:

16.11.1.12 Miscellaneous Benefits. An institution may provide or arrange for the following benefits for a student-athlete:

[16.11.1.12-(a) through 16.11.1.12-(f) unchanged.]

(g) Fundraisers for student-athletes (or their immediate family members) under the following extreme circumstances:

(1) Extreme circumstances should be extraordinary in the result of events beyond the student-athlete's control (e.g., life-threatening illness, natural disaster);

(2) The proceeds must be designated for a specific purpose (e.g., payment of medical bills, purchase of medical equipment, replacement of items lost in a fire, etc.)

(3) The proceeds may ~~not~~ be given directly to the beneficiaries, ~~but must be disbursed through or paid directly to another entity~~, with receipt kept on file by the institution, **which must include the amount of expenses incurred and the total amount received**; and

(4) The excess proceeds must be given to a not-for-profit organization with the receipt kept on file by the institution.

[16.11.1.12-(h) unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Awards, Benefits and Expenses

Rationale: Allowing the proceeds of fundraisers for extreme circumstances to be provided directly to the beneficiaries to cover specific expenses reduces the burden placed on institutions, student-athletes and families of student-athletes. Requiring the institution to maintain receipts for the expenses and proceeds provided, and maintaining the other requirements of the current legislation will address concerns about potential abuse.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Feb 04, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Feb 23, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 19, 2011 Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Effective date modified from August 1, 2012 to immediate.

Playing and Practice Seasons

No. 2011-83 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASEBALL -- MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- 52

Intent: In baseball, to reduce, from 56 to 52, the limitation on the maximum number of contests with outside competition.

Bylaws: Amend 17.2.5, as follows:

17.2.5 Number of Contests.

17.2.5.1 Maximum Limitations -- Institutional. A member institution shall limit its total playing schedule with outside competition in baseball during the institution's baseball playing season to ~~56~~ **52** contests (games and scrimmages), except for those contests excluded under Bylaws 17.4.5.3 and 17.4.5.4.

[17.2.5.1.1 unchanged.]

17.2.5.2 Maximum Limitations -- Student-Athlete. An individual student-athlete may participate in each academic year in not more than ~~56~~ **52** baseball contests (this limitation includes those contests in which the student represents the institution in accordance with Bylaw 17.02.8, including competition as a member of the varsity, junior varsity or freshman team of the institution).

[Remainder of 17.2.5 unchanged.]

Source: West Coast Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: A four game reduction to the maximum number of contests in baseball would provide more flexibility and would help to limit the number of mid-week games. As a result, missed class time would be curtailed. Although institutions are not required to participate in the maximum permissible number of games, there is a reluctance to schedule fewer than the maximum contests due to the potential effect on consideration for postseason participation. Further, this proposal provides additional date options to reschedule canceled contests due to inclement weather. Finally, a reduction in the maximum number of contests would prioritize the well-being of baseball student-athletes in an effort to create better balance between academics and athletics.

Estimated Budget Impact: Decrease in costs for travel and/or game related expenses; less revenue from ticket sales.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Reduction in missed class time and in time spent in game related activities and/or travel.

Position Statement(s)

Baseball Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee recognizes that missed class time in baseball is a concern. However, the sport has taken significant steps to enhance the academic progress of student-athletes and those steps have paid off in improved

academic progress and retention. Thus, the committee does not believe it is necessary to reduce the maximum number of contests. The committee encourages each institution to decide whether it is in its best interest to play fewer than 56 contests. The committee also notes that there does not appear to be any significant impact on a team's RPI by playing 52 rather than 56 games in a season. The decision to play fewer than 56 games should remain an institutional or conference decision rather than a national mandate. Finally, the committee commits to reviewing data and engaging in additional discussion on the topic of missed class time for baseball student-athletes in the upcoming year.

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The cabinet acknowledges the significance of the issue of missed class time, but notes that baseball has taken significant steps to enhance the academic progress of student-athletes and that individual institutions may choose to play fewer games if they deem it appropriate.

History:

Jul 15, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 09, 2011 Baseball Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-84 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- ELIMINATION OF 5 P.M. START TIME ON FIRST PERMISSIBLE PRACTICE DATE

Intent: In basketball, to eliminate the 5 p.m. start time on the first permissible practice date.

A. Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2.1, as follows:

17.3.2.1 Men's Basketball. An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before ~~5 p.m. on~~ the Friday nearest October 15 (see Figure 17-2).

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2.2, as follows:

17.3.2.2 Women's Basketball. An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before ~~5 p.m. on~~ the date that is 40 days before the date of the institution's first regular-season contest. An institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities before its first regular-season contest.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Sports Management Cabinet (Women's Basketball Issues Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: With the recent change to the start date for preseason on-court practice in women's basketball (40 days before the date of the institution's first regular season contest), there is no longer a need to specify that practice may not begin before 5 p.m. on the first day of practice. The

5 p.m. start time was significant when all institutions began practice on the same date and celebratory events were tied to the country-wide start of basketball practice. The preseason on-court practice formula is now based on the institution's first regular season basketball contest, thereby resulting in a different start date for different institutions. Eliminating the specific start time will allow for greater flexibility in scheduling facilities. Student-athletes will continue to be prohibited from missing class for practice. The Women's Basketball Coaches Association initiated and supports this change. Having a consistent start time for preseason practice in men's and women's basketball eases the burden of monitoring compliance with the preseason practice on-court practice legislation. Further, if Proposal No. 2011-85 is adopted, both sports will use the same preseason practice model, further enhancing the benefit of having the sports use the same start time on the first permissible practice date.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

History:

May 05, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

May 12, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Approval

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Modified the Proposal Modified to apply to men's and women's basketball. Previously applied only to women's basketball.

No. 2011-85 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- MEN'S BASKETBALL -- PRESEASON PRACTICE -- ON-COURT PRACTICE -- 30 DAYS OF COUNTABLE ACTIVITIES WITHIN 40 DAYS PRIOR TO FIRST CONTEST

Intent: In men's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions prior to 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days prior to the date of the institution's first regular-season contest; further, to specify that an institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities prior to its first regular-season contest.

Bylaws: Amend 17.3.2, as follows:

17.3.2 Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice.

17.3.2.1 ~~Men's Basketball. An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before 5 p.m. on the Friday nearest October 15 (see Figure 17-2).~~

~~17.3.2.2 Women's Basketball.~~ An institution shall not commence on-court preseason basketball practice sessions before 5 p.m. on the date that is 40 days before the date of the institution's first regular-season contest. An institution shall not engage in more than 30 days of countable athletically related activities before its first regular-season contest.

[17.3.2.3 through 17.3.2.4 renumbered as 17.3.2.2 through 17.3.2.3, unchanged.]

Source: Big South Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: The most recent modification to the first permissible contest date in men's basketball reduced the number of preseason practice opportunities by up to seven days. This proposal allows the number of practice days in men's basketball to remain at a maximum of 30, which is generally consistent with the number of practice opportunities permitted prior to the adoption of the current legislation. Additionally, this proposed flexible preseason practice schedule permits coaches to best use practice and off days to benefit student-athletes prior to the first contest. While the existing preseason practice schedule essentially dictates that practice must occur during every possible day, the flexible approach offered in this proposal provides each coach with the ability to determine when to use the practice opportunities depending on the team's needs and the academic calendar. For example, a coach may provide the team with days off to study for midterm exams, to take advantage of fall vacation periods or to recover from injuries.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): On-court practice will begin on an earlier date, but additional days off will be included during the preseason practice period.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale. The cabinet prefers that the men's and women's basketball preseason practice model be consistent relative to practice windows (i.e., 30 days within 40-day window) and start times (i.e., elimination of 5 p.m. start time).

Men's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal. The committee is concerned about the impact of the proposal on the flow/continuity of preparation for the regular season and notes the potential for an increase in inadvertent violations during the 10 days off. Further, the committee notes support for the current rule, which adequately meets the needs of the coaches while providing sufficient breaks from countable athletically related activities for student-athletes.

History:

Jul 10, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 29, 2011 Men's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-86 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- WOMEN'S BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST DATE -- TUESDAY BEFORE THE SECOND FRIDAY OF NOVEMBER

Intent: In women's basketball, to specify that an institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November.

Bylaws: Amend 17.3.3, as follows:

17.3.3 First Contest.

17.3.3.1 Men's Basketball. An institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in **men's** basketball prior to the second Friday of November (see Figure 17-2).

17.3.3.2 Women's Basketball. An institution shall not play its first contest (game or scrimmage) with outside competition in women's basketball prior to the Tuesday before the second Friday of November (see Figure 17-2).

17.3.3.~~13~~ Exceptions. The following basketball contests (games or scrimmages) are permitted prior to the first contest dates specified under ~~Bylaw~~ **Bylaws 17.3.3.1 and 17.3.3.2:**

[Remainder of 17.3.3 unchanged.]

Source: Southeastern Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: As restrictions increase that prohibit contests during institutional final examination periods, institutions are finding it difficult to schedule games in December. This modification would reduce the number of missed contest opportunities by allowing at least one additional contest in November, outside examination periods. Additionally, this proposal would create an opportunity for institutions to take advantage of nationally televised games in January and February (e.g., Rivalry Week, February Frenzy, etc.), which have been restricted due to the expansion of conference schedules. Notably, revising the first contest date will permit institutions to initiate regular season competition in men's basketball and women's basketball on separate dates, which is expected to increase the attention paid to each program.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): The date preseason practice could begin would occur three days earlier than permitted under existing legislation, but the number of practices permitted would not change.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. The proposal would lengthen student-athletes' time of exposure to competition. Differences in institutions' final examination schedules make it impractical to change the first contest date with the goal of

avoiding conflicts with final examinations.

Women's Basketball Issues Committee: The committee opposes the proposal and is concerned that this approach would lengthen the playing season.

History:

Jul 08, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Aug 19, 2011 Women's Basketball Issues Committee, Recommends Defeat

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-87 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- NUMBER OF CONTESTS -- ANNUAL EXEMPTIONS -- COLLEGE FOOTBALL INVITATIONAL

Intent: In football, to specify that one contest played in a college football invitational event is exempt from the maximum number of football contests, as specified

Bylaws: Amend 17.9.5.2, as follows:

[Federated provision, FBS and FCS, divided vote]

17.9.5.2 Annual Exemptions. The maximum number of football contests shall exclude the following:

[17.9.5.2-(a) through 17.9.5.2-(c) unchanged.]

(d) College Football Invitational. One contest played as part of a college football invitational event. This provision does not preclude an institution from participating in such an event and a postseason football opportunity (e.g., bowl game, NCAA championship) during the same season. A college football invitational event is one in which:

(i) Participation is limited to not more than four institutions. A participating institution shall be a member of a conference that does not conduct a championship game that is exempted from the maximum number of contests per Bylaw 17.9.5.2-(c) or a conference that does not conduct a conference tournament per Bylaw 17.9.5.2-(e);

(ii) Participation is limited to not more than one institution per conference;

(iii) The event occurs during the final two weeks of the regular playing and practice season and includes not more than one contest per institution; and

(iv) The participating institutions are not selected until the end of the week prior to the start of the competition;

[17.9.5.2-(d) through 17.9.5.2-(l) relettered as 17.9.5.2-(e) through 17.9.5.2-(m), unchanged.]

Source: Sun Belt Conference

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: Current legislation requires that a conference include 12 or more members in order to exempt a conference championship game from the maximum number of contests. This 12-team requirement has been the impetus behind multiple rounds of conference realignment over the past 10 years. The lack of an opportunity to exempt a conference championship game limits the opportunities for many institutions to increase revenue to fund broad-based athletics departments in a time of economic uncertainty. This proposal will promote competitive equity and provide an additional source of revenue, thus helping to further conference stability. Conferences with 12 or more members would be permitted to choose between conducting a conference championship game and participation in an invitational event. Finally, an exemption for a college football invitational contest would provide student-athletes with an opportunity to experience an event similar to a conference championship game.

Estimated Budget Impact: Actual and necessary expenses associated with contests. Potential for increased revenue from additional contests.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Time associated with additional practice, game preparation, travel and competition.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet opposes the proposal. Members of the cabinet who represent both football subdivisions oppose the proposal. Cabinet members representing FCS institutions expressed concerns with institutions finding a reasonable date on which to participate in an additional contest.

Football Issues Committee: The committee took no position.

History:

Jul 14, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 06, 2011 Football Issues Committee, No Formal Position

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

No. 2011-88 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- GOLF -- PRESEASON PRACTICE AND FIRST DATE OF COMPETITION -- EXCEPTION -- TOPY CUP

Intent: In golf, to specify that an institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event and that the institution may participate in the competition before the legislated date for the first date of competition.

Bylaws: Amend 17.10, as follows:

17.10 GOLF

Regulations for computing the golf playing season are set forth in Bylaw 17.1. (See Figure 17-1 and Figure 17-2.)

[17.10.1 unchanged.]

17.10.2 Preseason Practice. A member institution shall not commence practice sessions in golf prior to September 7 or the institution's first day of classes for the fall term, whichever is earlier.

[17.10.2.1 unchanged.]

17.10.2.2 Exception -- Topy Cup. An institution selected to participate in the Topy Cup may commence practice sessions five days before the practice round of the event.

17.10.3 First Date of Competition. A member institution shall not engage in its first date of competition (match or practice match) with outside competition in golf prior to September 7 or the institution's first day of classes for the fall term, whichever is earlier.

[17.10.3.1 unchanged.]

17.10.3.2 Exception -- Topy Cup. An institution may participate in the Topy Cup before the date specified for the first date of competition in Bylaw 17.10.3.

[Remainder of 17.10 unchanged.]

Source: Big West Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Playing and Practice Seasons

Rationale: The Topy Cup is a prestigious intercollegiate golf event in Japan that is traditionally held in early September. Since the Topy Cup historically begins prior to September 7, institutions that have not started classes (typically institutions that operate on the quarter system) are precluded from participating in this event unless a legislative relief waiver is granted. Waivers have been granted to allow institutions to participate in 2006, 2007, 2010 and 2011. The Legislative Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief has recommended that the membership address the issue legislatively. This change would provide a reasonable solution to allow participation in this international event without the need to request a waiver. Participation in the Topy Cup is a rare and unique cultural experience. Student-athletes attending institutions that operate on the quarter system who participate pursuant to this exception would not miss any class time since their classes typically start in late September. Finally, no competitive advantage would be gained since practice for the event and competition typically begins only a few days prior to the permissible start date, and the practice and competition count toward the 144-day season.

Estimated Budget Impact: Minimal. Tournament expenses are paid by the tournament committee. Potential additional expenses related to earlier practice.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Championships/Sports Management Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Men's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

Women's Golf Committee: The committee supports the proposal. Playing in the Topy Cup is an educational experience for student athletes and there should be exceptions made to the playing and practice season if an institution is selected to participate.

History:

Jun 27, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 07, 2011 Women's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 08, 2011 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval

Sep 14, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval

Committees

No. 2011-89 COMMITTEES -- ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES -- SWIMMING AND DIVING, TRACK AND FIELD AND CROSS COUNTRY AND WRESTLING

Intent: To establish separate Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, a separate Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Rules Committee and a separate Wrestling Rules Committee without championships administration responsibilities, as specified.

A. Bylaws: Amend 21.3, as follows:

[Common provision, all divisions, divided vote]

21.3 ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES -- RULES COMMITTEES WITHOUT CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES

[21.3.1 through 21.3.10 unchanged.]

21.3.11 Swimming and Diving Rules Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

21.3.12 Track and Field and Cross Country Rules Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

[21.3.11 renumbered as 21.3.13]

21.3.14 Wrestling Rules Committee. The Wrestling Rules Committee shall consist of nine members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) Four members shall be from Division I, two members shall be from Division II, two members shall be from Division III and an additional member shall be a secretary-rules editor; and

(b) One member shall be elected chair.

B. Bylaws: Amend 21.4, as follows:

[Common provision, all divisions, divided vote]

21.4 COMMON COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES WITH PLAYING RULES AND CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES

[21.4.1 through 21.4.4 unchanged.]

~~21.4.5 Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee shall consist of 21 members and shall be constituted as follows:~~

~~(a) There shall be at least two representatives from Districts 1 and 2; two representatives from District 3; two representatives from District 4; one representative from Districts 5, 6 and 7; and one representative from District 8;~~

~~(b) Eight members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Four members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and four members shall represent women's swimming interests, including three positions allocated for men, three allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(c) Four members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and two members shall represent women's swimming interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated;~~

~~(d) Four members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming and diving interests and two members shall represent women's swimming and diving interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated;~~

~~(e) An additional four members shall represent diving interests, two representing men's diving and two representing women's diving. Two shall be Division I representatives, one shall be a Division II representative, and one shall be a Division III representative; and~~

~~(f) An additional member shall be secretary-rules editor.~~

~~21.4.6 Track and Field Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee shall consist of 25 members and shall be constituted as follows:~~

~~(a) There shall be at least four representatives from each of the following four geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; District 3; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8;~~

~~(b) Twelve members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Six members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and six members shall represent women's track and field interests, including four positions allocated for men, four allocated for women and four unallocated;~~

~~(c) Six members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(d) Six members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated;~~

~~(e) An additional member shall be secretary rules editor; and~~

~~(f) A rules editing subcommittee shall have five members, including two members appointed by the Division I subcommittee, one member each appointed by the Division II and Division III subcommittees, and the secretary rules editor. The rules editing subcommittee shall formulate playing rules subject to the approval of the full committee.~~

[21.4.7 through 21.4.8 renumbered as 21.4.5 through 21.4.6, unchanged.]

~~21.4.9 Wrestling Committee. The Wrestling Committee shall consist of 13 members and shall be constituted as follows:~~

~~(a) There shall be at least one representative from District 3 and at least two representatives from each of the following three geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8;~~

~~(b) Six members shall be from Division I, three members shall be from Division II and three members shall be from Division III; and~~

~~(c) An additional member shall be secretary rules editor.~~

C. Bylaws: Amend 21.5, as follows:

[Common provision, all divisions, divided vote]

21.5 COMMON COMMITTEES -- COMMITTEES WITH ONLY CHAMPIONSHIPS ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBILITIES

[21.5.1 through 21.5.2 unchanged.]

21.5.3 Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee shall consist of 20 members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) There shall be at least two representatives from Districts 1 and 2; two representatives from District 3; two representatives from District 4; one representative from Districts 5, 6 and 7; and one representative from District 8;

(b) Eight members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Four members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and four members shall represent women's swimming interests, including three positions allocated for men, three allocated for women and two unallocated;

(c) Four members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming interests and two members shall represent women's swimming interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated;

(d) Four members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Two members of the subcommittee shall represent men's swimming and diving interests and two members shall represent women's swimming and diving interests, including one position allocated for a man, one allocated for a woman and two unallocated; and

(e) An additional four members shall represent diving interests, two representing men's diving and two representing women's diving. Two shall be Division I representatives, one shall be a Division II representative, and one shall be a Division III representative.

21.5.4 Track and Field Committee, Men's and Women's. The Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee shall consist of 24 members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) There shall be at least four representatives from each of the following four geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; District 3; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8;

(b) Twelve members shall be from Division I and shall compose a Division I subcommittee. Six members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and six members shall represent women's track and field interests, including four positions allocated for men, four allocated for women and four unallocated;

(c) Six members shall be from Division II and shall compose a Division II subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated; and

(d) Six members shall be from Division III and shall compose a Division III subcommittee. Three members of the subcommittee shall represent men's track and field interests and three members shall represent women's track and field interests, including two positions allocated for men, two allocated for women and two unallocated.

21.5.5 Wrestling Committee. The Wrestling Committee shall consist of 12 members and shall be constituted as follows:

(a) There shall be at least one representative from District 3 and at least two representatives from each of the following three geographical regions: Districts 1 and 2; Districts 4 and 5; and Districts 6, 7 and 8; and

(b) Six members shall be from Division I, three members shall be from Division II and three members shall be from Division III.

Source: NCAA Division I Championships and Sports Management Cabinet (Men's and Women's Swimming and Diving Committee, Men's and Women's Track and Field Committee, and Wrestling Committee)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Committees

Rationale: The creation of separate rules-making committees in the specified sports will result in several positive outcomes, including a more focused effort on the part of divisional representatives to the rules-making process and greater reach among the membership with respect to sport-focused committee composition. In addition, a move to separate rules committees underscores the importance of a rules-making process that addresses the entirety of the competition season. The respective sport committees for Division II and Division III are also in support of this recommendation. The need for regular dialogue between the new rules-making committees and the sports committees will be important to allow for meaningful input in the rules-making process, including the development of rules surveys. For the sports of track and field and cross country, and swimming and diving, as individuals are evaluated in the nominating process, attention should be paid to expertise in the various disciplines within the sport (e.g., diving for swimming and diving; distance running, throws, pole vault, etc. for track and field and cross country).

Estimated Budget Impact: \$30,000 (\$10,000 per committee). The Rules Committee will conduct one in-person meeting on an annual basis. The expense will be covered through a reallocation within the existing budget.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Administration Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

May 13, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jun 24, 2011 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 29, 2011 Administration Cabinet, Recommends Approval

No. 2011-90 COMMITTEES -- DIVISION I CABINETS AND COMMITTEES -- APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES -- CONFERENCE APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF A TERM -- SPORTS COMMITTEES

Intent: To specify that if a member of a sports committee resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.

Bylaws: Amend 21.7.3.3, as follows:

21.7.3.3 Appointment of Committees. The Administration Cabinet shall make committee appointments. When specified, committees that are subordinate to a cabinet (and their chairs) shall be appointed by that cabinet.

21.7.3.3.1 Conference Appointment for Remainder of a Term -- Sports Committees. If a member of a sports committee (see Bylaw 21.7.5.5.5) resigns or is removed from his or her position on the committee, the conference of which the committee member's institution was a member at the time of resignation or removal shall appoint an individual to complete the term of the committee member who resigned or was removed.

Source: Southland Conference

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Committees

Rationale: The Division I conference landscape has changed significantly in recent years, and changes are likely to continue. When sports committee member's institution changes conference membership, the conference it departs may lose prestigious representation on the committee. Once an institution announces its withdrawal from a conference, the conference may invoke a series of policies restricting the privileges of membership, including NCAA governance representation. The Administration Cabinet, which does not include representatives from all 31 multisport conferences, is not currently required to replace a sports committee member with an individual from the same conference during the remainder of the term. This proposal would allow a multisport conference, not an NCAA cabinet or committee, to control and retain appropriate representation on sports committees. As a result, a multisport conference is not penalized for the proper and appropriate oversight of its NCAA committee representation. Finally, this adjustment would align with the requirements related to the guaranteed governance positions on councils and cabinets afforded to multisport conferences in the Division I governance structure.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

Position Statement(s)

Administration Cabinet: The cabinet supports the proposal and agrees with the sponsor's rationale.

History:

Jun 29, 2011 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Sep 29, 2011 Administration Cabinet, Recommends Defeat

Executive Regulations

No. 2011-91 EXECUTIVE REGULATIONS -- DAY OF COMPETITION -- NOON START TIME --
EXCEPTION -- MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS

Intent: In men's golf, to specify that in instances in which the final day of the men's golf championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.

Administrative: Amend 31.1.4.4, as follows:

31.1.4.4 Noon Start Time. NCAA competition conducted on Sunday may not begin prior to noon, local time.

31.1.4.4.1 Exception. Sports committees may reschedule competition to begin prior to noon on Sunday if extenuating circumstances arise (e.g., weather) that would affect the health and safety of the participants or otherwise cause postponement of the championship.

31.1.4.4.2 Exception -- **Men's Golf Championships and** Women's Rowing Championships. In instances in which the final day of the **men's golf or** women's rowing championships occurs on a Sunday, competition may begin prior to noon.

Source: NCAA Division I Championship/Sports Management Cabinet (Men's Golf Committee)

Effective Date: Immediate

Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Executive Regulations

Rationale: The Men's Golf Championships team match is played on a Sunday and takes a minimum of approximately five hours of favorable weather to ensure completion of each individual match within the team match. With the chance for inclement weather being greater in the afternoon, a permanent exception would ensure that the championship is administered most effectively. The Sunday round would likely begin at 10 a.m. Even though an exception is available on an annual basis for extenuating circumstances (e.g., inclement weather), it would be more beneficial to have a consistent start time each year.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): Starting the matches earlier on Sunday may allow student-athletes from the two participating teams to return to campus that same day rather than a day later.

Position Statement(s)

History:

Jul 12, 2010 Submit Submitted for consideration.

Jul 14, 2010 Men's Golf Committee, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Sep 15, 2010 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Sponsored

Sep 15, 2010 Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, Recommends Approval as Noncontroversial Legislation

Oct 19, 2010 Leg Council Init Review, Not Supported as Noncontroversial Legislation

2011-12 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals
Points to Consider

(Updated: September 20, 2011)

NCAA Proposal No. 2011-11 Organization -- Governance Structure --
Removal of Bylaw 21.

1. Reduces size of the NCAA Division I Manual.
2. NCAA Division I Administration Cabinet would maintain oversight over committees and appointments.
3. NCAA staff would maintain committee handbook on NCAA website.
4. Committee changes would no longer require legislation. Thus, reducing the number of proposals in the legislative cycle.
5. Ninety percent adoption rate of committee-related proposals since 1997.
6. Administration Cabinet does not have representatives from each conference.
7. Potential complexity with coordinating changes to Association-wide committees among three divisions.
8. Similar concept is being considered in Division II and Division III.
9. Dominant provision requires two-thirds majority vote of all delegates present and voting from all three divisions at the NCAA Convention.

Proposal No. 2011-12 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach – Basketball.

1. Allows an individual to explore the coaching profession as a career option.
2. Permits one graduate-assistant coach in women's basketball and one for men's basketball.
3. Potential competitive disadvantage if an institution cannot afford to add this position.
4. Should this concept be expanded to other sports?
5. Does the small squad size of basketball necessitate adding this position?

Proposal No. 2011-13 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Football Bowl Subdivision or Professional Coaching Experience.

1. Football Bowl Subdivision (FBS) only.
2. Allows those with no previous FBS or professional experience to serve in the position, even if outside the seven-year window.
3. Includes all professional football leagues (e.g., CFL, USFL).
4. Similar amendment was defeated in 2010 (Proposal No. 2009-10-1).
5. Current rule has only been in effect for one year.
6. Consistent with the intent of the original proposal to address concerns that graduate-assistant positions were being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience who have no real desire to further their education.

Proposal No. 2011-14 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Collegiate or Professional Coaching Experience.

1. FBS only.
2. Eliminates seven-year window for employment.
3. Consistent with the intent of the original proposal to address concerns that graduate-assistant positions were being filled by individuals with significant coaching experience who have no real desire to further their education.
4. Applies to previous employment as a head or assistant coach at any collegiate level or any professional league.

Proposal No. 2011-15 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Student-Assistant Coach -- Full-Time Graduate Student Within Five-Year Period of Eligibility.

1. Allows a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility to be a student-assistant coach.
2. Under the current rule, student-athletes may be delaying graduation.

3. Current rule has only been in place for one year.
4. Allows more individuals to explore the coaching profession as a possible career option.
5. In developing the current rule, the NCAA Division I Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet did not consider the impact on a graduate student within his or her five-year period of eligibility.

Proposal No. 2011-16 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Undergraduate Student-Assistant Coach -- Exception -- Nonparticipant -- Football.

1. FBS and Football Championship Subdivision (FCS).
2. Potential application to all sports?
3. Allows more individuals to explore the coaching profession as a possible career option.
4. Does not increase current limit on number of coaches.
5. Eligible to come back in the next season and play for the football team?

Proposal No. 2011-17 Personnel -- Contractual Agreements -- Athletically Related Income - Part-Time or Volunteer Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities.

1. Intended to reduce administrative burden.
2. Eliminates requirement for part-time employees to report outside income.
3. Requirement would apply to full-time athletics staff members and any part-time or volunteer staff member with sport-specific responsibilities.
4. Do volunteer staff members have contracts or letters of appointment?

Proposal No. 2011-18 Personnel and Recruiting -- Recruiting Coordination Functions -- Telephone Calls -- Receipt of Calls from Prospective Student-Athletes.

1. Allows noncoaching staff members to receive calls from prospective student-athletes regardless of the content of the call.
2. Currently, calls must be forwarded to countable coach in order to avoid violation.
3. Such calls may be received even prior to July 1 following the junior year.

4. Reduces compliance monitoring.
5. Not a significant competitive equity issue.

Proposal No. 2011-19 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Football -- Exception -- Spring Evaluation Period.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Eliminates the baton rule for the spring evaluation period.
3. Still limits institutions to 168 evaluation days in the spring.
4. Potential cost savings.
5. Assistant coaches could be away from campus for an entire week.

Proposal No. 2011-20 Personnel -- Limitations on the Number and Duties of Coaches -- Off-Campus Recruiting -- Women's Basketball -- Nonscholastic Events During Spring Evaluation Period.

1. Eliminates baton rule for spring evaluation period only.
2. Not necessary to have a coach on campus as spring evaluation period occurs after season and near end of the academic year.
3. Potential increase in costs.
4. Limited to a weekend.
5. Still limited to 100 recruiting days.
6. Similar proposal was defeated in 2008 (Proposal No. 2007-20).
7. NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Issues Committee originally requested that four coaches be able to recruit off-campus at all times.

Proposal No. 2011-21 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Exception -- Football -- Contact Period.

1. FBS and FCS.

2. Applies to contact period only.
3. A replaced coach is not required to return to campus before recruiting again.
4. Maintains limit on number recruiting “at any one time.”
5. Provides flexibility and possible cost savings in travel expenses.
6. Successful application in other sports.
7. Area may be ripe for deregulation.

Proposal No. 2011-22 Personnel -- Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball.

1. Potential application to other sports (e.g., football)?
2. Currently, NCAA championships have bench restrictions.
3. Attempts to address perceived competitive advantage with the number of staff members on the bench.
4. Alternative to limiting who an institution may employ as a noncoaching staff member.
5. Some institutions may not have a director of basketball operations (or similar position).

Proposal No. 2011-23 Amateurism -- Definitions and Applications – Agent.

1. Broadens the definition of an agent.
2. Attempts to curb the influences and activities of outside third parties.
3. Not intended to include parents unless they intend to receive a financial gain for helping their son or daughter.
4. May be difficult for an institution to know if the individual was seeking to obtain financial gain or benefit.
5. Subcommittee of the Leadership Council is also looking at agent issues.

Proposal No. 2011-24 Amateurism -- Amateur Status -- Expenses From a Sponsor for Practice or Competition in Individual Sports Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment.

1. Attempts to extend benefits available in team sports to individual sports.
2. May allow prospective student-athletes to participate in events that they may not be able to afford financially.
3. May participate in fundraising activities in which the funds are earmarked for the prospective student-athlete.
4. Oftentimes, prospective student-athletes are unaware of prohibitions regarding fundraising.

Proposal No. 2011-25 Amateurism -- Exceptions to Amateurism Rule -- Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis -- \$10,000 Per Year.

1. Research indicates most top prospective student-athletes earn less than \$10,000 in prize money per year.
2. Intended to reduce the administrative burden by requiring less documentation.
3. Similar proposals were defeated in 2008 (Proposal No. 2007-23-A and Proposal No. 2007-23-B).
4. Tennis is an expensive sport.
5. How was \$10,000 selected?
6. Potential application to other sports?

Proposal No. 2011-26 Amateurism, Recruiting, Eligibility and Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- World University Championships.

1. World University Championships are similar to the the World University Games but occur in off years and at multiple sites.
2. Legislative relief waivers have been approved in the past to allow for participation.
3. Sports affected are baseball, softball, cross country and equestrian.
4. Minimal competitive advantage gained by selected teams and individuals.

5. Also being considered in Division II and Division III.

Proposal No. 2011-27 Amateurism and Executive Regulations -- Financial Donations and Advertising and Sponsorship of NCAA Championships -- Professional Sports Organizations.

1. Legislative relief waiver is currently in effect.
2. Currently opportunities are limited to promote NCAA Championships.
3. Proposals were tabled last year (Proposal No. 2010-109-A and Proposal No. 2010-109-B).
4. Similar legislation being considered in Division II and Division III.

Proposal No. 2011-28 Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- General Exceptions -- Parents of Enrolled Student-Athletes.

1. Parents of current student-athletes may be boosters.
2. Adds an additional exception to the permissible recruiter's legislation.
3. Parents of current student-athletes provide valuable insights.
4. At times, contact is unavoidable.
5. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-29 Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- Student-Athlete -- Off-Campus Contacts During an Unofficial Visit.

1. Eliminates potential violations.
2. Prospective student-athletes are often friends of current student-athletes.
3. Reduces compliance monitoring.
4. Currently, student-athletes may only have contact with prospective student-athletes off-campus on official visits.
5. Is this proposal really necessary?

Proposal No. 2011-30 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls and Electronic Correspondence -- No Limits On or After First Permissible Date.

1. Attempts to deregulate recruiting legislation. Does not address recruiting materials.
2. Maintains current date for men's basketball and men's ice hockey have an earlier date – June 15 of the prospective student-athlete's sophomore year.
3. Recruiting materials may be sent August 1 or first day of class in junior year.
4. Any form of electronic correspondence would be allowed. No longer limited to email and faxes.
5. Unlimited number of calls and electronic correspondence after first permissible date.
6. Potential impact on prospective student-athletes.
7. Reduces compliance monitoring.
8. Current legislation is outdated.

Proposal No. 2011-31 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls -- No Limits After First Permissible Date.

1. Attempts to deregulate telephone calls legislation. Does not address recruiting materials.
2. Currently, coaches are emailing prospective student-athletes to ask them to call.
3. Unlimited number of calls after first permissible date.
4. Potential impact on prospective student-athletes.
5. Reduces compliance monitoring.
6. Expectation that the coach and prospective student-athlete would discuss preferred frequency and method of communication.

Proposal No. 2011-32 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls -- Permissible Callers -- Exceptions -- Prior to Commitment -- Compliance Administrators.

1. Additional exception to the permissible caller's legislation.

2. Currently, compliance must wait for the prospective student-athlete to call or the coach must tell the prospective student-athlete to call compliance.
3. Compliance may advise on initial eligibility.
4. Reasonable exception.
5. No restrictions on timing.

Proposal No. 2011-33 Recruiting -- Contacts and Evaluations -- Recruiting Person Days -- Women's Basketball, Women's Sand Volleyball and Women's Volleyball.

1. Safeguards in place with recruiting person days.
2. Concept based on survey feedback.
3. Reduce compliance monitoring.
4. Will coaches feel compelled to use recruiting days to be seen by recruited prospective student-athletes?

Proposal No. 2011-34 Recruiting -- Basketball Evaluations -- Women's Basketball -- Evaluations During Academic Year Evaluation Periods -- Live Evaluations.

1. Potential reduction in recruiting costs.
2. Initiated and supported by the Women's Basketball Coaches Association (WBCA).
3. Seeing more activities disguised as open gyms or pick-up games.
4. Discourages more outside influences.
5. Extends prohibition of evaluations at noninstitutional, nonorganized events (e.g., open gyms, pick-up games) during the summer evaluation periods to the academic year.
6. Will become more similar to the current men's basketball rules.
7. Coaches still may attend nonscholastic events during the last full weekend (including Friday, Saturday and Sunday) of the fall contact period and the Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the spring evaluation period.

Proposal No. 2011-35 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Sports Other Than Men's Basketball and Men's Ice Hockey -- June 15 at Conclusion of Sophomore Year.

1. Moves date from September 1 at beginning of junior year to June 15 at the end of sophomore year for all sports.
2. Earlier access will allow for more informed decisions by prospective student-athletes.
3. Counteracts third party influences.
4. Makes the dates for recruiting materials consistent.

Proposal No. 2011-36 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Correspondence -- All Forms Permitted on or After September 1 of Junior Year.

1. Deregulates electronic correspondence.
2. All sports – September 1 at the beginning of junior year for electronic correspondence.
3. Expands the current legislation to all types of electronic communication.
4. Current legislation is outdated.
5. Still must have private exchanges due to publicity rules.

Proposal No. 2011-37 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Correspondence -- All Forms of Direct Correspondence Permitted.

1. Deregulates electronic correspondence.
2. Takes into account future changes in technology.
3. Current legislation is outdated.
4. Many teens prefer texting over email.
5. Some prospective student-athletes may not have unlimited text plans.
6. Still must have private exchanges due to publicity rules.

Proposal No. 2011-38 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Transmissions -- Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications.

1. Such emails have little, if any, recruiting value.
2. Concern is that not accepting the friend request will strain the coach-prospective student-athlete relationship.
3. Takes into account new social media platforms.
4. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-39 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Advertisements and Promotions -- Camp or Clinic Advertisements -- Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue.

1. Inexpensive way to advertise institutional camps and clinics.
2. Nonintrusive.
3. Still may not have staff members present at booth or table.
4. Potential increase in costs as more brochures may be produced and their quality upgraded.
5. Area should be considered for deregulation.

Proposal No. 2011-40 Recruiting -- Official (Paid) Visit -- Entertainment/Tickets on Official Visit -- Student Host -- Entertainment Allowance.

1. Increases allowance by \$10 overall and \$5 for each additional prospective student-athlete for entertainment.
2. Last increase was in 1996.
3. Minimal increase.
4. May be significant for some institutions based on the number of official visits.
5. Accounts for inflation.

Proposal No. 2011-41 Recruiting -- Unofficial (Nonpaid) Visit -- First Opportunity to Visit.

1. Attempts to address early recruitment issues.
2. May result in inadvertent violations.
3. Proposal No. 2009-28-A and Proposal No. 2009-28-B proposed August 1 prior to senior year for women's soccer as first permissible date but were defeated.
4. Awkward for coaches to turn away prospective student-athlete who come to campus.

Proposal No. 2011-42 Recruiting -- Entertainment, Reimbursement and Employment of High School/College-Preparatory School/Two-Year College Coaches and Other Individuals Associated with Prospective Student-Athletes -- Exception -- Nonathletics Personnel.

1. Is this proposal necessary?
2. Benefits and costs are minimal.
3. Could underlying legislation be deregulated?
4. Currently may only provide complimentary admissions to an athletic event.

Proposal No. 2011-43 Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Letter of Intent Restriction -- Limitation on Number of Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football.

1. FBS only.
2. Attempts to address over-signing issues.
3. Current legislation was just adopted last year (Proposal No. 2009-48).
4. Midyear enrollees do not count in the limit if they count in the year they sign.
5. December 1 date may cause confusion as it occurs prior to National Letter of Intent (NLI) signing date.
6. Midyear junior college football student-athletes may sign an NLI in December.

Proposal No. 2011-44 Recruiting -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to NCAA Eligibility Center Before Signing.

1. Exempts international prospective student-athletes due to uniqueness of their educational systems.
2. Will help identify academic issues earlier.
3. NCAA Eligibility Center will conduct preliminary evaluations of six semester transcripts even if a test score is not on file.
4. May lead to more early academic qualifiers.
5. Administrative burden to request transcripts.
6. Similar proposals have been defeated and have been tied to offer of athletics aid rather than signing (Proposal No. 2006-46-B Proposal No. 2010-48).
7. De minimis provision.

Proposal No. 2011-45 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps and Clinics -- Women's Basketball.

1. Similar to men's basketball rule (Proposal No. 2009-100-A).
2. Addition is the prohibition on evaluations at noninstitutional events, camps, or clinics that occur on a Division I campus during evaluation periods.
3. Intended to address concerns related to third parties in the recruiting process.
4. Potential loss of revenue for schools.
5. Potential application to all sports?
6. Current potential recruiting advantage for host institutions?
7. Initiated and supported by the WBCA.

Proposal No. 2011-46 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Similar to current rule in men's basketball and to proposal for women's basketball.
3. Potential loss of revenue for institutions.
4. Potential application to all sports?
5. Current potential recruiting advantage for host institutions?

Proposal No. 2011-47 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Local Sports Clubs – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Intended to eliminate recruiting advantage.
3. Prohibition currently applies in basketball.
4. Football clubs are a recent phenomenon.
5. Previous proposals for women's volleyball (Proposal No. 2001-3) and women's soccer (Proposal No. 2009-28-A) have been defeated.

Proposal No. 2011-48 Recruiting -- Sports Camps and Clinics -- Recruiting Conversations During Institution's Camps and Clinics -- Exception -- Sports Other Than Men's Basketball.

1. Extends men's basketball rule to all other sports.
2. May extend oral offers of athletics aid.
3. Intended to decrease third-party influences in the recruiting process.
4. Reduces compliance monitoring.

Proposal No. 2011-49 Recruiting -- Sports Camps and Clinics -- Employment at Camp or Clinic -- Athletics Staff Members -- Noninstitutional, Privately Owned Camps or Clinics -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- Head Coach Exception -- Charitable or Nonprofit Camp or Clinic.

1. FBS only.
2. There are worthwhile charitable and community camps and clinics that occur outside 15-day periods.
3. Limited to one single calendar day.
4. Minimal recruiting advantage.
5. Would this lead to additional exceptions?
6. Institution is in control of its two 15-day periods.

Proposal No. 2011-50 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription.

1. Would allow coaches in men's basketball and football to subscribe to recruiting services that provide nonscholastic video.
2. Potential to make recruiting more cost effective.
3. Intended to address burden of recruiting/scouting service legislation (Proposal No. 2009-56).
4. Codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver and increases its scope as it relates to access to basketball and football nonscholastic video.
5. Some sports have little scholastic video available (e.g., gymnastics, golf).

Proposal No. 2011-51 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription -- Sports Other Than Basketball and Football.

1. Codifies a current blanket legislative relief waiver.

2. Maintains the restrictions that currently apply to scouting or recruiting services for basketball and football, but returns other sports to the rules that were in place before the adoption of the current rule, with the exception that a service may provide nonscholastic video.
3. In men's basketball and football, does not allow subscription to recruiting services that provide nonscholastic video.

Proposal No. 2011-52 Recruiting -- Use of Recruiting Funds -- Recruiting or Scouting Services -- Criteria for Subscription -- NCAA Approval -- Basketball and Football.

1. Culmination of discussions related to Proposal No. 2010-48.
2. If a service is not on the approved list, then it does not meet the legislated requirements.
3. Intended to remove burden from institutions.
4. Process being established by NCAA staff.
5. How will contract issues be addressed or multiyear subscriptions?

Proposal No. 2011-53 Recruiting -- Precollege Expenses -- Donation of Athletics Equipment -- Elimination of 30-Mile Radius.

1. Minimal recruiting advantage.
2. Reduces bureaucracy.
3. Donation still must go to a bona fide youth organization.
4. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-54 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendars -- Women's Basketball -- July Evaluation and Dead Periods.

1. Shortens the summer recruiting periods so coaches may spend more time on campus with current student-athletes (from 20 to 14 days).
2. Longer dead period between two evaluation periods.
3. Intended to decrease the amount of time prospective student-athletes participate in evaluation events and to change how coaches are recruiting.

Proposal No. 2011-55 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendars -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- Early January Dead Period.

1. FBS only.
2. Intended to eliminate recruiting disadvantage experienced by institutions participating in bowl games.
3. Support by the American Football Coaches Association and the majority of FBS head coaches.

Proposal No. 2011-56 Recruiting -- Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar -- Fencing.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for fencing.
2. Developed in consultation with the United States Fencing Coaches Association.
3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.
4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.
5. 168 recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-57 Recruiting -- Recruiting-Person Days and Recruiting Calendar -- Field Hockey.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for field hockey.
2. Developed in consultation with the National Field Hockey Coaches Association.
3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.
4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.
5. Seventy-five recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-58 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendar -- Women's Gymnastics.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for women's gymnastics.
2. Developed in consultation with the National Association of Collegiate Coaches/Women.

3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.
4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.
5. No recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-59 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendar – Men’s Ice Hockey.

- Withdrawn.

Proposal No. 2011-60 Recruiting -- Recruiting Calendar – Wrestling.

1. Establishes a recruiting calendar for wrestling.
2. Developed in consultation with the National Wrestling Coaches Association.
3. Promotes well-being of coaches and prospective student-athletes.
4. Would have unlimited telephone calls during contact periods.
5. No recruiting person days.

Proposal No. 2011-61 Recruiting -- Basketball Event Certification -- Women's Basketball -- No Employment of Current Student-Athletes.

1. Intended to eliminate potential recruiting advantage.
2. Would eliminate an employment opportunity for student-athletes.

Proposal No. 2011-62 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Eligibility Form -- International Student-Athlete.

1. Work is already being done through the amateurism certification process.
2. Reduces duplicative work and administrative burden.
3. Similar legislation being proposed in Division II.

Proposal No. 2011-63 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Participation -- Postseason Event Following Last Term of Eligibility.

1. Extends current rule to all postseason competition.
2. Treats all postseason events equally.
3. Waivers have been approved for additional events.

Proposal No. 2011-64 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons of Eligibility – Football.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Student-athletes will be more inclined to be academically engaged.
3. Promotes student-athlete well-being by allowing more participation opportunities.
4. Potential to increase costs.
5. Why not all sports?
6. Are there Title IX implications?
7. All transfer rules still apply.
8. Similar proposals have been defeated (Proposal No. 2004-73 and Proposal No. 2005-108 for football and Proposal No. 2004-113 for men's basketball).

Proposal No. 2011-65 Eligibility -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness At Two-Year College.

1. Part of package to address the academic performance of two-year college transfers.
2. Many schools no longer offer remedial courses.
3. Delayed effective date provides for sufficient notice.
4. Delays the start of the five-year period of eligibility for one year.

5. Should this opportunity be available to all two-year college transfers and not just nonqualifiers?
6. How many student-athletes would give up competing for one year for this opportunity?
7. Will certain two-year colleges become feeder schools for certain Division I schools?
8. May opt out but will not get the benefit of the delay of the five-year period.

Proposal No. 2011-66 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition -- Minimum Amount of Competition -- Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball.

1. Similar concept has been adopted in field hockey, men's soccer, women's soccer, women's volleyball and men's water polo.
2. Makes the fall a tryout period and student-athlete could still be cut after the fall.
3. Allows the coach to assess the status of each student-athlete in the fall.
4. Not a significant competitive advantage.
5. Why not include all other sports for which the nontraditional segment occurs in the fall?

Proposal No. 2011-67 Eligibility -- Freshman Academic Requirements -- Advanced Placement -- International Certification.

1. Defines "similar proficiency examination."
2. Must be certified through the NCAA Eligibility Center.
3. Consistently applies the rule among all schools.
4. Alleviates concerns with the application of the rule on campuses.
5. NCAA Eligibility Center has the expertise.
6. Application to domestic student-athletes?

Proposal No. 2011-68 Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Eligibility for Competition -- Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements -- Additional Requirements -- Football -- Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate.

1. Underlying rule was adopted last year.
2. Recognizes that there are some teams with high NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rates (APR).
3. APR of 965 equates to a 75 percent Graduation Success Rate (GSR).
4. Intent of underlying requirements is to ensure that football student-athletes are academically engaged throughout the fall term.
5. Does not hold individuals accountable for their academic performance.
6. Enrolled student-athletes will benefit from the academic performance of former student-athletes as the APR is a four-year rate.

Proposal No. 2011-69 Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- 2-4 and 4-2-4 College Transfers.

1. An area of concern from the NCAA Division I Presidential Retreat.
2. Intended to address academic issues seen in data on two-year college transfers.
3. Delayed effective date in order to provide sufficient notice.
4. Raises the required grade-point average to 2.500 and adds a physical science credit requirement.
5. Need a higher grade-point average than 2.500 to predict a graduation rate equal to student-athletes who never enroll at a two-year college.
6. Separate benchmarks for competition and for practice and athletics aid.
7. Extends limitation on the use of physical education courses in meeting transfer requirements to all sports.
8. Exceptions to the residency requirements may be used if new requirements are not met.

Proposal No. 2011-70 Eligibility and Committees -- 2-4 and 4-2-4 Transfers -- Waivers -- Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee.

1. NCAA Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee would consider waivers for two-year college transfers who do not meet the transfer requirements.
2. Focus on academic preparedness and likelihood of academic success of the student-athlete.

Proposal No. 2011-71 Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Women's Ice Hockey.

1. Conferences may all ready have intra-conference transfer restrictions.
2. Supported by the American Women's Ice Hockey Coaches Association.
3. Still may use other exceptions to the transfer residence requirement.

Proposal No. 2011-72 Eligibility -- Outside Competition -- Exception -- USA Fencing National Championship.

1. Typically occurs in July but has been moved from July to April to accommodate Pan American Zonal Championships, the Olympics or other international competition.
2. Student-athletes are already attending this event for individual competition, so no additional class time would be missed.
3. Potential cost savings since costs could be covered by club teams.

Proposal No. 2011-73 Financial Aid and Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Training-Table Meals -- One Meal per Day -- Student-Athletes Not Receiving Full Board -- Football.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Similar proposals were defeated in 2010 (Proposal No. 2010-66) and 2007 (Proposal No. 2006-71).
3. Institutions may feel pressure to provide training-table meals.
4. Potential to increase costs based on the number of student-athletes.
5. Promotes team unity.

6. Reduces compliance monitoring.

7. Why not other sports?

Proposal No. 2011-74 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception.

1. Accounts for situations in which all the classes needed to graduate are not offered in the summer.
2. Student-athlete would no longer be required to graduate at the end of the summer.
3. Promotes student-athlete well-being and expedites degree completion.
4. Positive impact on APR and GSR is questionable.

Proposal No. 2011-75 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Prior to Initial Full-Time Enrollment -- Football -- Counter for the Ensuing Academic Year.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. Currently not included in either initial or overall counter limit.
3. Anticipated cost savings; encourages better resource management.
4. Does this proposal create an additional administrative burden?

Proposal No. 2011-76 Financial Aid -- Maximum Institutional Grant-In-Aid Limitations By Sport -- Equivalency Computations.

1. Provides flexibility and to the maximum benefit of the student-athlete.
2. Current rule creates a potential competitive advantage based on institutional policies and if aid is awarded in a lump sum amount.

Proposal No. 2011-77 Financial Aid -- Maximum Institutional Grant-In-Aid Limitations by Sport -- Baseball Limitations -- Minimum Equivalency Value -- Exception -- Final Year of Eligibility and Not Previously Aided.

1. Intent is to reward hard work and commitment of a nonscholarship student-athlete.
2. Would still be one of the 27 counters.

3. Will this lead to additional exceptions?
4. Original intent of the 25 percent minimum was to help ensure the baseball program was truly committed to the student-athlete.

Proposal No. 2011-78 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Housing and Meals -- Fruit, Nuts and Bagels -- Bagel Spreads.

1. Reasonable accommodation.
2. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-79 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Expenses for Student-Athlete's Friends and Relatives -- Permissible Expenses -- Life-Threatening Injury or Illness -- Expenses for Any Student-Athlete

1. Expands from teammates to any student-athlete.
2. Historically has been addressed in the waiver process.
3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-80 Awards, Benefits And Expenses -- Expenses Provided By the Institution for Practice and Competition -- National Team Tryouts -- Not More Than Two Events.

1. National team selection process for many sports includes more than one round (tier) of tryout events.
2. Supported in Division II and Division III.
3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-81 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Other Travel Expenses Provided By the Institution -- Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program.

- Expands opportunity for student-athletes to attend such events.

Proposal No. 2011-82 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Provision of Expenses by Individuals or Organizations Other Than the Institution -- Benefits, Gifts, And Services -- Miscellaneous Benefits -- Fundraisers for Student-Athletes or Immediate Family Members.

1. Does not eliminate all waivers for such instances.
2. Reduces administrative burden.
3. Effective date: Why not immediate?

Proposal No. 2011-83 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Maximum Number of Contests -- 52.

1. Helps to limit midweek games.
2. Decrease missed class time and costs.
3. APRs in baseball have increased since the adoption of proposals from the Baseball Academic Enhancement Group.
4. Potential contract issues and budget impact.
5. Similar proposals have been defeated (Proposal No. 2006-2 and 2008-48).

Proposal No. 2011-84 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- Elimination of 5 P.M. Start Time on First Permissible Practice Date.

1. Initiated and supported by the WBCA.
2. Will allow for greater flexibility in scheduling facilities.
3. May increase celebratory activities such as Midnight Madness.

Proposal No. 2011-85 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Men's Basketball -- Preseason Practice -- On-Court Practice -- 30 Days of Countable Activities Within 40 Days Prior to First Contest.

1. Same as women's basketball model.
2. Allows coaches to determine the best use practice and off days to benefit student-athletes and based on team needs.

3. On-court practice will begin on an earlier date.
4. Any countable athletically related activities that occur within the 40-day period before an institution's first regular-season contest shall count against the 30 days of countable athletically related activities permitted before its first regular-season contest.

Proposal No. 2011-86 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Women's Basketball -- First Permissible Contest Date -- Tuesday Before the Second Friday of November.

1. Institutions are finding it difficult to schedule games in December.
2. Would create an opportunity for institutions to take advantage of nationally televised games in January and February (e.g., Rivalry Week, February Frenzy, etc.).
3. Give special attention to women's basketball by starting on a date different than men's basketball.
4. Current rule has been in place since 2006.

Proposal No. 2011-87 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Football -- Number of Contests -- Annual Exemptions -- College Football Invitational.

1. FBS and FCS.
2. For conferences that do not have a conference championship game or a conference tournament.
3. May still compete in a bowl game (FBS) or national championship (FCS) in addition to participation in the invitational.
4. Additional revenue will assist athletic departments financially.
5. Potential for additional missed class time.

Proposal No. 2011-88 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Golf -- Preseason Practice and First Date of Competition -- Exception -- Topy Cup.

1. Prestigious event in Japan that typically occurs before September 7.
2. Legislative relief waivers have been granted for institutions on the quarter system (2006, 2007, 2010 and 2011).

3. Will eliminate the need to submit a waiver.
4. Minimal competitive advantage.

Proposal No. 2011-89 Committees -- Association-Wide Committees -- Rules Committees Without Championships Administration Responsibilities -- Swimming and Diving, Track And Field and Cross Country and Wrestling.

1. Common provision; also proposed in Division II and Division III.
2. Allows for more focus on rules.
3. Increases opportunities for committee service.
4. Expenses covered by existing budget.
5. Should this be considered a noncontroversial legislation?

Proposal No. 2011-90 Committees -- Division I Cabinets and Committees -- Appointment of Committees -- Conference Appointment for Remainder of a Term -- Sports Committees.

1. Currently, the Administration Cabinet is not required to replace a sport committee member with an individual from the same conference.
2. Not all conferences are represented on the Administration Cabinet.

Proposal No. 2011-91 Executive Regulations -- Day of Competition -- Noon Start Time -- Exception -- Men's Golf Championships.

1. Was not supported as noncontroversial.
2. Similar exception is available in women's rowing.
3. Current exception allows the start time to be changed if extenuating circumstances arise.
4. Student-athletes could potentially return to campus after the round rather than the next day.

2011-12 NCAA Division I Legislative Proposals
Question and Answer Document

(Updated: September 12, 2011)

This document contains questions and answers to assist the NCAA membership in its understanding of select proposals in the 2011-12 legislative cycle.

NCAA Division I Proposal No. 2011-13 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Football Bowl Subdivision or Professional Coaching Experience

Question: Does the legislation apply to an individual who has previously coached in a professional football league located outside the United States, such as the Canadian Football League?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Does the legislation apply to an individual who previously coached football at an FCS institution that has been recently reclassified as an FBS institution?

Answer: No. The application of the legislation is based on the classification of the institution during any time of the individual's employment at the institution.

Question: If adopted, how would this proposal apply to current football graduate-assistant coaches?

Answer: It would only apply to graduate-assistant coaches who are initially employed as such on or after the date this proposal is adopted.

Proposal No. 2011-14 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications -- Graduate-Assistant Coach -- Bowl Subdivision Football -- No Previous Collegiate or Professional Coaching Experience

Question: If adopted, how would this proposal apply to current football graduate-assistant coaches?

Answer: It would only apply to graduate-assistant coaches who are initially employed as such on or after August 1, 2012.

Question: Does this proposal apply to an individual who was previously a head football coach at a junior college or at a Division II or Division III institution?

Answer: Yes. An individual with any coaching experience at any college would be precluded from employment as a graduate-assistant coach.

Question: Does the proposal apply to an individual who was previously a head football coach at a collegiate institution only on an interim basis?

Answer: Yes.

Question: If adopted, would an institution be permitted to employ an individual as a graduate-assistant coach if the individual was previously a volunteer coach at a collegiate institution?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-15 Personnel -- Definitions and Applications – Student-Assistant Coach -- Full-Time Graduate Student Within Five-Year Period of Eligibility

Question: If adopted, would this proposal permit a student-athlete to transfer from an institution at which he or she exhausted eligibility to another Division I institution to serve as a student-assistant coach?

Answer: No. The legislation requires that the individual is enrolled at the institution at which he or she most recently participated in intercollegiate athletics.

Question: Is the individual required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-17 Personnel -- Contractual Agreements -- Athletically Related Income - Part-Time or Volunteer Staff with Sport-Specific Responsibilities

Question: Is a part-time staff member required to report his or her outside income if his or her responsibilities are divided among two different sports (e.g., 50 percent in football and 50 percent in track)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-18 Personnel and Recruiting -- Recruiting Coordination Functions -- Telephone Calls -- Receipt of Calls from Prospective Student-Athletes

Question: Is it permissible for noncoaching staff members to receive telephone calls from a prospective student-athlete at his or her expense before July 1 following the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school?

Answer: Yes.

Question: If a noncoaching staff member receives a telephone call from a prospective student-athlete, are there restrictions on the content of the discussions?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-21 Personnel -- Limitations on Number of Off-Campus Recruiters at Any One Time -- Exception -- Football -- Contact Period

Question: Would an institution be permitted to use either the general rule regarding the limit on the number of coaches who may recruit off campus at any one time (i.e., the total number of coaches recruiting on behalf of the institution at any time may not exceed the permissible number) or the exception to the general rule (i.e., no more than the permissible number of coaches may engage in off-campus recruiting activities each day)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-22 Personnel -- Bench Personnel Restriction -- Men's Basketball

Question: If an institution only employs three coaches, may the institution fill the remaining coach's seat on the bench by another individual who is not a coach, provided the number of personnel on the bench does not exceed seven?

Answer: No.

Question: Would it be permissible for athletics staff members who are not sitting on the bench to participate in other game-day activities (e.g., time-out huddles, pre-game and post-game)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-23 Amateurism -- Definitions and Applications – Agent

Question: Would a prospective student-athlete's parents be considered agents since they are trying to assist their son or daughter in securing an athletics scholarship with an institution?

Answer: No. The proposal did not intend to include a prospect's parents or legal guardians or high school and nonscholastic coaches unless they are seeking a financial gain for placing the prospect at a particular school.

Proposal No. 2011-24 Amateurism -- Amateur Status -- Expenses From a Sponsor for Practice or Competition in Individual Sports Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment

Question: Is there a limit on the number of events in which a prospective student-athlete may compete and receive actual and necessary expenses from a sponsor?

Answer: No.

Question: May the actual and necessary expenses received by the prospective student-athlete also include expenses incurred by others (e.g., parents, coach)?

Answer: No. The calculation of actual and necessary expenses shall not include the expenses or fees of anyone other than the prospective student-athlete (e.g., coach's fees or expenses, parent's expenses).

Question: Would it be permissible for the prospective student-athlete to wear the logo of a company that provided funding to the prospective student-athlete on his or her clothing during the athletic event?

Answer: Yes, provided the prospective student-athlete only accepts up to actual and necessary expenses associated with the event.

Question: Does this proposal permit a prospective student-athlete to conduct a fundraising event (e.g., bake sale, car wash) on his or her own to pay for participation in an athletics event?

Answer: Yes, provided the prospective student-athlete only accepts up to actual and necessary expenses associated with an athletics event.

Proposal No. 2011-25 Amateurism -- Exceptions to Amateurism Rule -- Prize Money Prior to Full-Time Collegiate Enrollment -- Tennis -- \$10,000 Per Year

Question: Is the \$10,000 prize money benchmark calculated in U.S. dollars, the currency where the tennis event occurred or the individual's home country?

Answer: The \$10,000 prize money benchmark is calculated in U.S. dollars.

Question: If adopted, will the legislation apply to student-athletes whose initial full-time collegiate enrollment occurs on or after the date the proposal is adopted?

Answer: Yes. The amateur status of student-athletes whose initial full-time collegiate enrollment occurs on or after the date the proposal is adopted will be evaluated based on the adopted legislation.

Proposal No. 2011-27 Amateurism and Executive Regulations -- Financial Donations and Advertising and Sponsorship of NCAA Championships -- Professional Sports Organizations

Question: Does the sport of the sponsoring professional sports organization and the NCAA championship have to be the same (e.g., NFL and the NCAA Division I Football Championship) for the legislation to apply?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-28 Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- General Exceptions -- Parents of Enrolled Student-Athletes

Question: Is the regularly scheduled on-campus athletics event required to be the sport in which the prospective student-athlete participates?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-29 Recruiting -- Permissible Recruiters -- Student-Athlete -- Off-Campus Contacts During an Unofficial Visit

Question: May a student-athlete transport a prospective student-athlete to an off-campus location during the unofficial visit?

Answer: Yes, provided such contacts do not occur at the direction of a coaching staff member and the prospective student-athlete has notified the institution that he or she is making an unofficial visit.

Proposal No. 2011-38 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Electronic Transmissions -- Exception -- Social Media Platforms -- Automated Notifications

Question: Would it be permissible for a coach to accept a prospective student-athlete's friend request on Facebook before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Would it be permissible for a coach to initiate a Facebook friend request to a prospective student-athlete before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete?

Answer: No.

Question: Once an initial connection between a coach and a prospective student-athlete has been established (e.g., coach and prospective student-athlete are Facebook friends), may a coach take an action on a prospective student-athlete's social media page (before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete) that will result in an email being sent to the prospective student-athlete, such as clicking the "like" button next to a Facebook status?

Answer: No.

Question: Once an initial connection between a coach and a prospective student-athlete has been established (e.g., coach and prospective student-athlete are Facebook friends), may a coach take an action on his or her own social media page that will result in an email being sent to the prospective student-athlete (before the date on which it is permissible to send recruiting correspondence to the prospective student-athlete), such as posting a message, which will generate a message to all of the coach's "followers" or "friends"?

Answer: Yes.

**Proposal No. 2011-39 Recruiting -- Recruiting Materials -- Advertisements and Promotions
-- Camp or Clinic Advertisements -- Camp or Clinic Brochures Available at Event Venue**

Question: Is an institution permitted to have staff members (athletics and nonathletics) present at these events to distribute the camp or clinic brochures?

Answer: No.

Question: Would an institution be permitted to have athletically-related signage in the event in addition to the camp or clinic brochures?

Answer: No.

Question: Would an institution be permitted to advertise its camps or clinics within the event venue on the scoreboard or on a banner?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-41 Recruiting -- Unofficial (Nonpaid) Visit -- First Opportunity to Visit

Question: May an unofficial visit occur on or after June 15 even if the prospective student-athlete is still completing his or her freshman year in high school (e.g., conclusion of freshman year is June 18)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-42 Recruiting -- Entertainment, Reimbursement and Employment of High School/College-Preparatory School/Two-Year College Coaches and Other Individuals Associated with Prospective Student-Athletes -- Exception -- Nonathletics Personnel

Question: Would it be permissible for a high school guidance counselor who is also the high school's soccer coach to receive the benefits described in the proposal?

Answer: No.

Question: Would it be permissible for prospect-aged children of attendees to attend the athletics event and receive the benefits?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-43 Recruiting and Financial Aid -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Letter of Intent Restriction -- Limitation on Number of Signings -- Bowl Subdivision Football

Question: Is there a limit on the number of prospective student-athletes who may sign an institutional offer of financial aid after May 31?

Answer: No.

Question: If a prospective student-athlete's National Letter of Intent (NLI) is voided after December 1 and before May 31, may the institution sign another prospective student-athlete as a replacement?

Answer: Yes, provided the replacement signing does not occur until after May 31.

Question: Would it be permissible for a midyear two-year college transfer to sign an institution's written offer of athletics aid prior to the midyear junior college transfer NLI signing date but after December 1?

Answer: No.

Question: Would a high school prospective student-athlete who signs an institution's written offer of aid after December 1 and is enrolling midyear count toward the limit of 25?

Answer: If the institution is not including the prospective student-athlete as an initial counter for the year in he or she enrolls, then the prospective student-athlete would count toward the limit of 25.

Proposal No. 2011-44 Recruiting -- Letter-of-Intent Programs, Financial Aid Agreements -- Submission of Transcript to NCAA Eligibility Center Before Signing

Question: Would a prospective student-athlete's NLI be voided if the NCAA Eligibility Center did not receive an official high school transcript for each high school the prospective student-athlete has attended through his or her sixth semester (or equivalent) of enrollment before signed the NLI?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-45 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps and Clinics -- Women's Basketball

Question: May an institution host a basketball practice, contest or event in which women's basketball prospective-student-athletes participate on its campus that is operated by a nonscholastic entity, but has been approved by the appropriate scholastic entity (e.g., high school association, National High School Federation)?

Answer: No. The practice, contest or event must be a regular scholastic practice, contest or event that is conducted by an applicable scholastic entity.

Question: May a department outside of the athletics department (e.g., intramural department) conduct a basketball camp or clinic for women's basketball prospective student-athletes?

Answer: Yes, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to institutional camps or clinics, including the limitation to the months of June, July and August.

Proposal No. 2011-46 Recruiting -- Tryouts -- Nonscholastic Practice or Competition and Noninstitutional Camps or Clinics -- Football

Question: May an institution host a football practice, contest or event in which football prospective-student-athletes participate on its campus that is operated by a nonscholastic entity, but has been approved by the appropriate scholastic entity (e.g., high school association, National High School Federation)?

Answer: No. The practice, contest or event must be a regular scholastic practice, contest or event that is conducted by an applicable scholastic entity.

Question: May a department outside of the athletics department (e.g., intramural department) conduct a football camp or clinic for football prospective student-athletes?

Answer: Yes, provided the camp or clinic is operated in accordance with restrictions applicable to institutional camps or clinics, including the limitation on the time periods in which such camps or clinics may occur.

Proposal No. 2011-62 Eligibility -- General Eligibility Requirements -- Eligibility Form -- International Student-Athlete

Question: Are institutions still required to certify activities that occur after the prospective student-athlete's request that a final amateurism certification be issued by the NCAA Eligibility Center and before his or her initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-64 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition: Five-Year Rule -- Five Seasons of Eligibility -- Football

Question: Is a student-athlete permitted to use a fifth season of competition at any Division I institution at which the student-athlete previously used a season of competition (e.g., transfer)?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-65 Eligibility -- Two-Year College Transfers -- Year of Academic Readiness At Two-Year College

Question: Is there a certain number of credits (remedial or otherwise) that must be earned by the student-athlete during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No. There is no specified curriculum for the year of academic readiness and the two-year institution is provided the discretion to work with the student-athlete to determine the appropriate course work for that year.

Question: May a student-athlete complete the year of academic readiness at one two-year college and then transfer to a second two-year college and complete the remainder of the five semester/seven quarter obligation at the second institution?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a student-athlete complete a year of academic readiness at one two-year college, transfer, and complete another year of academic readiness at a second two-year college?

Answer: No.

Question: May a student-athlete practice with his or her team during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a multisport student-athlete declare that he or she is using the year of academic readiness in one sport but not in the other sport?

Answer: No.

Question: Would the year of academic readiness be considered a denied participation opportunity for the purposes of a clock extension or a season of competition waiver?

Answer: No.

Question: May a student-athlete compete in outside competition unattached or as a member of an outside amateur team during the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete who engages in the year of academic readiness able to use credits earned during the year in readiness and/or use an SAT or ACT test score taken during the year in readiness for purposes of meeting initial-eligibility requirements?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete required to enroll in a two-year collegiate institution and begin the year of academic readiness at his or her first opportunity to enroll following high school graduation?

Answer: No.

Question: Is a student-athlete who engages in the year of academic readiness allowed to take advantage of the current exceptions to the five years of eligibility (e.g., active military service, official religious mission) if it interrupts the student-athlete's enrollment at the two-year college?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Are the five semesters/seven quarters of full-time enrollment at the two-year college required to be completed consecutively?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Is the year of academic readiness considered the first academic year at a two-year college for purposes of the in-person contact restriction for nonqualifiers?

Answer: No. In-person recruiting contact shall not be allowed until after completion of the year of academic readiness and the second academic year for student-athletes who engage in the year of academic readiness. Telephone contact would remain permissible during the year of academic readiness and the second academic year at the two-year college.

Question: May a student-athlete who does not meet NCAA initial-eligibility requirements, but who does not register or receive a final certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center take advantage of the year of academic readiness.

Answer: No. A student-athlete must be certified as a nonqualifier by the NCAA Eligibility Center in order to take advantage of the year of academic readiness.

Question: When must the student-athlete and two-year institution designate the use of the year of academic readiness with the NCAA Eligibility Center in order to appropriately opt-in to the year of academic readiness?

Answer: A designation must be completed prior to the end of the initial academic year at the two-year institution.

Question: May a student-athlete use courses earned during the year of academic readiness for transfer and/or progress-toward-degree purposes?

Answer: Yes.

Question: May a student-athlete opt-out of the year of academic readiness and transfer to a four-year institution prior to the completion of five semesters/seven quarters of full-time attendance?

Answer: Yes. A student-athlete may opt-out at any time, however the start of the student-athlete's five year period of eligibility will revert back to beginning of the year of academic readiness for all legislative purposes and he or she will be limited to receiving five years of athletics financial aid.

Question: A student-athlete engages in the year of academic readiness and completes five semesters/seven semesters of full-time attendance at a two-year institution, but does not meet the 2-4 transfer requirements upon transfer to a four-year institution. Does this student-athlete's five year period of eligibility revert back to beginning of the year of academic readiness for all legislative purposes?

Answer: No. The student-athlete is deemed to have completed the year of academic readiness program. Additionally, the student-athlete would be deemed to have participated in the year of academic readiness for purposes of receiving six years of athletically related aid in seven years. Failure to meet the 2-4 transfer requirements, however, results in a nonqualifier having to serve a year of residence at the four-year institution prior to being eligible for practice, athletics aid and competition.

Question: How does the year of academic readiness impact graduation reporting for purposes of the NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR)?

Answer: A student-athlete who successfully completes a year of academic readiness at a two-year college must graduate after completion of ten semesters/15 quarters of actual full-time enrollment following the year of academic readiness in order to earn the APR eligibility point for the 10th semester/15th quarter.

However, for the purposes of calculating a team's federal graduation rate and graduation success rate, a student-athlete who completes a year of academic readiness at a two-year college must graduate within six years of initial full-time enrollment at the two-year college in order to be reported as a graduate.

Question: May a two-year institution file a waiver on behalf of a student-athlete who mistakenly competes while intending to use the year of academic readiness?

Answer: No. A waiver must be filed by an NCAA member institution. However, an NCAA member institution may file a waiver without restriction as to timing after enrollment at the two-year collegiate institution.

Proposal No. 2011-66 Eligibility -- Seasons of Competition -- Minimum Amount of Competition -- Exception -- Nonchampionship Segment Competition -- Softball

Question: If a student-athlete only competes during the nonchampionship segment and suffers a season-ending injury, is a hardship waiver necessary?

Answer: No. If this proposal is adopted, if a student-athlete only competes during the nonchampionship segment, a hardship waiver would not be necessary because the student-athlete would not have used a season of competition.

Question: If a student-athlete competes during the championship segment, triggering the use of a season of competition and suffers a season-ending injury, would an institution include the student-athlete's dates of competition during the nonchampionship segment in the hardship waiver calculation?

Answer: No. A student-athlete's participation during the nonchampionship segment would not constitute the use of a season of competition; therefore, these dates would not be included in the hardship waiver calculation

Proposal No. 2011-68 Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Eligibility for Competition -- Fulfillment of Credit-Hour Requirements -- Additional Requirements -- Football -- Exception -- Team Academic Progress Rate

Question: If this proposal is adopted, which APR data would be used to determine if the football team had met the 965 benchmark in fall 2012?

Answer: The APR data that would be used would be data from the 2010-11 APR cohort, which is collected fall 2011 and reported publicly in the spring 2012.

Proposal No. 2011-69 Eligibility -- Transfer Regulations -- 2-4 and 4-2-4 College Transfers

Question: Does the additional natural/physical science credit require a lab component?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-70 Eligibility and Committees -- 2-4 and 4-2-4 Transfers -- Waivers -- Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee

Question: Will the NCAA Division I Progress-Toward-Degree Waivers Committee also consider waiver requests for 4-4 transfers?

Answer: No. Such requests will continue to be considered through the legislative relief process.

Proposal No. 2011-71 Eligibility – Transfer Regulations -- Four-Year College Transfers -- One-Time Transfer Exception -- Women’s Ice Hockey

Question: May a women’s ice hockey student-athlete transfer to a Division I institution after the 2012 spring term (semester or quarter), enroll in the summer term and use the one-time transfer exception?

Answer: No. Such a student-athlete would be subject to the new legislation because her first full-time enrollment in a regular academic term would occur with the 2012 fall term.

Question: If this proposal is adopted, may a women’s ice hockey student-athlete who has not previously received athletics aid transfer and use the one-time transfer exception?

Answer: No. However, a women’s ice hockey student-athlete who was not recruited by the original four-year institution and has never received athletics aid from any four-year institution may use the one-time transfer exception, provided all the conditions are met. Note that other exceptions to the transfer residence requirement would continue to apply (e.g., nonrecruited student exception, two-year nonparticipation or minimal participation exception).

Proposal No. 2011-74 Financial Aid -- Summer Financial Aid -- Enrolled Student-Athletes -- Proportionality Restriction -- Exhausted Eligibility Exception

Question: In order to use this exception, is the student-athlete required to be enrolled in all the courses that are being offered in the summer that are acceptable toward the student-athlete’s degree?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2011-80 Awards, Benefits And Expenses -- Expenses Provided By the Institution for Practice and Competition -- National Team Tryouts -- Not More Than Two Events

Question: Do the tryouts have to be consecutive tryouts?

Answer: No.

Question: Is the student-athlete required to progress to the next level of tryout competition in order for the institution to pay for the second tryout?

Answer: No.

Proposal No. 2011-81 Awards, Benefits and Expenses -- Other Travel Expenses Provided By the Institution -- Conference-Sponsored Life Skills Program

Question: Is there a limit on the number of times an institution or conference may pay for a student-athlete to attend a conference-sponsored life skills program?

Answer: No.

NCAA Division I Board of Directors Resolution
Division I Legislative Process

Introduction.

At its April 2010 meeting, the NCAA Division I Board of Directors approved a resolution requesting that the NCAA Division I Legislative Council develop a draft of a policy statement that the Board of Directors and Legislative Council may adopt that would provide better guidance regarding consideration of proposed NCAA legislation by applying a metric to identify those legislative proposals to defeat or consider, and those proposals to call to the attention of the Board of Directors.

The objective of this policy statement is:

1. To limit the number of legislative proposals adopted to those that identify and document a clear national problem that needs to be addressed; and
2. To identify those proposals that might appropriately be considered directly by the Board of Directors.

In response to the resolution, the Legislative Council reviewed and discussed several concepts at its October 2010, January 2011 and April 2011 meetings after receiving additional feedback from member conferences and governance groups. The Board of Directors received the Legislative Council's progress report at its April 2011 meeting and directed the Legislative Council to further refine the following concept for consideration at its October 2011 meeting with the goal of introducing the concept into the 2011-12 legislative cycle for consideration by the membership. It is important to note that the Board of Directors already took action to increase the requisite number of requests to initiate an override of the adoption or defeat of a legislative proposal (NCAA Proposal No. 2011-10).

Concept: Development of a Legislative Process that Consists of Two Overlapping Two-Year Cycles.

Currently, Division I conferences, cabinets, councils and the Board of Directors are permitted to sponsor legislation directly into the legislative cycle. Further, there are no limitations on the number of proposals that may be submitted by any certain entity. This process generally has resulted in excess of 100 proposals being submitted each year. Many proposals relate to issues impacting only members of a specific conference and may not reflect concerns that are necessarily national in significance. In addition, a portion of the proposals introduced into the legislative cycle are designed to reverse legislation adopted in the previous cycle, which provides insufficient time to measure the impact of the adopted legislation.

The Legislative Council recommends the development of a legislative process consisting of two overlapping two-year cycles, which would allow the membership to focus attention on particular issues during each legislative cycle and fully develop comprehensive legislative concepts. The following are options for the Board of Director's consideration regarding which bylaws might be included in each separate two-year cycle.

Option No. 1		Option No. 2		Option No. 3	
Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 1	Cycle 2
NCAA Constitution 1-6	NCAA Bylaws 20 - 33	Constitution 1-6	Bylaws 20 - 33	Proposals related to Constitution 1-6 and Bylaws 20 –33 may be submitted during any cycle.	
Bylaw 10	Bylaw 12	Bylaw 11	Bylaw 10	Bylaw 10	Bylaw 12
Bylaw 11	Bylaw 14	Bylaw 12	Bylaw 14	Bylaw 11	Bylaw 14
Bylaw 13	Bylaw 15	Bylaw 13	Bylaw 15	Bylaw 13	Bylaw 15
Bylaw 17	Bylaw 16	Bylaw 17	Bylaw 16	Bylaw 17	Bylaw 16
Bylaw 18	Bylaw 19	Bylaw 19	Bylaw 18	Bylaw 18	Bylaw 19

A legislative process consisting of two overlapping two-year cycles would allow the membership to focus attention on particular issues during each legislative cycle and fully develop comprehensive legislative concepts. In addition, the desired outcome of such a change is to create a process that results in a decrease in the volume of proposals each legislative cycle. The two-year cycles will allow for additional time for conferences and governance entities to determine the national significance of legislative concepts and to gather appropriate supporting data prior to submission. It is important to note that the Legislative Council and the Board of Directors may still exercise its authority to propose and to adopt emergency legislation for any bylaw during any of the cycles.

Summary of Action Item.

Recommend that the Board of Directors sponsor legislation for the 2011-12 legislative cycle for the following concept:

- Legislative process that consists of two overlapping two-year cycles.

Example of a Potential Model for a Two-Year Legislative Cycle

Date	Year One	Year Two
July 15	Legislation submission deadline conferences and cabinets.	
August 15	NCAA Division I Publication of Proposed Legislation (POPL) available on NCAA website.	
August 15	Applicable proposals forwarded to cabinets for review and comment.	
September	Cabinets initial review. Development of initial positions and suggestions to sponsors.	Final cabinet review. Final positions established.
October	NCAA Division I Legislative Council initial review. Development of initial positions and suggestions to sponsors.	Final Legislative Council review. Review of modifications and alternative proposals.
October/November	NCAA Division I Board of Directors meeting. Deadline for Board sponsored proposals.	
November 15	Updated POPL available on NCAA website. Includes Board sponsored proposals.	Official Notice available on the NCAA website.
October – December	Continued sponsor modifications; membership comments.	
January	Legislative Council review of modifications feedback. Initial review of Board sponsored proposals.	Initial voting by Legislative Council. Potential review by Board.
January – March	Continued sponsor modifications; membership comments. Cabinets review, as necessary.	60-day override period for adopted legislation. 60-day membership comment and amendment-to-amendment period for remaining proposals.
April	Legislative Council review of modifications and feedback. Deadline for modifications and alternative proposals: one week after the Legislative Council meeting.	Final voting by Legislative Council. Potential review by Board.
April – June		60-day override period for adopted legislation.

2011 -- LEGISLATIVE PROCESS -- AMENDMENT PROCESS -- DIVISION I
LEGISLATIVE PROCESS -- TWO OVERLAPPING TWO-YEAR LEGISLATIVE CYCLES

Intent: To establish two overlapping two-year legislative cycles within the Division I legislative process, as specified.

Constitution: Amend 5.3.2, as follows:

5.3.2 Division I Legislative Process.

5.3.2.1 Authority to Adopt or Amend Legislation. Legislation applicable to Division I may be adopted or amended at any meeting of the Board of Directors or of the Legislative Council.

5.3.2.1.1 Amendments Proposed by Board of Directors, Leadership Council or Legislative Council. The Board of Directors, Leadership Council or Legislative Council may sponsor legislative amendments for consideration as emergency or noncontroversial legislation or in the regular legislative cycle or at any legislative Convention. A member also may move that current or proposed policies and procedures become legislative proposals, subject to the override process.

5.3.2.2 Process for Adoption or Amendment of Legislation.

5.3.2.2.1 Division I Legislative Cycles. The Division I legislative process shall consist of two overlapping two-year cycles. The Board of Directors shall determine which legislative articles shall be included in each two-year cycle. The Board of Directors may modify the policy as it deems necessary.

5.3.2.2.1.1 Sponsorship -- Amendments to Legislation, Alternative Proposals and Amendments-to-Amendments. An amendment to a provision of the constitution or bylaws, an alternative proposal, or an amendment to an amendment to a provision of the constitution or bylaws may be sponsored by:

(a) The Board of Directors, the Leadership Council or the Legislative Council;

(b) The Academic Cabinet, the Administration Cabinet; the Amateurism Cabinet, the Awards, Benefits, Expenses and Financial Aid Cabinet, the Championships/Sports Management Cabinet, and the Recruiting and Athletics Personnel Issues Cabinet; and

(c) A multisport conference listed in Constitution 4.2.1-(a) or (b).

5.3.2.2.1.2 Submission Deadline -- Amendments to Legislation. Amendments to legislation sponsored by the Leadership Council, the

Legislative Council, a cabinet or a multisport conference must be submitted to the national office by 5 p.m. Eastern time July 15. The Board of Directors shall sponsor amendments to legislation by the end of its October/November meeting.

5.3.2.2.12 Legislative Council Action -- Initial Review. On initial review of a legislative proposal, the Legislative Council shall conduct a single vote to accomplish one of the following actions:

(a) Adopt. A proposal that receives approval by at least a two-thirds majority vote of the Legislative Council members present and voting shall be considered adopted subject to possible review by the Board of Directors at its next meeting;

(b) Distribute for Membership Review. If a proposal is not adopted, but a majority of the Legislative Council members present and voting vote to adopt the proposal or to forward it to the membership for review and comment, or if the combined votes for the two options equals at least a majority, it shall be forwarded to the membership for review and comment pursuant to Constitution 5.3.2.4.2; or

(c) Defeat. A proposal that fails to receive the votes necessary for adoption or distribution to the membership for review and comment shall be considered defeated.

5.3.2.2.12.1 Amendment by Legislative Council. The Legislative Council may amend a proposal by a three-fourths majority vote of the Legislative Council members present and voting.

5.3.2.2.23 Legislative Council Action -- Final Review. At its next regular meeting after the period for membership review and comment (see Constitution 5.3.2.4), the Legislative Council shall consider the reactions and suggestions received and take action on the proposed change. If the proposed change receives a majority vote of those Legislative Council members present and voting, it shall be considered adopted, subject to the possible review by the Board of Directors at its next meeting. If the proposed change does not receive a majority vote of those Legislative Council members present and voting, it shall be considered defeated. The Legislative Council's action will be considered final at the conclusion of the next Board of Directors meeting, provided the action is not amended or rescinded by the Board.

5.3.2.2.~~23~~.1 Amendment by Legislative Council. The Legislative Council may amend a proposal by a three-fourths majority vote of the Legislative Council members present and voting, provided the amendment does not increase the modification specified in the original proposal.

5.3.2.2.~~34~~ Legislative Council -- Sunset Provision. If, within two legislative meetings, the Legislative Council fails to act on a proposed change, it shall be considered defeated; however, when appropriate, the Legislative Council may extend the time period for action.

5.3.2.2.~~45~~ Board of Directors Action.

5.3.2.2.~~45~~.1 Legislation Adopted by the Legislative Council. Legislation adopted by the Legislative Council shall be subject to review by the Board of Directors at its next meeting. At its discretion, the Board of Directors may ratify, amend or defeat legislation adopted by the Legislative Council.

5.3.2.2.~~45~~.2 Legislation Defeated by the Legislative Council. The Board of Directors may restore a proposal defeated on initial review by the Legislative Council. The Board may forward the proposal to the membership for review and comment in its original form or amend the proposal and forward it for review and comment. The Board also may adopt the proposal in its original form or amend and adopt it. The Board may resurrect a proposal defeated on final review by the Legislative Council and consider the proposal on its merits. The Board also may amend such a proposal.

5.3.2.2.~~56~~ Emergency or Noncontroversial Legislation. The Legislative Council or Board of Directors may adopt emergency or noncontroversial legislation during any meeting. Such legislation may be adopted only by at least a three-fourths majority of the members of the adopting body present and voting.

[5.3.2.2.6 renumbered as 5.3.2.2.7, unchanged.]

[5.3.2.3 unchanged.]

5.3.2.4 Notification to Membership.

5.3.2.4.1 Publication of Proposed Legislation. Amendments to legislation sponsored by the Leadership Council, the Legislative Council, a cabinet or a multisport conference shall be made available on the NCAA website by August 15 of the first year of the two-year legislative cycle. Amendments to

legislation sponsored by the Board of Directors shall be made available on the NCAA website by November 15 of the first year of the two-year legislative cycle.

5.3.2.4.2 Official Notice. Amendments to legislation that will subject to initial review by the Legislative Council (see Constitution 5.3.2.2.2) shall be made available on the NCAA website by November 15 of the second year of the two-year legislative cycle.

5.3.2.4.13 Membership Review and Comment. Proposed changes for which the Legislative Council recommends membership review and comment shall be forwarded to the membership within 15 days of adjournment of the Board of Directors' meeting immediately after the Legislative Council's actions. To be considered by the Legislative Council in its final review of the proposed change, any comments from the membership shall be received in the national office within 60 days of the adjournment of the Board of Directors' meeting immediately after the Legislative Council's actions.

5.3.2.4.24 Amendment-to-Amendment. A conference or cabinet may submit an amendment to any proposal under review during the 60-day comment period, provided the amendment does not increase the modification specified in the original proposal.

5.3.2.5 Other Rules and Procedures. The Board of Directors may approve such additional rules and procedures governing the legislative process consistent with the provisions of this section (Constitution 5.3.2) as it determines are necessary to assure an efficient process to meet the legislative needs of the membership.

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors (Legislative Council)

Effective Date: August 1, 2012

Proposal Category: Amendment

Topical Area: Legislative Authority and Process

Rationale: The current legislative process generally has resulted in more than 100 proposals being submitted each year. The large volume of proposals sponsored directly impacts the quality of the legislation and the level of review. Several of the proposals introduced into the legislative cycle each year are designed to reverse legislation adopted in the previous cycle. Such an approach provides insufficient time to effectively evaluate or measure the impact of the adopted

legislation. A legislative process consisting of two overlapping two-year cycles would allow the membership to focus attention on particular issues during each legislative cycle and fully develop comprehensive legislative concepts. In addition, the desired outcome of such a change is to create a process that results in a decrease in the volume of proposals in each legislative cycle. The two-year cycles will allow for additional time for conferences and governance entities to determine the national significance of legislative concepts and to gather appropriate supporting data. It is important to note that the Legislative Council and the Board of Directors may still exercise its authority to propose and to adopt emergency or noncontroversial legislation for any legislative article (constitution or bylaws) during any meeting.

Estimated Budget Impact: None.

Impact on Student-Athlete's Time (Academic and/or Athletics): None.

**Division I Meetings
2012 Annual Convention Meeting Schedule**

**SUPPLEMENT NO. 25
DI Legislative Council 10/11**

	Wednesday January 11		Thursday, January 12				Friday, January 13	Saturday, January 14	
7	LGC/SAAC Breakfast (7 – 8 a.m.)	Conference Meetings (7:30 a.m.–7 p.m.)							
7:30									
8	Legislative Council (LGC) (8 a.m. - 5 p.m.)		Legislative Council (LGC) (8 – 10 a.m.)			Leadership Council (8 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)		Joint SAAC/BOD Breakfast (8 – 9:30 a.m.)	
8:30									
9								Status of Presidential Retreat Initiatives (9 a.m. – noon)	Board of Directors Meeting (9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m.)
9:30				Assn-wide Programming (9:30 – 11a.m.)	Conference Meetings (8:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.)				
10									
10:30									
11				Assn-wide Programming (11:15 – 12:15)					
11:30									
Noon			LDC/SAAC Luncheon (11:30 – 1 p.m.)	Assn. Luncheon (12:30 – 2 p.m.)					BOD Luncheon (12 - 1 p.m.)
12:30									
1							Board of Directors Meeting Continues		
1:30									
2			Assn-wide Programming (2:15 – 3:45 p.m.)						
2:30									
3									
3:30	Opening Business Session (4 – 5:30 p.m.) (Doors open at 3:30)								
4									
4:30									
5									
5:30		Delegates Reception (5:30 – 7 p.m.)							
6							Honors Celebration (6-8 p.m.)		
6:30									
7									
7:30									
8									
8:30									
9									
10									

 Meetings either DI LGC/LDC Councils should attend.  Additional Association-wide events.  Meetings DI Board would be interested in attending.

All meetings will be held in the Marriott unless specified differently.