2010 NCAA Statistics Survey Results: Executive Summary

Introduction and Purpose

The NCAA Statistics Department decided to undergo a routine evaluation of the process and the services that they provide to the membership, media and the public. The goal of this survey is to gather information about the material that is currently provided and to help determine what would be useful in the future.

Methods

The groups identified to be surveyed by the department included sports information directors (SID) from all NCAA institutions and conferences. One survey instrument was developed for response to the various questions of the department. The following constructs were measured:

- Current statistical reporting (ease of use, helpfulness, time requirement)
- Future statistical reporting (preferences, requests, awareness)

Sampling

The sample used for this survey consisted of e-mail lists provided by NCAA staff containing sports information directors from all member institutions and conferences.

Survey Administration

Survey administration involved an online survey tool. Each participant received an e-mail containing an individualized web link requesting participation. Detailed instructions were provided on the instrument.

Participants

	n	Response Rate
Division I	233	30.9%
Division II	185	24.5%
Division III	290	38.4%
Conference	47	6.2%
Total	755	64.4%

Analysis

Responses were converted into SPSS format at the NCAA national office. The data were checked for errors and inconsistencies. The SPSS library of statistical packages was used to compile the descriptive statistics reported in this summary.

Initial Results

DI SIDS

- Eighty-four percent of Division I SIDs described the ease of reporting basketball, field hockey, or lacrosse statistics on a game-by-game basis for home games as easy.
- More than 60 percent of Division I SIDs have viewed or used the additional statistics report feature.
- Almost 70 percent of Division I SIDs indicated that it was easy to access additional statistics reports and almost 80 percent described additional statistics reports as useful.
- More than 60 percent of Division I SIDs indicated that they do not use the box scores and team stats to find opponent totals because they get the information from a different source. The majority of SIDs noted the other source as being opponent's websites or opponent's SIDs.
- More than 50 percent of SIDs feel that Division I statistical rankings should be updated daily for basketball and feel the majority indicated baseball and softball as the other sports that should have national statistics rankings updated more than once a week.

DII & DIII SIDs

- More than 70 percent of Division II SIDs and more than 60 percent of DIII SIDs are open to the idea of pursuing game-by-game statistics reporting for other sports.
- The majority of Division II and III SIDs indicated that their institution could begin reporting basketball statistics in 2010-11.
- More than 70 percent of Division II SIDs "frequently" or "occasionally" use the national stats rankings in news releases. 65 percent of DIII SIDs use these rankings occasionally or only if they have a student-athlete in the top 5-10.

Conference SIDs

- More than 80 percent of conference SIDs do not feel that statistics reporting requests and deadlines from the NCAA affect their workload because it is part of their job description.

ALL SIDs

- More than 95 percent of SIDs use www.ncaa.org or www.ncaa.com first, when looking for national statistics rankings.
- More than 60 percent of all SIDs do know how to search for a coach and/or student-athlete on the NCAA Web site. However, 45 percent of Division I SIDs indicated that they were not aware of this feature. This is a stark contrast from the majority of Division II (approximately 70 percent) and Division III (approximately 61 percent) that reported they are aware of this feature.
- When asked what feature they would like to see added to the career stats database, SIDs indicated that they would like a link to institutions athletics Web sites and school logos added to the career stats database Web site.
- More than 80 percent of SIDs use www.ncaa.org or www.ncaa.com first, when looking for NCAA records.
- Seventy percent of all SIDs have used www.ncaa.org to access championship records.
- More than 95 percent have used www.ncaa.org or www.ncaa.com to access current or past statistics for an NCAA championship.
- Exactly half of respondents indicated that they were not aware that they can access tournament statistics on the statistics and records sections of the Web site.
- The majority of SIDs indicated that the ease of reporting football statistics was not applicable to them. For those who do report football statistics, the majority indicated that it was very easy.

- Division I SIDs and conference SIDs indicated that 10 to 25 percent of their time each week is devoted to statistics related activities. The majority of Division II and III SIDs indicated that these activities take up about 25 to 50 percent of their time each week.
- Of the SIDs that hold a position outside of sports information or media relations, the greatest amount (30 percent) are also other athletic administrators.
- Approximately 70 percent of SIDs indicated that they sometimes or rarely visit the NCAA Web sites for regular season records books or coaching records.
- Sixty percent indicate that they visit the sites for weekly statistical rankings more frequently.
- The majority of SIDs indicated they visit for current or past championship statistics or championships records less frequently and for archived game results, and more improved reports or statistical trends even less frequently.
- The majority of SIDs also indicated that they sometimes visit the Web sites to view the statistician's manuals.
- Almost 70 percent indicated that it would be very helpful if the NCAA Web site had access to final statistics for all teams in all years the NCAA has compiled statistics for a particular sport.

2010 NCAA Statistics Survey Results

Note: One survey was developed to collect responses regarding current NCAA statistics processes and services from sports information directors in all three divisions as well as conference offices. In total there were 755 responses. Below is a breakdown of total responses.

SID Respondents: 93.8%
Conference SID Respondents: 6.2%

Below are the responses to the survey. Per the request of the committee, positional responses from the surveys are provided in addition to the overall responses.

1. *Please indicate your division:*

Division I	Division II	Division III	Conference	Overall
n	n	n	n	n
233	185	290	47	755

.
***The following questions were only answered by Division I Sports Information Directors.**

2. *How would you describe the ease of reporting basketball, field hockey or lacrosse statistics on a game-by-game basis for your home games?*

	n	%
Not applicable	20	8.7
Very easy	127	55.0
Somewhat easy	67	29.0
Neither easy nor difficult	14	6.1
Somewhat difficult	3	1.3
Very difficult	0	0.0

3. *Have you viewed or used any of the additional statistics reports (besides national statistics rankings) that you can access for basketball, field hockey or lacrosse statistics (i.e. box scores, game-by-game statistics for a specific team or player)?*

	n	%
Yes	140	60.6
No	83	35.9
Not Applicable	8	3.5

4. *How would you describe the ease of accessing additional statistics reports (besides the national statistics rankings) that you can access for basketball, field hockey or lacrosse statistics (i.e. box scores, game-by-game statistics for a specific team or player)?*

	n	%
Not applicable	38	16.5
Very easy	68	29.4
Somewhat easy	89	38.5
Neither easy nor difficult	27	11.7
Somewhat difficult	9	3.9
Very difficult	0	0.0

5. *How would you describe the usefulness of the additional statistics reports (besides the national statistics rankings) that you can access for basketball, field hockey or lacrosse statistics (i.e. box scores, game-by-game statistics for a specific team or player)?*

	n	%
Not applicable	25	10.8
Very useful	101	43.7
Somewhat useful	75	32.5
Neutral	23	10.0
Somewhat useless	6	2.6
Very useless	1	0.4

6. *Do you use the box scores and team stats that you can access for basketball, field hockey or lacrosse statistics to find opponent totals?*

	n	%
Yes	64	28.1
No, get information from a different source	141	61.8
Not Applicable	23	10.1

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 6. The themes that emerged are the following:

- I get information that I need from opponents or opponents SIDs directly.
- I find the information from the opponent's Web site or conference Web site.
- I use Stats, Inc.

7. How often do you think NCAA Division I statistical rankings should be updated in basketball?

	n	%
Daily	119	52.7
5-6 times a week	2	0.9
3-4 times a week	31	13.7
2 times a week (as is currently being done)	67	29.6
1 time a week	7	3.1

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 7. The themes that emerged are the following:
- Should be updated every Monday morning so that they are always current.
- During the non-conference season (i.e. Nov-early Jan), three to four times would be helpful but twice a week during conference season is fine.
- At least three times a week in January through March. Twice a week in November and December is sufficient.

8. Which other sports should have national statistics rankings updated more than once a week? (Check all that apply)

	n	%
Baseball	173	74.2
Field Hockey	21	9.0
Football	44	18.9
Men's Lacrosse	31	13.3
Women's Lacrosse	28	12.0
Men's Soccer	53	22.7
Women's Soccer	60	25.8
Softball	134	57.5
Volleyball	96	41.2

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 8. The themes that emerged are the following:
- All sports should be updated more than once a week.
- Ice Hockey should be updated more than once a week.

The following questions were only answered by Division II & Division III Sports Information Directors.

9. **How open are you to pursuing game-by-game statistics reporting (as we have done with football) for other sports beginning with basketball?**

	Division II		Division III	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**
Very open to the idea	88	47.6	93	32.1
Somewhat open to the idea	45	24.3	84	29.0
Neither open nor opposed to the idea	35	18.9	60	20.7
Somewhat opposed to the idea	13	7.0	33	11.4
Very opposed to the idea	4	2.2	20	6.9

10. *Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statement:*

My institution could begin reporting basketball statistics on a game-by-game basis in 2010-11.

	Division II		Division III	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**
Strongly agree	114	61.6	157	54.1
Somewhat agree	42	22.7	82	28.3
Neither agree nor disagree	17	9.2	29	10.0
Somewhat disagree	8	4.3	10	3.4
Strongly disagree	4	2.2	12	4.1

11. *How often do you use the national stats rankings in our own news releases?*

	Division II		Division III	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**
Frequently	69	37.3	58	20.0
Occasionally	61	33.0	109	37.6
Only if I have a student-athlete in the top 5-10	36	19.5	79	27.2
Only if I have a national leader	14	7.6	24	8.3
Never	5	2.7	20	6.9

The following questions were only answered by Conference Sports Information Directors.

12. How do our statistics reporting requests and deadlines affect your workload?

	n	%
No increase, it is part of my job description	38	82.6
Increase workload	6	13.0
Decrease workload	2	4.3

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 12. There were no emerging themes.

The following questions were answered by all Sports Information Directors.

13. When looking for national statistics rankings, which Web site do you go to first?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
I don't use a Web site for this information	0	0.0	4	2.3	9	3.4	3	6.5	16	2.3
www.ncaa.org	90	41.3	73	41.2	115	43.7	22	52.4	300	42.9
www.ncaa.com	114	52.3	100	56.5	137	52.1	17	40.5	368	52.6
www.espn.com	10	4.6	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	11	1.6
www.stats.com	4	1.8	0	0.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	5	0.7

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 13. The themes that emerged are the following:

- I use www.ncaasports.com.
- I go to Conference/Institutions Web site.

14. Please give specifics about why you prefer the Web site as your first choice above.
The most commonly mentioned comments from this open-ended response include:

Reasons for using ncaa.org or ncaa.com:
- Familiarity/Habit/Bookmarked/Direct links from NCAA or conference.
- Easy/timely/accurate/everything in one location.
- I prefer official NCAA information directly from the source.
- Like the summary reports that it provides as well as the search/sort options.

Reasons for using other sites (i.e. www.espn.com, www.stats.com)
- Updated daily/more quickly.
- NCAA sites are slower and more difficult to navigate.
- Out of habit, familiarity.
- Other Web sites have more obscure stats for other sports/divisions.

15. Do you know how to search for a coach and find his/her career win-loss record on the NCAA Web site?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	127	55.2	132	71.7	177	61.2	34	72.3	470	62.7
No	103	44.8	52	28.3	112	38.8	13	27.7	280	37.3

16. Do you know how you can search for a student-athlete and see his/her career statistics (as long as the student-athlete played after 2002)?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	133	57.8	127	69.0	177	61.2	34	72.3	471	62.8
No	97	42.2	57	31.0	112	38.8	13	27.7	279	37.2

17. What features would you like to see added to the career stats database (http://web1.ncaa.org/stats/StatsSrv/careersearch) that we currently have in place? (Check all that apply)

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Link to institutions athletics Web site	142	60.9	131	70.8	215	74.1	23	48.9	511	67.7
Player/coach headshots	54	23.2	61	33.0	70	24.1	8	17.0	193	25.6
School logos	103	44.2	97	52.4	142	49.0	20	42.6	362	47.9

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 17. The themes that emerged are the following:
- Nothing should be changed or added.
- Much older archived statistics would be helpful.
- Cross links for transfer student-athletes so that certain student-athletes can be tracked over time.

18. When you are looking for NCAA records, what Web site do you use _first_?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
I don't use a Web site for this information	6	2.7	8	4.5	21	7.6	4	9.3	39	5.4
www.ncaa.org	85	37.9	90	50.6	126	45.8	20	46.5	321	44.6
www.ncaa.com	105	46.9	64	36.0	100	36.4	14	32.6	283	39.3
www.espn.com	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
www.stats.com	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
www.ncaapublications.com	27	12.1	16	9.0	28	10.2	5	11.6	76	10.6

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 18. The themes that emerged are the following:
- I use google.
- I just use the hard copies or PDFs of NCAA records books.
- I use www.ncaasports.com.

19. *Please give specifics about your reasons for using the site you chose above?*
The most commonly mentioned comments from this open-ended response include:

Reasons for using other sites (i.e. www.espn.com, www.stats.com)
- Familiarity/Habit/Bookmarked/Direct links.
- Easy/timely/accurate/Has everything in on location.
- The only place to find the records books.
- I prefer official NCAA information directly from the source.

Reasons for using ncaa.org or ncaa.com:
- I prefer the printed records books that are sent out to our institutions.
- NCAA sites are slower and more difficult to navigate.

20. *Have you ever used www.ncaa.org to access championship records?*

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	165	72.4	129	70.9	193	67.2	29	61.7	516	69.4
No	63	27.6	53	29.1	94	32.8	18	38.3	228	30.6

21. *Have you ever used www.ncaa.org or www.ncaa.com to access current or past statistics for an NCAA Championship? (Check all that apply)*

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes, www.ncaa.org	125	53.6	106	57.3	135	46.6	23	48.9	389	51.5
Yes, www.ncaa.com	104	44.6	90	48.6	122	42.1	20	42.6	336	44.5
No	56	24.0	39	21.1	91	31.4	17	36.2	203	26.9

22. *Are you aware that you can access tournament statistics (including tournament leaders, single-game highs and box scores) on the statistics and records section of www.ncaa.org and www.ncaa.com?*

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	135	59.0	88	48.4	152	52.8	31	66.0	406	54.4
No	94	41.0	94	51.6	136	47.2	16	34.0	340	45.6

23. Have you ever used the statistics and records section of www.ncaa.org or www.ncaa.com to access tournament statistics (including tournament leaders, single-game highs and box scores)? (Check all that apply)

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Yes, www.ncaa.org	54	23.2	46	24.9	65	22.4	13	27.7	178	23.6
Yes, www.ncaa.com	51	21.9	35	18.9	57	19.7	8	17.0	151	20.0
No	55	23.6	32	17.3	55	19.0	15	31.9	157	20.8

24. How would you describe the ease of reporting football statistics after each home game?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Not applicable (Never reporting football statistics and/or my team or league does not have football)	84	37.8	104	57.1	114	40.7	30	66.7	332	45.5
Very easy	98	44.1	51	28.0	100	35.7	11	24.4	260	35.7
Somewhat easy	35	15.8	16	8.8	46	16.4	2	4.4	99	13.6
Neither easy nor difficult	3	1.4	9	4.9	15	5.4	0	0.0	27	3.7
Somewhat difficult	2	0.9	2	1.1	5	1.8	2	4.4	11	1.5
Very difficult	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

25. How much of your time each week is devoted to statistics related activities? (i.e. compiling stats at games, entering in stats from tournament, posting and sharing team or conference stats online)?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
More than 75%	2	0.9	4	2.2	4	1.4	2	4.3	12	1.6
50-75%	14	6.1	32	17.5	70	24.5	5	10.9	121	16.3
25-50%	58	25.4	77	42.1	116	40.6	9	19.6	260	35.0
10-25%	99	43.4	56	30.6	83	29.0	22	47.8	260	35.0
Less than 10%	55	24.1	14	7.7	13	4.5	8	17.4	90	12.1

26. What, if any, other positions do you have outside of sports information or media relations-type activity? (Check all that apply)

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Coach	3	1.3	15	8.1	41	14.1	2	4.3	61	8.1
Instructor/Professor	8	3.4	10	5.4	17	5.9	0	0.0	35	4.6
Other Athletic Administrator (i.e. Compliance Director, SWA, etc.)	42	18.0	50	27.0	107	36.9	17	36.2	216	28.6
Employment outside of the university of conference	10	4.3	11	5.9	22	7.6	8	17.0	51	6.8

For this question, an "other" option could be selected that provided the opportunity to write in a response to question 26. The themes that emerged are the following:

- Marketing/Promotions
- Event/Game Management
- Public Relations

27. Please indicate how frequently you visit www.ncaa.com or www.ncaa.org for the following statistical information:

Weekly statistical rankings

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	101	44.7	45	24.7	40	14.0	14	31.1	200	27.1
Often	83	36.7	67	36.8	82	28.7	11	24.4	243	32.9
Sometimes	35	15.5	53	29.1	101	35.3	13	28.9	202	27.3
Rarely	5	2.2	9	4.9	41	14.3	1	2.2	56	7.6
Almost never	2	0.9	8	4.4	22	7.7	6	13.3	38	5.1

Regular season records books

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	12	5.3	6	3.3	11	3.8	3	6.7	32	4.3
Often	25	11.1	29	15.9	26	9.1	5	11.1	85	11.5
Sometimes	117	51.8	76	41.8	105	36.7	21	46.7	319	43.2
Rarely	65	28.8	50	27.5	103	36.0	8	17.8	226	30.6
Almost never	7	3.1	21	11.5	41	14.3	8	17.8	77	10.4

Coaching records

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	5	2.2	5	2.8	6	2.1	1	2.2	17	2.3
Often	12	5.3	23	12.7	14	4.9	2	4.4	51	6.9
Sometimes	61	27.0	52	28.7	83	29.0	15	33.3	211	28.6
Rarely	101	44.7	63	34.8	109	38.1	16	35.6	289	39.2
Almost never	47	20.8	38	21.0	74	25.9	11	24.4	170	23.0

Statisticians' manuals

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	16	7.1	7	3.9	23	8.0	5	11.1	51	6.9
Often	29	12.8	41	22.7	69	24.1	5	11.1	144	19.5
Sometimes	111	49.1	78	43.1	123	43.0	16	35.6	328	44.4
Rarely	55	24.3	42	23.2	51	17.8	11	24.4	159	21.5
Almost never	15	6.6	13	7.2	20	7.0	8	17.8	56	7.6

Current or past championship statistics

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	11	4.9	5	2.7	6	2.1	1	2.2	23	3.1
Often	19	8.4	20	11.0	14	4.9	7	15.6	60	8.1
Sometimes	81	35.8	65	35.7	74	26.0	13	28.9	233	31.6
Rarely	86	38.1	55	30.2	137	48.1	13	28.9	291	39.4
Almost never	29	12.8	37	20.3	54	18.9	11	24.4	131	17.8

Championship records

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	11	4.9	4	2.2	6	2.1	2	4.4	23	3.1
Often	17	7.5	19	10.5	19	6.6	7	15.6	62	8.4
Sometimes	84	37.2	65	35.9	78	27.3	19	42.2	246	33.3
Rarely	83	36.7	61	33.7	125	43.7	7	15.6	276	37.4
Almost never	31	13.7	32	17.7	58	20.3	10	22.2	131	17.8

Archived statistics

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	11	4.9	10	5.5	8	2.8	2	4.4	31	4.2
Often	39	17.3	32	17.7	33	11.5	5	11.1	109	14.8
Sometimes	99	43.8	66	36.5	102	35.7	18	40.0	285	38.6
Rarely	59	26.1	44	24.3	92	32.2	12	26.7	207	28.0
Almost never	18	8.0	29	16.0	51	17.8	8	17.8	106	14.4

Archived game results

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	5	2.2	5	2.8	4	1.4	1	2.2	15	2.0
Often	18	8.0	21	11.7	8	2.8	2	4.4	49	6.7
Sometimes	60	26.7	36	20.1	64	22.5	14	31.1	174	23.7
Rarely	85	37.8	67	37.4	119	41.9	13	28.9	284	38.7
Almost never	57	25.3	50	27.9	89	31.3	15	33.3	211	28.8

More improved reports or statistical trends

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	8	3.6	5	2.8	4	1.4	1	2.2	18	2.4
Often	26	11.6	14	7.8	8	2.8	1	2.2	49	6.7
Sometimes	54	24.0	33	18.3	45	15.7	8	17.8	140	19.0
Rarely	92	40.9	68	37.8	110	38.5	22	48.9	292	39.7
Almost never	45	20.0	60	33.3	119	41.6	13	28.9	237	32.2

Attendance records or leaders

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**
Almost always	13	5.8	7	3.8	4	1.4	1	2.2	25	3.4
Often	30	13.3	11	6.0	6	2.1	3	6.7	50	6.8
Sometimes	66	29.2	28	15.4	34	11.9	10	22.2	138	18.7
Rarely	65	28.8	52	28.6	86	30.1	14	31.1	217	29.4
Almost never	52	23.0	84	46.2	156	54.5	17	37.8	309	41.8

28. How helpful would it be if the NCAA Web site had access to final statistics for all teams in all years the NCAA has compiled statistics for a particular sport?

	Division I		Division II		Division III		Conference		Overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
I don't anticipate ever needing to use this archived information	2	0.9	3	1.7	8	2.8	3	6.7	16	2.2
Very helpful	166	73.1	137	75.7	180	63.6	26	57.8	509	69.2
Somewhat helpful	59	26.0	40	22.1	91	32.2	15	33.3	205	27.9
Not helpful	0	0.0	1	0.6	3	1.1	0	0.0	4	0.5
Not helpful at all	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.4	1	2.2	2	0.3

29. Please share any general comments or thoughts you have related to statistics and records.
   Note: The only adaptation to the comments is the removal of personal identifiers.
- Your staff is very accomodating both on the phone and via email. Quick to respond and helpful!
- You guys do a great job of putting all of this information together. Thanks for everything!
- Would love to see stat records for years dating back before 2002
- Would like to see the expansion of Division III statistics to include some of the categories only offered in Division I.
- Would like the attendance rankings to be posted ASAP after the season is concluded - i.e. - if you select the attendance link for women's soccer off of NCAA.org, the most recent attendance available is 2007.
- All-time records (for as long as NCAA has been recording stats) would be a great resource...like to see game, season & career records.
- Would be helpful to integrate stat reporting using the conference contacts instead of individual SIDs
- With the turnover the SID position has had here (and other places), I feel that having that information compiled online would be greatly beneficial in the day-to-day operations of a sports information office.
- With the dramatic increase in mid-week games over the past 5-10-15 years, it would be very helpful to generate and post updated football stats on a daily basis to help keep our notes as accurate as possible.
- wish there were a way for it to remember my password when I log in to upload the post-game xml file.
- Why do we have to do weekly online score reports for certain sports (soccer, baseball, etc.) and not others (basketball, football)? If an automated system can be put in place for some, it should be for all. Are basketball/football that much more important than field hockey and softball? Coaches very rarely do the score reports, leaving it to SIDs who are usually one-person shops at this level and have a lot of other responsibilities. Also, it's a bit insulting to be threatened with a $100 fine for not completing the report.
- Statistician manuals should be updated and posted online a month before the opening date, allowing enough time for SIDs to print, review and also train other staff (GA, student, etc) to compile stats. Sometimes I don't think they are updated at all, and even if they are, they can be difficult to find online.
- The NCAA Websites need to be re-designed in a way so that they can be more easily navigated. It can be difficult to sift through to find what we need, particularly when it comes to historical items. While it would be a massive undertaking for the NCAA staff, it would be invaluable for the SIDs. There are some sports for which I do not have a comprehensive set of career stats (a coach walked out with a record book more than 12 years ago - and neither coach, nor book has been heard from since).
- When is the NCAA going to use StatCrew for golf and compile its own statistics instead of using that horrible GolfStat?
- When are balanced box scores for volleyball games going to be mandatory?

- web page should be quick and easy to find, all in one place and easy to navigate.
- We need to have a standardized format for how we list student-athletes' names on our statistical reports. In our conference, the format is LAST NAME, First Name. I would prefer First Name, Last Name across the board. We now have a mix-match across the country as to how the names are used.
- We need more historical data/statistics.
- We need a solution for Tennis! The ITA reporting system is terrible and causes us to double report (conf. and ITA).
- We have made an investment in the Statcrew company for all of our teams. The conference we belong to NECC has also invested in this software. We think very highly of its use.
- We don't keep attendance for any sport but football b/c we don't charge admission or have any infrastructure in place to keep track. But I'm repeatedly asked to "guess" at attendance or "make an estimate" of how many people are in the crowd while I'm actually working the game (i.e., doing my actual job). Guess the crowd? Make an estimate? How about we guess at the final score or make an estimate of how many passes our QB completed? You KNOW that Division III attendance figures are nowhere near an acceptable level of accuracy (with the possible exception of football) yet you hound us for field hockey attendance figures. Field hockey!
- This was a rant about the only thing that I'm dissatisfied with when it comes to NCAA statistics: I couldn't do my job w/o the job you folks do (but I do think you're way off base with attendance policies for schools at this level).
- Volleyball box scores are totally overrated and unused by 99.9 percent of the media.
- Uploading football stats is much easier than basketball stats. I've wondered why we have to know the school id and passcode for basketball but for football you just need to know "fbstats" for ALL uploades
- To have an archive the is complete would be great.
- This would be extremely helpful. Also game-by-game results for championships in sports that have not been compiled would be outstanding. The NCAA stats department does a great job making sure that the SIDs have all the tools to further promote their athletics departments.
- This would be a TREMENDOUS asset. My institution's record keeping has been spotty at best and it would be great to be able to access it from the NCAA website!
- things have improved considerably, but can be frustrating when you find outdated information. For instance, as of this morning, the Div II-III fb coaching records on ncaa.com are only through the 2007 season.
- There are so many student-athletes this would be almost impossible and trying to fix errors would be a major pain. Would like to see more things to help out going forward then looking back.
- The updating of basketball stats directly to the NCAA has been very helpful this season and should only get better as people get in the routine of submitting their stat files right after games
- The statistics service has grown tremendously and is an invaluable resource. I don't have many suggestions since it seems like the staff is constantly trying to find ways to make the service better. Beyond that, EVERYONE is always very nice and very helpful!!!!
- The statistics database is much improved and easier to navigate now than it was in years past.
- The new basketball stats are great. I just think people need to know more about them and understand how much stuff you can find.
- The NCAA's statistics and records are invaluable to any SID. I could not be happier with the site(s) -- it/they has/have everything I need.
- The NCAA Web site is extremely difficult to navigate and find information
- The NCAA statistics staff does an amazing job. The biggest thing to help Division II and III schools would be to add more archival information. Previous season results, more career and year-by-year information. Open it up to the SIDs to help generate and submit information, stats, results from previous season after 2000. I know stats can be hit or miss for some schools where their record books or archives are almost non-existent but if other schools sent in results from say a 1982 men's soccer season and it had games against one of those schools it could help form/fill out the 1982 schedule/results for team X that needs help in making record books, old results, etc. Plus how far can we go back? Can the NCAA make a statement that we can only go back to 1980 and go forward from there for Division III? Who knows. Tough subject.

- Team page. Also might be neat to make a team page for the entire NCAA membership. Fans can click on their favorite team and there it would be one click to view school X's baseball, football, basketball, etc. team's national leaders. Year-by-year results, coaches' results, etc. Might bring more attention to the hard work the NCAA stats crew does.
- The NCAA statistics staff does a great job and I appreciate the quick attention and help they give us throughout the year.
- The NCAA statistics does a great job and is always very helpful when contacted.
- the NCAA seems schizophrenic with .com and .org -- it is all NCAA. Make just one web site and organize it more efficiently. Information related to championships can include the "how to" stuff, the historical and the current. The same is true to statistics.
- The NCAA is sorely lacking in its breadth and quality of statistics it makes available in many sports, but particular in baseball, which is the most stat driven sport we have. This is not unique to the NCAA. Having worked in the NBA and WNBA for a dozen years, the leagues are always way behind in offering what fans and media need and want.
- StatCrew's monopoly on the stats collection process is also a negative. There are many more important statistics that the programs could be providing than they do, and the entry process is incredibly antiquated. I am also not aware of any efforts made by StatCrew to reach out to Media Relations folks for input.
- The NCAA does an outstanding job with statistics throughout the year...I don't know what it would take in terms of manpower, but I think the NCAA weekly stats should begin for a sport the first week of the start of the season - just like for football.
- The NCAA does a solid job of statistics. I mainly deal with basketball and the conferences update daily which makes their stats more fresh and usable. The NCAA should look into that as unofficial websites do just that.
- the ncaa does a great job with them, is open to suggestions for improving them and has evolved with technology well
- The NCAA continues to improve its access to statistics, and the implementation of the single-game XML files for basketball this season was helpful.
- The more, the better. And the more-often updated, the more useful.
- The more you can be as a central source, the better.
- The more the better!
- The more sports that can fall under this, the better. The biggest issues I have are the sports that do not have to report to the NCAA (ie - Water Polo)
- The more searchable and sortable the stats, the better.
- The more options I have, and the easier those options are to access, the more I am likely to visit ncaa.org/ncaa.com for my statistics needs.
- The more information that can be provided - the better. I know this has been discussed in the past, but it would be nice to have the NCAA request institutions submit each of their game files and have everyone access ALL statistics through the NCAA page.
- This could make the NCAA page a "one-stop shop" for NCAA, conference and institutional statistics rankings/leaders for all sports.
- The more information that can be archived for research, the better.
- The more information available the better.
- The more information available digitally, the better. Even if it saves 15 minutes a week, over the course of a year that would be a huge help.
- The more historical info the better. My school had terrible record keeping in the past and you guys have been very helpful in accessing the past reports. Anything you could put online would be great.
- The is very helpful, but it would be great is basketball, and baseball were updated everyday as those sports are played almost everyday.
- The institution should be responsible for submitting statistics for all sports.
- The information available is collected and distributed very quickly considering the amount of data being inputted. The record book, leaders, coaching records student-athlete archives are very beneficial. I'm very

impressed with the efficiency and assistance provided by the statistics staff. Any assitance in having access to final statistics for all teams in all years the NCAA has compiled statistics for a particular sport would be very beneficial in assisting us at the league office and some of our member institutions improve their archives and enhance the amount of information we have for determing league and school records for those sports.

- The increased services provided in recent years has been phenomenal.
- The importance placed on attendance figures in some sports is more of an annoyance than anything. Requests for attendance in sports like (for example only) field hockey mean little, if anything, to my institutions, and are a waste of my time when I'm required to confirm attendance reports.
- The drawback to relying on the NCAA for cumulative team stats is on occasion we play a non-NCAA/NAIA school where we count the stats toward individual records.
- The baseball and softball NCAA III statistics need to come out earlier. I realize teams up north can't play because of the weather. It is not fair to those schools in the south who have played a number of games. It seems like by the time our spring season is over, the NCAA stats rankings are just coming out.
- The ability to access records has greatly improved in my 21 years in the SID business. With everything going online, I would like to see even more categories, or listings in season categories (instead of top 1, may be top 5??).
- Thankfully, our institutional archives are well-kept and thorough, especially for years prior to when the NCAA began compiling statistics in certain sports.
- Thank you for adding more and more sports to your game-by-game reporting.
- Technology is a blessing and a curse -- for our office, much statistical information was lost after a computer crash in 2005 -- so this past season as difficult to confirm what was in media guides and go back and look for trends in the stat crew system.
- takes too much of our time
- Streamlining one or multiple processes is great. Please do not, however, create more of a burden for the SID professionals. We are already severely overburdened as it is.
- STOP TAKING QUARTERBACK SACKS OFF RUSHING!!!!
- statistics are so hit or miss as there are a wide range of people keeping them depending on the institution. it is hard to tell what is accurate and what is not. statistics overall are not generally very important to me as it is to recognize the student-athletes.
- some sports weekly reports started later in seasons compared to others. start them all early!
- some of the minimum requirements need to be re-examined, such as 3PT FGs per game for basketball
- Some of the information is not significant and is not used.
- Since the NCAA is a membership organization I think decisions and the direction for department should be hands of the membership and not the statistics staff
- Several Conference offices receive requests for the "miscellanous" type of stat questions. Some information is available at stats.com and not at NCAA.com, or not at all. Perhaps bridging this gap would be a first step if we are asking ourselves of the same information, having the Clearinghouse for this info would be beneficial.
- See if we can move updating timelines to end of seasons. For example, handy to have MBB coaching lists and records in July and August instead of October.
- Reporting following each football game is not too time consuming. For sports such as basketball, baseball and softball, it seems like overkill. Reporting once a week should be plenty.
- Please try do more to eliminate double reporting of results with the NCAA Championship system by implementing the system we have for football/basketball for other sports - this would be a big time saver for SIDs
- Please do not expand the game-by-game reporting to sports beyond football and basketball.
- Our school has gone through several SIDs and info. has been lost in the process. Having this information on the ncaa website would help in compiling histories of our sports.
- Our school did not keep statistical records for some of our past years. This really messes with the all-time records list I have created. If stats were made available for these years, it would be a huge help in the accuracy of our records.

- Of all the sports in the NCAA, baseball (and softball) are the most stat driven. Having a system in place similar to basketball with reporting after every game with the XML file would be extremely helpful. The proliferation of baseball statistics web site (i.e. Baseball-Reference.com, Retrosheet.org) have increased the demand for updated college baseball statistics. Posting as many of the stats as the Statcrew program can produce would be of great help to the baseball SIDs across the country.
- Obviously I don't know how much work goes into it from the NCAA end, but I would think it should be possible to update the NCAA stats daily for Softball and Baseball, provided the teams send the XML (just like MBB) right after the contest. I realize that some schools do not have the ability to do this, but those that do should be able to find accurate statistics prior to the weekend instead of referencing stats that are 5+ days old.
- Nothing too specific at this point. There have, however, been times in a midweek situation when it would have been nice to know exactly where a national stat leader on our team stood as opposed to saying "at the last update" almost a week earlier.
- Needs to be updated online more often and on a consistant and known schedule. For example, football stats should be updated by noon (or whatever time you choose) on Sunday. That way we konw that if we don;t have our stuff in by then, we are not going to be included in that week's rankings. Also, when we are updating our notes, we know when to expect the information.
- The records need to be easier to find.
- need more historical information on women's sports
- NCAA staff members handle things differently. It should be consistent. Your D-III field hockey guy is far too intense and makes far too many demands relative to the other staff members that handle D-III sports.
- NCAA has too many web site portals. It's confusing
- NCAA archive stats are wonderful, but would really like to have a faster turnaround if possible.
- My school did a poor job of statistic and record keeping in certain sports prior to 2000, so some of the career records are not 100% accurate.
- My only desire would be quicker posting of lower-division statistics. Even if it could be done on Tuesday over Wednesday, I feel that by mid-week, the statistics could become irrelevant.
- Most of us probably use you gys more than we know.
- Men's basketball stats need to be updated daily ... we are often preparing releases with outdated information ... turnovers per game, turnovers forced and turnover margin should be added to the national report.
- Lacrosse records are a joke. There are too many meaningless stats. Ground balls in the men's game is laughable when a player can pick up his own drop - even though he is challenged - and get credit for a ground ball. Clumsiness should not be rewarded. There should be no individual caused turnovers stats because so often there are double teams that create the turnover and you are just guessing at who should get credit for it. Any stats where you spend a good part of your time guessing is not a worthwhile stat. Make it a team stat.
- Also, in women's lacrosse, clears should be eliminated bewcause there is no checking as in the men's game. Players who acquire the ball in their defensive end pretty much just have to run by people and so often there is little resistence. Why reward a team with a stat that is easy to achieve.
- Keep up the good work.
- Keep up the good work.
- Keep up the good work!
- Keep adding more stats, with the web being the main source and media guides going away, this will be very important! Thanks for all the hard work.
- just need more time devoted to d3 level...reading up on d3baseball championshiops from this weekend and your site was not updated...?
- It's been very helpful to have the stats available when doing so game/tournament preview research on one of our teams/individuals. Nice to have them updated in at least a weekly manner to make it easier to stay up-to-date on where certain players rank, nationally, etc.
- It would be very helpful if the NCAA made available the stats going all the way back. I am sure it would be a lengthy process, but would be a valuable tool.

- The post-game reporting for basketball is a pain (football is only once a week). It's not difficult, but would be much easier if we could just email the file instead of logging in an uploading. I have had issues at times getting on the system which is very frustrating when I am trying to accomplish 15 other things, so I can go home and end a 14-hour day.
- The basketball stats need to be updated more frequently. If schools are submitting the files, then don't include them. That will take care of it quite quickly I would imagine.
- It would be nice to have a comprehensive, central location to find statistics for all sports for all years that information is available. The more detail available, the better.
- It would be helpful to know where to access this information when needed. Our need has not been great, and is usually taken care of at the conference office level.
- It would be helpful if there was a hot line that could be used as a resource. It is difficult getting calls returned from the NCAA. NCAA.com and NCAA.org is confusing. Why are there two sites, what is the difference? Game notes are compiled everyday during the basketball season. Updated national stats for every game would be very helpful. The stats you do produce are great and very comprehensive.
- thanks
- It would be great if the NCAA had full historical records. For me this would be great because of the large overturn in my school's sports information office over the years.
- It would be a great asset to have the same statitisical information that you currently have for those athletes that played a sport prior to 2002.
- It is helpful to have archived statistics in one place given the staff turn over and indiv. institutions' variance of record keeping.
- It is a very well run office. I think that technology will allow a lot more to be done. It might be nice to have a seperate NCAA website dedicated to stats.
- It is a pain to report stats for football, and there is no need to know on a day-by-day basis who the stat leaders are for basketball. Knowing the leaders each week is certainly often enough.
- It all comes down to the ease with which someone can find what they are trying to find. As I said earlier the whole NCAA web site is one of the most unfriendly sites I have ever encountered.
- Is there a way to include more stat categories, especially in the sport of baseball? Hitting streaks would be particularly helpful.
- In this electronic age, statistics should be updated daily, even if institutions have not supplied XML files. At least those that are keeping up-to-date can have updated information.
- In regards to filing game stats w/NCAA, our conference office does that, so it doesn't really apply to our conference schools. However, we do submit them in a timely manner to the conference office.
- If there's a way to tap into any and all statistics over the years, I'm all for it. The more, the better.
- If there was a way to have the NCAA create files (at worst PDFs of the submitted data) for every sport, every year, it would make going back to create updated school record books easier when data is missing on the school's end. If a coach left and took his/her info from the 1970s, I'm out of luck
- If the NCAA has access to archived statistics that may have been lost by the institution, that would help the particular school put records back together.
- If the NCAA had an archived section of past statistics compiled over the years, it would be helpful. Black colleges did not often keep up with archived information well, therefore, making historical comparison to current day can often be difficult. If the NCAA has some of this paperwork on file, it would be beneficial to the smaller Division I schools.
- If possible, it would be good for the NCAA to post year by year records for each sport on the website.
- If I have an omission or an addition to a record book for records that aren't automated from the stats submission, there should be an online form or some structured way to update rather than e-mail the person I THINK is responsible for updating the record book the following year. For example hit streaks or other miscellaneous records.
- If game-by-game records could be had for each studente-athlete in their career record/stats it would be HUGE. I think this would be a big help when trying to quicklu find information for smaller schools where we don't have archives readily available.

- If at all possible as we transition to reporting game XML's, it would be a lot easier if you could work out a system where we could upload the XML file by FTP to your system straight from statcrew. My understanding is that right now, your game by game system involves logging in and going through several forms to upload it. It's much simpler and faster if we could do it from TAS, similar to how the sportssystems fax list function works.
- If #19 was an option I would finally be able to track down the missing years of season results that are not in our files.
- I'm not sure how men's and women's ice hockey stats are compiled by the NCAA today - but I believe that should be done by the NCAA - not an outside source. If the NCAA does the work that's great and I am off base.
- I'd like to see winning streaks tracked better at the Division II level for all sports.
- I would love to have access to compiled stats for all teams, as listed in question 19 - that would be a GREAT feature and well worth the research time it would take to compile.
- I would love to be able to check back through all teams for all years just as a fact check for my own records.
- I would love to be able to access team records from the 1980's as some of our records have been misplaced and I'm trying to get a correct overall win-loss for our men's basketball.
- I would LOVE for the ncaa web site to post all stats for all teams that are available!
- I would like to see some sort of championships results and possibly record books for all sports.
- I would like to see all statistics/records/and championship history at one site.
- I would like to congratulate you on your efforts.
- I would like to be able to link the individual career stats of a student-athlete from www.ncaa.org to his/her bio page on the teams website.
- I would like a confirmation e-mail that the XML has completed uploading correctly. Have a tab stops option for copying and pasting information into notes. I would like to have individual game, season, and career records as well as team game, season, and all-time records databased so that an alert would be e-mailed or sent when an NCAA record (or high enough to be on the kept list - top 10, 20, etc.) was set in the game/match. Have season and career-active leaders databased so you can search by multiple criteria (i.e. all players averaging 20 points and 5 assists).
- I would also appreciate more in-depth weekly national polls (especially for the Division II level), although I know those are harder to come by ...
- I wish you kept national statistics for water polo (men's and women's).
- I wish there was one clearinghouse where I could go for all the information.
- I wish there was a smart way to bundle all competitors into an on-line publication. As an example, I may want to find out if John Smith finished fifth or sixth in the 5,000 meters at the 1958 NCAA Outdoor Track and Field Championships. The information would be in the 1959 Championships guide, but would not carry over into subsequent guides.
- I wish the reporting day for statistics to the NCAA would be the same for ALL sports. Almost every year I have worked as a conference SID, I have missed the first deadline for basketball and then baseball/softball because of the change of day (Monday to Tuesday, vice versa) that the XML reports are due. It was also extremely frustrating this year because of the website not being available almost every week I went to submit the XML reports.
- I understand the amount of time it would take to compile all statistics for all the teams in the NCAA, but it would be an absolute godsend if it were to happen. Archiving old stats is a project I've been undertaking for a while and some of my records are nowhere to be found. I would even be willing to go to Indy and dig out our team's old stats.
- I thought the addition of the extra weekly updated for men and women's basketball was a nice touch. It allows us to update the media and our game notes with the most up-to-date info.
- I think you need to take a step back before you go forward with anything new. The new record books that have come out the last few years are often incomplete or missing records. If there is effort put in to statistics and records, it should be in improving what is existing rather than adding new things. As for the game-by-game stats, basketball could work in Division III, but the spring sports are a long way away. Many of our schools lack the staffing to produce accurate stats for all the spring sports.

- I think you have a difficult job with limited staffing and believe you do a good job or providing information. Daily basketball national rankings would be a good addition because of so many games being played during the week that sometimes the national rankings for a team are a game or two out of date.
- I think you guys do a great job considering your stat sites can only be as good as the information reported to the conferences and then on to you. Thanks for all you do.
- I think you do a good job.
- I think the stats pages the NCAA generates is very good. I like looking at the single page team report with where our current players rank among the leaders nationally. Keep up the great work.
- i think the statistics crew at the NCAA does a great job. they always respond to my questions in a timely fashion.
- I think the NCAA Stats Department does a great job.
- I think the NCAA statistics department has made a big strides over the past couple of years with the amount of information that is available.
- I think the NCAA statistics and records staff does a tremendous job that goes unnoticed and unfortunately unrecognized by the most of the sports information directors around the country. To coordinate all the schools at each Division like these folks do is an incredible feat.
- I think the NCAA is doing a great job organizing and archiving statistics. I see improvements being made each year in the electronic gathering and timely posting of national statistics by Division. I would like to see the NCAA take over the weekly compilation of hockey statistics, rather than the current method of letting collegehockeystats maintain this database and just posting final statistics at the completion of the season.
- I think the NCAA has shown tremendous improvement when it comes to updating the website. The information on the stats pages is excellent, and definitely adds more to my publications and stories.
- I think the NCAA has greatly improved the statistical archive in a short amount of time. Fans/parents love this kind of information at the DIII level.
- I think that statistician manuals and record books should be available online at no cost for sports information/media relations directors.
- I think more needs to be done with baseball - hitting streaks, no-hitters, perfect games and other streaks that can be taken from files.
- I think it would be helpful for the NCAA to keep track of some more trend information and do it on a nightly basis. I think the double-doubles addtion was great in basketball. It would be nice to have win streaks (home and road), conference win streaks, 100 or 200-yard rushers, 300-yard passers (consecutive games), etc.
- I think it is totally necessary to report basketball stats on a game by game basis. We do it in our league and it's so simple , there is no reason not to do it.
- I think if there was some way to be able to look up archived stats from prior to 2002, that would be a great feature. If not it's not that big of a deal. Overall I think the stats reporting process is very simple and beneficial.
- I think I would use more of this information/records/stats if it was easier to find online. Like I said earlier, I think the new improvements have made NCAA stats leaders and a lot more information much easier to find. Some other areas still could use some improvement though.
- I think I could use the information available far more often than I do. I would like to state that working with the NCAA statistics staff is one of my favorite parts of my job.
- I submit stats from a conference office. I collect season-to-date files for all sports that provide the option (i.e. everything except basketball). It's annyoing that the NCAA system and Stat Crew can't work out some way to pull single-game leaders from the XMLs, rather than the SID having to manually enter them every week. In this day and age of technology, there has to be a way around that, and unfortunately, the root of the probelm is quite likely the ridiculously antiquated Stat Crew software.
- I really, really like the feature of being able to call up rankings by conference. That is extremely helpful in pre-game notes. Great job with that!
- I rarely think it has improved greatly throughout the past four years and that the portal is very useful.
- I often have trouble finding the championships records which list previous tournament appearances/W-L records for each school in a particular sport. This is particularly useful in a pre-NCAA tournament release. I've clicked on championships before and it only displays the past year's tournament results.

- I also never am sure whether I should be looking on NCAA.org or NCAA.com for information. There seems to be a few things I need on each. Perhaps if there were multiple links to the same information. Likewise, when I want to indicate how many teams are in an upcoming tournament field (before field has been announced)I have to access the championship manual and page through it because I don't see it listed anywhere else. Finding anything other than the weekly statistics is generally quite a chore. You have an incredible amount of information to deal with so I'm sure there's no simple way to display it. Thanks for listening.
- I noticed some year-by-year win-loss records are missing for a number of our sports, especially baseball. I wanted verify when the last time our baseball team had at least 9 wins, but was unable to because the year-by-years were missing some years.
- I like what the NCAA provides. But I expect the NCAA always to be looking to improve. This survey is an indication to me that the NCAA indeed won't stand pat with its statistics and records functions.
- I like the set up right now. It isn't flashy, with things that aren't needed and provides accurate information which is easy to access.
- I like the new reporting protocol of XML files by the schools after each game and would like to see that for all sports.
- One thing that would be helpful is having a category for most home and road wins in a season.
- I like the continuous improvements and commitment of the NCAA stats team.
- I know some broadcasters and writers like to compare win loss records against a certain team but times change so rapidly comparing acomplishments from different era's holds very little meaning and in my view is wasted time and space.
- I hope you're not putting too much weight into "frequency" of visits for this or that reason. All of the previous things on the 1-2-3-4-5 scale could have tremendous value even if I were to only consult it once in a year -- that one time could really mean a lot. For instance, if one of our basketball teams made it to the Final Four this year, it would be a first and thus I would be looking for a lot more in-depth material on past NCAA championships than I ever needed to beforehand, and it would also clearly be an urgent situation from the SID's standpoint. Also, many of the items I was not aware of until I was quizzed about them. It would be great to have an interface, whether by logging in or not, that would give you all of these options at once, right in front of you.
- I have found the information extremely helpful when I can find it. I am frustrated by the number of times the information is not current or is missing in many cases for Division III.
- I have been impressed with the amount of information now available on the ncaa sites. Very helpful...
- I have been able to find most things that I have needed using either site.
- I have always been happy with how the NCAA handles its statistical and record-keeping.
- I get the most use for these stat reports for football and men's and women's basketball
- I generally use the NCAA sites to see where my school and student-athletes rank in the weekly rankings, or to look up records if one of my teams or SAs appears to be on a record-setting track. I suppose I might use the other available information if I became conditioned to do so.
- I find that generally speaking NCAA records are not user friendly and are difficult to access when you need a quick fact.
- I feel national stat reporting and rankings should be done on a weekly basis once the season reaches the quarter pole.
- I feel in some sports, some of the "new" records that we're asked to submit info for are either irrelevant or very difficult to come up with. My guess is that many schools, therefore, don't submit info for those categories, and the records that are listed probably aren't accurate. For example, it's very tough to come up with basketball "double-double" totals for players, especially from the pre-Statcrew era, so the list the NCAA puts out on that category is probably incomplete and not very useful.
- Other than that picky note, I'm very happy with the NCAA stats office and their thankless work!! Keep up the good job!!
- I don't understand why the individual institution need to report directly when most of us have conference SIDs who are also getting the same files. Having the stats come from one place per conference makes more sense and much easier for the demands put on us with the other aspects of our jobs.
- I don't like that it takes till Monday or Tuesday for D-II FB stats to be updated and D-I is done Sunday.

- I do not like reporting football stats on a game by game basis and do not what it for any sport. Our conference office did and still does this for other sports for us and now with each home team doing uploading it has now increased the chance for human error and the chance that it will not get done by someone.
- I believe the NCAA is doing a good job in bolstering the statistical archives and reports that are available. I know that it is a difficult task (as it's difficult for us to archive our own records for one school) but I appreciate the effort being taken.
- I believe statistical (scoring) manuals (for specific sports) are very important for SIDs to have in order to improve stat-keeping on a yearly basis.
- I believe it was a big mistake to eliminate hard copies of statisticians manuals. I believe it is a necessary tool that is made difficult to access online, and thus less likely to be used and rendering stats less reliable. I do NOT believe there is any sense in printing hard copies of championships records--it is something that is rarely used, certain times of the year, and can easily be accessed online.
- I believe it is easier to report statistics on a game-by-game basis rather than weekly. The process itself is relatively simple and is easy to incorporate into a post-game routine. It would also be nice to be able to upload the stats (like with individual football games) and not have to seperately add your schedule/results as the season plays out.
- I appreciate the work the NCAA statistics staff does and hope it can continue to take advantage of technology to make more national statistics available. I would also very much appreciate more archival statistics. I also wish Division III statistics mirrored more closely those of Division I, especially in basketball.
- I appreciate the NCAA's efforts to keep improving in this area.
- I appreciate the efforts made by the NCAA to keep track of records. I have been the SID at a small college for the past 25 years, and I pay the price every day for the carelessness of my predecessors when it came to keeping track of statistical data. With the NCAA, I at least feel I have a source I can rely on for modern-era stats.
- I appreciate all that you do for us. I think once everyone gets used to submitting xml files after games for basketball, it will run smoother. That includes me! I finally had to write myself a big note and post it on my computer until I got into the habit.
- I am pleased that the NCAA seems headed in the direction of having daily updates on stat leaders. Particularly in sports where games are played every day (i.e. basketball), it would be nice to be able to check where your kids rank among the national leaders and not have the information be several days out of date. Also, I would eventually love to see a wider variety of stats included (really everything that StatCrew tracks) and even have them sortable by such things as class year or position. For example, it would have been helpful for me last year to be able to sort a list of "top freshman shot blockers" or "top scoring defensemen" in lacrosse.
- I am currently attempting to rebuild our statistics archives and have contacted the NCAA office for help on this. The only issue is that the games are PDFs, not the actual files. Maybe we could have an electronic archive of game files.
- I am a big fan of finding old statistical information and would love to see a full archived stat Web site. It would be geat to compare old players achievments to current ones, especially in the NCAA tournament.
- having everything in one place is always helpful
- Good website and easy to use.
- From time to time I will share an idea with Jim Wright and staff.
- Format statistics for each Conference so they can be downloaded into a format that can be copied and pasted into releases without re-typing all of the stats.
- For the most part information that is needed is an easy find and helpful
- For DII it is helpful for stats reporting to continue to have late Monday or Tuesday reporting deadlines. With small staffs at schools and the league, its important that we don't force impossible deadlines (current deadlines are feasible).
- For accurate game notes purposes, I would love to see statistical leaders updated daily for the sport of basketball.
- Doing game-by-game statistics for sports other than football or basketball can be difficult for DII or DIII institutions with limited staffing. These smaller institutions often times do not have the staffing to do in-game scoring, thus making it necessary to manually input the box at a later date.
- Didn't realize all those stats were out there. Will utilize more moving forward.

- Combine reports with statistical uploads to get schedule results. Some sports have this, some don't which leads to confusion over what sports to report results for.
- Can we revamp the online score reporting form and make it consistent from one sport to the next? Right now we do report for some and conferences report for others and it would be nice to have it the same system wide.
- Being a new SID I feel very unaware of how to navigate or get to all these different statistical sites.
- Be care what you wish for.
- Baseball and Softball need to have the ability to XML the updates directly to the NCAA....some sports -- gymnastics and golf -- for example need some help and coordination with the NCAA.
- At my school, information prior to 2000 is very limited, so the NCAA could potentially be a database to find information that otherwise may not be available.
- As long as archived information is correct. There are several errors in our archived coaching records.
- As far as reporting game stats directly to the NCAA for sports other than football, I'm strongly opposed. Small-school SIDs are already stretched to the limit, in terms of our time commitment, and adding yet another duty (even if it's only a few extra minutes) seems unfair. The current system in place (conference office reporting weekly) seems fine, and most small schools don't have much of any media coverage, so does it really matter if the national stats are updated on a daily (instead of weekly) basis?
- As a first year SID at an institution that has been lacking in this department for YEARS, I am now trying to put together a comprehensive record book for all sports. I'm having no trouble with end of year stats for team and career stuff, but it is SO HARD to find game-by-game box scores for individual and team game highs. Having box scores from the past or something with game leaders (Ind. and team) would be great!
- Archives would be helpful to aid with turnover at certain institutions.
- An issue I ran into this year involved reporting scores for baseball and softball. It would make sense to me that the Championship and Statistics committees be on the same page for week-to-week results. Rather than manually entering results, the Championship staff should simply use the xml reports received from the conference offices. Moving up the statistics deadline would solve this issue.
- An extensive historical online statistical database would be a tremendous asset -- information would be easily accessible from the road.
- Although I like the fact that the conference SID submits stats so I don't have too, I would like the control to make sure my stats are correct.
- A project to get all stats for all sports for all years would not be possible our institution. We have some "black holes" in reporting to the NCAA and our record keeping that cannot be filled.
- A DIII stat archive going back several years would be tremendously helpful. We are Division I in men's and women's ice hockey and use collegehockeystats.com for our statistical information in those sports.
- For the most part, we are becoming too heavily dependent on them. Because like many schools, I am a one-man shop, they take up far too much of my time and energy.