## **2010-11 NCAA Ice Hockey Rules Committee – Approved Changes**

Rule/Page	Proposal	Rationale
Stopping play when video review is	In games with video review, when a close play occurs at the goal and the	Currently, there is no rules support to stop play in these situations. Allowing some referee discretion would save
imminent 2-6-d, HR-28	referee is certain he/she will review the situation, play shall be stopped when no advantage is gained, similar to a stoppage for an injured player.	potential issues with the opposing team scoring a goal, penalties assessed, etc.
Half Shield allowance (men's play only) 3-5-d, HR-37	To work with the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport to research and study the use of half shield facial protection and the potential impact on NCAA competition.	The committee believes that the technology of the half shield facial protection has improved in recent years and plans to work collaboratively with the men's college hockey community and the sports medical and athletic training community to determine if this type of protection is appropriate for NCAA competition. Many other similar age levels (Olympic competition, juniors, etc.) allow the use of the improved half shield protection.
Delayed penalty enforcement 4-9, HR-49-50	If the non-offending team scores during the delayed penalty, the penalty would still be enforced and that team would receive a power play.	Would provide the non-offending team an extra opportunity to create some scoring chances.

Contact to the Head	Alter the language to read: "A plaver	This is an important safety issue and the committee is
Contact to the Head 6-8, HR-61	Alter the language to read: "A player shall not target and make contact with an opposing player's head or neck area in any manner (including but not limited to the shoulder, stick, elbow, etc.) or force the head of an opposing player into the protective glass, boards or goal cage.  PENALTY—Major and a game misconduct or disqualification at the discretion of the referee."  NOTE: See the additional guidance at the end of this document.	This is an important safety issue and the committee is concerned about some violent contact that has occurred in the game and caused injury. To make this rule more clear, any time a player targets the head or neck area of an opponent, it must be a major penalty and a game misconduct penalty at a minimum. This rule is not intended to cover incidental contact or contact with the head that occurs that should be a minor penalty (e.g., unintentional high stick, body check where the contact is initiated at the shoulder or torso, but the follow through makes some contact with the head). Clear direction is being provided here to assist officials, coaches and players with this rule.  The committee expects a heightened awareness to direct contact to head, but it should be noted that many contact to the head fouls in previous seasons that were minor penalties should remain minor penalties (for example, an incidental high sticking foul would remain a minor for high sticking).
Defensive team shoots puck out of play from defensive zone 6-10-a, HR-62	When a delay of game penalty is NOT called, the team that shoots the puck directly out of play would not be allowed to change its players.	This would provide some penalty for a defending team that shoots the puck out of play directly. If the puck is ruled to be deliberately shot out of play, a delay of game penalty still may be issued.

Shot off of goal and out of play	When the puck is shot by the offensive team and it hits the goal cage and goes out of play, keep the faceoff in the offensive zone.	The offensive team seems to be penalized unfairly for this faceoff location.
6-15, HR-64-66  Hand Passes 6-19, HR-70	Require that a hand pass must be "deliberately directed to a teammate or create a gained advantage" for this rule to be in effect.	Too many hand passes are being called that are not truly hand passes. For example, a defenseman is trying to hold the line at the offensive blue line and the puck deflects off of the player's glove and goes to a teammate in the neutral zone. This was not deliberately directed and therefore should not be a violation of the hand pass rule. This change will assist officials to properly administer this rule.
Icing 6-27, HR-73-74	New rule that mirrors system used in some junior leagues where the linesmen judge which player would touch the puck first if an icing is in effect.	To add an element of touch-up icing used in professional levels and eliminate some whistles in the game without compromising safety.
Shorthanded team not allowed to ice the puck 6-27-b, HR-74	In exhibition games ONLY, enforce icing at all times of the game.	This change would remove a contradiction in the rules that allows a team that has violated the rules in one area to violate another rule in order to compensate for being shorthanded. This would provide more scoring opportunities for the power play team and could encourage more skilled play from the defensive team.

Obtainable pass	Remove this provision in the rules.	This rule has created more difficulty for linesmen to
6 27 f UD 75		judge icing calls and some teams have used this rule to
6-27-f, HR-75		their advantage without making a skilled play.
Overtime	To have goalkeepers change ends of	This rule would make line changes more difficult for
	the ice before the overtime period	both teams and will lead to scoring opportunities and a
6-55, HR-85-86	begins.	reduction in the number of tie games.
Overtime	To have goalkeepers change ends of	This rule would continue the progression that is natural
6-55, HR-85-86	the ice before each overtime period	to the game. The overtime periods are intended to be an
0 33,111 03 00	when games are played to a winner	extension of the game; teams change ends during
	(20 minute, sudden death periods).	regular play and this would be consistent.
Awarding goals	To allow a goal to be awarded during a	This has occurred in a handful of games and a goal was
6 50 1 115 00	breakaway situation with an empty net	awarded as "obvious and imminent." The committee
6-59-b, HR-88	if the player is fouled.	believes this was the right call, but rules support was not
		clear.
Use of timeout to	If a team ices the puck or creates a	If a team chooses to use its timeout to change players, it
	stoppage that does not allow a change	should be allowed to do so.
change players		Should be allowed to do so.
New rule	of players and then calls timeout, it	
	would be allowed to change its	
	players. Only the team that calls the	
	timeout would be allowed to change	
	its players in situations where players	
	are not allowed to change.	
Penalty	Make most penalties consistent with	There are some situations where officials have intended
enforcement	respect to what the officials' options	to enforce one penalty, but the option wasn't there. For
Numerous	are (e.g., minor, major, game	example, excessive roughness carries a disqualification
references	misconduct, game disqualification).	only. Some other penalties stop at game misconducts.

Holding Teams at	At the end of the period, the home	This would help avoid the crossing of teams and help
end of periods	team must wait to be released from	officials to better administer the game.
8-1, HR-92-93	the bench by the referee, unless the exit to the lockerroom is in the bench area. Players are not to enter the ice if the exit to the lockerroom is through the bench. The penalty for this rule would be a warning and then a bench minor.	
Players refusing to	When there is a stalemate, the officials	This would allow officials to shut down a play in a
play the puck	shall stop play and administer the rule	stalemate before frustrations create a hostile situation.
6-41, HR-81-82	that caused the stoppage.	For example, during an offensive team's power play, an offensive player high-sticks the puck to a teammate in the offensive zone. The player does not want to touch the puck which would cause the faceoff to be in the defensive zone. The defending player is content to allow as much time to tick off the clock as possible, to kill more of the penalty time.
Game Misconduct	If a player is assessed three (3) game	Ensures an additional penalty to a player that
Penalties	or more misconduct penalties in the	continually receives game misconduct penalties.
6-4-a, HR-43	same season, that player will receive an additional game suspension. This is not be part of the progressive penalty.	

## **Editorial Changes**

Commercial	Logos will be allowed behind the goal and in	Many institutions and conferences have placed a logo
Logos	the attacking zone, but no closer than four	behind the goal even though technically illegal.
1-2-b, HR-11	feet to the faceoff circles in the zone.	Some institutions have requested the ability to put advertising or team logos in the attacking zone near the blue line.
Net	To align the recommended specifications of	To assist institutions and facilities when purchasing
specifications	the netting with the NHL's.	nets appropriate for the college level.
1-3-c, HR-12		
Jerseys	Clarify that the number shall contrast the	In some cases, there are teams that use white
2-1-b, HR-22	overall jersey color. This rule is recommended immediately, but will be mandated starting with the 2012-13 season.	numbers that are outlined in the darker color, which are difficult to see.
Disqualifications	Clarify that these disqualifications carry over	In the rare case that this happens, it is important to
in the last game	to the following season if a player has	have this in writing so there is no confusion.
of season	eligibility remaining. Any carry-over	
4-5, HR-44	disqualification is not part of the progression procedure.	
Goalkeeper	To officially legislate the approved ruling that	Clarity. This rule has been enforced properly, but it
prevented from	has been used the past two seasons in these	eliminates any issues.
playing position	situations. The penalty should specify that a	
6-29-c (PENALTY)	goal is disallowed in these situations; currently it notes the faceoff location.	

## **Contact to the Head – Additional Guidance**

The committee reminds coaches and players that the responsibility remains with the player making the hit to avoid contact with the head and neck area of an opposing player. Any contact which directly targets the player's head and neck area must be penalized with major penalty and a game misconduct or disqualification. A player delivering a check to an unsuspecting and vulnerable player puts themselves in jeopardy of being penalized under this rule.

Officials are to pay particular attention to these examples when applying this rule. These are intended as guidance and are included, but not limited to, the following:

- A player that has just released a shot or pass;
- A player that delivers a late hit;
- A player that extends and directs the arm, elbow, forearm or shoulder to contact the head and neck area of the opponent;
- A player that extends their body and targets the opponent's head or neck area.
- A player that leaves their skates or launches in order to deliver a blow to the head or neck area of the opposing player.
- A player that uses the stick in any way to target the head or neck area (e.g., cross checking, butt-ending, etc.).

As additional guidance, when the initial force of the contact is a shoulder to the body of the opponent and then slides up to the head or neck area, this is not classified as contact to the head. This type of action may still be penalized, at the referee's discretion, as another penalty (e.g., charging, roughing, elbowing, etc.).